

Effect of floor type on the ammonia and odour emission from veal calves housing

J. Mosquera, T. van Hattum, G.M. Nijeboer, J.M.G. Hol, H.J.C. van Dooren, S. Bokma



Effect of floor type on the ammonia and odour emission from veal calves housing

J. Mosquera, T. van Hattum, G.M. Nijeboer, J.M.G. Hol, H.J.C. van Dooren, S. Bokma

Wageningen Livestock Research Wageningen, September 2017

Report 980



Mosquera, J., T. van Hattum, G.M. Nijeboer, J.M.G. Hol, H.J. van Dooren, S. Bokma, 2017. *Effect of floor type on the ammonia and odour emission from veal calves housing.* Wageningen Livestock Research, Report 980.

Samenvatting NL

In dit rapport worden de resultaten gepresenteerd van metingen die in opdracht van Productschap Vlees en Vee (PVV) en ICE/Beerepoot op twee bedrijven voor vleeskalveren zijn uitgevoerd volgens de case-control benadering om het effect van verschillende roostervloeren op de emissies van ammoniak en geur te bepalen. Ammoniakemissies waren significant lager voor "Groene vlag" met kleppen, en niet significant verschillend voor "Groene vlag" zonder kleppen en voor "EasyFix", ten opzichte van de traditionele houten roostervloer die ook gemeten is op deze bedrijven (control-case benadering). Geuremissies waren niet significant verschillend voor "Groene vlag" met kleppen, "Groene vlag" zonder kleppen en "EasyFix" ten opzichte van de traditionele houten roostervloer die gemeten is op deze bedrijven (control-case benadering).

Summary UK

This report presents the results of measurements performed at two animal facilities for veal calves using the control-case approach, to estimate the ammonia and odour emission reduction of a number of floors compared to the traditional wooden slatted floor. These measurements were commissioned by PVV (Productschap Vlees en Vee) and by ICE/Beerepoot. Ammonia emissions from the floor "Groene vlag" with valves were significantly lower, whereas from the floor "Groene vlag" without valves and from the floor "EasyFix" differences were not significant, when compared to the emission from the traditional floor measured simultaneously within the same farm (case-control approach). Odour emissions were not significant different for the floors "Groene vlag" with valves, "Groene vlag" without valves and "EasyFix" when compared to the emission from the traditional floor measured simultaneously within the same farm (case-control approach).

This report can be downloaded for free at http://dx.doi.org/10.18174/392090 or at www.wur.nl/livestock-research (under Wageningen Livestock Research publications).

© 2017 Wageningen Livestock Research

P.O. Box 338, 6700 AH Wageningen, The Netherlands, T +31 (0)317 48 39 53, E info.livestockresearch@wur.nl, www.wur.nl/livestock-research. Wageningen Livestock Research is part of Wageningen University & Research.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced and/or made public, whether by print, photocopy, microfilm or any other means, without the prior permission of the publisher or author.



The ISO 9001 certification by DNV underscores our quality level. All our research commissions are in line with the Terms and Conditions of the Animal Sciences Group. These are filed with the District Court of Zwolle.

Wageningen Livestock Research Report 980

Table of contents

	Fore	eword	5
	Sum	ımary	7
1	Intr	oduction	9
2	Mate	erial and methods	10
	2.1	Measurement farm locations	10
		2.1.1 Farm location 1	10
		2.1.2 Farm location 2	11
		2.1.3 Working (emission reduction) principle	12
	2.2	Measurements	13
		2.2.1 Measurement strategy	13
		2.2.2 Ammonia concentration	13
		2.2.3 Odour concentration	14
		2.2.4 Ventilation rate	14
		2.2.5 Temperature and relative humidity	15
		2.2.6 Production data and agronomic conditions	15
		2.2.7 Cleanliness of floor and animals	16
	2.3	Data analysis	16
		2.3.1 Ammonia emissions	16
		2.3.2 Odour emissions	16
		2.3.3 Emission reduction	17
		2.3.4 Statistical analysis	17
3	Res	ults and discussion	18
	3.1	Technical results and agronomic requirements	18
	3.2	Cleanliness of floor and animals	21
	3.3	Measurement conditions	21
	3.4	Ammonia and odour emissions	25
4	Con	clusions	30
	Refe	erences	31
	Арр	endix 1 Photos of the farm locations	32
	Арр	endix 2 Veterinary care	37

Foreword

The Dutch Ministry of Economic Affairs, together with the veal calves sector and the Dutch Society for the Protection of Animals, approved a research project focusing on the behavioural, veterinary, environmental and economic effects of using soft walking floors for veal calves instead of the commonly used wooden or concrete slats. This report presents the environmental effects, the results of measurements on ammonia and odour emissions performed at two animal facilities for veal calves using the control-case approach.

The research project was funded by the PVV (Dutch Meat and Livestock Board), and partly by ICE/Beerepoot. We thank the farmers participating in this research for providing the rooms/compartments to be measured, for their cooperation, and for supplying the necessary information on agronomic requirements.

Julio Mosquera Project leader

Summary

This report presents the results of environmental measurements performed at two animal facilities for veal calves using the control-case approach, to estimate the ammonia and odour emission reduction of three floor types compared to the traditional wooden slatted floor:

- "Groene Vlag" slatted floor (Irish Custom Extruders/Beerepoot stalinrichtingen)
- "Groene Vlag" slatted floor with valves in the slots (Irish Custom Extruders/Beerepoot stalinrichtingen)
- Easyfix Veal Slat rubber system

On each farm four identical, mechanically ventilated compartments were used, each accommodated with one of the four slatted floors. During the experiment all in – all out was performed for all compartments at the same time. Management and feeding were kept identical.

Ammonia and odour were measured six times in a year using a 24 hours continuous measuring strategy at approximately 2 months interval. This measuring strategy is in accordance with the Dutch emission measurement protocol (Ogink et al., 2013) and the international VERA protocol (http://www.veracert.eu).

Based on all available data, the following emission reductions (emission of the floor compared to the emission from the traditional wooden slatted floor as simultaneously measured at the same farm location; average of all measurements) were measured:

Floor	average reduction \pm standard error of the means			
	Ammonia (% reduction)	Odour (% reduction)		
"Groene vlag" with valves	22.3 ± 5.5	12.5 ± 14.7		
"Groene vlag" without valves	5.5 ± 2.6	-11.1 ± 12.5		
EasyFix	-4.2 ± 2.9	-16.6 ± 14.8		

Ammonia emissions from the floor "Groene vlag" with valves were significantly lower (22.3% lower; P-value: 0.03), whereas measured means from the floors "Groene vlag" without valves (5.5% lower) and "EasyFix" (4.2% higher) were not significantly different (P-value: >0.10) when compared to the emission from the traditional floor measured simultaneously within the same farm (case-control approach).

Odour emissions from all measured floors ("Groene vlag" with valves, 12.5% lower ; "Groene vlag" without valves, 11.1% higher; "EasyFix", 16.6% higher) were not significantly different (P-value>0.10) when compared to the emission from the traditional floor measured simultaneously within the same farm (case-control approach).

1 Introduction

The Ministry of Economic Affairs, together with the veal calves sector and the Dutch Society for the Protection of Animals ("*Dierenbescherming*") approved a research project focusing on the positive effects on welfare of soft walking floors for veal calves. This research project specified two phases with two different main objectives:

- 1. The first phase main's objective was to determine whether soft floors have a positive effect on the behaviour of animals as well as veterinary benefits compared to the wooden slatted floors usually applied for veal calves. This phase aimed also to identify and select the two best performing soft floor types for the second phase.
- 2. The second phase focussed on the determination of the potential positive effects of using soft floors when looking at the technical and economic value of floors. For this purpose, at a number of animal facilities, different rooms (that were provided with the selected floors) have been investigated and compared to a traditional reference (control) floor.

One of the possible positive side effects of applying this type of floors is that it may lead to a reduction of the ammonia and odour emission from the animal house. This would certainly stimulate the implementation of these floors in practice. The Steering Group "Floors for veal calves" asked WUR-LR to determine this effect by using the standard measurement protocol for ammonia (Ogink et al., 2013). This protocol is accepted by the Technical Advisory Commission (Tac-Rav) to determine emission factors for ammonia, factors that may be then included in Annex 1 of the Rav (Regeling ammoniak en veehouderij). For the measurement of the odour emissions, the standard measurement protocol for odour (Ogink, 2011) is applied.

This report presents the results of measurements performed to determine official emission factors for ammonia and odour for the different types of floors being considered in this project, including:

- Wooden slatted floor (slats are approximately 80 mm wide, slots are approximately 30 mm wide), used as reference (control) floor.
- "Groene Vlag" slatted floor (slats are approximately 130 mm wide, and provided with a convex compressible top layer; slots are approximately 29 mm wide).
- Easyfix slatted floor for veal calves (slats are approximately 120 mm wide, provided with a convex rubber layer; slots are approximately 30 mm wide).
- "Groene Vlag" slatted floor with valves (slats are approximately 130 mm wide, and provided with a convex compressible top layer; slots are approximately 29 mm wide), with valves in de slots.

2 Material and methods

In the following paragraphs and annexes, a description will be given of the measurement farm locations and housing systems (2.1; Annex 1), of the measurement setup and strategy (2.2), and of the method of processing the data (2.3).

2.1 Measurement farm locations

2.1.1 Farm location 1

The measurements took place in four rooms/compartments of an animal building (in use for more than one decade) used for the production of white veal calves. All four rooms were identical in design (6.8 m wide and 15 m long), and consisted of two rows of four pens (2.5 m x 3.6 m) separated by a feeding alley (Annex 1). Each pen had a capacity for five calves (8 pens x 5 calves = 40 animal places). This is in accordance to the existing regulation prescribing at least 1.8 m² walking area per animal. Manure is stored in manure pits under the slatted floors (depth of approximately 50 cm).

The calves are placed in the rooms at approximately two weeks of age, with an average initial weight of approximately 45 kg. In accordance to the existing regulations for veal calves, animals are first kept in individual boxes, and placed in groups at an age of 8 weeks until the animals reach a slaughter weight of approximately 225 kg. The production cycle was on average 185 days (average of the two measured production cycles for the four measured rooms).

The rooms are at the very beginning of the production cycle naturally ventilated, by regulating the inlet openings, and later on force ventilated: air enters the room through an inlet opening (Annex 1) and leaves the room via ventilation shafts placed on top of the room above the feeding alley. For this purpose, each room is provided with one ventilation fan (45 cm diameter), controlled by a climate system.

The calves were fed twice a day at about half past seven in the morning and half past five in the afternoon. The calves were fed with water and milk powder combined with concentrates and small amounts of straw and alfalfa.

Within the framework of this study, the rooms were provided with different floors (see 2.1.3 for photos of the investigated slatted floors):

- Wooden slatted floor (slats are approximately 80 mm wide, slots are approximately 30 mm wide), used as reference (control) floor.
- "Groene Vlag" slatted floor (slats are approximately 130 mm wide, and provided with a convex compressible top layer; slots are approximately 29 mm wide).
- Easyfix slatted floor for veal calves (slats are approximately 120 mm wide, provided with a convex rubber top layer; slots is approximately 30 mm wide).
- "Groene Vlag" slatted floor with valves (slats are approximately 130 mm wide, and provided with a convex compressible top layer; slots are approximately 29 mm wide), with valves in de slots.

Table 1 provides an overview of the main characteristics of the different rooms.

Table 1Characteristics of the investigated rooms at measurement location 1.

Characteristics/room		2		
Number of pens	8	8	8	8
Pen dimension (width x	2.5 x 3.6	2.5 x 3.6	2.5 x 3.6	2.5 x 3.6
length)				
Animal places	40	40	40	40
Living space per animal (m ²)	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8
Slatted floor (% of living	100	100	100	100
space)				
Slatted floor type	"Groene Vlag"	"Groene Vlag"	Easyfix	Wooden slats
	with valves			(traditional)
Manure pit depth (cm)	50 cm	50 cm	50 cm	50 cm
Ventilation fans: number	1	1	1	1
diameter (cm)	45	45	45	45
Total max. capacity (m ³ /h)	6000	6000	6000	6000

2.1.2 Farm location 2

The measurements took place in four rooms of an animal building (in use for more than one decade) used for the production of white veal calves. All four rooms were identical in design (8.0 m wide and 22.7 m long), and consisted of two rows of six pens separated by a feeding alley (Annex 1). Per row, four small pens (see Table 2), have a capacity of six calves per pen. The other two larger pens (see Table 2) have a capacity for seven calves (in total 76 animal places). This is in accordance to the existing regulation prescribing at least 1.8 m² walking area per animal. Manure is stored in manure pits under the slatted floors (depth of approximately 115 cm).

The calves are placed in the rooms with an average initial weight of approximately 45 kg. In accordance to the existing regulations for veal calves, animals are first kept in individual boxes, and placed in groups at an age of 8 weeks until the animals reach a weight of approximately 250 kg. The production cycle was on average 203 days (average of the two measured production cycles for the four measured rooms).

The rooms are at the very beginning of the production cycle naturally ventilated, by regulating the inlet openings, and later on force ventilated: air enters the room through an inlet opening (Annex 1) and leaves the room via ventilation shafts placed on top of the room above the feeding alley. For this purpose, each room is provided with two ventilation fans (40 cm diameter), controlled by a climate system.

The calves were fed twice a day at about five in the morning and four in the afternoon. The calves were fed liquid feeding (warm milk made from water and milk powder) and additionally some roughage.

Within the framework of this study, the rooms were provided with different floors, similar as those described by farm location 1.

Table 2 provides an overview of the main characteristics of the different rooms.

Table 2Characteristics of the investigated rooms at measurement location 2.

Characteristics/room	2			
Number of pens	12	12	12	12
Pen dimension (width x				
length)	3.56 x 2.95	3.58 x 2.95	3.36 x 2.95	3.64 x 2.95
Pen 1	3.55 x 2.95	3.55 x 2.95	3.55 x 2.95	3.55 x 2.95
Pen 2, 3 en 4	4.15 x 2.95	4.15 x 2.95	4.25 x 2.95	4.25 x 2.95
Pen 5	4.42 x 2.95	4.42 x 2.95	4.28 x 2.95	4.28 x 2.95
Pen 6				
Animal places	76	76	76	76
Walking area per animal (m ²)	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8
Slatted floor (% of walking	100	100	100	100
area)				
Slatted floor type	"Groene Vlag"	"Groene Vlag"	Easyfix	Wooden slats
	with valves			(traditional)
Manure pit depth (cm)	117	115	115	115
Ventilation fans: number	2	2	2	2
diameter (cm)	40	40	40	40
Total max capacity (m ³ /h)	9000	9000	9000	9000

2.1.3 Working (emission reduction) principle

The (soft)floors considered in this project (Figure 1) have been selected not only because they may have positive effects on the animals (increasing technical and economic value), but also because of their potential to reduce ammonia emissions from the animal building. The idea behind the expected reduction in ammonia emissions is:

- For all floors (excluding the traditional wooden slatted floor), using a convex top layer (rubber for the Easyfix and TPE for the "Groene vlag" floors) may favour fast removal of urine and faeces to the manure pit underneath the floor. By removing the urine to the pits with less favourable emission conditions the emission of ammonia will be reduced.
- For all floors (excluding the traditional wooden slatted floor), using non-porous materials may reduce the pH of the urine left on the slatted floor, and make the conditions less favourable for ammonia production.
- For the "Groene vlag with valves" slatted floor, it is expected that the valves will minimize air exchange between the manure pit underneath the floor, and the animal building, resulting in a reduction in ammonia emissions from the manure pit.



Figure 1 Selected slatted floors. A) Traditional wooden slatted floor; B) Easyfix; C)-D) "Groene vlag".

2.2 Measurements

2.2.1 Measurement strategy

In this project, measurements were performed at two animal facilities for veal calves using the control-case approach. The all-in all-out approach was implemented, meaning that the rooms were simultaneously filled with animals at the beginning of each production cycle. Besides, at each animal facility management and veterinary care of the veal calves was similar for all the rooms being considered in this research project.

At each animal facility four rooms were taken into consideration, each with a particular type of floor being used to keep the veal calves, as described in section 2.1. The floors were installed in different rooms of the animal facilities in October 2013 for farm location 1, and in November 2013 for farm location 2. The reference floor used as control (wooden slats), already present in the animal facilities, had a good quality and did not need to be replaced. The rooms are forced ventilated except for the first few days of the rearing period.

Measurements were performed in the period February 2014- April 2015 for farm location 1, and in the period March 2014 – May 2015 for farm location 2, following the measurement protocol described in Ogink et al. (2013) for ammonia (NH_3), and in Ogink (2011) for odour. Shortly, these protocols specify the implementation of six measurements of at least 24 hours, evenly distributed in a calendar year (approximately every two months) and over the production cycle of the animals, taking into account the agronomic requirements as presented in the measurement protocols. For animals with a growing production cycle, and expected linear increase in ammonia emission during the production cycle, such as veal calves, the protocols also specify that:

- Per farm location and housing system, three of the six measurements should be performed in the first half of the production cycle, and the other three measurements in the second half of the production cycle.
- The measurements in the second half of the production cycle must be implemented over three different seasons.

For every measurement period, the following measurements (per farm location and floor type/room) were performed (see Annex 1 for schema with measuring points):

- The concentration of ammonia in the outgoing air (through the ventilation fans) and in the incoming air (background), using the method described in 2.2.2.
- The concentration of odour in the outgoing air (through the ventilation fans), using the method described in 2.2.3.
- The ventilation rate using the method described in 2.2.4.
- The temperature and relative humidity in all the measured rooms, and outside the rooms, using the method described in 2.2.5.
- Feeding and production data, agronomic requirements as described in 2.2.6.
- Visual inspection of the degree of cleanliness of the floor and the animals (see 2.2.7)

2.2.2 Ammonia concentration

The ammonia concentration in both the incoming (background) and outgoing (room) air was measured for all rooms in duplicate using gas washing (impingers, acid traps, acid scrubbers or absorption flasks; Wintjes, 1993). In this method, an air sample is taken and drawn at a known flow rate (~1,0 l/min) using a pump (Thomas Industries Inc., model 607CD32, Wabasha, Minnesota ,VS) and critical orifices through a set of three absorption flasks (Figure 2). The first flask (filled with 100 ml 0,05 M nitric acid) is for collecting the ammonia, the second one (also filled with 100 ml 0,05 M nitric acid) to check for saturation, and the third one (empty) to collect any present liquids in the air before reaching the pump. The samples are analysed in a laboratory by using spectrophotometry. The flow rate through the acid traps is measured before and after performing the measurements by using a calibrated flow meter (Defender 510-m, Bios Int. Corp, USA).



Figure 2 Measurement method for ammonia concentration measurements. Left: acid traps; Middle: flow meter; Right: pump.

2.2.3 Odour concentration

Odour concentrations in the outgoing (room) air were measured in all rooms using the lung method as described in Ogink and Mol (2002). In this method, a 40 litre Nalophan sampling bag is first inserted in a closed container and kept under vacuum conditions (Figure 3). An air sample is then taken from the sample location by using Teflon sampling lines, and sucking air from the container at a known flow rate (~0,4 l/min) using a pump (Thomas Industries Inc., model 607CD32, Wabasha, Minnesota ,VS) and critical orifices. This creates an under pressure in the container, allowing the air sample to be drawn into the sampling bag, after first being led through a dust filter (type #1130, diameter: 50 mm, 1-2 μ m, Savillex[®] Corp., Minnetonka, VS). Odour samples were analysed within 24 hours after sampling by olfactometry by an accredited odour laboratory, following the European Normative EN 13725 (CEN, 2003). Unlike ammonia, odour concentrations are measured during a shorter period of time (2 hours, between 10:00am and 12:00 am, instead of 24 hours). For odour, single measurements (per room) were performed.



Figure 3 Measurement method for odour concentration measurements.

2.2.4 Ventilation rate

All rooms were force ventilated by means of a computer controlled ventilator. Ventilation rate was regulated by the ventilation computer, based on a temperature bodyweight algorithm. Actual ventilation was checked by means of a measuring fan. To determine the ventilation rate the signal of the measuring fan was registered and logged into a logging system during measurements. The characteristics of the measuring fans were available. Next to this all fans were additionally manually calibrated halfway the project. The procedure used to calibrate the ventilation fans was as follows:

- 1. The ventilation rate was set (through the computer system on farm) to different constant values, and the signal from the computer system logged into a logging system.
- For the specified ventilation levels, the air speed through the ventilation shaft was measured at about 10 cm from the edge of the shaft (25% of the shaft diameter). From this air speed and the surface of the shaft, a ventilation rate in m³/h was calculated.
- Combining the data measured for all (similar) measured fans, a calibration line (ventilation rate vs. signal from the computer system) was determined (see Figure 4).



Figure 4 Calibration lines used to determine the ventilation rate.

2.2.5 Temperature and relative humidity

Room and outdoor temperatures and relative humidity were continuously measured by using temperature and relative humidity sensors (Rotronic; ROTRONIC Instrument Corp., Huntington, VS; Figure 5) with an accuracy of respectively \pm 1,0 °C en \pm 2%. Data was stored in a logging system (Campbell Scientific Inc., Logan, VS).



Figure 5 Rotronic for temperature and relative humidity measurements.

2.2.6 Production data and agronomic conditions

The following information about the production and agronomic conditions was collected:

- Number of animals (per room)
- Average weight of the animals
- Daily gain of the animals
- Feed composition
- CO₂ concentration

At farm location 1, single measurements (per room) of carbon dioxide (CO₂) concentrations in the outgoing (room) air were performed in all rooms using the same lung principle as for odour concentrations. However, for CO₂ concentrations air samples were taken by sucking air at a known flow rate of 0,02 l/min, using the same kind of pump (Thomas Industries Inc., model 607CD32, Wabasha, Minnesota ,VS) as for odour with different critical orifices. Air samples were then analysed in the lab by using a gas chromatograph (Interscience/Carlo Erba Instruments Inc., Breda, the Netherlands, GC 8000 Top; column Molsieve 5A; detector: HWD). As for ammonia, this method gives an average CO₂ concentration over the whole measurement period (24 hours).

At farm location 2, carbon dioxide (CO₂) concentrations in the outgoing (room) air were measured in most of the cases also using the lung principle. In some cases, however, when not enough vessels were available, measurements were performed by using an infrared photoacoustic multi-gas monitor (Figure 6; INNOVA 1312, LumaSense Technologies, Ballerup, Denmark).



Figure 6 Infrared photoacoustic multi-gas monitor for CO₂ concentration measurements.

2.2.7 Cleanliness of floor and animals

During each measuring period the cleanliness of the floors and animals was visually checked and rated according to the following scheme:

Code	Description
1	Clean (<10% dirty)
2	Reasonably clean (10-40% dirty)
3	Largely dirty (40-70% dirty)
4	Dirty (>70% dirty)

2.3 Data analysis

2.3.1 Ammonia emissions

Ammonia emissions (E(NH₃)_{ijk} in [kg/year per animal place]) per farm location (i=1, 2), measurement period (j=1, 2, ..., 6) and treatment (k=1 Traditional wooden slatted floor; 2 "Groene vlag" slatted floor; 3 "Easyfix" slatted floor; 4 "Groene vlag" slatted floor with valves), were calculated based on the measured ventilation rates (V_{ijk} in [m³/h]; average over the 24-hour measurement period) and ammonia concentrations in the outgoing (Cout_{ijk} in [g/m³] average over the 24-hour measurement period) and incoming (background; Cbackg_{ijk} in [g/m³]; average over the 24-hour measurement period) air, the number of animal places in the measured rooms, and the regulatory percentage of the days the rooms are expected to be occupied (93% for white veal calves, implicating 7% of cleaning time between production cycles; Groenestein and Aarnink, 2008) according to:

$$E(NH_3)_{ijk} = V_{ijk} \times (Cout_{ijk} - Cbackg_{ijk}) \times \frac{1 \text{ kg}}{1000 \text{ g}} \times \frac{24 \text{ h}}{1 \text{ d}} \times \frac{365 \text{ d}}{1 \text{ year}} \times \frac{1}{\text{ animalplaces}} \times 0.93$$

Ammonia emissions per treatment and farm location ($E(NH_3)_{ik}$ in [kg/year per animal place]) were then calculated by averaging the emissions measured during the six measurement periods. Next, ammonia emissions per treatment ($E(NH_3)_k$ in [kg/year per animal place]) were calculated by averaging the emissions measured at the two farm locations.

2.3.2 Odour emissions

Odour emissions (E(odour)_{ijk} in [OU_E/s per animal place]) per farm location (i=1, 2), measurement period (j=1, 2, ..., 6) and treatment (k=1. Traditional wooden slatted floor; 2. "Groene vlag" slatted floor; 3. "Easyfix" slatted floor; 4. "Groene vlag" slatted floor with valves), were calculated based on the measured ventilation rates (V_{ijk} in [m³/h]; average over the 2-hour measurement period), odour concentrations in the outgoing air (Cout_{ijk} in $[OU_E/m^3]$ average over the 2-hour measurement period), the number of animal places in the measured rooms, and the regulatory percentage of the days the rooms are expected to be occupied (93% for white veal calves, implicating 7% of cleaning time between production cycles; Groenestein and Aarnink, 2008) according to: $E(odour)_{ijk} = V_{ijk} \times Cout_{ijk} \times \frac{1h}{3600s} \times \frac{1}{animalplaces} \times 0.93$

Odour emissions per treatment and farm location ($E(odour)_{ik}$ in [OU_E /s per animal place]) were then calculated as follows:

- 1. First, measured emissions were transformed into the natural logarithm scale.
- 2. Then, the average of these emissions (per treatment and farm location) was calculated.
- 3. Finally, the averaged Ln-emissions were transformed back into the normal scale, leading to a median (instead of average) emission per treatment and farm location.

Odour emissions per treatment ($E(odour)_k$ in [OU_E /s per animal place]) were then calculated by averaging the emissions measured at the two farm locations.

2.3.3 Emission reduction

The reduction in ammonia and odour emission per treatment (k= 2. "Groene vlag" slatted floor; 3. "Easyfix" slatted floor; 4. "Groene vlag" slatted floor with valves) compared to the traditional wooden slatted floor is calculated as follows:

 First, the emission reduction for ammonia (ER(NH₃)_{ijk}) and odour (EF(odour)_{ijk}) are calculated per farm location (i=1, 2) and measurement period (j=1, 2, ..., 6) as:

$$ER(NH_3)_{ijk} = 100 \times \left(1 - \frac{E(NH_3)_{ijk}}{E(NH_3)_{ij1}}\right) \qquad ER(odour)_{ijk} = 100 \times \left(1 - \frac{E(odour)_{ijk}}{E(odour)_{ij1}}\right)$$

- 2. Then, the calculated emission reductions per treatment and farm location are averaged for all measurement periods to obtain an emission reduction per treatment and farm location.
- 3. Finally, the calculated emission reductions for both farm locations are averaged to obtain an average emission reduction per treatment.

2.3.4 Statistical analysis

The statistical paired difference one-side t-test was used to determine the probability of differences between absolute emissions between treatments to be significant. All analyses were done using the GenStat software (VSN International Ltd, 17^{th} edition). Probability values P<0.05 were considered statistically significant. Values between 0.05 and 0.10 (0.05<P<0.10) were considered to have a weak significance level, and values P>0.10 were considered to be not significant.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Technical results and agronomic requirements

Table 3 (farm location 1) and Table 4 (farm location 2) show the production results of the two production cycles where five of the six measurements (per farm location) took place. At both farm locations, the last measurement took place at the beginning of a new production cycle, and the production results were not available at the time this report was written. To place these results in a national perspective, Table 3 and Table 4 also show the average production results, as reported in the Quantitative Livestock Information (KWIN, 2014).

At farm location 1, animal loss at the end of the production cycle was lower in the second production cycle (on average 2% for the four measured rooms) than in the first production cycle (on average 4% for the four measured rooms). During the first production cycle, animal loss was slightly higher than the agronomic requirements for the rooms provided with the "Traditional" and the "Groene vlag without valves" floors. However, occupation rate during the measurements (Table 6) was always within 5% of the number of animal places (with the only exception of measurement 2 for the floor "Groene vlag without valves". The average weight of placed and delivered animals were similar in all rooms and between production cycles, and a bit higher than the agronomic requirements. Forage provided to the animals had on average over the whole production cycle more than 250 grams of feed rich in fibre, as required in the Directive 91/629/EEG for veal calves older than eight weeks. The average feed conversion (milk consumption related to growth rate) was 1.5, and similar between rooms and production cycles.

At farm location 2, animal loss was lower in the second production cycle (on average 3% for the four measured rooms) than in the first production cycle (on average 8% for the four measured rooms). Occupation rate during the measurements (Table 7) was always within 5% of the number of animal places. The average weight of placed and delivered animals were similar in all rooms and between production cycles. The average weight of delivered animals was higher than the agronomic requirements, but also the duration of the production cycle was higher. Forage provided to the animals had on average over the whole production cycle more than 250 grams of feed rich in fibre, as required in the Directive 91/629/EEG for veal calves older than eight weeks. The average feed conversion (milk consumption related to growth rate) was 1.5, and similar between rooms and production cycles.

Table 3Production results for two production cycles at farm location 1, national averages (KWIN,
2014), and agronomic requirements.

Floor	Characteristics	Production	Production	National	Agronomic
type		cycle 1	cycle 2	average	requirements
	Number of days	181	188	180	
	Number of animals placed	40	42		≥ 40
ves	Number of animals delivered	40	40		
val	Loss (%)	0.0	4.8		< 5%
vith	Average weight of placed animals	47	50	47	≥ 45
م پ	Average weight of delivered animals [kg]	226	230	225	±225
s vla	Growth rate [kg/animal/day]	0.99	0.96		
bene	Milk consumption [kg milk powder/animal]	274	267		
Ğro	Roughage consumption [kg /animal]	199	232		
-	Concentrates consumption [kg/animal]	56	59		
	Feed conversion ⁽¹⁾	1.5	1.5		
	Number of days	181	188	180	
Ņ	Number of animals placed	40	42		≥ 40
alve	Number of animals delivered	37	41		
ıt vî	Loss (%)	7.5	2.4		< 5%
thou	Average weight of placed animals	43	47	47	≥ 45
wi,	Average weight of delivered animals [kg]	220	235	225	±225
/lagʻ	Growth rate [kg/animal/day]	0.98	1.00		
ne v	Milk consumption [kg milk powder/animal]	271	267		
iroe	Roughage consumption [kg/animal]	215	236		
5	Concentrates consumption [kg/animal]	59	59		
	Feed conversion ⁽¹⁾	1.5	1.4		
	Number of days	181	188	180	
	Number of animals placed	40	42		≥ 40
	Number of animals delivered	40	42		
	Loss (%)	0.0	0.0		< 5%
×	Average weight of placed animals	44	45	47	≥ 45
isyfi	Average weight of delivered animals [kg]	231	226	225	±225
Еа	Growth rate [kg/animal/day]	1.04	0.96		
	Milk consumption [kg milk powder/animal]	271	263		
	Roughage consumption [kg/animal]	215	231		
	Concentrates consumption [kg/animal]	59	58		
	Feed conversion ⁽¹⁾	1.4	1.5		
	Number of days	181	188	180	
	Number of animals placed	40	42		≥ 40
r	Number of animals delivered	37	42		
l flo	Loss (%)	7.5	0.0		< 5%
tted	Average weight of placed animals	44	49	47	≥ 45
l sla	Average weight of delivered animals [kg]	223	240	225	±225
onal	Growth rate [kg/animal/day]	0.99	1.01		
iditi	Milk consumption [kg milk powder/animal]	276	264		
Tra	Roughage consumption [kg/animal]	212	238		
	Concentrates consumption [kg/animal]	58	59		
	Feed conversion ⁽¹⁾	1.5	1.4		

 $\ensuremath{^{(1)}}$ Calculated as milk consumption divided by growth rate

Table 4Production results for two production cycles at farm location 2, national averages (KWIN,
2014), and agronomic requirements.

Floor	Characteristics	Production	Production	National	Agronomic
type		cycle 1	cycle 2	average	requirements
	Number of days	204	203	180	
	Number of animals placed	76	76		≥ 40
ves	Number of animals delivered	70	75		
val	Loss (%)	8.2(2)	1.3		< 5%
vith	Average weight of placed animals	45	46	47	≥ 45
م ر	Average weight of delivered animals [kg]	229	253	225	±225
< la	Growth rate [kg/animal/day]	0.90	1.02		
bene	Milk consumption [kg milk powder/animal]	303	274		
Ğro	Roughage consumption [kg/animal]	251	269		
-	Concentrates consumption [kg/animal]				
	Feed conversion ⁽¹⁾	1.6	1.3		
	Number of days	204	204	180	
s	Number of animals placed	76	74		≥ 40
alve	Number of animals delivered	70	71		
ıt va	Loss (%)	8.2(2)	4.1		< 5%
thou	Average weight of placed animals	45	41	47	≥ 45
" wit	Average weight of delivered animals [kg]	234	247	225	±225
'lag'	Growth rate [kg/animal/dav]	0.93	1.01		
Je <	Milk consumption [kg milk powder/animal]	303	277		
roei	Roughage consumption [kg/anima]]	251	272		
Ģ	Concentrates consumption [kg/animal]				
	Feed conversion ⁽¹⁾	1.6	1.3		
	Number of days	204	202	180	
	Number of animals placed	76	76		≥ 40
	Number of animals delivered	70	72		
	Loss (%)	8.2(2)	5.3		< 5%
×	Average weight of placed animals	45	41	47	≥ 45
syfi	Average weight of delivered animals [kg]	241	227	225	±225
Еа	Growth rate [kg/animal/dav]	0.96	0.92		
	Milk consumption [kg milk powder/animal]	303	271		
	Roughage consumption [kg/anima]]	251	263		
	Concentrates consumption [kg/animal]				
	Feed conversion ⁽¹⁾	1.5	1.5		
	Number of days	204	203	180	
	Number of animals placed	76	76		≥ 40
r	Number of animals delivered	70	74		
floc	Loss (%)	8.2 ⁽²⁾	2.6		< 5%
tted	Average weight of placed animals	45	41	47	≥ 45
slat	Average weight of delivered animals [ka]	234	243	225	±225
Jnal	Growth rate [kg/animal/dav]	0.93	0.99		
ditic	Milk consumption [kg milk powder/animal]	303	274		
Tra	Roughage consumption [kg/animal]	251	269		
	Concentrates consumption [kg/animal]				
	Feed conversion ⁽¹⁾	1.6	1.4		

 $\overline{}^{(1)}$ Calculated as milk consumption divided by growth rate

 $^{\left(2\right) }$ Average loss for all rooms, individual loss not known

3.2 Cleanliness of floor and animals

Table 5 shows the degree of cleanliness of the floor and animals (visually inspected and rated) during the measurements, for both farm locations and all floor types. In general, animals were considered to be clean to reasonable clean at both locations, for all floor types. Regarding the cleanliness of the floors, large differences were observed. The "Groene vlag" floors (with and without valves) scored generally as "reasonable clean", while both the traditional wooden slatted floor and Easyfix scored generally as "dirty". During the inspections performed during the first production cycle at both farm locations it was found that the valves were not working properly. At farm location 1, the slots with valves were in some cases filled with manure. At farm location 2, some of the valves were gone due to improper mounting, and had to be replaced.

Measurement	Floor type	Cleanliness fa	rm location 1	Cleanliness	farm location 2
		Floor	Animals	Floor	Animals
	"Groene vlag" with valves	2	1-2	2	1-2
	"Groene vlag" without valves	3	1-2	2	1-2
1	Easyfix	4	1-2	4	1-2
	Traditional wooden slats	4	1-2	4	1-2
	"Groene vlag" with valves	2	1-2	2	1-2
2	"Groene vlag" without valves	2	1-2	2	1-2
2	Easyfix	4	1-2	4	1-2
	Traditional wooden slats	4	1-2	4	1-2
	"Groene vlag" with valves	1	1-2	2	1-2
2	"Groene vlag" without valves	2	1-2	2	1-2
5	Easyfix	4	1-2	4	1-2
	Traditional wooden slats	3	1-2	4	1-2
	"Groene vlag" with valves	2	1-2	2	1-2
4	"Groene vlag" without valves	2	1-2	2	1-2
4	Easyfix	4	1-2	4	1-2
	Traditional wooden slats	4	1-2	4	1-2
	"Groene vlag" with valves	2	1-2	2	1-2
F	"Groene vlag" without valves	2	1-2	2	1-2
J	Easyfix	4	1-2	3	1-2
	Traditional wooden slats	4	1-2	4	1-2
	"Groene vlag" with valves	2	1-2	2	1-2
6	"Groene vlag" without valves	2	1-2	2	1-2
σ	Easyfix	4	1-2	4	1-2
	Traditional wooden slats	4	1-2	4	1-2

Table 5	Cleanliness	of floor and	l animals a	s observed	during the	measurements

3.3 Measurement conditions

Table 6 (farm location 1) and Table 7 (farm location 2) give an overview of the measurement conditions during the measurements. The measurements were performed within a period of 250 days (farm location 1) and 294 days (farm location 2) distributed over the year (Figure 7a) and the production cycle (Figure 7b) according to the requisites of the measurement protocol (half of the measurements on the first half of the production cycle, half of the measurements on the second half of the production cycle and distributed over three different seasons). Considering all six measurements, the average measurement day in the year was 161 days for farm location 1, and 155 for farm location 2. The average day in the production cycle was 95 days for farm location 1, and 100 for farm location 2. The degree of occupation (number of animals in the rooms compared to the number of animal places based on $1.8 \text{ m}^2/\text{animal}$) varied between 93 % and 105 % (average 101 %) for farm location 1, and between 95 % and 100 % (average 99 %) for farm location 2. The concentration of carbon dioxide (CO₂) in the rooms was always below 3000 ppm. As pointed out in section 3.1, animals were provided with roughage having (on average over the whole production cycle) at least 250 grams of

feed rich in fibre. Animals were provided with standard veterinary care (see Appendix 2 for an overview of the animals being treated for the production cycles were measurements took place).

Floor type	Characteristics/ measurement						
General information	Date	12-2-2014	14-4-2014	30-6-2014	1-9-2014	20-10-2014	8-4-2015
	Day in the year	43	104	181	244	293	98
	Outside temperature [°C]	6.1	7.1	15.4	16.8	14.1	11.2
	Outside relative humidity [%]	82.3	91.7	61.8	77.6	83.1	72.1
es	Animal places	40	40	40	40	40	40
/alv	Number of animals present	40	40	41	39	40	41
ith 、	Occupation rate	1.00	1.00	1.03	0.98	1.00	1.03
× 	Day in the production cycle	105	166	24	87	136	54
vlag	Animal weight [kg]	170	230	53	130	185	80
ene	Room temperature [°C]	14.7	20.1	19.4	22.1	20.2	16.9
Groe	Inside relative humidity [%]	86.3	97.9	56.2	69.9	78.3	69.8
	CO ₂ concentration inside [ppm]	1570	2210	811	1200	1210	1198
	Animal places	40	40	40	40	40	40
nout	Number of animals	40	37	42	40	40	42
with	Occupation rate	1.00	0.93	1.05	1.00	1.00	1.05
ag" ves	Day in the production cycle	104	165	25	88	137	54
e vli val	Animal weight [kg]	170	230	51	130	185	80
oen	Inside temperature [°C]	15.3	17.7	19.5	22.0	20.5	16.8
ņ	Inside relative humidity [%]	85.3	65.3	59.9	72.2	96.6	70.8
	CO ₂ concentration inside [ppm]	1610	1430	824	1270	1230	1192
	Animal places	40	40	40	40	40	40
	Number of animals	40	40	42	40	40	42
	Occupation rate	1.00	1.00	1.05	1.00	1.00	1.05
yfix	Day in the production cycle	104	165	25	88	137	54
Eas	Animal weight [kg]	170	230	51	130	185	80
	Inside temperature [°C]	15.0	17.6	19.2	21.7	20.0	17.1
	Inside relative humidity [%]	97.0	65.6	57.8	72.2	90.7	70.2
	CO ₂ concentration inside [ppm]	1570	1260	839	1150	1135	1188
,	Animal places	40	40	40	40	40	40
llooi	Number of animals	40	38	42	40	40	42
ed 1	Occupation rate	1.00	0.95	1.05	1.00	1.00	1.05
slatt	Day in the production cycle	104	165	25	88	137	54
ial s	Animal weight [kg]	170	230	52	130	185	80
itior	Inside temperature [°C]	15.2	17.4	19.4	22.0	20.5	17.5
[rad	Inside relative humidity [%]	70.0	64.7	58.1	72.8	72.8	68.8
	CO ₂ concentration inside [ppm]	1557	1273	821	1250	1160	1231

Table 6Measurement conditions for the measurements performed at farm location 1.

Table 7	Measurement conditions for the measurements performed at farm location 2. n.a.: data
	not available.

Floor	Characteristics/						
type	measurement	27 2 2014	2 6 2014	15 0 2014	27 10 2014	6 1 2015	6 5 2015
al tion	Date Day in the year	27-3-2014	2-0-2014	15-9-2014	27-10-2014	6-1-2015 6	126
Gener	Outside temperature [%C]	11 4	10 6	10.2	12.6	2 4	120
	Outside temperature [-C]	11.4 E2.2	10.0	19.5	12.0	3.4 90.0	13.3
		55.5	50.8	65.0	04.4	69.9	70.0
/es	Animal places	76	76	76	76	76	76
valv	Number of animals	76	76	75	76	76	76
ith	Occupation rate	1.00	1.00	0.99	1.00	1.00	1.00
× *	Day in the production cycle	107	174	40	82	153	44
vlag	Animal weight [kg]	160	220	76	118	215	60
ene	Inside temperature [°C]	17.7	33.5	22.8	19.9	16.1	19.0
5roe	Inside relative humidity [%]	62.2	46.5	72.9	77.6	84.2	63.2
2	CO ₂ concentration inside [ppm]	920	956	911	1063	1910	1165
	Animal places	76	76	76	76	76	76
nout	Number of animals	76	74	74	72	72	76
with	Occupation rate	1.00	0.97	0.97	0.95	0.95	1.00
ag" ves	Day in the production cycle	107	174	40	82	153	42
e vlå val	Animal weight [kg]	160	220	74	116	213	60
pene	Inside temperature [°C]	17.3	23.0	22.6	19.6	16.1	19.2
u G	Inside relative humidity [%]	61.2	59.3	75.7	75.4	82.0	62.7
	CO ₂ concentration inside [ppm]	838	1150	960	1280	n.a.	1232
	Animal places	76	76	76	76	76	76
	Number of animals	76	76	76	76	74	75
	Occupation rate	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.97	0.99
yfix	Day in the production cycle	107	174	40	82	153	41
Eas	Animal weight [kg]	165	220	70	112	208	56
	Inside temperature [°C]	17.7	23.3	22.9	20.2	15.9	19.4
	Inside relative humidity [%]	65.7	58.5	73.2	76.6	81.9	65.9
	CO ₂ concentration inside [ppm]	1170	996	975	1470	n.a.	1276
L	Animal places	76	76	76	76	76	76
looi	Number of animals	76	76	76	75	75	76
ed	Occupation rate	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.99	0.99	1.00
slatt	Day in the production cycle	107	174	40	82	153	41
al s	Animal weight [kg]	162	220	73	117	210	60
itior	Inside temperature [°C]	17.5	23.7	23.0	19.6	16.3	18.7
-rad	Inside relative humidity [%]	64.1	59.1	73.2	98.1	84.0	62.8
	CO ₂ concentration inside [ppm]	1020	1160	980	1490	n.a.	1083



Figure 7 Distribution of measurements A) in the year and B) in the production cycle for both farm locations.

Figure 8 shows the average outside temperature at the days were measurements were performed, for both farm locations and compared to the average outside temperature as measured in the weather station De Bilt (in the middle of the Netherlands) during the last 10 years (period 2004-2013). The average outside temperature during the measurements was 11.8 °C for farm location 1 and 13.1 °C for farm location 2. Outside temperature was for both farm locations higher than the average of the last 10 years (10.5 °C).



Figure 8 Outside temperature measured at farm location 1 (left side) and farm location 2 (right side), compared to the average (2004-2013) outside temperature measured in the Netherlands at the weather station De Bilt (www.knmi.nl; shown as dotted line).

Figure 9 shows the ventilation rate (m³/h per animal) measured at both farm locations for all investigated rooms/floors. At farm location 1, the ventilation rate showed some variation between rooms but was for all rooms and measurements similar, except for measurement 2 at room 1. Due to a failure in the computer system regulating the ventilation from this room, the ventilation rate was part of the measurement day at a low level, much lower than by the other rooms. At farm location 2, ventilation rate was similar for all rooms during all measurement periods.





3.4 Ammonia and odour emissions

Table 8 (farm location 1) and Table 9 (farm location 2) give an overview of the available data regarding the emission of ammonia and odour during all measurement periods.

Table 8Results of measurements performed at farm location 1. Emissions are corrected for the
percentage of the days the rooms were occupied (93% for white veal calves; Groenestein
and Aarnink, 2008).

Floor		Characteristics/ measurement						
typ	e							
		Production cycle	1	1	2	2	2	3
vlag" with		Ventilation rate [m ³ /h per animal]	71.0	44.0	70.5	87.8	109.0	58.1
		NH ₃ concentration inside [ppm]	5.4	9.0	6.6	9.1	10.1	8.0
		NH ₃ background concentration [ppm]	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.1
	ves	NH_3 emission [kg/year per animal place]	2.2	2.3	2.7	4.5	6.1	2.7
ne	val	NH ₃ emission reduction [%]	50.8	58.1	5.7	18.6	24.6	18.5
roe		Odour concentration inside $[OU_E/m_3]$	621	2001	2393	2599	3618	1562
ē		Odour emission [OU _E /s per animal place]	11.4	22.7	44.7	57.5	101.9	24.0
		Odour emission reduction [%]	55.1	54.9	13.8	-77.9	-49.5	65.2
ť		Ventilation rate [m ³ /h per animal]	65.4	94.5	63.2	78.7	104.5	55.5
hoi		NH_3 concentration inside [ppm]	11.9	10.1	8.5	11.4	12.7	8.3
vit		NH ₃ background concentration [ppm]	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1
"Ge	ves	NH ₃ emission [kg/year per animal place]	4.5	5.1	3.2	5.1	7.6	2.8
Ň	val	NH ₃ emission reduction [%]	-1.3	6.9	-11.7	6.8	6.3	17.5
ene		Odour concentration inside $[OU_E/m_3]$	2003	3600	5276	2828	3336	2473
Gro		Odour emission [OU _E /s per animal place]	33.8	81.3	90.4	57.5	90.0	37.2
5		Odour emission reduction [%]	-33.3	-61.4	-74.5	-78.0	-32.0	46.1
		Ventilation rate [m ³ /h per animal]	71.8	98.9	67.6	85.1	112.1	60.5
		NH ₃ concentration inside [ppm]	11.5	11.2	8.1	11.5	11.6	9.3
		NH ₃ background concentration [ppm]	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1
Į,		NH_3 emission [kg/year per animal place]	4.7	6.4	3.3	5.6	7.4	3.4
1	0	NH ₃ emission reduction [%]	-7.2	-17.7	-13.3	-2.0	8.5	-0.6
		Odour concentration inside $[OU_E/m_3]$	1796	2771	2824	3066	5100	2474
		Odour emission [OU _E /s per animal place]	33.3	70.8	51.8	67.4	147.7	40.6
		Odour emission reduction [%]	-31.3	-40.6	0.1	-108.8	-116.6	41.2
ż	5	Ventilation rate [m ³ /h per animal]	67.8	95.8	64.2	78.8	110.1	56.5
, j	2	NH_3 concentration inside [ppm]	11.3	10.4	7.5	12.2	12.9	9.9
4		NH ₃ background concentration [ppm]	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1
	2101	NH ₃ emission [kg/year per animal place]	4.4	5.4	2.9	5.5	8.1	3.3
	0	NH ₃ emission reduction [%]						
		Odour concentration inside $[OU_E/m_3]$	1449	2143	2978	1586	2396	4506
יד		Odour emission [OU _E /s per animal place]	25.4	50.4	51.8	32.3	68.2	69.0
μ,	-	Odour emission reduction [%]						

Table 9Results of measurements performed at farm location 2. Emissions are corrected for the
percentage of the days the rooms were occupied (93% for white veal calves; Groenestein
and Aarnink, 2008).

Floor		Characteristics/ measurement						
сур	e	Production cycle	1	1	2	2	2	3
		Ventilation rate [m ³ /h per animal]	84.4	118.8	80.4	63.9	63.1	55.3
ne vlag" with		NH ₃ concentration inside [ppm]	6.6	8.4	7.0	12.0	15.7	12.5
		NH₃ background concentration [ppm]	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3
	ves	NH ₃ emission [kg/year per animal place]	3.2	5.7	3.1	4.4	5.6	3.9
	val	NH ₃ emission reduction [%]	21.8	1.0	43.7	14.1	1.1	9.9
roe		Odour concentration inside $[OU_E/m_3]$	1269	2144	1237	1924	1872	3299
Ū		Odour emission [OU _E /s per animal place]	27.7	65.8	25.4	31.8	30.5	47.2
		Odour emission reduction [%]	47.4	-28.5	-76.5	0.0	19.5	-12.3
ť		Ventilation rate [m ³ /h per animal]	85.6	121.4	83.0	63.0	66.7	56.2
hot		NH ₃ concentration inside [ppm]	6.9	7.5	10.5	14.2	16.4	13.9
wit		NH_3 background concentration [ppm]	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3
"Ge	ves	NH_3 emission [kg/year per animal place]	3.4	5.1	4.8	4.9	5.9	4.4
Ň	val	NH ₃ emission reduction [%]	17.1	12.0	13.5	4.9	-4.1	-2.3
ene		Odour concentration inside $[OU_E/m_3]$	1756	1116	629	1667	3326	3474
<u></u>		Odour emission [OU $_E$ /s per animal place]	38.8	34.1	13.1	25.7	54.3	50.4
•		Odour emission reduction [%]	26.2	33.4	8.6	19.1	-43.1	-20.0
		Ventilation rate [m ³ /h per animal]	85.6	120.4	80.8	64.9	65.4	58.6
		NH_3 concentration inside [ppm]	9.0	8.6	10.1	16.2	16.4	13.5
,	~	NH_3 background concentration [ppm]	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.3
ų	Ĩ,	NH ₃ emission [kg/year per animal place]	4.4	5.9	4.7	6.0	5.9	4.4
Ŭ		NH ₃ emission reduction [%]	-8.0	-2.3	16.6	-18.2	-3.8	-2.3
		Odour concentration inside $[OU_E/m_3]$	2281	1727	881	2824	3076	1295
		Odour emission [OU _E /s per animal place]	50.4	53.7	18.4	47.3	50.6	19.4
		Odour emission reduction [%]	4.2	-4.9	-27.9	-49.0	-33.4	53.9
	5	Ventilation rate [m ³ /h per animal]	83.8	114.7	83.6	61.4	67.4	57.8
9	-	NH_3 concentration inside [ppm]	8.5	8.8	11.7	14.7	15.0	13.1
Ì		NH_3 background concentration [ppm]	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2
-	2 d	NH_3 emission [kg/year per animal place]	4.0	5.8	5.6	5.1	5.7	4.3
	P	NH ₃ emission reduction [%]						
		Odour concentration inside $[OU_E/m_3]$	2431	1727	665	2030	2209	2811
ļ		Odour emission [OU _E /s per animal place]	52.6	51.2	14.4	31.8	37.9	42.0
F	-	Odour emission reduction [%]						

Based on all available data, the following ammonia emissions were determined:

Floor	Ammonia emission [kg/year per animal place]				
	Farm location 1	Farm location 2	Both farms (n=2)		
"Groene vlag" with valves	3.4	4.3	3.9		
"Groene vlag" without valves	4.7	4.7	4.7		
EasyFix	5.1	5.2	5.2		
Traditional wooden slatted	4.9	5.1	5.0		
floor					

Ammonia emissions were similar between farm locations. The measured farm emissions from the traditional wooden slatted floor (on average 5.0 kg/year per animal place, corrected for the percentage of the days the rooms were occupied (93% for white veal calves; Groenestein and Aarnink, 2008)) were higher than the emission factor (3.5 kg/year per animal place) provided in the Regulation Ammonia and Livestock (Rav, Regeling ammoniak en veehouderij; Staatscourant, 2015). These emissions are also higher than the emissions reported in Hol and Groenestein (1997) and

Beurskens and Hol (2004): 2.5 kg/year per animal place and 3.4 kg/year per animal place, respectively.

Based on all available data, the following ammonia emission reductions (emission of the floor compared to the emission from the traditional wooden slatted floor as simultaneously measured at the same farm location) were determined:

Floor	Ammonia emission reduction [% of traditional wooden slatte floor]				
		average ± standard er	ror of the means		
	Individua	ll farms (n=6)	Both farms (n=12)		
	Farm	Farm location 2			
	location 1				
"Groene vlag" with valves	29.4 ± 8.4	15.3 ± 6.5	22.3 ± 5.5		
"Groene vlag" without valves	4.1 ± 4.0	6.9 ± 3.6	5.5 ± 2.6		
EasyFix	-5.4 ± 3.9	-3.0 ± 4.6	-4.2 ± 2.9		

Ammonia emissions from the floor "Groene vlag" with valves were significantly lower (22.3% lower; P-value: 0.03) when compared to the emission from the traditional floor measured simultaneously within the same farm (case-control approach; Figure 10a). Emission reductions were higher at the end of the production cycle at farm location 1, but lower at farm location 2. This could be related with the problems encountered at farm location 2 with the valves used for this floor (see section 3.2).

Ammonia emissions from the floor "Groene vlag" without valves were 5.5% lower but differences were not significant (P-value: >0.10) when compared to the emission from the traditional floor measured simultaneously within the same farm (case-control approach; Figure 10b).

Ammonia emissions from the floor "EasyFix" were 4.2% higher but differences were not significant (P-value: >0.10) when compared to the emission from the traditional floor measured simultaneously within the same farm (case-control approach; Figure 10c).



Figure 10 Ammonia emission reduction (compared to the traditional wooden slatted floor) for both farm locations and rooms (A: "Groene vlag" with valves; B: "Groene vlag" without valves; C: EasyFix).

Based on all available data, the following odour emissions were determined:

Floor	Odour emission [OU _E /s per animal place]					
			Both farms (n=2)			
	Farm	Farm location 2				
	location 1					
"Groene vlag" with valves	34.3	35.8	35.1			
"Groene vlag" without valves	60.3	32.7	46.5			
EasyFix	60.6	36.4	48.5			
Traditional wooden slatted floor	46.5	35.4	40.9			

Odour emissions from the traditional wooden slatted floor (on average 40.9 OU_E /s per animal place, corrected for the percentage of the days the rooms were occupied (93% for white veal calves; Groenestein and Aarnink, 2008)) were similar to the assigned emission factor of 35.6 OU_E /s per animal place (Staatscourant, 2013). These emissions are also similar to the emissions reported in Beurskens and HoI (2004): 41.8 OU_E /s per animal place.

Based on all available data, the following odour emission reductions (emission of the floor compared to the emission from the traditional wooden slatted floor as simultaneously measured at the same farm location) were determined:

Floor	Odour emissio	Odour emission reduction [% of traditional wooden slatted floor					
	i	average ± standard error of the means					
	Individua	l farms (n=6)	Both farms (n=12)				
	Farm	Farm location 2					
	location 1						
"Groene vlag" with valves	26.2 ± 24.8	-1.2 ± 17.3	12.5 ± 14.7				
"Groene vlag" without valves	-29.7 ± 18.8	7.6 ± 12.1	-11.1 ± 12.5				
EasyFix	-30.4 ± 25.1	-2.8 ± 14.9	-16.6 ± 14.8				
EasyFix	-30.4 ± 25.1	-2.8 ± 14.9	-16.6 ± 14.8				

Odour emissions from the floor "Groene vlag" with valves were 12.5% lower but differences were not significant (P-value: >0.10) when compared to the emission from the traditional floor measured simultaneously within the same farm (case-control approach; Figure 11a).

Odour emissions from the floor "Groene vlag" without valves were 11.1% higher but differences were not significant (P-value: >0.10) when compared to the emission from the traditional floor measured simultaneously within the same farm (case-control approach; Figure 11b).

Odour emissions from the floor "EasyFix" were 16.6% higher but differences were not significant (P-value>0.10) when compared to the emission from the traditional floor measured simultaneously within the same farm (case-control approach; Figure 11c).



Figure 11 Odour emission reduction (compared to the traditional wooden slatted floor) for both farm locations and rooms (A: "Groene vlag" with valves; B: "Groene vlag" without valves; C: EasyFix).

4 Conclusions

This rapport shows the results of measurements performed at two animal facilities for veal calves using the control-case approach, to determine the emission reduction of a number of floors compared to the traditional wooden slatted floor:

- "Groene Vlag" slatted floor
- "Groene Vlag" slatted floor with sealing valves in de slots
- Easyfix slatted floor

Based on all available data, the following emission reductions (emission of the floor compared to the emission from the traditional wooden slatted floor as simultaneously measured at the same farm location) were determined:

Floor _	average reduction± standard error of the means				
	Ammonia	Odour			
	(% reduction)	(% reduction)			
"Groene vlag" with valves	22.3 ± 5.5	12.5 ± 14.7			
"Groene vlag" without valves	5.5 ± 2.6	-11.1 ± 12.5			
EasyFix	-4.2 ± 2.9	-16.6 ± 14.8			

Ammonia emissions from the floor "Groene vlag" with valves were significantly lower (22.3% lower; P-value: 0.03), whereas measured means from the floors "Groene vlag" without valves (5.5% lower) and "EasyFix" (4.2% higher) were not significantly different (P-value: >0.10) when compared to the emission from the traditional floor measured simultaneously within the same farm (case-control approach).

Odour emissions from all measured floors ("Groene vlag" with valves, 12.5% lower ; "Groene vlag" without valves, 11.1% higher; "EasyFix", 16.6% higher) were not significantly different (P-value>0.10) when compared to the emission from the traditional floor measured simultaneously within the same farm (case-control approach).

References

- Beurskens, A.G.C., and J.M.G. Hol. 2004. Onderzoek naar de ammoniak- en geuremissie van stallen LXI. Stal voor vleeskalveren (witvlees productie). A&F Rapport 220.
- CEN standard 13725. 2003. Air quality determination of odour concentration by dynamic olfactometry, European Committee for Standardization, Brussels, Belgium.
- Groenestein, C.M. en A.J.A. Aarnink. 2008. Notitie over leegstand ten behoeve van het berekenen van een emissiefactor van een stal. Intern rapport 200808, Animal Science Group van Wageningen UR, Lelystad, The Netherlands.
- Hol, J.M.G., and C.M. Groenestein. 1997. Praktijkonderzoek naar de ammoniakemissie van stallen XXXI. Verschillende huisvestingssystemen voor vleeskalveren. DLO Rapport 97-1001.
- KWIN. 2014. Kwantitatieve Informatie Veehouderij 2014-2015. Wageningen UR Livestock Research, KWIN Handboek 28.
- Ogink, N.W.M., G. Mol. 2002. Uitwerking van een protocol voor het meten van de geuremissie uit stallocaties en stalsystemen in de veehouderij. IMAG nota P 2002-57, 31 pp.
- Ogink, N.W.M. 2011. Protocol voor meting van geuremissie uit huisvestingssystemen in de veehouderij 2010. Rapport 491, Wageningen UR Livestock, Lelystad, The Netherlands.
- Ogink, N.W.M., J. Mosquera en J.M.G. Hol. 2013. Protocol voor meting van ammoniakemissie uit huisvestingssystemen in de veehouderij 2013. Rapport 726, Wageningen UR Livestock, Lelystad, The Netherlands.
- Staatscourant. 2015. Wijziging van de Regeling ammoniak en veehouderij. Staatscourant 16866, 1 juli 2015.
- Staatscourant. 2013. Wijziging van de Regeling geurhinder en veehouderij. Staatscourant 35929, 31 december 2013.
- Wintjens, Y. 1993. Gaswasfles. In Meetmethoden NH₃-emissie uit stallen. Onderzoek inzake de mesten ammoniak- problematiek in de veehouderij 16 (eds E.N.J. van Ouwerkerk), pp. 38-40. DLO, Wageningen.

Appendix 1 Photos of the farm locations

Farm location 1



Positioning of the farm in the neighbourhood



	Ro	om 10				Room 9		
				Hallway				
Room 8	Room 7	Room 6	Room 5	Feed kitchen	Room 4	Room 3	Room 2	Room 1

Floor plan of the farm with the selected rooms. Room 1: "Groene vlag with valves"; Room 2: "Groene vlag"; Room 3: "EasyFix"; Room 4: traditional wooden slatted floor.



Floor plan of the measured rooms, including measurement points.



Inlet opening (outside the room)



Inlet opening (inside the room)



Ventilation fan



Feeding alley

Farm location 2



Positioning of the farm in the neighbourhood. Red: Measured building. Blue: New building



Hallway									
Room	Room	Room	Room	Office	Room	Room	Room	Room	
1	2	3	4		5	6	7	8	

Floor plan of the farm with the selected rooms. Room 2: "Groene vlag with valves"; Room 3: "Groene vlag"; Room 5: traditional wooden slatted floor; Room 6: "EasyFix".



Floor plan of the measured rooms, including measurement points.



Inlet opening (outside the room)



Ventilation fans



Inlet opening (inside the room)



Feeding alley

Appendix 2 Veterinary care

Farm location 1, production cycle 1

Floor	Traditional	Easyfix	Groene vlag	Groene vlag with valves
Number of animals	14	16	20	20
treated:				
Disease (calves	Peritonitis (5)	Coccidiosis (1)	diarrhoea (1)	Coccidiosis (1)
treated)	Intestinal cramps (3)	Intestinal cramps (1)	Joint and/or claw inflammation (1)	Intestinal cramps (2)
	Joint and/or claw inflammation (3)	diarrhoea (5)	Pulmonary disease (19)	Joint and/or claw inflammation (1)
	Pulmonary disease (13)	Pulmonary disease (13)		Pulmonary disease (16)
Used medicines	Bisolvon injection (1)	Albipen L.A. (1)	Bisolvon injection (1)	Amoxy+C Injection (1)
(calves treated)	DEPOCILLINE (8)	Bisolvon injection (1)	Buscopan comp injection (1)	DEPOCILLINE (5)
	DIATRIM 24% (12)	Buscopan comp injection (1)	DEPOCILLINE (6)	DIATRIM 24% (5)
	Halocur (2)	DEPOCILLINE (3)	DIATRIM 24% (9)	Melovem (16)
	Melovem (12)	DIATRIM 24% (11)	Halocur (2)	NUFLOR 250 ML (10)
	Micotil 300 injection (1)	Halocur (3)	Melovem (16)	
	NUFLOR 250 ML (10)	Melovem (9)	Micotil 300 injection (2)	
	Vecoxan (1)	Micotil 300 injection (1)	NUFLOR 250 ML (12)	
	Voreen Suspension (2)	NUFLOR 250 ML (7)	Voreen Suspension (1)	
		Voreen Suspension (1)		

Farm location 1, production cycle 2

Floor	Traditional	Easyfix	Groene vlag	Groene vlag with valves
Number of animals	18	22	18	15
treated:				
Disease (calves	Coccidiosis (2)	Coccidiosis (4)	Peritonitis (1)	Joint and/or claw inflammation (1)
treated)	Intestinal cramps (18)	Joint and/or claw inflammation (2)	Coccidiosis (1)	Pulmonary disease (14)
	diarrhoea (1)	Pulmonary disease (18)	Joint and/or claw inflammation (2)	
		diarrhoea (1)	Pulmonary disease (18)	
Used medicines	Colfen 300 mg/ml (2)	Colfen 300 mg/ml (11)	Colfen 300 mg/ml (9)	Bisolvon injection (1)
(calves treated)	DIATRIM 24% (1)	DEPOCILLINE (3)	DEPOCILLINE (1)	Colfen 300 mg/ml (5)
	Melovem (14)	DIATRIM 24% (1)	DIATRIM 24% (1)	Melovem (14)
	MICOTIL 300 injection (5)	Melovem (18)	Melovem (18)	MICOTIL 300 injection (4)
	Nuflor 250 ml (2)	MICOTIL 300 injection (5)	MICOTIL 300 injection (6)	Nuflor 250 ml (1)
	Oxy LA injection (10)	Nuflor 250 ml (1)	Nuflor 250 ml (4)	Oxy LA injection (1)
	TYLAN-200 Injection (1)	Oxy LA INJ (6)	Oxy LA INJ (8)	TYLAN-200 injection (3)
	Vecoxan (2)	TYLAN-200 injection (1)	TYLAN-200 injection (2)	Voreen suspension (1)
		Vecoxan (4)	Vecoxan (1)	

Farm location 2, production cycle 1

Floor	Traditional	Easyfix	Groene vlag	Groene vlag with valves
Number of animals	39	41	46	48
treated:				
Disease (calves	Pulmonary disease (36)	Pulmonary disease (37)	Pulmonary disease (46)	Pulmonary disease (47)
treated)	Intestinal cramps (8)	Intestinal cramps (6)	Intestinal cramps (3)	Joint and leg inflammations (1)
	Other inflammations (1)			Intestinal cramps (4)
Used medicines	AA Trim (2)	AA Trim (2)	AA Trim (1)	AA Trim (3)
(calves treated)	AMPI-JECT 15% (1)	Buscopan comp. Injection (2)	Buscopan comp. Injection (2)	AMPI-JECT 15% (1)
	Buscopan comp. Injection(4)	DEPOCILLINE (1)	Draxxin (1)	Buscopan comp. Injection (1)
	DEPOCILLINE (1)	DOFATRIM-JECT (2)	GENTAJECT 10 % (1)	DEPOCILLINE (1)
	DOFATRIM-JECT (3)	Draxxin (2)	NOVEM (23)	NOVEM (20)
	Draxxin (3)	NOVEM (22)	NUFLOR 100 ML (23)	NUFLOR 100 ML (19)
	NOVEM (19)	NUFLOR 100 ML (18)	Resflor (28)	Resflor (29)
	NUFLOR 100 ML (11)	Resflor (18)	Tilmodil (27)	Tilmodil (18)
	Resflor (27)	Tilmodil (11)	Voreen Suspension (12)	Voreen Suspension (5)
	Tilmodil (6)	Voreen Suspension (3)	Zactran (1)	Zactran (1)
	Voreen Suspension (5)	Zactran (1)		
	Zactran (2)			

Farm location 2, production cycle 2

Floor	Traditional	Easyfix	Groene vlag	Groene vlag with valves
Number of animals	28	54	18	15
treated:				
Disease (calves	Pulmonary disease (28)	Pulmonary disease (52)	Pulmonary disease (16)	Pulmonary disease (12)
treated)	Intestinal cramps (1)	Joint and/or leg inflammations (1)	Intestinal cramps (3)	Lower growth rate (1)Intestinal cramps
	Other inflammations (2)	Lower growth rate (4)Intestinal		(5)
		cramps (5)		Other inflammations (1)
		Other inflammations (2)		
Used medicines	Buscopan comp. Injection (1)	AA Trim (2)	Buscopan comp. Injection (3)	AA Trim (1)
(calves treated)	Dexaject (7)	Biodyl (4)	DEPOCILINE (1)	Biodyl (1)
	Milbosin (1)	Buscopan comp. Injection (2)	Dexaject (6)	Buscopan comp. Injection (4)
	NOVEM (6)	DEPOCILINE (1)	NOVEM (6)	DEPOCILINE (3)
	NUFLOR 100 ML (9)	Dexaject (32)	NUFLOR 100 ML (1)	Dexaject (3)
	Resflor (27)	NOVEM (18)	Resflor (14)	NOVEM (5)
	Vetrimoxin (1)	NUFLOR 100 ML (20)	Vetrimoxin (3)	NUFLOR 100 ML (4)
		Pyrogenium (1)		Resflor (9)
		Resflor (52)		vitamine B-COMPLEX pro injection (1)
		Vetrimoxin (12)		VITOL-JECT FORTE (1)
		VITOL-JECT FORTE (3)		Voreen Suspension (1)

To explore the potential of nature to improve the quality of life



Wageningen Livestock Research P.O. Box 338 6700 AH Wageningen The Netherlands T +31 (0)317 48 39 53 E info.livestockresearch@wur.nl www.wur.nl/livestock-research Wageningen Livestock Research creates science based solutions for a sustainable and profitable livestock sector. Together with our clients, we integrate scientific knowledge and practical experience to develop livestock concepts for future generations.

Wageningen Livestock Research is part of Wageningen University & Research. Together we work on the mission: 'To explore the potential of nature to improve the quality of life'. A staff of 6,500 and 10,000 students from over 100 countries are working worldwide in the domain of healthy food and living environment for governments and the business community-at-large. The strength of Wageningen University & Research lies in its ability to join the forces of specialised research institutes and the university. It also lies in the combined efforts of the various fields of natural and social sciences. This union of expertise leads to scientific breakthroughs that can quickly be put into practice and be incorporated into education. This is the Wageningen Approach.

