https://ntrs.nasa.gov/search.jsp?R=20170010398 2019-08-31T01:33:00+00:00Z

e Nasa

Revolution in Space Launch Systems for Medium-Weight Payloads

Unmeel Mehta NASA Ames Research Center Moffett Field, California, USA



Panel on Revolution in Space Launch Vehicles: More Aircraft and Less Rocket 21st AIAA International Spaceplane and Hypersonic Systems and Technologies Conference University of Xiamen, Xiamen, China March 6-9, 2017







Outline

- Vision
- Requirements
- Design Trade Studies
 - Propulsion
 - Vertical versus horizontal takeoff
 - Margin
 - Cost
 - Staging Mach number
- A way forward





Affordable Potential Infrastructures in Earth Neighborhood (DOI: 10.2514/6.2004-5858)



esponsive

Resilient

Affordable Requirements for Commercialization of Low Earth Orbits



(http://spacenews.com/reviving-the-aerospace-plane-program/)

- The affordability determines the degree to which near-Earth space can be commercialized.
 - A fully reusable Earth-to-LEO transportation system, using a revolutionary propulsion system and operated with commercial airline industry practices, could greatly reduce transportation costs to LEO for medium-weight (5–15 MT) payloads (humans and cargo).
- To fully develop human presence in near-Earth space—commute stations, tourist destinations, laboratories, and manufacturing and construction facilities—we need safe, responsive, and resilient vehicles for routine transport and emergency rescue with wideentry windows, wide-ranging flight paths, and low rates of entry deceleration.
 - The desirable aerodynamic attributes for an emergency rescue vehicle are
 - Hypersonic L/D ~ 3.5
 - Deceleration ~ 1.1 g



Progress in Transportation

- Historically, transportation progress has been contingent on revolutionary changes in propulsion modes.
- Aviation transformed when jet-powered aircraft replaced propeller-driven aircraft.





- The progress in space launch vehicles requires the replacement of rocket propulsion with air-breathing + rocket propulsion.
- Air-breathing flight milestones
 - Near-term: Mach 0 to 5.5
 - Mid-term: Mach 0 to 8
 - Long-term: Mach 0 to 11+

Responsive





A Revolutionary Space Launch System

- TSTO system
- Current launcher propulsion choices
 - Turbo/ramjet engines
 - TBCC engines
 - RBCC engines
 - Turbo/ramjet + rocket engines
- Orbiter propulsion choice
 - Rocket engines
- Fully reusable 200 missions
- Horizontal takeoff and landing
- Design for safety, responsiveness, and resiliency





Resilient



Horizontal Launch Versus Vertical Launch



a

• Airbreathing propulsion offers expanded operational flexibility.

• Rocket-powered launch vehicles use substantially more propellant for a given delta-v than systems with some airbreathing propulsion.

Responsive

Resilient

Affordable Safe Advantages of Air-Breathing Propulsion



Propulsive Performance: Air-breathing Engine versus Rocket Engine





9



• Air-breathing systems provide increased margin and cost less to deliver payload. Resilient Resilient

esponsive



Sensitivity of LCC to staging Mach number

Optimum Staging Mach Number (DOI: 10.2514/2.5886)

Sensitivity of TOGW to staging Mach number



Resilient

Resiliency – Example (DOI: 10.2514/2.5886)



Mission: 220 nm at 51.6°





A Way Forward

- Revive the development effort for a revolutionary Earth to low Earth orbit transportation capability.
- Demonstrate reusability of a rocket engine for 200 missions
- Demonstrate a Mach 5.5 turbo/ramjet engine →
 Flight test an X-plane (the first stage) →
 Develop an operational first stage
- Demonstrate a Mach 8 engine →
 Demonstrate a Mach 11 engine
- Develop an operational TSTO system
 - Air-breathing propulsion up to Mach 5.5
 - A 10-MT payload to low earth orbit

