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Electroadhesion is a promising adhesion mechanism for robotics and material handling applications due to several distinctive advantages it has over existing technologies. These advantages include enhanced adaptability, gentle/flexible handling, reduced complexity, and ultra-low energy consumption. Unstable electroadhesive forces, however, can arise in ambient environments. Electroadhesive devices that can produce stable forces in changing environments are thus desirable. In this study, a flexible and environmentally stable electroadhesive device was designed and manufactured by conformally coating a layer of barium titanate dielectric on a chemically etched thin copper laminate. The results, obtained from an advanced electroadhesive “normal force” testing platform, show that only a relative difference of 5.94% in the normal force direction was observed. This was achieved when the relative humidity changed from 25% to 53%, temperature from 13.7 °C to 32.8 °C, and atmospheric pressure from 999 hPa to 1016.9 hPa. This environmentally stable electroadhesive device may promote the application of the electroadhesion technology.
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Electroadhesion is a promising and potentially revolutionary adhesion mechanism for mobile robotics and materials handling applications. Examples of where electroadhesion is in use include electrostatic chucks, electroadhesive grasping of delicate objects and fibrous materials, climbing and perching robots. This is due to certain advantages that electroadhesion has over other adhesion mechanisms such as magnetic, pneumatic, and bio-inspired adhesion methods. These advantages include enhanced adaptability, gentle/flexible handling, reduced complexity, and ultra-low energy consumption.

Electroadhesion is an electrically controllable and dynamic electrostatic attraction between an electroadhesive pad and a substrate, with 33 variables influencing the interfacial electroadhesive force. These variables include voltage and material properties such as relative permittivity, pad geometry, surface texture, and environmental conditions. A typical electroadhesion system contains four main parts, including an electroadhesive pad, a power supply, a control system, and a substrate, as can be seen in Fig. 1. The electroadhesive force is generated by high-electric-field induced polarization or electrostatic induction depending on the material type.

Environmental conditions can cause material properties (such as dielectric strength, permittivity, and resistivity) to change and therefore also the polarization properties. Among the environmental factors, Monkman concluded that only temperature and humidity have a noticeable effect on the electroadhesive force. Savioli et al. discovered that different shear forces were obtained over three different days with differing temperature and humidity profiles. Guo et al. demonstrated that unstable normal electroadhesive forces were achieved in different ambient environments. Such variability may hinder the wider application of electroadhesion technologies. An environmentally stable electroadhesive device is, therefore, needed and defined here as an electroadhesive device that can produce stable electroadhesive forces in changing temperature, relative humidity, and ambient pressure profiles.

To address the environmental instability of current electroadhesive pads, Guo et al. implemented an environmental adaptive electroadhesive actuator that could produce robust electroadhesive forces at different humidity levels. An empirical relationship between the minimum voltages needed to grip a known material and varying humidity levels, however, must be obtained and embedded in the control loop for the electroadhesive end effector to adjust the voltage output for different humidity levels. If a humidity level is not previously included in the empirical relationship, the end effector will not be able to output the right voltage to successfully grip the material. It has to be noted that various

FIG. 1. Schematic diagram of a typical electroadhesion system.
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experiments, however, are needed to obtain reasonably robust and comprehensive empirical relationships between the applied voltage and environmental factors (such as temperature, relative humidity, and ambient pressure) to calibrate the voltage adjustment based on the information feed from the sensors, which is not cost-effective.

An alternative method is to coat electroadhesive pads with materials that are less sensitive to environmental variations, thus producing stable electroadhesive properties in changing environments. In this way, the troublesome compensation/calibration procedure aforementioned can be eliminated. There is, however, little research concerning coating electroadhesive pads with materials that are less sensitive to environmental variations, thus producing stable electroadhesive properties in changing environments.

This study reports the development and experimental characterization of an environmentally stable electroadhesive pad. Previous studies showed that improved performance, including greater adhesive forces and quicker release characteristics, was achieved by using a barium titanate (BaTiO$_3$) coated electroadhesive pad. Inspired by the fact that the dielectric constant of BaTiO$_3$ changes little in different ambient environments, the studied environmentally stable, flexible, and lightweight electroadhesive pad was made from a chemically etched thin copper laminate (UK Insulations Ltd., UK) and a BaTiO$_3$ dielectric (dielectric constant of 8, Applied Technologies, Inc., US). The copper laminate was a 20 $\mu$m/23 $\mu$m copper polyethylene-terephthalate (PET) bilayer.

The flexible and environmentally stable electroadhesive pad manufacturing process consists of five major steps. These steps are similar to the electroadhesive pad manufacture procedures published previously except that the dielectric coating and degassing/curing method were different. First, an A4 sized 43-$\mu$m-thick copper-PET sheet was thoroughly cleaned and dried. A worm-comb geometry was then printed onto the copper side using a solid-ink (wax) printer (Xerox UK Ltd., UK). The worm-comb geometry was selected due to the fact that a previous experimental comparison of different geometries concluded that the worm-comb shape could bring slightly greater adhesive forces. The dielectric strength and permittivity of the PET were 310 kV/mm and 3.2, respectively. The electrode width and gap were both 3.6 mm. The effective pad area was 180 mm $\times$ 198 mm. Second, the wax printed copper laminate was chemically etched, thoroughly cleaned, and dried. For the third stage, the laminate was clamped on a 10 mm aluminium pad holder. A 50-$\mu$m-thick BaTiO$_3$ dielectric was then doctor-blade coated on the etched laminate using a micrometer adjustable film applicator (MTI Corporation, US) in a spray booth.

The $S_q$ (root mean square height of the surface) value of the dielectric surface was 0.24 $\pm$ 0.01 $\mu$m. To measure this, an Alicona InfiniteFocus G4 (Alicona, Austria) was used, with a $\times 20$ objective. 10 different areas of the dielectric surface were measured. Surface texture information of one area is presented in Fig. 2(a). The BaTiO$_3$ dielectric was made of BaTiO$_3$ particles suspended in fluoropolymer. A FE-SEM (field emission scanning electron microscopy, JSM-7800F, JEOL, Japan) image of the dielectric subsurface is shown in Fig. 2(b). The detector (X-Max 80, Oxford Instruments, UK) voltage and current were 20 kV and 4.36 nA, respectively. For the fourth stage, the coated electroadhesive pad and pad holder were placed in a vacuum chamber (Fistream International Ltd., UK) for degassing at room temperature for 10 min followed by curing at 120°C for 20 min. Finally, the electroadhesive pad was taken out of the oven and allowed to cool at room temperature for 24 h before testing. The prototype of the manufactured flexible and environmentally stable electroadhesive pad can be seen in Fig. 2(c).

Normal electroadhesive forces were measured using the advanced force measurement test rig and procedure published previously. The force tests were conducted over three days, and each test was repeated five times. A 15-time test was conducted previously to check the repeatability of the testing procedure and concluded that five times were enough to produce robust and repeatable results. It has to be noted that, however, these tests should be conducted under the condition that there is no degradation and dielectric breakdown of the electroadhesive pad. An air conditioner (Ecoair London, UK) and a dehumidifier (Ecoair London, UK), enclosed in an insulated foam sealed environmental chamber, were used to produce varying and controlled environmental conditions. As shown in Fig. 3, over the three days, the relative humidity changed from 25% to 53%. The room temperature changed from 13.7°C to 32.8°C. The atmospheric pressure changed from 999 hPa to 1016.9 hPa. Two high voltage converters (EMCO High Voltage Corporation, US), connected to a 0–5 V reference input DC power supply, were used to provide 3.6 kV to energize the electroadhesive pad. The electroadhesive pad was charged for 90 s before measuring the electroadhesive force between...
The microstructures of the dielectric surface would influence the electroadhesive force obtainable. As the aim of this study is to obtain the relationship between the electroadhesive force and different environmental conditions, the surface microstructure of the proposed electroadhesive pad should be fixed. The same electroadhesive pad should, therefore, be used to eliminate the variability of surface microstructures. However, in order to show that the thickness of the dielectric layer will not affect the stable functional behavior of the proposed electroadhesive pad, a thinner (30 µm) BaTiO$_3$ dielectric coated electroadhesive pad was manufactured and tested at the same time. The results of this thinner electroadhesive pad showed that a relative difference of 6.13% was obtained in the electroadhesive forces under the same environmental conditions aforementioned. The result was close to the 50 µm one. However, further tests using other coating thicknesses are needed to confirm that similar performance can be achieved using different coating thicknesses.

In this study, as the glass substrate was placed underneath the electroadhesive pad, the electroadhesive force was induced mainly by electric polarization. The electroadhesive force was measured when the BaTiO$_3$ dielectric was facing the substrate. Previous results showed that the dielectric constant of BaTiO$_3$ based materials was relatively stable from 10 °C to 50 °C. In addition, the variation of the dielectric constant of BaTiO$_3$ based materials was relatively small from 10% to 50%. As shown in Fig. 3, the relative difference in the electroadhesive force obtained was relatively small. It was assumed that this was due to the fact the coated BaTiO$_3$ dielectric’s material properties were quite stable in a range of ambient environments, thus producing relatively stable electroadhesive forces. In order to experimentally verify this, two other electroadhesive pads, manufactured after the second manufacturing stage aforementioned, with the same electrode geometry and dimensions, were tested by facing the bare electrode (electroadhesive pad coated with nothing) and PET (electroadhesive pad coated with PET) side towards the glass substrate. For the electroadhesive pad coated with nothing, a relative difference of 59.9% in the electroadhesive forces was observed when the pad was exposed to a temperature difference of 19.1 °C, a relative humidity difference of 25%, and an atmospheric pressure difference of 0.7 hPa, as shown in Fig. 4. For the electroadhesive pad coated with PET, a relative difference of 117.6% in the electroadhesive forces was observed when the pad was exposed to a temperature difference of 19.1 °C, a relative humidity difference of 24%, and an atmospheric pressure difference of 0.9 hPa, as shown in Fig. 5. However, a more in-depth understanding of the fundamental mechanism behind this should be conducted in the future.

Previous results have shown a relative difference of 195.8% in the forces for a polyurethane coated electroadhesive pad exposed to a temperature difference of 0.7 °C, a relative humidity difference of 21%, and an atmospheric pressure difference of 19.1 hPa. Similarly, a relative difference of 41.1% was observed in the forces for a polyimide coated electroadhesive pad which was exposed to a temperature difference of 1.6 °C, a relative humidity difference of 12%, and an atmospheric pressure difference of 7 hPa. The reported flexible and environmentally stable electroadhesive device presented here therefore demonstrates a step-change improvement in stability across a wide range of ambient operating conditions. This result suggests that significant
Improvements in electroadhesive devices may be possible through further investigations into alternative coating materials. This has the potential to greatly improve the capabilities of this adhesion method and increase its use in robotics and material handling applications. One possible industrial application is the robotic pick-and-place of carbon fibre prepreg sheets, as demonstrated in Fig. 6, where a single layer of carbon fibre prepreg sheet was picked up by the electroadhesive gripper from a stack.
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