1	Impacts of Local Soil Moisture Anomalies on the Atmospheric Circulation
2	and on Remote Surface Meteorological Fields During Boreal Summer:
3	A Comprehensive Analysis over North America
4	Randal D Koster <sup>1</sup> Yehui Chang <sup>1,2</sup> Hailan Wang <sup>1,3</sup> and Siegfried D Schubert <sup>1</sup>
6	
7	<sup>1</sup> Global Modeling and Assimilation Office, NASA/GSFC, Greenbelt, Maryland
8	<sup>2</sup> Goddard Farth Sciences Technology and Research Morgan State University Baltimore
9	Maryland
10	<sup>3</sup> Science Systems and Applications, Inc., Lanham, Maryland
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	Address correspondence to:
20	Randal Koster
21	Global Modeling and Assimilation Office
22	Code 610.1, NASA/GSFC
23	Greenbelt, MD 20771
24	301-614-5781; randal.d.koster@nasa.gov
25	
26	
27	Submitted to: J. Climate
28	

#### Abstract

30

We perform a series of stationary wave model (SWM) experiments in which the boreal summer 31 atmosphere is forced, over a number of locations in the continental U.S., with an idealized 32 diabatic heating anomaly that mimics the atmospheric heating associated with a dry land surface. 33 For localized heating within a large portion of the continental interior, regardless of the specific 34 location of this heating, the spatial pattern of the forced atmospheric circulation anomaly (in 35 terms of 250-mb eddy streamfunction) is largely the same: a high anomaly forms over west-36 central North America and a low anomaly forms to the east. In supplemental atmospheric 37 general circulation model (AGCM) experiments, we find similar results; imposing soil moisture 38 dryness in the AGCM in different locations within the US interior tends to produce the 39 aforementioned pattern, along with an associated near-surface warming and precipitation deficit 40 in the center of the continent. The SWM-based and AGCM-based patterns generally agree with 41 composites generated using reanalysis and precipitation gauge data. The AGCM experiments 42 also suggest that dry anomalies imposed in the lower Mississippi Valley have remote surface 43 impacts of particularly large spatial extent, and a region along the eastern half of the US-Canada 44 border is particularly sensitive to dry anomalies in a number of remote areas. Overall, the SWM 45 and AGCM experiments support the idea of a positive feedback loop operating over the 46 continent: dry surface conditions in many interior locations lead to changes in atmospheric 47 circulation that act to enhance further the overall dryness of the continental interior. 48

# 49 **1. Introduction**

From a societal perspective, much of what characterizes the Earth's climate can be 50 related to atmospheric processes – the mean and variability of rainfall in a region, for example, 51 or the statistics of near-surface air temperature. The atmospheric component of climate, with its 52 winds, storms, clouds, and aerosols, along with myriads of other processes, is overwhelmingly 53 complex in and of itself. The full climate system, however, is made even more complex by the 54 interactions of the atmosphere with the system's other components, notably the ocean, the land, 55 and the cryosphere. The coupling of these different components allows, for example, the 56 development and maintenance of modes of behavior, such as the El Nino / Southern Oscillation 57 (ENSO) phenomenon, that otherwise would not exist. 58

While the coupling of the different climate components increases the overall complexity 59 of the system, it also has the beneficial impact of sometimes increasing climate predictability – 60 the ability to predict ahead of time, perhaps weeks to seasons in advance, a climatic variation 61 such as a regional drought. Atmospheric processes are strongly influenced by chaotic 62 atmospheric dynamics. Because of chaos in the atmosphere, forecasts relying solely on 63 atmospheric initialization cannot expect to have skill exceeding about two weeks. Time scales of 64 variation in the ocean, land, and sea ice, however, and of various coupled modes such as ENSO, 65 are much longer, and these longer timescales can translate, through coupling, to predictive skill 66 for various atmospheric quantities. Operational seasonal forecasting systems indeed rely on the 67 added predictability associated with the coupling of the atmosphere to slower components of the 68 system (NRC, 2010). 69

The coupling of the land to the atmosphere potentially allows the long time scales of soil moisture anomalies (weeks to months; Entin et al. 2000; Vinnikov and Yeserkepova 1991) and

snow anomalies (winter through the spring melt season) to contribute to atmospheric 72 predictability at these time scales. The idea is simple - if a soil moisture anomaly, for example, 73 is known at the start of a forecast, it can be predicted with some skill weeks to months into the 74 forecast due to the slow time scale over which it varies. If, weeks to months into the forecast, the 75 atmosphere in turn responds in a predictable way to the predicted soil moisture anomaly, then 76 some skill is imparted to atmospheric prediction at these leads. The impacts of soil moisture 77 variations on atmospheric variability have been studied extensively using both climate model 78 analyses (e.g., Delworth and Manabe 1989, Douville and Chauvin 2000, Koster et al. 2006, Guo 79 et al. 2006, Dirmeyer et al. 2013) and observational analyses (e.g., Betts and Ball 1995, Findell 80 and Eltahir 1997, Koster et al. 2003, Taylor et al. 2011). Positive impacts of realistic soil 81 moisture initialization on precipitation and air temperature forecast skill at monthly leads were 82 quantified across a broad range of forecasting systems in the Global Land-Atmosphere Coupling 83 Experiment, Phase 2 (Koster et al. 2011). An impact of snow initialization on forecast skill was 84 demonstrated by Peings et al. (2011) and Ambadan et al. (2015). 85

The present paper focuses specifically on soil moisture anomalies, their impacts on the 86 overlying atmosphere, and their associated impacts on remote precipitation and air temperature 87 fields. The physical mechanisms underlying these impacts are not fully known. A local effect 88 on the air temperature is straightforward; higher soil moisture levels can lead to increased 89 evapotranspiration, which in turn leads to increased evaporative cooling of the land surface and, 90 consequently, of the overlying air (Seneviratne et al. 2010). A local impact on precipitation can 91 also be envisioned: higher soil moistures can increase evapotranspiration and accordingly reduce 92 surface sensible heat flux, leading to a modification of the planetary boundary layer and thus to a 93 modification of conditions determining the onset of moist convection (Betts et al. 1994). To 94

what extent, however, can a soil moisture anomaly affect meteorological conditions in remote 95 locations? On this subject the literature is more limited. For such a remote impact to be 96 predictable – for information at the site of the soil moisture anomaly to be translated to the 97 remote region – atmospheric transports or the atmospheric circulation itself would need to be 98 modified in a predictable way. Douville et al. (2002) suggested that certain soil moisture 99 anomalies may help trigger stationary planetary waves over Europe that in turn may affect the 100 transport of dry air from midlatitudes to the tropics. Lau and Kim (2012) connected extreme 101 weather events in Russia and Pakistan through atmospheric patterns that were potentially 102 amplified by dry land conditions. Koster et al. (2014) showed that forcing an atmospheric 103 general circulation model (AGCM) with a soil moisture dipole over the continental US - wet 104 conditions in the Pacific Northwest and dry conditions in the southern Great Plains - leads to a 105 distinct planetary wave pattern that induces further drying and warming in the latter region. 106 Taylor et al. (2011) demonstrate the impact of surface moisture heterogeneity on the formation 107 of mesoscale circulations that promote storm formation over dry regions. It is worth noting that 108 the impact of other land surface anomalies on the atmospheric circulation and associated remote 109 impacts have also been examined; both Robock et al. (2003) and Cohen et al. (2014), for 110 example, examined the role of Siberian snow cover on the atmospheric circulation and the 111 associated potential for prediction, and Xue et al. (2012) examined the ability of subsurface soil 112 temperature anomalies in the western US to affect conditions in the eastern US via Rossby 113 waves. 114

<sup>115</sup> In the present paper we expand on the findings of Koster et al. (2014) through a more <sup>116</sup> comprehensive analysis of the impacts of North American soil moisture anomalies on the <sup>117</sup> overlying atmospheric circulation and remote meteorological variables, with a focus on boreal

summer. Results are shown in the order of the complexity of the models used to produce them. 118 That is, after describing the models and the datasets examined (section 2), we first show a set of 119 results (section 3a) obtained by forcing a relatively simple stationary wave model (SWM) with 120 an idealized heating anomaly that mimics the direct effect of surface drying. The SWM results 121 have the advantage of demonstrating clearly one of our main findings, namely, that the 122 atmospheric circulation responds in a similar way to heating anomalies imposed in very different 123 locations. The SWM results, of course, also have the disadvantage of lacking physical processes 124 and hence the physical complexities of a full AGCM; our second set of results (section 3b) are 125 therefore obtained with a full suite of corresponding AGCM experiments. The AGCM results 126 support the aforementioned main finding, though with the expected increase in noise and with 127 added nuances in the patterns produced. The AGCM experiments also provide information on 128 remote precipitation and air temperature impacts. We then examine available observations-based 129 data (section 3c) and show that they in turn provide support for the SWM and AGCM findings. 130 We conclude (section 3d) with supplemental analyses of the AGCM data that illustrate how the 131 strength of soil moisture-meteorology teleconnections may vary in space. 132

Our AGCM analysis, by the way, utilizes large ensembles (~100-200 members), a reflection of the subtlety of the signals we seek here. We are, in effect, seeking the net impact (e.g., on mean states) of shifts in the probability density functions of various quantities rather than more deterministic relationships that would necessarily lead to a first-order improvement in predictions. This caveat should be kept in mind when evaluating the analyses that follow.

138

# 139 **2. Models and Datasets Used**

# 141 a. Stationary Wave Model (SWM)

142	The nonlinear and time-dependent stationary wave model (SWM) used in this study is
143	based on the three-dimensional primitive equations in $\sigma$ coordinates. All of the basic variables in
144	the model are deviations from a prescribed zonal mean or 3-D climatological flow. The basic
145	prognostic equations are those for perturbation vorticity, divergence, temperature, and the
146	logarithm of surface pressure. The model-generated transient disturbances are suppressed by
147	applying strong damping. The stationary wave solution is obtained by integrating the model to a
148	quasi-steady state after a short period of time.
149	The model has rhomboidal wavenumber-30 (R30) truncation in the horizontal and 14
150	unevenly spaced $\sigma$ levels in the vertical. While the R30 resolution (roughly 2.25° latitude $\times$
151	3.75° longitude) is coarser than that of the AGCM described below (roughly 1° resolution), we
152	nevertheless expect the AGCM and SWM results to be directly comparable. This is because,
153	relative to AGCM results, SWM results are not as affected by resolution. Much of the
154	resolution-dependent behavior in an AGCM stems from its use of model physical
155	parameterizations to produce stationary wave forcings such as diabatic heating. In contrast,
156	diabatic heating in the SWM is prescribed and is thus not resolution-dependent. Output from our
157	R30 SWM experiments and that from SWM experiments at roughly 1° resolution should be
158	similar.

The SWM has been shown to be a valuable tool for diagnosing the maintenance of the climatological atmospheric circulation and its anomalies (in terms of magnitude and pattern) as

well as for investigating dynamics of stationary waves (e.g., Ting et al. 2001; Held et al. 2002; Schubert et al. 2011). See Ting and Yu (1998) for more details on the SWM. 162

163

#### b. Atmospheric General Circulation Model (AGCM) 164

The simulations examined here were performed with the GEOS-5 (Global Earth 165 Observing System, Version 5) atmospheric general circulation model (AGCM), a component of 166 the state-of-the-art modeling system maintained by the Global Modeling and Assimilation Office 167 (GMAO) of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration's Goddard Space Flight Center 168 (NASA/GSFC). The version of the GEOS-5 AGCM used here is run on a cubed-sphere grid 169 (Putman and Lin 2007). As is standard for this kind of system, unresolvable physical processes 170 are parameterized; GEOS-5 uses the approaches of Moorthi and Suarez (1992) for convection, 171 Bacmeister et al. (2006) for prognostic cloud cover, Chou and Suarez (1994) and Chou 172 (1990,1992) for longwave and shortwave radiation processes, Lock et al. (2000) for turbulence 173 near the surface, and Helfand and Schubert (1995) for the surface layer (though over land the 174 surface layer includes a viscous sublayer). Land surface processes are simulated with the 175 Catchment land surface model (Koster et al. 2000), a model that simulates explicitly the impact 176 of topography-driven subgrid soil moisture variability on the surface energy and water balances. 177

As with all AGCMs, the GEOS-5 AGCM has biases in its simulated climate. 178 Nevertheless, the AGCM is well-vetted. For the past several years, short-term weather forecasts 179 produced by the model have been analyzed extensively by GMAO personnel every week to 180 maintain confidence in model performance and to determine and correct deficiencies; this 181 process ensures the continued maintenance of a state-of-the-art system. The AGCM has been 182

deemed suitable for use in GMAO operations, serving, for example, as the model component of 183 the MERRA and MERRA-2 reanalyses (Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and 184 Applications; Rienecker et al. 2011; Bosilovich et al. 2016) and, when coupled to an ocean 185 model, as the basis for GMAO seasonal forecasts (Ham et al. 2014). The GEOS-5 AGCM has 186 been used extensively to study the mechanisms underlying climate variability (Schubert et al. 187 2014, Koster et al. 2015, Wang et al. 2016). Molod et al. (2012) document the performance 188 (including biases) of the free-running model, and Gelaro et al. (2014) and Bosilovich et al. 189 (2016) show, respectively, how the model behaves at very high resolution and within an analysis 190 system. 191

192

#### 193 c. Observations-Based Data

The SWM and AGCM simulations produce streamfunction fields, and the AGCM also produces near-surface air temperatures and precipitation rates. To evaluate the realism of these model results, we interpret them in the context of available observations-based data.

We use reanalysis data to provide observations-based information on soil moisture, 197 streamfunction, and near-surface air temperature. In the MERRA-2 reanalysis (Bosilovich et al. 198 2016), the land surface is forced with precipitation rates that are corrected with gauge-based 199 precipitation observations (Reichle and Liu 2014). As a result, soil moisture contents in the 200 MERRA-2 reanalysis evolve realistically, attaining the level of accuracy seen in traditional Land 201 Data Assimilation System, or LDAS, operational products (e.g., Xia et al 2014). Note that 202 because we utilize MERRA-2 root zone soil moistures only to determine periods for which the 203 real world experienced dry land surface conditions, their level of accuracy should be acceptable; 204

while the products of different LDAS systems do show differences, they nevertheless tend to be
highly consistent in their identification of extreme dry periods (e.g., Koster et al. 2009, Wang et
al. 2009).

We could also use MERRA-2 to provide the observations-based streamfunction and air 208 temperature data we need, but we choose instead to extract these data from the European Centre 209 for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) ERA-Interim reanalysis (Dee et al. 2011). 210 This choice is driven by the fact that the AGCM experiments described below and the MERRA-211 2 reanalysis utilize the same atmospheric model, which could potentially promote similar biases 212 in both sets of data. ERA-Interim provides observations-based data that are inherently more 213 independent. (As it turns out, the use of MERRA-2 produces essentially the same results [not 214 shown].) In addition, the near-surface air temperatures produced by ERA-Interim are known to 215 be of high quality (Simmons et al. 2010), probably in part because ERA-Interim uses station 216 measurements of air temperature to update soil temperatures. 217 For precipitation, we use the observations-corrected precipitation output from MERRA-2. 218

Because we only look at precipitation totals for 10-day periods in June and July, the use of the
 observations-corrected MERRA-2 data is equivalent to using, over land, precipitation data from

the Climate Prediction Center (CPC) Unified Gauge-Based Analysis of Global Daily

Precipitation (CPCU; <u>ftp://ftp.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/precip/CPC\_UNI\_PRCP/GAUGE\_GLB/</u>)

database and, over the ocean, from a mix of data from the Global Precipitation Climatology

Project (GPCP, Adler et al. 2003, Huffman et al. 2009) and the CPC Merged Analysis of

- Precipitation (CMAP; <u>ftp://ftp.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/precip/cmap/</u>). In essence, then, we compare
- <sup>226</sup> our AGCM precipitation results over land directly to rain gauge measurements. See Reichle and

Liu (2014) for a description of how the different observational precipitation datasets were
 incorporated into the MERRA-2 data.

229

# **3. Experiments and Results**

231

# a. SWM Responses to Idealized Diabatic Heating Anomalies

In nature, a drier-than-average surface state (i.e., low soil moisture) can affect diabatic heating in the overlying atmosphere through its impact on the surface energy balance – the dry conditions lead to an anomalously high sensible heat flux from the surface and thus to increased near-surface heating in the immediate area of the anomaly. When, in contrast, the surface is anomalously wet and latent heat flux dominates, the associated anomalous diabatic heating may appear geographically far away, depending on where that excess evaporated moisture eventually condenses.

To represent the immediate atmospheric impact of a dry surface anomaly and the 240 associated local increase in sensible heat flux, we impose in the SWM, over a selected area of 241 dryness, an idealized diabatic heating anomaly with the vertical profile shown in Figure 1a. The 242 areal extent of the imposed diabatic heating anomaly is given horizontal half-widths of 5° 243 longitude and 5° latitude, as indicated in Figure 1b for one of the experiments. This vertical 244 distribution and spatial extent is consistent with the structures of the diabatic heating anomalies 245 produced in the AGCM experiments described in section 3b below. To illustrate this, the 246 vertical and spatial (zonal) structure of diabatic heating produced in a representative AGCM 247 experiment (specifically, AGCM-L, to be defined below) is illustrated in Figure 1c. 248

In a standard experiment, the anomalous heating is imposed throughout the model integration. The mean basic state used for the SWM is the 3-D June-July averaged climatological (1980-2010) basic flow taken from the GEOS-5 AGCM. The SWM reaches a steady state at about day 25, meaning that results from any day thereafter would represent the steady-state model response. Here we present the model eddy streamfunction solution at day 50.

We use this approach in a series of 21 experiments with the SWM, with each experiment assuming a different assumed area of dryness and thus a different geographical placement of the idealized anomaly shown in Figure 1. Figure 2 shows the 21 geographical areas considered. In a given experiment, the imposed idealized anomalous diabatic heating was centered as close as possible (given the grid resolution of the SWM) to the central longitude and latitude of that experiment's indicated area. The 21 SWM experiments are hereafter labeled SWM-A through SWM-U.

Figure 3 shows the response of the 250-mb eddy streamfunction field to the imposed 261 heating anomaly in each experiment. Notice the similarity in the responses. In almost all of the 262 experiments, a high anomaly is seen in the west-central part of the northern half of the continent, 263 and in most of the experiments, a low anomaly is seen further to the east. The eddy 264 streamfunction patterns generally look very similar in their placement, though the magnitudes of 265 the responses are substantially reduced when the diabatic heat source is placed on the western 266 coast, and the patterns do change when it is placed toward the eastern coast. Certainly within the 267 continental interior, different placements of the heat source promote a common atmospheric 268 circulation response, with the maximum and minimum of the streamfunction field not simply 269 shifting laterally with the heat source. 270

271	We choose the following approach to characterize the degree of similarity amongst the
272	responses. We first average the 21 streamfunction anomaly fields in Figure 3 into a single field
273	and then compute the square of the spatial correlation, $r^2$ , between each experiment's 250-mb
274	streamfunction anomaly field and this average field over the North American area shown. The
275	heavy dashed lines in Figure 4a enclose the nine experiments for which this $r^2$ is at least 0.65,
276	i.e., for which the average field over the 21 experiments explains about 2/3 or more of the spatial
277	variance of a given experiment's field. Figure 4b then presents the results of a second averaging
278	exercise; it shows the results of averaging the 250-mb streamfunction anomaly fields over the
279	nine experiments indicated in Figure 4a.

A comparison of the individual streamfunction anomaly fields in Figure 3 with the pattern in Figure 4b shows that this pattern is indeed a first-order representation of the SWM results for these nine experiments. This point is underlined by Figure 4c, which shows the square of the correlation coefficient between each experiment's streamfunction anomaly field and the 9-experiment average in Figure 4b. Each of the 9 experiments focusing on heating within the delimited area produces a streamfunction field in strong agreement with the 9experiment average, with  $r^2$  values upward of 0.7.

There are presumably many ways to characterize similarity in experiment results. Based on the approach used here, we make the claim that the response of the 250-mb streamfunction field to diabatic heating anywhere within the region delimited in Figure 4a is similar in pattern – regardless of where surface heating is located in this region, the atmospheric circulation responds in much the same way. Much of our later analyses will focus on this interior region.

292

### b. AGCM Responses to Imposed Land Surface Dryness

The next step in our analysis is to determine if the basic pattern (Figure 4b) found by the SWM for the interior region in Figure 4a is also found within a full suite of AGCM experiments. We describe the AGCM experiments below.

297

(i) Control Ensemble. The control for our experiments consists of an ensemble of 768 4-month 298 simulations with the atmosphere and land components of GEOS-5. This decidedly large number 299 of ensemble members helps ensure accurate statistics. Initialization followed the strategy 300 employed by Koster et al. (2014): each simulation was initialized on 1 April 2012, with initial 301 atmosphere and land conditions taken from different years of the MERRA reanalysis, and with 302 the initial atmospheric conditions perturbed slightly to produce multiple ensemble members for 303 each MERRA year. Sea surface temperatures for 2012 (a year for which the real world 304 experienced warming in the Great Plains of the US) were prescribed using Atmospheric Model 305 Intercomparison Study (AMIP)-style protocols (Gates 1992). Each simulation was performed on 306 a C90 cubed-sphere grid (equivalent to 1°×1° resolution), and output from each simulation was 307 subsequently written out onto a  $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$  latitude-longitude grid for analysis. 308

309

*(ii) Experiment Ensembles.* A total of 21 experiments were performed, each experiment
consisting of an ensemble of either 96 or 192 simulations that differed from the control only in
the prescribed drying of a selected land area. The drying of the selected area proceeded as
follows. During every time step from 1 April to 30 June, any precipitation produced over the
selected area by the AGCM was artificially zeroed before it reached the land surface, thereby

allowing soil moistures in the land model there to evolve to levels corresponding to a 3-month
dry period. The artificial zeroing of the precipitation ceased on 1 July, so that during the month
of July, soil moistures were allowed to recover. The zeroing of the precipitation during 1 April 30 June was only at the surface; the AGCM's atmospheric vapor and temperature fields, having
been modified by condensation processes in the production of precipitation, were not artificially
reset to their pre-rainfall values.

The 21 areas considered in the AGCM experiments mimic those used for the SWM 321 experiments (see Figure 2), and we will thus refer to the AGCM experiments as AGCM-A 322 through AGCM-U. The regions examined with the AGCM are, in fact, slightly smaller, an 323 artifact of mapping issues associated with our use of a "cube grid" for the AGCM, a grid that 324 does not translate exactly to a latitude-longitude grid. The areas, each roughly of size  $7^{\circ} \times 7^{\circ}$ , 325 essentially span the continental US. While the individual areas are somewhat smaller than the 326 two examined by Koster et al. (2014), dryness anomalies within them are still able to affect the 327 AGCM's overlying circulation, as will be shown later. The number of ensemble members 328 comprising each experiment is listed in parentheses below the experiment identifier in Figure 2. 329

As in the SWM analysis, experiment results relative to the control are analyzed for the 330 period 1 June - July 31, a time when land surface impacts on the atmosphere are expected to be 331 large due to high surface turbulent fluxes. (We indeed expect land impacts to be reduced during 332 fall through spring, when the turbulent fluxes are reduced due to reduced solar forcing.) In the 333 context of the experimental design, the period examined corresponds to the final month of an 334 extreme 3-month meteorological drought (June) and the first recovery month thereafter (July). 335 Note that while a precipitation deficit was not imposed in the specified area during July, 336 persistence ensured that soil moisture levels there during July remained significantly low. This 337

is illustrated in Figure 5a, which shows the percentile, based on the statistics of the control 338 ensemble, of average July root zone soil moisture content produced by the experiments at each 339 grid cell. The map is in fact a composite of results from the different experiments; percentiles 340 shown within a given area are from the experiment that utilized that area. (High values along 341 some edges, by the way, reflect the aforementioned slight inconsistency between the cube grid 342 and a regular latitude-longitude grid.) July soil moistures tend to lie below the 5<sup>th</sup> percentile, 343 indicating that soil moistures in the prescribed dryness regions, forced to be dry in June, do 344 indeed remain dry through July. Deserts are an obvious exception, with the percentiles within 345 Region P in particular sometimes strongly (and surprisingly) exceeding 50%; note, however, that 346 these regions feature a very small range of soil moisture in the control, with all control values at 347 the very dry end. The high percentiles in Region P are presumably artifacts, and the high values 348 notwithstanding, July soil moistures in Region P for Experiment P are themselves very low. 349

This last point is emphasized in Figure 5b, which shows the difference in the total 350 evaporation for June and July between each experiment and the control. As in Figure 5a, the 351 map in Figure 5b is a composite; results plotted within a given region are for the experiment 352 focusing on that region (and indeed are derived, for this plot, from the first 96 ensemble 353 members of the experiment). July evaporation rates from Region P in experiment AGCM-P are 354 not significantly increased, despite the percentiles shown for the region in Figure 5a. More 355 broadly, Figure 5b is a useful reference because it indicates the relative strengths of the local 356 diabatic heating anomaly effectively imposed in the different AGCM experiments, given the 357 strong tie between evaporation and sensible heat flux. Whereas the SWM experiments imposed 358 the same diabatic heating anomaly in the different regions, Figure 5b shows that the effective 359 strengths of these anomalies in the AGCM experiments varied across the country, with low 360

values in the western US and northeastern US (e.g., in the neighborhood of the Great Lakes) and
 with higher values toward the southeast.

363

(iii) Basic Results. Figure 6 shows the responses of the 250-mb streamfunction, precipitation, 364 and 2-m air temperature fields to the imposed dryness in Region S in Figure 2. (That is, the 365 figure shows the difference between the mean fields for Experiment AGCM-S and the 366 corresponding mean fields for the control.) In the figure, the solid lines, dashed lines, and heavy 367 white lines correspond respectively to differences that are significantly different from zero at the 368 95%, 99%, and 99.9% confidence levels, as determined by a t-test. Clearly seen in Figure 6a is 369 an induced wave pattern in the streamfunction difference field, with a high centered just to the 370 north of the dry area, a low further to the northeast, and another high even further to the 371 northeast, covering the south of Greenland. This wave pattern is clearly evident in the 2-m 372 temperature difference field (Figure 6c) and is also suggested in the precipitation difference field 373 (Figure 6b). In the particularly affected areas to the north and east of Region S, precipitation is 374 reduced by as much as 0.4 mm/day, and temperature is increased by as much as 1K – large 375 differences given the 2-month averaging period. It is worth emphasizing here that, given the 376 design of the experiment, the responses seen in Figure 6 stem solely from localized soil moisture 377 drying in the AGCM – the imposed soil moisture anomalies are indeed having an impact on the 378 atmospheric circulation and on remote near-surface meteorology. 379

Results for all experiments are summarized in Figures 7, 8, and 9. Figure 7 shows the full streamfunction anomalies induced by imposed dryness in the different areas. The anomaly patterns naturally vary among the experiments, far more than they do among the corresponding SWM experiments. This is fully expected given the relative complexity of the AGCM. The

differences between the SWM and AGCM experiments in their atmospheric circulation 384 anomalies can be traced to their differences in stationary wave forcing anomalies. Relative to our 385 SWM experiments, which utilize simple, localized diabatic heating anomalies (Figure 1), the 386 AGCM experiments produce more realistic diabatic heating anomalies that vary regionally, and 387 they also (unlike the particular SWM experiments we performed) account for anomalies in 388 transient flux convergences. In addition, unlike the deterministic SWM results, the AGCM 389 results are affected by chaotic atmospheric dynamics, necessitating an averaging over a large 390 number of ensemble members, and even with this averaging, sampling error still has some 391 impact on the AGCM results. Even so, the streamfunction anomalies produced in corresponding 392 AGCM and SWM experiments do agree, at least to first order. Notice, for example, that 393 experiments SWM-F and AGCM-F both produce a similar swath of high values across southern 394 Canada, and experiments SWM-O and AGCM-O both show that dryness on the far east coast 395 locates the high positive streamfunction values relatively far to the east. While the patterns 396 produced in experiments SWM-P and AGCM-P and in SWM-Q and AGCM-Q differ 397 significantly, this difference can be traced to the fact that in the AGCM, the zeroing of the 398 rainfall in these regions did not lead to a large reduction in surface latent heat flux (and thus to a 399 large increase in the heating of the overlying air through enhanced surface sensible heat flux), 400 since soil moistures in the control simulations were already dry in these regions during the 401 months considered (see Figure 5b). The SWM and AGCM experiments were thus fundamentally 402 different for both of these regions. 403

<sup>404</sup> Consider now the experiments for which dryness is imposed within the area demarcated <sup>405</sup> in Figure 4a (AGCM-B,C,D,K,L,M,R,S,T; the associated experimental results are enclosed <sup>406</sup> within the red solid line in Figure 7). An interesting feature seen for all of these experiments is

the production of a high (with experiment-dependent magnitude) over the western-central US 407 and the corresponding production of a low that straddles the northeastern coast. Though the 408 details differ (again, the AGCM is much more complex than the SWM, and the AGCM results 409 shown here are affected somewhat by sampling), the basic first-order pattern produced by an 410 imposed land surface dryness in the interior region is quite similar to that found for the SWM. 411 (This will be demonstrated further in section 3c below; averaging the precipitation changes 412 produced by the AGCM experiments that focus on the demarcated interior region produces a 413 field very similar to that shown in Figure 4b for the SWM experiments.) In other words, the 414 AGCM results support, certainly to first order, the idea that dry conditions anywhere within the 415 demarcated interior region produce a common atmospheric circulation response. 416

Beyond providing information on atmospheric circulation, the AGCM experiments are 417 particularly interesting because they provide information on precipitation and near-surface air 418 temperature response to the imposed dryness anomalies – they provide, in particular, some 419 indication of how surface dryness can affect remote near-surface meteorology. Such remote 420 impacts are examined further in section 3d. For now, consider Figure 8, which shows the 421 precipitation anomalies produced in the different AGCM experiments. To some degree, several 422 of the experiments in the demarcated interior continental region produce similar precipitation 423 reductions in southern Canada and in the eastern half of the US, down to the Gulf of Mexico 424 (with details, of course, differing with experiment). Some similarities in precipitation response 425 would indeed be expected from the aforementioned similar response in the streamfunction field, 426 given that specific changes in large-scale atmospheric circulation can induce specific changes in 427 precipitation. 428

Figure 9 shows the 2-meter air temperature anomalies produced in the AGCM 429 experiments. With a few exceptions (namely, I, J, P, and Q – desert regions, for which the 430 zeroing of precipitation did not strongly affect the soil moisture), the impact of the imposed 431 drying is locally very strong, as might be expected given the associated reduced level of local 432 evaporative cooling (Figure 5b). Many experiments, however, show in addition a remote air 433 temperature impact. Several of the experiments focusing on dryness in the demarcated region in 434 Figure 4a show warming along a swath extending from north-central North America to the Gulf 435 of Mexico, a similarity that may stem from the aforementioned similarity in the streamfunction 436 response. 437

438

#### 439 c. Signals Inherent in Observations-Based Data

The results in section 3b relate to the AGCM's inherent climate. While the hope is that 440 they also represent nature, this is, of course, far from guaranteed. Unfortunately, verifying 441 definitively our results with observations is made impossible by the limited extent of available 442 observations-based data. To a large extent the shifts examined in the experiments above are 443 subtle; given the large number of simulations constituting our ensembles, we are effectively 444 examining here the net impact of shifts in probability distribution functions (PDFs). Reanalyses, 445 which typically cover less than 40 years, are inadequate for a proper analysis of PDF shifts. 446 Another key difficulty is the fact that when soil moisture in nature is dry in, say, region K of 447 Figure 2, it also tends to be anomalously dry elsewhere (say, in region L and M), complicating 448 tremendously the isolation of specific impacts associated with region K's dryness. 449

The analyses above, however, suggest a way of addressing at least the second difficulty. 450 Despite the spatial shifts in the local diabatic heating induced by the different dryness regions in 451 Figure 2, the atmosphere in the different SWM and AGCM experiments responds in a similar 452 way – dry conditions (or diabatic atmospheric heating associated with dry conditions) in the 453 interior of the US tend to produce a similar streamfunction anomaly pattern. A possible 454 inference from this result is that in the complex set of available observations, the key feature to 455 look for is not a soil moisture deficit in a specific region but an average dryness in a large 456 continental region (namely, that delimited in Figure 4a), without regard to the spatial distribution 457 of this dryness – where it is maximized, where it is small, etc. If the SWM and AGCM results 458 are valid, then the atmosphere should tend to show the same response to overall continental 459 dryness regardless of the specific spatial pattern. 460

With this in mind, we now analyze observations-based 250-mb stream function,
precipitation, and air temperature fields associated with continental-scale antecedent land surface
dryness.

464

(i) Compositing strategy. We use a compositing approach to draw out signals, if they exist, in 465 the observations-based data. Within each year of 1980-2014, we consider six 10-day periods: 466 June 1-10, June 11-20, June 21-30, July 1-10, July 11-20, and July 21-30, amounting to a total of 467 210 10-day periods. We tie to each period an antecedent soil moisture index in the following 468 way. First, we standardize, on a daily basis, the MERRA-2 root zone soil moisture within each 469 grid cell of the interior region demarcated in Figure 4a. Then, for the 10-day period in question, 470 we identify the date falling fifteen days prior to the start of the period and compute, for that day, 471 the average of the standardized soil moisture values across the region. A large negative value for 472

this average implies that, on average, the large-scale continental region was generally very dry
15 days prior to the period in question. (See section 2c for a discussion of the realism of
MERRA-2 soil moisture values.) We use soil moistures at a 15-day lead rather than concurrent
soil moistures to help ensure that the soil moisture signals on which we base our composites are
not simply a passive reflection of the meteorology we are examining. Fifteen days lies above the
typical time scales of planetary wave development and maintenance in response to local heating
anomalies.

Our composites are based on the driest 10% of antecedent average soil moisture values.
 From the 210 June-July decads examined, we thus compute the average 250-mb stream function,
 precipitation, and air temperature anomaly fields over the 21 driest cases.

483

*(ii) Results.* Figure 10a, 10b, and 10c show respectively the resulting composites of 250-mb
streamfunction (from ERA-Interim), precipitation (from CPCU, via MERRA-2), and 2-m air
temperature (from ERA-Interim). The composited full streamfunction field shows a high along
the U.S.-Canada border in the central part of the continent. The composited precipitation field
shows a reduction of precipitation along the eastern border of the demarcated interior region
along with some increases in precipitation in various surrounding areas, and the composited
temperature field shows a positive temperature anomaly that spans much of the interior region.

For comparison, Figure 10d shows the corresponding streamfunction anomaly field from
 the SWM experiments – it shows the sum of the fields obtained in the experiments imposing
 diabatic heating anomalies within the indicated region. The positioning of the positive
 streamfunction lobe from the observational composite agrees to first order with that in the SWM

results. While the observational composite is largely missing the negative lobe in the east, the square of the spatial correlation coefficient between the patterns in Figures 10a and 10d over the full area of each panel is reasonably high ( $r^2=0.36$ ).

Finally, Figures 10e, 10f, and 10g show the corresponding fields derived from the AGCM 498 experiments. To construct the figures, we simply add together the June-July anomalies of the 499 experiments corresponding to the areas delimited by the heavy black lines, making the 500 assumption (as we did above for the SWM) that, in the AGCM, the effects of the different 501 dryness areas on continental meteorology combine linearly. (This assumption is strongly 502 supported by the results of Koster et al. [2014]; see their Figure 5.) In comparing the 503 observational composites and the AGCM results, the first thing to note is the difference in scale. 504 This said, notice that the *patterns* inherent in the observational composites and the AGCM 505 results are again similar. Only slight spatial shifts, for example, are seen in the positions of the 506 large precipitation and air temperature anomalies. 507

We emphasize here that these results do not prove that the SWM and AGCM experiments 508 properly represent nature. Again, the experiments address the net impact of shifts in the 509 underlying PDFs of the meteorological fields, shifts that cannot be captured by the limited 510 observational record. That is, even if the model results represented nature perfectly (which, 511 given their inherent biases, they presumably do not), we would still expect to see differences 512 between the observational and model results. Nevertheless, the comparisons in Figure 10 are 513 promising; they show that the experimental results are at least consistent with available 514 observations. 515

516

### d. Additional Findings Regarding Remote Land Surface Impacts

The similarity in the pattern of the atmospheric response to dryness in different parts of the North American continent is the main result of this study. Nevertheless, the AGCM experiments hold a wealth of information that can be tapped for further understanding of landatmosphere interactions. Here, we distill the extensive amount of information in Figures 7-9 into two simple aggregate quantities, quantities that together characterize the first-order impact of soil moisture anomalies on meteorological variables in remote locations and how this impact varies with location.

The first aggregate quantity, the "impact extent", measures the spatial extent of the 525 impact of each imposed dryness region in Figure 2. While it is related in concept to more 526 sophisticated diagnostics described in the literature for characterizing the remote reach of 527 localized anomalies (e.g., the climate sensitivity maps constructed by Barsugli et al. (2006) from 528 the results of SST warm patch experiments), the diagnostic we use here is more limited, focusing 529 only on the spatial extent of this reach rather than on the amplitude of the response. Our quantity 530 is computed as follows. For a given experiment, and for a given meteorological variable 531 (precipitation or air temperature), we count the number of  $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$  grid cells in North America (at 532 least the part of North America shown in Figure 11) for which the change in the meteorological 533 variable determined for the experiment was significantly different from zero at the 99% 534 confidence level or higher, according to a t-test. This number, which indeed reflects the area 535 within the dashed contours in Figures 8 and 9, is then plotted in Figure 11 - the number 536 computed for a given experiment is plotted within the corresponding imposed dryness area for 537 that experiment. 538

Figure 11a shows that for precipitation, the impact extent is highest for the dryness 539 regions encompassing the Gulf Coast and parts of the Mississippi Valley (regions M, N, S, T). 540 When these areas are forced to be dry, the remote (plus local) impacts of the dryness are felt 541 across a relatively large area. High values also appear in an area around Montana (regions B and 542 C). Values along either coast are relatively small, meaning that when these areas are dry, the 543 spatial extent of the resulting impact on precipitation is slight. In fact, as might be expected, the 544 impact extent varies to some degree with the impact of the drying on the June-July evaporation 545 rates (Figure 5b). (Note that a 99% confidence level suggests that roughly 1%, or 36, of the 546 3621 grid cells considered here will be falsely labeled significant. Values below 48 in the plots 547 are shaded gray, suggesting little or no impact.) 548

For air temperature (Figure 11b), region S has the greatest impact extent, followed by region B. Aside from region O, dryness regions along either coast again have minimal or no impact. Curiously, for some experiments, spatial impacts on air temperature appear smaller than those on precipitation, despite the fact that for air temperature, a local impact at the very least is almost guaranteed due to a reduction in local evaporative cooling.

The second aggregate quantity, the "sensitivity to remote dryness", measures the degree 554 to which a given grid cell is affected by soil moisture anomalies in different regions. This 555 sensitivity is computed at each grid cell as the number of different experiments for which a 556 meteorological quantity (precipitation or air temperature) at the grid cell was modified at a 557 significance level of 99% or higher, according to a t-test. Figure 12a shows the spatial 558 distribution of the sensitivity for precipitation. Notice that 4 to 6 distinct dryness areas are able 559 to affect the June-July precipitation in various grid cells along the eastern half of the US-Canada 560 border; by this measure, these grid cells are particularly susceptible to remote effects. In general, 561

the eastern half of the US is more sensitive to US soil moisture dryness than the western half. The corresponding plot for air temperature (Figure 12b) also shows an east-west contrast but locates the region of highest "sensitivity to remote dryness" along the southeastern coast. Of course, it is important to keep in mind that the patterns in Figure 12 are determined, to some degree, by the distribution of dryness regions in Figure 2. If we had examined additional experiments with imposed dryness in Canada and Mexico, the patterns in Figure 12 would presumably be modified, particularly in or near these outside regions.

The quantities plotted in Figures 11 and 12 are, in some ways, converses of each other; Figure 11 in essence shows the strength (local plus remote) of a "source" of meteorological anomalies, and Figure 12 shows, in a way, the strength of their "sink". The locations of the sources and sinks are different, underlining the geographical connections that exist between land areas through the overlying circulation and its sensitivity to surface processes.

574

#### **4. Summary and Discussion**

The simulations with the stationary wave model (SWM) suggest that when a diabatic 576 heating anomaly is imposed in the interior of the continental US (anywhere within the delimited 577 area in Figure 4a) during boreal summer, the atmospheric circulation responds in a very similar 578 way: it produces a positive eddy streamfunction anomaly in the west-central part of the 579 continent and a negative anomaly to the east. This basic response is also seen, at least to first 580 order, in AGCM experiments when soil moisture contents in different parts of the continental 581 interior are forced to be dry. The AGCM experiments further allow us to identify impacts of the 582 imposed soil moisture dryness on remote near-surface meteorological fields. As indicated by the 583

averages in the right-hand column of Figure 10, dry soil moistures in the interior continental
region tend to produce warm conditions within the region (Figure 10g) and reduced rainfall a
little to the east (Figure 10f). Observational composites (Figures 10a-c) are consistent, again to
first order, with the modeled impacts. (It is worth emphasizing again that all of these results are
for June-July only; land dryness impacts may be reduced during non-summer months due to
reductions in surface turbulent fluxes associated with reduced solar forcing.)

The overall behavior established in our experiments is strongly suggestive of a positive 590 feedback loop, as captured in the schematic in Figure 13: dry conditions within the continental 591 interior lead to changes in the atmospheric circulation that in turn lead to further warming and 592 drying there. Consider, for example, the results of imposing soil moisture dryness in region L of 593 Figure 1. According to Figure 7l, this leads to a 250-mb streamfunction high over region L that 594 also extends further to the north. Figures 81 and 91 show that this in turn leads to a warm and dry 595 anomaly just north of the region - conditions that, according to experiment AGCM-D, should 596 lead to additional warming and drying in the area (Figures 8d and 9d). The positive feedback 597 loop outlined in Figure 13 may have impacts on the spatial extent of drought, helping a local dry 598 anomaly in, say, Kansas and Nebraska spread spatially across the continental interior. The 599 mechanics of this feedback loop are worthy of further study. 600

What are the mechanisms by which an atmospheric circulation anomaly translates to anomalies in surface air temperature and precipitation? At these latitudes, streamfunction anomalies and geopotential height anomalies are largely coincident, and as discussed in Koster et al. (2014), an upper-level positive height anomaly can affect surface temperature through an associated increase in subsidence, which promotes cloudless skies and thereby an increased amount of incoming solar radiation reaching the surface. Lower level circulation changes,

however, are undoubtedly also important, as they can lead to precipitation anomalies through 607 changing atmospheric moisture transport and convergence in the lower troposphere. We should 608 note that the lower level impacts amongst the experiments are less systematic. Anomalies in 609 850mb wind circulation patterns (not shown), as generated by the different AGCM experiments, 610 do not show the level of agreement seen in Figure 7 largely because the anomalies are of smaller 611 spatial extent and tend to be more centered over the individual imposed dryness regions. This 612 said, however, some agreement in the 850mb wind response is seen, and supplemental analyses 613 of the AGCM results indicate that the west-central North America streamfunction anomaly 614 produced in most of our experiments does tend to tilt westward with height. This westward tilt 615 may explain why the precipitation anomalies produced in our AGCM experiments tend to lie 616 slightly eastward of the air temperature anomalies (compare Figures 10f,g), given that 617 precipitation deficits are largely maintained by reduced atmospheric moisture flux convergences 618 due to changes in low-level atmospheric circulation (e.g., Wang et al 2010; Wang and Schubert 619 2014). Meanwhile, changes in low-level winds bring, in some cases, warm air in from the south, 620 further increasing temperature (not shown). A full understanding of the mechanisms linking the 621 3-D circulation patterns to surface anomalies needs additional study through focused 622 experimentation and associated budget analysis for surface energy, air temperature and 623 atmospheric moisture. 624

While the feedback loop outlined in Figure 13 is intriguing, we must emphasize again a critical aspect of our results, namely, the need for large ensembles (96-192 members) to draw out the indicated patterns in Figures 7-9. The size of these ensembles speaks to the weakness of the model atmosphere's response to the imposed surface anomalies; as noted above, our experiments effectively address shifts in the PDFs of atmospheric response. Dry conditions for a given year

in the central US will not necessarily lead to the indicated streamfunction anomalies during that
 year and an associated strengthening of the dry anomaly; all we can claim is an increased
 likelihood for this to happen.

In other words, the feedback's operation in the AGCM is probabilistic. It is presumably probabilistic in nature as well. The fact that the magnitudes of the responses in Figure 10 are larger for the observational composites than for the model results (while the patterns are roughly the same) in fact may suggest that the feedback in the model is weaker than it is in nature. Additional work is needed to learn if this is true and whether the feedback is indeed large enough in nature to be useful for, say, forecast applications.

Perhaps most intriguing of all is our finding, through both the SWM and AGCM analyses, that the atmospheric circulation responds in such a consistent way to surface heating anomalies regardless of the specific geographical positioning of these anomalies within the continental interior (Figures 3 and 7). We are currently performing analyses aimed at explaining this marked similarity in response and the relatively reduced response produced when the dry anomalies are near the western or eastern coast.

645

Acknowledgments. Support for this project was provided by the NOAA Modeling, Analysis,
 Predictions and Projections (MAPP) program; the NASA Energy and Water Cycle Study
 (NEWS) program; and the NASA Modeling, Analysis, and Prediction Program.

649

References 651 652 Adler, R. F., and Coauthors, 2003: The Version-2 Global Precipitation Climatology Project 653 (GPCP) monthly precipitation analysis (1979-present). J. Hydrometeor., 4, 1147-1167, 654 doi:10.1175/1525-7541. 655 Ambadan, J. T., A. A. Bert, and W. J. Merryfield, 2015: Influence of snow and soil moisture 656 initialization on sub-seasonal predictability and forecast skill in boreal spring. Clim. 657 Dyn., doi 10.1007/s00382-015-2821-9. 658 Bacmeister, J. T., M. J. Suarez, and F. R. Robertson, 2006: Rain reevaporation, boundary layer 659 convection interactions, and Pacific rainfall patterns in a AGCM. J.Atmos. Sci., 63, 3383-660 3403. 661 Barsugli, J. J., S.-I. Shin, and P. D. Sardeshmukh, 2006: Sensitivity of global warming to the 662 pattern of tropical ocean warming. Clim. Dyn., 27, 483-492. 663 Betts, A. K., and J. H. Ball, 1995: The FIFE surface diurnal cycle climate. J. Geophys. Res., 664 100, 25679-25693. 665 Betts, A.K., J.H. Ball, A.C.M. Beljaars, M.J. Miller, and P. Viterbo, 1994: Coupling between 666 land-surface, boundary-layer parameterizations and rainfall on local and regional scales: 667 Lessons from the wet summer of 1993. Preprints, Fifth Conf. on Global Change Studies, 668 74th Annual Meeting, Nashville, TN, Amer. Meteor. Soc. 669 Bosilovich, M. and Co-Authors, 2016: MERRA-2, Initial Evaluation of the Climate. Tech. Rep. 670 Ser. on Global Modeling and Data Assimilation, 43, NASA/TM-2012-104606, 139 pp. 671

672	Chou, MD., 1990: Parameterizations for the absorption of solar radiation by O2 and CO2 with
673	applications to climate studies. J. Climate, 3, 209-217.
674	Chou, MD., 1992: A solar radiation model for use in climate studies. J. Atmos. Sci., 49, 762-
675	772.
676	Chou, MD., and M.J. Suarez, 1994: An efficient thermal infrared radiation parameterization
677	for use in general circulation models, NASA Tech. Memorandum 104606-Vol 3, NASA,
678	Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD.
679	Cohen, J., J. C. Furtado, J. Jones, M. Barlow, D. Whittleston, and D. Entekhabi, 2014: Linking
680	Siberian snow cover to precursors of stratospheric variability. J. Climate, 27, 5422-5432.
681	Dee, D. P., and Coauthors, 2011: The ERA-Interim reanalysis: Configuration and performance
682	of the data assimilation system. Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 137, 553-597,
683	doi:10.1002/qj.828.
684	Delworth, T.L., and S. Manabe, 1989: The influence of soil wetness on near-surface
685	atmospheric variability. J. Clim., 2, 1447-1462.
686	Dirmeyer, P. A., S. Kumar, M. J. Fennessy, E. L Altshuler, T. DelSole, Z. C. Guo, B. A. Cash,
687	and D. Straus, 2013: Model estimates of land-driven predictability in a changing climate
688	from CCSM4. J. Climate, 26, 8495-8512.
689	Douville, H., and F. Chauvin, 2000: Relevance of soil moisture for seasonal climate predictions,
690	A preliminary study. Clim. Dyn., 16, 719-736.
691	Douville, H., 2002: Influence of soil moisture on the Asian and African monsoons. Part II:

Interannual variability. J. Climate, 15, 701–720.

693	Entin, J. K., A. Robock, K. Y. Vinnikov, S. E. Hollinger, S. Liu, and A. Namkhai, 2000:
694	Temporal and spatial scales of observed soil moisture variations in the extratropics. J.
695	Geophys. Res., <b>105,</b> 11865–11877.
696	Findell, K. L., and E. A. B. Eltahir, 1997: An analysis of the soil moisture-rainfall feedback,
697	based on direct observations from Illinois. Water Resour. Res., 33, 725-735.
698	Gelaro, R. and Co-Authors, 2015: Evaluation of the 7-km GEOS-5 Nature Run. Tech. Rep. Ser.
699	on Global Modeling and Data Assimilation, 36, NASA/TM-2012-104606, 285 pp.
700	Guo, Z., and 25 others, 2006: GLACE, The global land-atmosphere coupling experiment, 2,
701	Analysis. J. Hydrometeorology, 7, 611-625.
702	Ham, YG., S. D. Schubert, Y. Vikhliaev, and M. J. Suarez, 2014: An assessment of the ENSO
703	forecast skill of the GEOS-5 system. Climate Dynamics, doi 10.1007/s0-0382-014-2063-
704	2.
705	Helfand, H. M., M. and S. D. Schubert, 1995: Climatology of the Simulated Great Plains Low-
706	Level Jet and Its contribution to the Continental Moisture Budget of the United States. J.
707	Climate, 8, 784-806.
708	Huffman, G. J., R. F. Adler, D. T. Bolvin, and G. Gu, 2009: Improving the global precipitation
709	record: GPCP version 2.1. Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L17808, doi:10.1029/2009GL040000.
710	Koster, R. D., M. J. Suarez, A. Ducharne, M. Stieglitz, and P. Kumar, 2000: A catchment-based
711	approach to modeling land surface processes in a general circulation model: 1. Model
712	structure, J. Geophys. Res., 105(20), 24,809–24,822.

713	Koster, R. D., M. J. Suarez, R. W. Higgins, and H. M. Van den Dool, 2003: Observational
714	evidence that soil moisture variations affect precipitation. Geophys. Res. Lett., 30,
715	doi:10.1029/2002GL016571.
716	Koster, R. D., Z. Guo, P. Dirmeyer, and 23 others, 2006: GLACE, The global land-atmosphere
717	coupling experiment, 1, Overview. J. Hydrometeor., 7, 590-610.
718	Koster, R. D., Z. Guo, R. Yang, P. A. Dirmeyer, K. Mitchell, and M. J. Puma, 2009: On the
719	nature of soil moisture in land surface models. J. Climate, 22, 4322-4335.
720	Koster, R. D., and Co-authors, 2011: The second phase of the Global Land-Atmosphere
721	Coupling Experiment, Soil moisture contributions to subseasonal forecast skill. J.
722	Hydromet., 12, 805-822.
723	Koster, R. D., Y. Chang, and S. D. Schubert, 2014: A mechanism for land-atmosphere feedback
724	involving planetary wave structures. J. Climate, 27, 9290-9301.
725	Lau, W. K., M., and KM. Kim, 2012: The 2010 Pakistan flood and Russian heat wave,
726	Teleconnection of hydrometeorological extremes. J. Hydromet., 13, 392-403.
727	Lock, A. P., A. R. Brown, M. R. Bush, G. M. Martin, and R. N. B. Smith, 2000: A new boundary
728	layer mixing scheme. Part I: Scheme description and single-column model tests. Mon.
729	Wea. Rev., 138, 31873199.
730	Molod, A., L. Takacs, M. Suarez, J. Bacmeister, IS. Song, and A. Eichmann, 2012: The GEOS-
731	5 atmospheric general circulation model, Mean climate and development from MERRA
732	to Fortuna. Tech. Rep. Ser. on Global Modeling and Data Assimilation, 28, NASA/TM-
733	2012-104606, 115 pp.

734	Moorthi, S., and M. J. Suarez, 1992: Relaxed Arakawa Schubert: A parameterization of moist
735	convection for general circulation models. Mon. Wea. Rev., 120, 978-1002.
736	National Research Council, 2010: Assessment of intraseasonal to interannual climate prediction
737	and predictability. The National Academies Press, Washington, D.C, 181 pp.
738	Peings, Y., H. Douville, R. Alkama, and B. Decharme, 2011: Snow contribution to springtime
739	atmospheric predictability over the second half of the twentieth century. Clim. Dyn., 37,
740	985-1004.
741	Putman, W. M., and SJ. Lin, 2007: Finite-volume transport on various cubed-sphere grids.
742	Journal of Computational Physics, 227 (1): 55-78.
743	Reichle, R. H., and Q. Liu, 2014: Observation-corrected precipitation estimates in GEOS-5.
744	Tech. Rep. Ser. on Global Modeling and Data Assimilation, 35, NASA/TM-2012-
745	104606, 18 pp.
746	Rienecker, M. M., and Co-Authors, 2011: MERRA, NASA's Modern-Era Retrospective
747	Analysis for Research and Applications. J. Climate, 24, 3624-3648.
748	Robock, A., M. Mu, K. Vinnikov, and D. Robinson, 2003: Land surface conditions over Eurasia
749	and Indian summer monsoon rainfall. J. Geophys. Res., 108, D4, 4131,
750	doi:10.1029/2002JD002286.
751	Schubert, S. D., H. Wang, R. D. Koster, and M. J. Suarez, 2014: Norther Eurasian heat waves
752	and droughts. J. Cliamte, 27, 3169-3207.

753	Seneviratne, S. I., T. Corti, E. L. Davin, M. Hirschi, E. B. Jaeger, I. Lehner, B. Orlowsky, and A.
754	J. Teuling, 2010: Investigating soil moisture-climate interactions in a changing climate:
755	A review. Earth Sci. Rev., 99, 125-161, doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2010.02.004.
756	Simmons, A. J., K. M. Willett, P. D. Jones, P. W. Thorne, and D. P. Dee, 2010: Low-frequency
757	variations in surface atmospheric humidity, temperature, and precipitation: Inferences
758	from reanalyses and monthly gridded observational data sets. J. Geophys. Res., 115,
759	D01110, doi:10.1029/2009JD012442.
760	Taylor, C. T., A. Gounou, F. Guichard, P. P. Harris, R. J. Ellis, F. Couvreux, and M. De Kauwe,
761	2011: Frequency of Sahelian storm initiation enhanced over mesoscale soil-moisture
762	patterns. Nature Geosci., 4, 430-433.
763	Vinnikov, K. Ya., and I. B. Yeserkepova, 1991: Soil moisture: Empirical data and model results.
764	J. Climate, <b>4</b> , 66–79.
765	Wang, A., T. J. Bohn, S. P. Mahanama, R. D. Koster, and D. P. Lettenmaier, 2009: Multimodel
766	ensemble reconstruction of drought over the continental United States. J. Climate, 22,
767	2694-2712.
768	Wang, H., S. D. Schubert, M. J. Suarez, and R. D. Koster, 2010: The Physical Mechanisms by
769	Which the Leading Patterns of SST Variability Impact U.S. Precipitation. J. Climate, 23,
770	1815–1836.
771	Wang, H., and S. D. Schubert, 2014: The Precipitation Response over the Continental United
772	States to Cold Tropical Pacific Sea Surface Temperatures. J. Climate, 27, 5036–5055.

773	Wang, H., S. D. Schubert, and R. D. Koster, 2016: The role of stationary Rossby waves in the
774	development of drought over North America and links to northern Eurasia. Chapter in
775	Patterns of Climate Extremes: Trends and Mechanisms, Eds. Wang, SY., Jin-Ho Yoon,
776	Chris Funk, and R. R. Gillies, ISBN: 978-1-119-06784-9. In press.
777	Xia, Y., and Coauthors, 2012: Continental-scale water and energy flux analysis and validation
778	for the North American Land Data Assimilation System project phase 2 (NLDAS-2): 1.
779	Intercomparison and application of model products. J. Geophys. Res., 117, D03109,
780	doi:10.1029/2011JD016048.
781	Xue, Y., R. Vasic, Z. Janjic, Y. M. Liu, and P. C. Chu, 2012: The impact of spring subsurface
782	soil temperature anomaly in the western U.S. on North American summer precipitation:
783	A case study using regional climate model downscaling. J. Geophys. Res., 117, D11103,
784	doi:10.1029/2012JD017692.

# List of Figures

787	Figure 1.	a. Vertical profile of idealized diabatic heating anomaly imposed in the SWM
788	at	mosphere over a selected geographical area. b. Spatial distribution of imposed
789	id	ealized heating anomaly near the ground surface ( $\sigma = 0.9966$ ), for experiment SWM-L.
790	c.	Vertical and zonal structure of the ensemble mean June-July diabatic heating
791	an	nomalies produced in Experiment AGCM-L, averaged between 37°N and 44°N. Units:
792	K	/day.
793	Figure 2.	Areas of imposed dryness considered in the 21 SWM and AGCM experiments (labeled
794	А	through U). In a given AGCM experiment, the indicated region was forced to be dry
795	th	rough the artificial zeroing of the incident precipitation in April through June. The
796	nı	umber of AGCM ensemble members associated with each experiment is indicated in
797	pa	arentheses.
798	Figure 3.	Eddy streamfunction anomaly fields at 250 mb produced in the SWM experiments.
799	11	he boxes indicate the locations for which the idealized diabatic heating source is placed.
800	Figure 4.	Indication of the similarity between the 250-mb eddy streamfunction responses in the
801	di	fferent SWM experiments. a. Location of the 9 experimental regions for which the
802	sq	juare of the spatial correlation between the experiment's 250-mb eddy streamfunction
803	fie	eld and the average field over all 21 experiments over the North American area shown
804	is	0.65 or higher (roughly $2/3$ of variance explained by the averaged field). b. Average of
805	th	e computed 250-mb eddy streamfunction fields over the nine experiments that examine
806	he	eating within the region enclosed by heavy dotted lines in (a). c. Square of the spatial
807	cc	prrelation (r <sup>2</sup> ) between each experiment's 250-mb eddy streamfunction field (from the

808SWM analysis) and the 9-experiment average in (b). The value for a given experiment is809plotted within the corresponding box in the figure.

810	Figure 5. a. Percentile of average July soil moisture content in the different AGCM experiments,
811	with rankings based on the full ensemble of control simulations. The percentile shown
812	for a given grid cell is for the experiment in which that grid cell (along with its neighbors
813	within the marked box) was forced to be dry during April through June; the map is thus a
814	composite of results from different AGCM experiments. b. Difference (experiment
815	minus control) in the June through July evaporation computed in the AGCM
816	experiments. As in (a), the map shown is a composite; the difference shown for a given
817	grid cell is for the experiment in which that grid cell (along with its neighbors within the
818	marked box) was forced to be dry during April through June.
819	Figure 6. a. Anomalies ( $10^6 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ ) in June-July 250-mb streamfunction (experiment minus
820	control) for Experiment AGCM-S. The imposed dryness in Region S has induced a
821	wavelike pattern in the streamfunction field. b. Same, but for June-July precipitation
822	anomalies (mm/day). c. Same, but for June-July 2-m air temperature anomalies (°K).
823	Figure 7. a. Anomalies in June-July 250-mb streamfunction (experiment minus control) for
824	Experiment A. Significance levels (according to a t-test) are shown as contours, with
825	solid black for the 95% confidence level, dotted for the 99% confidence level, and solid
826	white for the 99.9% confidence level. b-u: Same, but for Experiments B-U. The heavy
827	red line encloses the experiments corresponding to the continental interior region
828	demarcated in Figure 4a.

<sup>829</sup> Figure 8. Same as Figure 7, but for precipitation anomalies (mm/day).

<sup>830</sup> Figure 9. Same as Figure 7, but for 2-m air temperature anomalies (°K).

831	Figure 10. a. Composited field of 250-mb streamfunction anomalies from the ECMWF ERA-
832	Interim reanalysis, built from the 10% of the 210 June and July decads during 1980-2014
833	with the driest antecedent (15 day lead) continental soil moistures in the region indicated.
834	b. Same, but for MERRA-2 rain-gauge corrected precipitation. c. Same, but for ERA-
835	Interim 2-m air temperatures. d. Average of the June-July 250-mb eddy streamfunction
836	anomalies produced in the 9 SWM experiments indicated. e. Sum of the June-July 250-
837	mb streamfunction anomalies produced in the 9 AGCM experiments indicated. f. Same,
838	but for precipitation. g. Same, but for 2-m air temperature.
839	Figure 11. a. Number of $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ grid cells across the indicated region (130W-60W, 20N-70N) for
840	which precipitation is modified at the 99% confidence interval by the dryness imposed in
841	each experiment. (The number of grid cells affected in a given experiment is plotted
842	within the dryness region associated with that experiment.) Gray shading indicates very
843	roughly the number expected by chance. b. Same, but for 2-m air temperature.
844	Figure 12. a. For each $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ grid cell, the number of dryness regions (as outlined in the heavy
845	black lines) that, in our experiments, induce precipitation anomalies significant at the
846	99% confidence level. b. Same, but for 2-m air temperature.
847	Figure 13. Schematic of a potential feedback loop suggested by the AGCM results. The dry soil
848	moistures induce (1) a specific circulation pattern that in turn induces (2) additional
849	warming and drying over the central US. This in turn leads (3) to higher sensible heat
850	fluxes from the land surface in the central US, which can enhance (4) the atmospheric
851	circulation anomaly that originally produced the warming and drying.



Figure 1. a. Vertical profile of idealized diabatic heating anomaly imposed in the SWM atmosphere over a selected geographical area. b. Spatial distribution of imposed idealized heating anomaly near the ground surface ( $\sigma = 0.9966$ ), for experiment SWM-L. c. Vertical and zonal structure of the ensemble mean June-July diabatic heating anomalies produced in Experiment AGCM-L, averaged between 37°N and 44°N. Units: K/day.



869

Figure 2. Areas of imposed dryness considered in the 21 SWM and AGCM experiments (labeled A through U). In a given AGCM experiment, the indicated region was forced to be dry through the artificial zeroing of the incident precipitation in April through June. The number of AGCM ensemble members associated with each experiment is indicated in parentheses.





<sup>879</sup> The boxes indicate the locations for which the idealized diabatic heating source is placed.





Figure 4. Indication of the similarity between the 250-mb eddy streamfunction responses in the different SWM experiments. a. Location of the 9 experimental regions for which the square of the spatial correlation between the experiment's 250-mb eddy streamfunction field and the average field over all 21 experiments over the North American area shown is 0.65 or higher (roughly 2/3 of variance explained by the averaged field). b. Average of the computed 250-mb eddy streamfunction fields ( $10^6 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ ) over the nine experiments that examine heating within the region enclosed by heavy dotted lines in (a). c. Square of the spatial correlation  $(r^2)$  between each experiment's 250-mb eddy streamfunction field (from the SWM analysis) and the 9-experiment average in (b). The value for a given experiment is plotted within the corresponding box in the figure. 



Figure 5. a. Percentile of average July soil moisture content in the different AGCM experiments, with rankings based on the full ensemble of control simulations. The percentile shown for a given grid cell is for the experiment in which that grid cell (along with its neighbors within the marked box) was forced to be dry during April through June; the map is thus a composite of results from different AGCM experiments. b. Difference (experiment minus control) in the June through July evaporation computed in the AGCM experiments. As in (a), the map shown is a composite; the difference shown for a given grid cell is for the experiment in which that grid cell (along with its neighbors within the marked box) was forced to be dry during April through June. 



Figure 6. a. Anomalies (10<sup>6</sup> m<sup>2</sup>/s) in June-July 250-mb streamfunction (experiment minus control) for Experiment AGCM-S. Significance levels (according to a t-test) are shown as contours, with solid black for the 95% confidence level, dotted for the 99% confidence level, and solid white for the 99.9% confidence level. The imposed dryness in Region S has induced a wavelike pattern in the streamfunction field. b. Same, but for June-July precipitation anomalies (mm/day). c. Same, but for June-July 2-m air temperature anomalies (°K).

# Induced Stream Function Anomalies (10<sup>6</sup> m<sup>2</sup>/s) • b е f а U 1 k n 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 -0.2 0.8 -0.8 -0.6 -0.4 1.0 -1.0

925

924

921

922

923

927

Figure 7. a. Anomalies in June-July 250-mb streamfunction (experiment minus control) for Experiment A. Significance levels (according to a t-test) are shown as contours, with solid black for the 95% confidence level, dotted for the 99% confidence level, and solid white for the 99.9% confidence level. b-u: Same, but for Experiments B-U. The heavy red line encloses the experiments corresponding to the continental interior region demarcated in Figure 4a.









<sup>945</sup> Figure 9. Same as Figure 7, but for 2-m air temperature anomalies (°K).



2-m Air

Temp.

(°K)

952

953

Figure 10. a. Composited field of 250-mb streamfunction anomalies from the ECMWF ERA-954 Interim reanalysis, built from the 10% of the 210 June and July decads during 1980-2014 with 955 the driest antecedent (15 day lead) continental soil moistures in the region indicated. b. Same, 956 but for MERRA-2 rain-gauge corrected precipitation. c. Same, but for ERA-Interim 2-m air 957 temperatures. d. Average of the June-July 250-mb eddy streamfunction anomalies produced in 958 the 9 SWM experiments indicated. e. Sum of the June-July 250-mb streamfunction anomalies 959 produced in the 9 AGCM experiments indicated. f. Same, but for precipitation. g. Same, but for 960 2-m air temperature. 961

1.50

0.00

-1.5 -3.0

(multiply scale by 0.33)

962



a. Prec: # cells affected at 99% confidence level by source

Figure 11. a. Number of 1°×1° grid cells across the indicated region (130W-60W, 20N-70N, or 3621 cells) for which precipitation is modified at the 99% confidence interval by the dryness imposed in each experiment. (The number of grid cells affected in a given experiment is plotted within the dryness region associated with that experiment.) Gray shading indicates very roughly the number expected by chance. b. Same, but for 2-m air temperature.



a. Prec: # source regions causing significant (99%) difference

Figure 12. a. For each 1°×1° grid cell, the number of dryness regions (as outlined in the heavy
black lines) that, in our experiments, induce precipitation anomalies significant at the 99%
confidence level. b. Same, but for 2-m air temperature.



Figure 13. Schematic of a potential feedback loop suggested by the AGCM results. The dry soil
moistures induce (1) a specific circulation pattern that in turn induces (2) additional warming and
drying over the central US. This in turn leads (3) to higher sensible heat fluxes from the land
surface in the central US, which can enhance (4) the atmospheric circulation anomaly that

<sup>983</sup> originally produced the warming and drying.