QuAIL



IARPA



QUANTUM
ENHANCED
OPTIMIZATION

Exponentially-Biased Ground-State Sampling of Quantum Annealing Machines with Transverse-Field Driving Hamiltonians

Dr. Salvatore Mandrà

What is fair sampling?

Definition (fair sampling):

- The ability of an algorithm to find all solutions of a degenerate problem with equal probability when run in **repetition mode**

Why is it important?

- In some contexts (SAT-Filter, #SAT, machine learning, …) finding a **good variety** of solutions is more important than finding a single solution quickly

Optimize benchmarking:

- Standard test: Find the ground-state energy **fast and reliably**
- Stringent test: Find **all minimizing configurations** equiprobably

Previous studies on transverse field QA [1]





Transverse field QA is biased ...

[1] Y. Matsuda, H. Nishimori & H. G Katzgraber, "Ground-state statistics from annealing algorithms: quantum versus classical approaches.", New Journal of Physics, 11(7), 073021 (2009)

Previous studies on transverse field QA [1]



Non-stoquastic H_D mitigates the problem!





[1] Y. Matsuda, H. Nishimori & H. G Katzgraber, "Ground-state statistics from annealing algorithms: quantum versus classical approaches.", New Journal of Physics, 11(7), 073021 (2009)

The D-Wave 2X quantum annealer



H_p

$$H_D = -\sum_i \hat{\sigma}_i^x$$

- Unavoidable **noise**
- Non-zero **temperature**

~1000 working qubits

Superconducting qubit chip



Experimental analysis using DW2X device [1]

- Random couplings from **Sidon set** (J_{ij} = ±5, ±6, ±7 on Chimera of *c x c* unit cells)
- Limit the study to instances with **well controlled degeneracy** ($\#_{gs} = 3 \cdot 2^k$)
- No **trivial** degeneracy
- 100 gauges x {10k, 100k} readouts
- T_{ann} = 5μ, 20μ, 200μ

DW2X is exponentially biased!



[1] **S. Mandrà**, Z. Zhu & H. G. Katzgraber, "Exponentially-Biased Ground-State Sampling of Quantum Annealing Machines with Transverse-Field Driving Hamiltonians", arXiv:1606.07146

Classical algorithms sample more homogeneously



[1] **S. Mandrà**, Z. Zhu & H. G. Katzgraber, "Exponentially-Biased Ground-State Sampling of Quantum Annealing Machines with Transverse-Field Driving Hamiltonians", arXiv:1606.07146

[2] F. Hamze & N. de Freitas, Proceedings (2004), A. Selby, arXiv (2014)

[3] Z. Zhu, A. J. Ochoa & H. G. Katzgraber, PRL (2015)

Experimental analysis using DW2X device [1]





Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

[1] **S. Mandrà**, Z. Zhu & H. G. Katzgraber, "Exponentially-Biased Ground-State Sampling of Quantum Annealing Machines with Transverse-Field Driving Hamiltonians", arXiv:1606.07146

Could the bias be a consequence of the intrinsic noise of the DW2x?

No.

The bias is unchanged by rescaling the energy

- Energy of the target problem rescaled by a factor ε
- Intrinsic noise rescaled by a factor $1/\varepsilon$



[1] **S. Mandrà**, Z. Zhu & H. G. Katzgraber, In preparation (2017)

Adding extra noise does not change the bias





[1] **S. Mandrà**, Z. Zhu & H. G. Katzgraber, In preparation (2017)

Classical algorithms are marginally affected by the noise



[1] **S. Mandrà**, Z. Zhu & H. G. Katzgraber, In preparation (2017)

The bias persists up to the 20th excited state!

Different of the sampling respect to the flat distribution (**larger is worse**)





[1] **S. Mandrà**, Z. Zhu & H. G. Katzgraber, In preparation (2017)

Implications & Future directions

The bias can limit the use of QA for sampling

- Applications like SAT-Filter and machine learning may not be suitable for QA without mitigating the sampling problem

How to mitigate the sampling problem?

- Explore different driver Hamiltonians (e.g. non-stoquastic)

How to understand the bias problem better?

- Theoretical understanding of the role of the driver Hamiltonian in sampling
- Theoretical exploration of the implication of many-body localization

Zheng Zhu
Texas A&M

Helmut G.
Katzgraber
Texas A&M

NASA QuAIL

IARPA

QUANTUM
ENHANCED
OPTIMIZATION

Thanks for the attention!

Experimental analysis using DW2X device [1]



[1] **S. Mandrà**, Z. Zhu & H. G. Katzgraber, "Exponentially-Biased Ground-State Sampling of Quantum Annealing Machines with Transverse-Field Driving Hamiltonians", arXiv:1606.07146

Adiabatic Quantum Optimization (AQO)

$$H = (1 - s)H_d + sH_p$$

Initial "driver" Hamiltonian

Target Problem

Adiabatic Quantum Optimization (AQO)

$$H = (1 - s)H_d + sH_p$$



Adiabatic Quantum Optimization (AQO)

$$H = (1 - s)H_d + sH_p$$



Adiabatic Quantum Optimization (AQO)

$$H = (1 - s)H_d + sH_p$$

$$T \sim 1/g^2$$



Adiabatic Quantum Optimization (AQO)

$$H = (1 - s)H_d + sH_p$$