

## A GENERALIZATION OF THE 0-NUMERICAL RANGE

RAJNA RAJIĆ

University of Zagreb, Croatia

ABSTRACT. Let  $H$  be a complex Hilbert space. Given a bounded linear operator  $A$  on  $H$ , we describe the set  $R^n(A) = \{V^*AW : V, W : \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow H, V^*V = W^*W = I_n, V^*W = 0\}$ . It is shown that the closed matricial convex hull of  $R^n(A)$  is a closed ball of radius  $\min\{\|A - \lambda I\| : \lambda \in \mathbf{C}\}$  centered at the origin.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper  $H$  will denote a complex Hilbert space with an inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ . By  $B(H)$  we denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $H$ .

In [15] E. L. Stolor showed that the 0-numerical range of a linear operator  $A$  acting on a finite dimensional Hilbert space  $H$  (i.e., the set  $W_0(A) = \{(Ax, y) : x, y \in H, (x, x) = (y, y) = 1, (x, y) = 0\}$ ) is a circular disc with center at the origin and with radius  $\min\{\|A - \lambda I\| : \lambda \in \mathbf{C}\}$ . The infinite dimensional analogue of this theorem was given in [8, Proposition 2.11].

In this paper we will consider the matricial generalization of the 0-numerical range of  $A \in B(H)$ . More precisely, our aim is to provide for  $R^n(A) = \{V^*AW : V, W : \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow H, V^*V = W^*W = I_n, V^*W = 0\}$  a theorem analogous to the theorem of E. L. Stolor.

One obvious consequence of Stolor's theorem is that  $\sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in W_0(A)\}$  is equal to  $\min\{\|A - \lambda I\| : \lambda \in \mathbf{C}\}$ . (For hermitian  $A \in B(H)$  this result was first obtained by Mirsky ([11]).) As it will be seen, the same assertion is valid for the set  $R^n(A)$ .

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A12.

*Key words and phrases.*  $q$ -numerical range of an operator.

## 2. MAIN RESULT

DEFINITION 2.1. For an operator  $T \in B(H)$  we define the set

$$R^n(A) = \{V^*AW : V, W : \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow H, V^*V = W^*W = I_n, V^*W = 0\}.$$

REMARK 2.2. Observe that the operators  $V$  and  $W$  from the above definition are isometries from  $\mathbf{C}^n$  to  $H$  with orthogonal ranges. Therefore, to avoid the trivial case  $R^n(A) = \emptyset$ , we shall assume that the dimension of  $H$  is greater than or equal to  $2n$ .

REMARK 2.3. Note that  $x$  and  $y$  are orthogonal unit vectors of  $H$  if and only if  $V, W : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow H$ , where  $V(1) = y$  and  $W(1) = x$ , are isometries with orthogonal ranges. Then (identifying  $B(\mathbf{C})$  with  $\mathbf{C}$ ) we have  $V^*AW = (Ax, y)$ . So, in the case  $n = 1$  the set  $R^1(A)$  coincides to the 0-numerical range of an operator  $A$ . (For the definition and more details see [8, 10, 15, 16]).

REMARK 2.4. Similar concept to the set  $R^n(A)$  is the spatial matricial range of  $A \in B(H)$  defined by  $V^n(A) = \{V^*AV : V : \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow H, V^*V = I_n\}$ . When  $n = 1$  this set reduces to the classical numerical range of  $A$ , i.e.,  $W(A) = \{(Ax, x) : x \in H, \|x\| = 1\}$ . However, the set  $V^n(A)$  lacks an important property of  $W(A)$ : it need not be convex if  $n > 1$  ([4, p. 142]). The closure of  $W(A)$ , known as the numerical range of  $A$ , is the set of all  $\phi(A)$ , where  $\phi$  ranges over all norm-one positive linear functionals on  $B(H)$ . Using completely positive maps, W. B. Arveson ([1]) generalized the concept of numerical range in defining matricial range. J. Bunce and N. Salinas proved in [5, Theorem 3.5] that the matricial convex hull of  $V^n(A)$  has the matricial range of  $A$  as its closure. Basic references for the numerical and matricial ranges are [1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 13, 14].

One other familiar concept is the set  $\{V^*AW : V, W : \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow H, V^*V = W^*W = I_n\}$  where  $H$  is a finite dimensional space which dimension is greater than or equal to  $n$ . In [9] the authors examine the conditions on  $A$  under which this set is convex or starshaped.

REMARK 2.5. If  $H$  is a finite dimensional space then  $R^n(A)$  is a compact set. Indeed, let us take an arbitrary sequence  $(V_i^*AW_i)_i$  in  $R^n(A)$ . Since  $(V_i)$  and  $(W_i)$  are the bounded sequences of isometries in the finite dimensional space  $B(\mathbf{C}^n, H)$  of all linear operators from  $\mathbf{C}^n$  to  $H$  such that  $V_i^*W_i = 0$  they have the subsequences which converge to some isometries in  $B(\mathbf{C}^n, H)$  with orthogonal ranges. Therefore,  $(V_i^*AW_i)_i$  must also have a subsequence that converges in  $R^n(A)$ . Hence,  $R^n(A)$  is compact.

Before stating our results we introduce some notation.

The matricial convex hull of a subset  $S$  of  $B(\mathbf{C}^n)$ , denoted by  $\text{mconv}(S)$ , is the set of all finite sums of the form  $\sum_i T_i^* A_i T_i$ , where  $A_i \in S$  and where the operators  $T_i \in B(\mathbf{C}^n)$  are such that  $\sum_i T_i^* T_i = I_n$ .

We denote by  $S^-$  the topological closure of a set  $S$ .

The result which follows resembles those obtained by E. L. Stolor ([15]) and by C. K. Li, P. P. Mehta and L. Rodman ([8, Proposition 2.11]).

**THEOREM 2.6.** *Let  $A \in B(H)$ . Then*

$$\text{mconv}(R^n(A)^-) = (\text{mconv}(R^n(A)))^- = \{L \in B(\mathbf{C}^n) : \|L\| \leq r\},$$

where  $r = \min\{\|A - \lambda I\| : \lambda \in \mathbf{C}\}$ . Particularly, if  $H$  is finite dimensional then

$$\text{mconv}(R^n(A)) = \{L \in B(\mathbf{C}^n) : \|L\| \leq r\}.$$

**PROOF.** The first equality follows by [6, Corollary 2.5] since  $R^n(A)$  is a bounded subset of  $B(\mathbf{C}^n)$  and  $\mathbf{C}^n$  is finite dimensional.

Take any  $V^*AW \in R^n(A)$ . Since  $V^*W = 0$ , for every  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$  we have

$$\|V^*AW\| = \|V^*(A - \lambda I)W\| \leq \|V^*\| \|A - \lambda I\| \|W\| = \|A - \lambda I\|.$$

Hence,  $\|V^*AW\| \leq \min\{\|A - \lambda I\| : \lambda \in \mathbf{C}\} = r$ . We conclude that  $R^n(A) \subseteq \{L \in B(\mathbf{C}^n) : \|L\| \leq r\}$ . Since  $\{L \in B(\mathbf{C}^n) : \|L\| \leq r\}$  is a compact matricially convex set, it follows that  $(\text{mconv}(R^n(A)))^- \subseteq \{L \in B(\mathbf{C}^n) : \|L\| \leq r\}$ .

Recall that the unit ball in  $B(\mathbf{C}^n)$  is the closed convex hull of the set of all unitary operators of  $B(\mathbf{C}^n)$  ([12, Proposition 1.1.12]). Therefore, for the opposite inclusion it is enough to show that  $(\text{mconv}(R^n(A)))^-$  contains every normal operator in  $B(\mathbf{C}^n)$  whose norm is less than or equal to  $r$ . Hence, let  $L$  be a normal operator in  $B(\mathbf{C}^n)$  with  $\|L\| \leq r$ . Denote by  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbf{C}^n$  consisting of eigenvectors of  $L$ . Let  $\lambda_i$  be the eigenvalue of  $L$  corresponding to  $e_i$  and let  $P_i \in B(\mathbf{C}^n)$  be the orthogonal projection on the subspace spanned by  $e_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Clearly,  $L = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i P_i$

and  $\sum_{i=1}^n P_i = I_n$ . Given  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  we get  $|\lambda_i - \varepsilon \lambda_i| = (1 - \varepsilon)|\lambda_i| \leq (1 - \varepsilon)\|L\| \leq (1 - \varepsilon)r < r$ , so by [15] (i.e. [8, Proposition 2.11]) there exist two orthogonal unit vectors  $x_i, y_i \in H$  such that

$$\lambda_i - \varepsilon \lambda_i = (Ax_i, y_i)$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Now, for  $x_i, y_i \in H$  and a unit vector  $e_i$  one can find two isometries  $V_i, W_i : \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow H$  with orthogonal ranges such that  $V_i e_i = y_i$  and

$W_i e_i = x_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . From this we have  $(V_i^* A W_i e_i, e_i) = (A x_i, y_i)$ , so  $P_i V_i^* A W_i P_i = (A x_i, y_i) P_i$ . Therefore,

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i P_i = \sum_{i=1}^n (A x_i, y_i) P_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon \lambda_i P_i = \sum_{i=1}^n P_i V_i^* A W_i P_i + \varepsilon L,$$

so we obtain

$$\|L - \sum_{i=1}^n P_i V_i^* A W_i P_i\| = \|\varepsilon L\| \leq \varepsilon r.$$

Hence, the arbitrariness of  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  implies  $L \in (\text{mconv}(R^n(A)))^-$ .

The second assertion follows from the first one and Remark 2.5.  $\square$

Given a bounded linear operator  $A$  defined on a complex Hilbert space  $H$ , Mirsky's constant of  $A$  ([11]), i.e.,

$$\sup\{|(Ax, y)| : x, y \in H, (x, x) = (y, y) = 1, (x, y) = 0\}$$

is equal to  $\min\{\|A - \lambda I\| : \lambda \in \mathbf{C}\}$ , which is an obvious consequence of the result of [15] (see also [8, Proposition 2.11]). In what follows we shall see that an analogous assertion holds for the set  $R^n(A)$ .

**THEOREM 2.7.** *Let  $A \in B(H)$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup\{\|V^* A W\| : V, W : \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow H, \|V\| = \|W\| = 1, V^* W = 0\} = \\ & = \sup\{\|L\| : L \in R^n(A)\} = \min\{\|A - \lambda I\| : \lambda \in \mathbf{C}\}. \end{aligned}$$

**PROOF.** Let us denote

$$\begin{aligned} m_1(A) &= \{\|V^* A W\| : V, W : \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow H, \|V\| = \|W\| = 1, V^* W = 0\} \\ m_2(A) &= \{\|L\| : L \in R^n(A)\} \\ r &= \min\{\|A - \lambda I\| : \lambda \in \mathbf{C}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $V^* V = W^* W = I_n$  implies  $\|V\| = \|W\| = 1$ , it follows that  $m_2(A) \subseteq m_1(A)$ . Further, for  $V, W : \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow H$ ,  $\|V\| = \|W\| = 1$ ,  $V^* W = 0$  we have

$$\|V^* A W\| = \|V^*(A - \lambda I)W\| \leq \|V^*\| \|A - \lambda I\| \|W\| = \|A - \lambda I\|$$

for every  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ , so  $\|V^* A W\| \leq r$ . Hence,

$$(2.1) \quad \sup m_2(A) \leq \sup m_1(A) \leq r.$$

If  $r = 0$  we are done. So assume that  $r > 0$ . By [15] (i.e. [8, Proposition 2.11]) we conclude that for an arbitrary  $0 < \varepsilon \leq r$  there exist  $x_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon \in H$  such that  $(x_\varepsilon, x_\varepsilon) = (y_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon) = 1$ ,  $(x_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon) = 0$ ,  $|(Ax_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon)| = r - \varepsilon$ . Let  $V_\varepsilon, W_\varepsilon : \mathbf{C}^n \rightarrow H$  be two isometries with mutually orthogonal ranges such that  $V_\varepsilon e = y_\varepsilon$  and  $W_\varepsilon e = x_\varepsilon$ , where  $e \in \mathbf{C}^n$  is an arbitrary unit vector. Then we obtain

$$r - \varepsilon = |(Ax_\varepsilon, y_\varepsilon)| = |(A W_\varepsilon e, V_\varepsilon e)| = |(V_\varepsilon^* A W_\varepsilon e, e)| \leq \|V_\varepsilon^* A W_\varepsilon\|,$$

so  $r = \sup m_2(A)$ . To complete the proof, it remains to apply (2.1).  $\square$

REMARK 2.8. In the original manuscript a concept of a generalized numerical range equivalent to the one introduced in Definition 2.1 was described for operators on Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules. As it was pointed out by the referee this reduces to the case of Hilbert space operators (after representing a Hilbert  $C^*$ -module as a concrete space of operators). However, in our subsequent paper we shall present some results concerned with the generalized numerical ranges for operators on Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules that can be obtained by the methods based on the results of [2].

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

The author would like to thank Professor Damir Bakić for his useful comments and helpful advices. Thanks are also due to the referee for his/her valuable suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] W. B. Arveson, *Subalgebras of  $C^*$ -algebras II*, Acta Math. **128** (1972), 271–308.
- [2] D. Bakić and B. Guljaš, *Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules over  $C^*$ -algebras of compact operators*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **68** (2002), 249–269.
- [3] F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Numerical ranges of operators on normed spaces and of elements of normed algebras*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series **2**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1971.
- [4] F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Numerical ranges II*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series **10**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1973.
- [5] J. Bunce and N. Salinas, *Completely positive maps on  $C^*$ -algebras and the left matricial spectra of an operator*, Duke Math. J. **43** (1976), 747–774.
- [6] D. R. Farenick,  *$C^*$ -convexity and matricial ranges*, Can. J. Math. Vol. **44(2)** (1992), 280–297.
- [7] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, *Topics in Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [8] C. K. Li, P. P. Mehta and L. Rodman, *A generalized numerical range: the range of a constrained sesquilinear form*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra **37** (1994), 25–49.
- [9] C. K. Li and N. K. Tsing, *On the  $k$ th matrix numerical range*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra **28** (1991), 229–239.
- [10] M. Marcus and P. Andresen, *Constrained extrema of bilinear functionals*, Monatsh. Math. **84** (1977), 219–235.
- [11] L. Mirsky, *Inequalities for normal and hermitian matrices*, Duke Math. J. **24** (1957), 591–599.
- [12] G. K. Pedersen,  *$C^*$ -algebras and their automorphisms groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1979.
- [13] R. R. Smith and J. D. Ward, *Matrix ranges for Hilbert space operators*, Amer. J. Math. **102** (1980), 1031–1081.
- [14] J. G. Stampfli and J. P. Williams, *Growth conditions and the numerical range in a Banach algebra*, Tohōku Math. J. **20** (1968), 417–424.
- [15] E. L. Stolov, *On Hausdorff set of matrices*, Izv. Vyssh. Uceb. Zaved. Mat. **10** (1979), 98–100.
- [16] N. K. Tsing, *The constrained bilinear form and the  $C$ -numerical range*, Linear Algebra Appl. **56** (1984), 195–206.

Faculty of Mining, Geology and Petroleum Engineering  
University of Zagreb  
Pierottijeva 6, 10000 Zagreb  
Croatia

*E-mail:* [rajna.rajic@zg.hinet.hr](mailto:rajna.rajic@zg.hinet.hr)

*Received:* 11.12.2002

*Revised:* 05.03.2003