

## Further results on $I$ -limit superior and limit inferior

B. K. LAHIRI\* AND PRATULANANDA DAS†

**Abstract.** *In this paper we obtain (after the works of Demirci) some further properties of  $I$ -limit superior and  $I$ -limit inferior and obtain the  $I$ -analogue of Cauchy criterion of convergence of a sequence of real numbers.*

**Key words:** *ideal, filter,  $I$ -limit superior and  $I$ -limit inferior,  $I$ -convergence,  $I$ -boundedness of a sequence*

**AMS subject classifications:** 40A05, 26A03

Received April 28, 2003

Accepted July 8, 2003

### 1. Introduction

After the work of Fast [5], the theory of statistical convergence of a real sequence has gained much popularity among mathematicians. In this connection more information may be obtained from the papers in the references. As a natural consequence, statistical limit superior and limit inferior came up for considerations which was studied extensively by Fridy and Orhan [8]. Šalát et al. ([14], [9], [10]) investigated the theory of statistical convergence with major contributions not only to this topic but also to the extended idea of  $I$ -convergence of a real sequence where  $I$  is an ideal of the set of positive integers.

Recently Demirci [4] introduced the definition of  $I$ -limit superior and inferior of a real sequence and proved several basic properties. Pursuing the idea of Demirci in this paper we obtain further results on  $I$ -limit superior and inferior including an  $I$ -analogue of Cauchy's general principle of convergence for a real sequence.

### 2. Known definitions and theorems

We recall the following definitions and theorems where  $X$  represents a set.

**Definition 1** [[11], p.34]. *Let  $X \neq \emptyset$ . A class  $S$  of subsets of  $X$  is said to be an ideal in  $X$  provided*

---

\*B-1/146 Kalyani, West Bengal-741235, India, e-mail: [ilahiri@vsnl.com](mailto:ilahiri@vsnl.com)

†Department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University, Kolkata - 700 032, India, e-mail : [pratulananda@yahoo.co.in](mailto:pratulananda@yahoo.co.in)

- (i)  $\phi \in S$ ,
- (ii)  $A, B \in S$  imply  $A \cup B \in S$ ,
- (iii)  $A \in S, B \subset A$  imply  $B \in S$ .

$S$  is called a non-trivial ideal if  $X \notin S$ .

**Definition 2** [[13], p.44]. Let  $X \neq \phi$ . A nonempty class  $F$  of subsets of  $X$  is said to be a filter in  $X$  provided

- (i)  $\phi \in F$ ,
- (ii)  $A, B \in F$  imply  $A \cap B \in F$ ,
- (iii)  $A \in F, A \subset B$  imply  $B \in F$ .

The following theorem gives a relation between an ideal and a filter.

**Theorem 1** [10]. Let  $S$  be a non-trivial ideal in  $X, X \neq \phi$ . Then the class

$$F(S) = \{M \subset X : M = X - A \text{ for some } A \in S\}$$

is a filter on  $X$ .

We will call  $F(S)$  the filter associated with  $S$ .

**Definition 3** [10]. A non-trivial ideal  $S$  in  $X$  is called admissible if  $\{\alpha\} \in S$  for each  $\alpha \in X$ .

Let  $I$  be a non-trivial ideal in  $\mathbb{N}$ , the set of all positive integers.

**Definition 4** [10]. A sequence  $x = \{x_n\}$  of real numbers is said to be  $I$ -convergent to  $l \in \mathbb{R}$  where  $\mathbb{R}$  is the set of all real numbers if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , the set  $A(\epsilon) = \{n : |x_n - l| \geq \epsilon\} \in I$ . In this case we write  $I - \lim x = l$ .

**Note 1.** If  $I$  is admissible and  $x$  ordinarily converges to  $b$ , then  $x$  is  $I$ -convergent to  $b$ .

**Definition 5** [4]. Let  $I$  be an admissible ideal in  $\mathbb{N}$  and let  $x = \{x_n\}$  be a real sequence. Let

$$B_x = \{b \in \mathbb{R} : \{k : x_k > b\} \notin I\}$$

and

$$A_x = \{a \in \mathbb{R} : \{k : x_k < a\} \notin I\}.$$

Then the  $I$ -limit superior of  $x$  is given by

$$I - \limsup x = \begin{cases} \sup B_x, & \text{if } B_x \neq \phi \\ -\infty, & \text{if } B_x = \phi. \end{cases}$$

and the  $I$ -limit inferior of  $x$  is given by

$$I - \liminf x = \begin{cases} \inf A_x, & \text{if } A_x \neq \phi \\ \infty, & \text{if } A_x = \phi. \end{cases}$$

**Definition 6** [9]. A real sequence  $x = \{x_k\}$  is said to be  $I$ -bounded if there is a number  $B > 0$  such that  $\{k : |x_k| > B\} \in I$ .

**Note 2.**  $I$ -boundedness implies that  $I - \limsup$  and  $I - \liminf$  are finite [4].

Throughout the paper  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  stand for the set of all positive integers and the set of all real numbers.  $I$  is a non-trivial admissible ideal of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Sequences are always real sequences and the sequences  $\{x_n\}$ ,  $\{y_n\}$  etc. will be represented shortly by  $x, y$  etc.

**Theorem 2 [4].**

(i)  $I - \limsup x = \beta$  (finite) if and only if for arbitrary  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\{k : x_k > \beta - \epsilon\} \notin I \text{ and } \{k : x_k > \beta + \epsilon\} \in I.$$

(ii)  $I - \liminf x = \alpha$  (finite) if and only if for arbitrary  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\{k : x_k < \alpha + \epsilon\} \notin I \text{ and } \{k : x_k < \alpha - \epsilon\} \in I.$$

**Theorem 3 [4].** For any real sequence  $x$ ,  $I - \liminf x \leq I - \limsup x$ .

**Theorem 4 [4].** An  $I$ -bounded sequence  $x$  is  $I$ -convergent if and only if

$$I - \limsup x = I - \liminf x.$$

### 3. $I$ - limit superior and inferior

In this section we prove after [4] some further results on  $I - \limsup$  and  $I - \liminf$  of a sequence.

**Theorem 5.** If  $x, y$  are two  $I$ -bounded sequences, then

(i)  $I - \limsup (x + y) \leq I - \limsup x + I - \limsup y$ .

(ii)  $I - \liminf (x + y) \geq I - \liminf x + I - \liminf y$ .

**Proof.** (i) Let  $l_1 = I - \limsup x$  and  $l_2 = I - \limsup y$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Because of Note 2 both  $l_1$  and  $l_2$  are finite. We can also assume that  $B_{(x+y)}$  is not void. Now

$$\{k : x_k + y_k > l_1 + l_2 + \epsilon\} \subset \{k : x_k > l_1 + \epsilon/2\} \cup \{k : y_k > l_2 + \epsilon/2\}$$

and by Theorem 2(i) both sets on the right-hand side belong to  $I$ . So

$$\{k : x_k + y_k > l_1 + l_2 + \epsilon\} \in I.$$

If  $c \in B_{(x+y)}$ , then from Definition 5,  $\{k : x_k + y_k > c\} \notin I$ . We show that  $c \leq l_1 + l_2 + \epsilon$ . If  $c > l_1 + l_2 + \epsilon$ , then

$$\{k : x_k + y_k > c\} \subset \{k : x_k + y_k > l_1 + l_2 + \epsilon\}$$

and therefore  $\{k : x_k + y_k > c\} \in I$ , a contradiction. Hence  $c \leq l_1 + l_2 + \epsilon$ . As this is true for all  $c \in B_{(x+y)}$ , it readily follows that

$$I - \limsup (x + y) = \sup B_{(x+y)} \leq l_1 + l_2 + \epsilon.$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, this proves (i). The proof of (ii) is analogous. This proves the theorem.  $\square$

**Note 3.** One may easily construct  $x$  and  $y$  such that strict inequality may hold in Theorem 5.

We need the following definition for Theorem 6.

**Definition 7.** A sequence  $x$  is said to be  $I$ -convergent to  $+\infty$  ( or  $-\infty$  ) if for every real number  $G > 0$ ,  $\{k : x_k \leq G\} \in I$  ( or  $\{k : x_k \geq -G\} \in I$  ).

**Theorem 6.** If  $I - \limsup x = l$ , then there exists a subsequence of  $x$  that is  $I$ -convergent to  $l$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\phi \in I$  and  $I$  is admissible, we can assume that  $x$  is a non-constant sequence having infinite number of distinct elements. We divide the proof into three cases.

**Case (i) :**  $l = -\infty$ . Then from definition,  $B_x = \phi$ . Hence, if  $M > 0$ , then  $\{k : x_k > -2M\} \in I$ . Since

$$\{k : x_k \geq -M\} \subset \{k : x_k > -2M\},$$

we have  $\{k : x_k \geq -M\} \in I$  and so  $I - \lim x = -\infty$ .

**Case (ii) :**  $l = +\infty$ . Then  $B_x = \mathbb{R}$ . So for any  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\{k : x_k > b\} \notin I$ . Let  $x_{n_1}$  be an arbitrary member of  $x$  and let  $A_{n_1} = \{k : x_k > x_{n_1} + 1\}$ . Since  $\phi \in I$ ,  $A_{n_1}$  is not void and also  $A_{n_1} \notin I$ . We claim that there is at least one  $k \in A_{n_1}$  such that  $k > n_1 + 1$ . For, otherwise  $A_{n_1} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n_1, n_1 + 1\}$  which is a member of  $I$  (since  $I$  is admissible) and so  $A_{n_1} \in I$ , a contradiction. We call this  $k$  as  $n_2$ . Thus  $x_{n_2} > x_{n_1} + 1$ . Proceeding in this way we obtain a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  of  $x$  with  $x_{n_k} > x_{n_{k-1}} + 1$  for all  $k > 1$ . Since for any  $M > 0$ ,  $\{n_k : x_{n_k} \leq M\}$  is a finite set, it must belong to  $I$ , because  $I$  is admissible and so  $I - \lim x_{n_k} = +\infty$ .

**Case (iii) :**  $-\infty < l < +\infty$ . By Theorem 2(i)  $\{k : x_k > l - 1\} \notin I$  so that  $\{k : x_k > l - 1\} \neq \phi$ . We observe that there is at least one element, say  $n_1$ , in this set for which  $x_{n_1} \leq l + 1/2$ , for otherwise  $\{k : x_k > l - 1\} \subset \{k : x_k > l + 1/2\} \in I$  which is a contradiction. Hence we have

$$l - 1 < x_{n_1} \leq l + 1/2 < l + 1.$$

Next we proceed to choose an element  $x_{n_2}$  from  $x$ ,  $n_2 > n_1$  such that  $l - 1/2 < x_{n_2} < l + 1/2$ . We observe first that there is at least one  $k > n_1$  for which  $x_k > l - 1/2$ , for otherwise  $\{k : x_k > l - 1/2\} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n_1\}$  and so is a member of  $I$  which contradicts (i) of Theorem 2. Hence  $\{k : k > n_1 \text{ and } x_k > l - 1/2\} = E_{n_1}$  (say)  $\neq \phi$ . Now if  $k \in E_{n_1}$  always implies  $x_k \geq l + 1/2$ , then

$$E_{n_1} \subset \{k : x_k \geq l + 1/2\} \subset \{k : x_k > l + 1/4\}.$$

By (i) of Theorem 2, the right-hand set belongs to  $I$  and so  $E_{n_1} \in I$ . Since  $I$  is admissible,  $\{1, 2, \dots, n_1\} \in I$  and thus

$$\{k : x_k > l - 1/2\} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n_1\} \cup E_{n_1}.$$

So  $\{k : x_k > l - 1/2\} \in I$ , a contradiction to Theorem 2.

The above analysis therefore shows that there is  $n_2 > n_1$  such that  $l - 1/2 < x_{n_2} < l + 1/2$ . Proceeding in this way we obtain a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  of  $x$ ,  $n_k > n_{k-1}$

such that  $l - 1/k < x_{n_k} < l + 1/k$  for each  $k$ . The subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  therefore ordinarily converges to  $l$  and is thus  $I$ -convergent to  $l$  by *Note 1*. This proves the theorem.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.** *If  $l = I - \liminf x$ , then there is a subsequence of  $x$  which is  $I$ -convergent to  $l$ .*

The proof is analogous to *Theorem 6* and so omitted.

#### 4. $I$ -analogue of Cauchy's principle of convergence

**Theorem 8.** *A necessary and sufficient condition that  $x$  is  $I$ -convergent to a finite real number is that corresponding to arbitrary  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is  $A(\epsilon) \in I$  such that  $|x_m - x_n| \geq \epsilon$  implies that at least one of  $m$  and  $n$  belongs to  $A(\epsilon)$ .*

**Proof. Necessity :** Suppose that  $x$  is  $I$ -convergent to a finite real number  $l$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given and  $A(\epsilon) = \{k : |x_k - l| \geq \epsilon/2\}$ . Then from definition  $A(\epsilon) \in I$ . The inequality  $|x_m - x_n| \leq |x_n - l| + |x_m - l|$  gives that if  $|x_m - x_n| \geq \epsilon$ , then at least one of  $|x_m - l| \geq \epsilon/2$  and  $|x_n - l| \geq \epsilon/2$  holds so that at least one of  $m$  and  $n$  belongs to  $A(\epsilon)$ . Hence the condition is necessary.

**Sufficiency :** Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. There exists a set  $A(\epsilon) \in I$  such that  $|x_m - x_n| \geq \epsilon$  implies that at least one of  $m$  and  $n$  belongs to  $A(\epsilon)$ . Since  $A(\epsilon) \neq \mathbb{N}$  ( because  $I$  is non-trivial ), choose an element  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N} - A(\epsilon)$ . Then for all  $k \in \mathbb{N} - A(\epsilon)$ ,  $|x_k - x_{n_0}| < \epsilon$ . Since  $\{k : |x_k| < |x_{n_0}| + \epsilon\} \supset \mathbb{N} - A(\epsilon)$ , we have  $\{k : |x_k| < |x_{n_0}| + \epsilon\} \in F(I)$  because  $\mathbb{N} - A(\epsilon) \in F(I)$  and  $F(I)$  is the filter associated with  $I$ . Thus  $\{k : |x_k| \geq |x_{n_0}| + \epsilon\} \in I$  and so  $\{k : |x_k| > |x_{n_0}| + \epsilon\} \in I$  which shows that  $x$  is  $I$ -bounded. Therefore by *Note 2* both  $I - \limsup x$  and  $I - \liminf x$  are finite.

By *Theorem 3*  $I - \liminf x \leq I - \limsup x$ . If possible, let  $I - \liminf x < I - \limsup x$ . Then  $(I - \limsup x) - (I - \liminf x) = \eta$  (say)  $> 0$ . By the given condition there is  $A(\eta/2) \in I$  such that  $|x_m - x_n| \geq \eta/2$  implies that at least one of  $m$  and  $n \in A(\eta/2)$ . By (i) of *Theorem 2*

$$\{k : x_k > I - \limsup x - \eta/4\} \notin I. \tag{1}$$

We note that  $\{k : x_k > I - \limsup x - \eta/4\} \cap (\mathbb{N} - A(\eta/2)) \neq \phi$ , for otherwise  $\{k : x_k > I - \limsup x - \eta/4\} \subset A(\eta/2) \in I$  which contradicts (1). Therefore there is  $k_1 \in \mathbb{N} - A(\eta/2)$  for which  $x_{k_1} > I - \limsup x - \eta/4$ .

Again by *Theorem 2* (ii)

$$\{k : x_k < I - \liminf x + \eta/4\} \notin I$$

and so, since  $I$  is admissible,

$$\{k : x_k < I - \liminf x + \eta/4, k \neq k_1\} \notin I.$$

Hence proceeding as before, we can choose  $k_2 \in \mathbb{N} - A(\eta/2), k_2 \neq k_1$  such that  $x_{k_2} < I - \liminf x + \eta/4$ . Therefore we have

$$|x_{k_1} - x_{k_2}| > \eta/2$$

where none of  $k_1, k_2$  belong to  $A(\eta/2)$ . This contradicts the above. Hence  $I\text{-}\liminf x = I\text{-}\limsup x$  and so by *Theorem 4*  $x$  is  $I\text{-}$ convergent to a finite real number.  $\square$

**Theorem 9.** *Every  $I\text{-}$ bounded sequence  $x$  has a subsequence which is  $I\text{-}$ convergent to a finite real number.*

The proof follows from *Note 2* and *Theorem 6*.

## References

- [1] J. CONNOR, *The statistical and strong  $p\text{-}$  Cesaro convergence of sequences*, Analysis **8**(1988), 47 – 63.
- [2] J. CONNOR, *On strong matrix summability with respect to a modulus and statistical convergence*, Canad. Math. Bull. **32**(1989), 194 – 198.
- [3] J. CONNOR, *On statistical limit points and the consistency of statistical convergence*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **197**(1996), 389 – 392.
- [4] K. DEMIRCI,  *$I\text{-}$  limit superior and limit inferior*, Mathematical Communications **6**(2001), 165 – 172.
- [5] H. FAST, *Sur la convergence statistique*, Colloq. Math. **2**(1951), 241 – 244.
- [6] J. A. FRIDY, *On statistical convergence*, Analysis **5**(1985), 301 – 313.
- [7] J. A. FRIDY, *Statistical limit points*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **118**(1993), 1187 – 1192.
- [8] J. A. FRIDY, C. ORHAN, *Statistical limit superior and limit inferior*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125**(1997), 3625 – 3631.
- [9] P. KOSTYRKO, M. MAĆAJ, T. ŚALÁT,  *$I\text{-}$  convergence*, Real Anal. Exchange **26**(2000/2001), 669 – 686.
- [10] P. KOSTYRKO, M. MAĆAJ, T. ŚALÁT, *Statistical convergence and  $I\text{-}$  convergence*, to appear in Real Anal. Exchange.
- [11] C. KURATOWSKI, *Topologie I*, PWN, Warszawa, 1958.
- [12] H. I. MILLER, *A measure theoretical subsequence characterisation of statistical convergence*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **347**(1995), 1811 – 1819.
- [13] J. NAGATA, *Modern General Topology*, North - Holland Publ. Comp., Amsterdam - London, 1974.
- [14] T. ŚALÁT, *On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers*, Math. Slovaca **30**(1980), 139 – 150.