ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## **Food Chemistry**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/foodchem



#### Analytical Methods

## The specific chemical profile of Mediterranean propolis from Malta

Milena Popova<sup>a</sup>, Boryana Trusheva<sup>a</sup>, Daniela Antonova<sup>a</sup>, Simone Cutajar<sup>b</sup>, David Mifsud<sup>c</sup>, Claude Farrugia<sup>b</sup>, Iva Tsvetkova<sup>d</sup>, Hristo Najdenski<sup>d</sup>, Vassya Bankova<sup>a,\*</sup>

- <sup>a</sup> Institute of Organic Chemistry with Centre of Phytochemistry, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Acad. G. Bonchev Str. Bl. 9, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria
- <sup>b</sup> University of Malta, Department of Chemistry, Msida MSD 2080, Malta
- <sup>c</sup> Junior College, University of Malta, Department of Biology, Msida MSD 1252, Malta
- <sup>d</sup> Institute of Microbiology, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Acad. G. Bonchev Str. Bl. 26, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria

#### ARTICLE INFO

# Article history: Received 16 April 2010 Received in revised form 21 October 2010 Accepted 22 November 2010 Available online 26 November 2010

Keywords:
Propolis
Diterpenes
Terpenyl esters of benzoic acids
Ferula communis
Antimicrobial activity

#### ABSTRACT

Seventeen Maltese propolis samples were studied by GC–MS after silylation. They exhibited the typical Mediterranean chemical profile, rich in diterpene compounds (18–92% of TIC, GC–MS): 32 individual diterpenes were identified; 22 of them were present in each specimen. The other abundant compound group was that of sugars and sugar derivatives. In some samples, however, another compound group was observed (0–12% of TIC, GC–MS); the corresponding mass spectra were consistent with monoand sesquiterpenyl esters of substituted benzoic acids. Two new propolis constituents of this group, daucane diterpene esters of hydroxybenzoic acids, were isolated. Their origin is suggested to be *Ferula communis*, as they are taxonomic markers for this species. All propolis samples were active against *Staphylococcus aureus* but only those with high concentrations of terpenyl esters showed antifungal activity against *Candida albicans*. The present results confirm that Mediterranean propolis is a valuable natural product with potential to improve human health.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Propolis, the plant-derived resinous material found in beehives, has been used as a medicine since ancient times. In the past decade, it has gained popularity as a constituent of healthy foods and drinks to improve human health and enhance natural immunity. Its diverse biological activities, such as antibacterial, antiviral, anti-inflammatory, immunomodulating, cytotoxic, antioxidant, have been well documented in numerous research papers (Ahn et al. 2007; Bankova, 2005; Banskota, Tezuka, & Kadota, 2001; Seidel, Peyfoon, Watson, & Fearnley, 2008; Sforcin, 2007; Tosi, Ré, Ortega, & Cazzoli, 2007). A well-recognised peculiarity of propolis is its chemical variability, due to fact that (in different phytogeographical regions) the bees choose different plant sources of resin (Bankova, 2009). For this reason, there are different propolis types, according to their plant origin, and they are characterised by their distinct chemical profiles (Bankova, Popova, & Trusheva, 2006). Different propolis chemical profiles are associated with the presence of specific compound types, such as polyphenols, terpenoids, prenylated acetophenones, isoflavonoids (Cuesta-Rubio et al., 2007; Kumazawa, Hamasaka, & Nakayama, 2004; Velikova et al., 2000). Their contents could be responsible for the biological activities of propolis varieties; therefore, the study of samples from

E-mail address: bankova@orgchm.bas.bg (V. Bankova).

areas where propolis has never been studied before could reveal new propolis types and new propolis constituents of important biological activity.

Recent studies have revealed a new type of European propolis: Mediterranean propolis, distinguished by its high concentration of diterpenoids (Trusheva et al., 2003; Popova, Chinou, Marekov & Bankova, 2009; Popova, Graikou, Chinou, & Bankova, 2010). This propolis type was found in the south of Greece, in Sicily and in some Croatian Adriatic islands. Because of the significant antibacterial activity of diterpenes detected in Mediterranean propolis, it is important to find out whether other Mediterranean propolis is of the same or similar chemical type. In this paper we report the study of the chemical profile of propolis samples from Malta using GC–MS analysis. They were also screened for activity against Staphylococcus aureus 209, Escherichia coli WF + and Candida albicans 562 by the agar cup method.

### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Reagents and chemicals

Ethanol analytical grade was purchased from Alkaloid, Skopje, Macedonia; light petroleum and ethyl acetate were from Labscan, Gliwice, Poland. BSTFA, pyridine, Silica gel Alufolien F<sub>254</sub> and silica gel 60 F<sub>254</sub> glass plates were purchased from Merck, Darmstadt, Germany.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

#### 2.2. Propolis samples

Propolis samples were collected from apiaries at 17 different locations in Malta and the island of Gozo in 2008. The exact locations of origin are listed in Table 1.

#### 2.3. Extraction and sample preparation

Propolis, grated after cooling, was extracted for 24 h with 70% ethanol (1:10, w/v) at room temperature. The extract was evaporated to dryness in vacuo. About 5 mg of the residue were mixed with 50  $\mu$ l of dry pyridine and 75  $\mu$ l of BSTFA and heated at 80 °C for 20 min. The standard compounds were subjected to the same procedure for silylation as about 1 mg of the pure compound was mixed with 10  $\mu$ l of dry pyridine and 15  $\mu$ l of BSTFA. The silylated ethanolic extracts and reference compounds were analysed by GC–MS

#### 2.4. GC-MS analysis

The GC–MS analysis was performed with a Hewlett–Packard gas chromatograph 5890 series II Plus linked to a Hewlett–Packard 5972 mass spectrometer system equipped with a 30 m long, 0.25 mm i.d., and 0.5  $\mu m$  film thickness HP5-MS capillary column. The temperature was programmed from 60 to 300 °C at a rate of 5 °C/min, and a 10 min hold at 300 °C. Helium was used as a carrier gas at a flow rate of 0.8 ml/min. The split ratio was 1:10, the injector temperature 280 °C, the interface temperature 300 °C, and the ionisation voltage 70 eV.

Mass spectra of unidentified compounds: Compound with RT 43.2 min, m/z (%): 341 (9), 205 (100), 147 (33), 133 (28), 130 (23), 117 (30), 103 (14), 73 (40). Compound with RT 45.9 min, m/z (%): 369 (8), 205 (100), 147 (30), 133 (28), 130 (23), 117 (27), 103 (13), 72 (34). Compound with RT 48.8 min, m/z (%): 369 (17), 301 (24), 247 (100), 193 (19), 73(52).

#### 2.5. Identification and semiquantification process

The identification of the compounds was performed using commercial libraries and comparison of mass spectra and retention times of reference compounds. The isolation of the diterpenes used as reference compounds was described by Popova et al. (2009). In the cases of lack of the corresponding reference compounds, the structures were proposed on the basis of their general fragmentation and using reference literature spectra where possible (mainly from Cox, Yamamoto, Otto, & Simoneit, 2007). The semiquantifica-

**Table 1**Propolis samples: site of collection and yield of dry extract.

Sample	Region	Locality	Yield of dry ethanol extract, %
M1	NO	Baħrija	20
M2	NO	Wardija	15
M3	WE	Rabat	12
M4	NO	Wardija	35
M5	SE	Ħaż-Żebbug	18
M6	NO	Mellieħa	23
M7	WE	Siġġiewi	33
M8	WE	Siġġiewi	33
M9	WE	Siġġiewi	39
M10	NO	Baħrija	24
M11	WE	Ħaż-Żebbug	28
M12	WE	Had-Dingli	30
M13	Gozo	San Blas	41
M14	Gozo	Nadur	18
M15	WE	Fawwara	29
M16	Gozo	Near ta' Pinu	27
M17	SE	Għammieri	29

tion of the main compounds was carried out by internal normalisation with the area of each compound. The addition of individual areas of the compounds corresponds to 100% area.

#### 2.6. Analytical TLC

Analytical TLC was performed on TLC Alufolien Kieselgel Merck  $F_{254}$ , mobile phase light petroleum–ethyl acetate 7:3. Visualisation of the spots: UV light 254 and 366 nm, spraying with vanillin-sulphuric acid reagent (5:95 w/v vanillin: methanol solution, freshly mixed with a 5:95 v/v sulphuric acid: methanol solution) and heating at 110 °C.

#### 2.7. Isolation of individual compounds

Individual compounds were isolated from the ethanol extract of sample M16. Eighty-eight milligram of this extract were subjected to preparative TLC (silica gel 60  $F_{254}$  glass plates, (Merck,  $20\times20$  cm, 0.25 mm), mobile phase light petroleum–ethyl acetate 9:2; detection under UV light 254 and 366 nm), and 1 mg of 2-acetoxy-6-p-methoxybenzoyl jaeschkeanadiol 1 (Rf 0.28) and 2.5 mg of 2-acetoxy-6-p-hydroxybenzoyl jaeschkeanadiol 2 (Rf 0.60) were isolated. NMR spectra of compounds 1 and 2 were taken in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 600 MHz for protons and 150 MHz for  $^{13}\text{C}$  on an AVANCE AV600 II + NMR Bruker spectrometer.

#### 2.8. Antimicrobial tests

For investigation of the antibacterial activity, the agar cup method (Spooner & Sykes, 1972) was used with test strains *Staphylococcus aureus* 209, *E. coli* WF + and *Candida albicans* 562 (obtained from the Bulgarian Type Culture Collection, institute for State Control of Drugs, Sofia). An inhibitory zone, with a diameter less than 10 mm, corresponds to lack of activity (10 mm is the diameter of the cup). A test solution (with concentration 4 mg/ml in ethanol) was prepared for every extract and isolated compound; 0.1 ml of this test solution (containing 0.4 mg of each substance) was applied to every cup (cup volume 0.1 ml). Control experiments with solvent showed that it does not have any activity. Streptomycin and nystatin were used as positive controls.

#### 2.9. Statistics

For correlation analysis, Pearson's correlation coefficients were applied. Statistica 5 was used.

#### 3. Results and discussion

The yields of dry propolis extracts of Maltese propolis specimens are represented in Table 1.The silylated ethanol extracts were analysed by GC-MS. The main classes of compounds identified and their abundances are listed in Table 2. GC-MS analysis was chosen for chemical profiling because of the high resolving power of capillary GC and the valuable structural information provided by the EIMS, especially for phenolics and terpenes (Cuesta-Rubio et al., 2007; Kalogeropoulos, Konteles, Troullidou, Mourtzinos, & Karathanos, 2009; Popova et al., 2010), despite the disadvantages of the silylation procedure.

The GC-MS analysis revealed that the studied propolis samples possess the typical Mediterranean chemical profile, rich in diterpene compounds. A total of 32 individual diterpenes was identified, 22 of them were present in each one of the 17 samples. Most abundant were the diterpene acids: isocupressic, communic, pimaric and imbricatoloic acid, together with totarol and 13-epitorulosal, found in all sampels. Among the minor components,

**Table 2**Compound groups identified in propolis ethanol extracts by GC-MS (percent TIC, TMS derivatives)<sup>a</sup>.

Sample	Hydroxy acids	Sugars and sugar derivatives	Aromatic acids	Fatty acids and esters	Diterpenes	Terpenyl esters of substituted benzoic acids	Triterpenes	Others <sup>b</sup>	Unknown <sup>c</sup>	Flavonoids & cinnamic acids esters
M1	1.2	14.9	0.8	9.4	44.1	5.2	2.7	2.9	10.5	-
M2	0.4	18.2	1.5	3.3	46.0	5.9	1.2	1.2	6.2	_
M3	1.2	24.6	1.0	4.6	23.9	2.7	1.9	5.3	5.4	_
M4	0.9	18,9	<0.1	2.5	55.0	4.8	0.6	2.8	4.2	_
M5	< 0.1	0.8	<0.1	1.5	92.5	0	1.7	1.2	0.9	_
M6	1.2	25.3	0.7	4.0	34.6	2.2	4.9	4.1	3.1	-
M7	1.0	15.0	0.2	3.6	60.6	0.2	1.2	4.1	3.9	-
M8	< 0.1	26.2	0.8	0.8	58.2	0.1	-	1.2	0.4	-
M9	0.8	30.7	0.4	2.5	43.9	1.0	1.8	2.0	2.3	_
M10	0.7	19.4	0.3	2.2	30.6	1.4	<0.1	4.1	2.0	_
M11	0.9	23.6	0.3	2.2	53.5	0.2	3.5	3.3	3.2	_
M12	0.5	18.3	0.4	4.5	46.7	2.2	1.2	4.6	4.5	-
M13	1.5	22.4	2.7	6.9	26.1	11.8	0.2	5.1	7.1	-
M14	3.0	37.2	2.4	4.2	20.4	2.9	0.6	8.0	2.3	-
M15	1.5	29.8	1.5	3.1	18.7	5.5	3.6	4.6	4.8	1.0
M16	0.5	19.9	1.7	3.4	40.9	7.6	<0.1	2.7	6.7	-
M17	0.1	17.6	0.4	3.7	59.3	0.2	0.9	2.4	3.5	-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Two replicates, relative s.d. < 10%.

neoabietic acid (found only in 10 samples), manool oxide (absent in 2 and present in traces in 6 of the samples), 13-epi-torulosol (present in 12 of 17 samples) should be mentioned. The rest of the compounds identified were: abietic acid<sup>1</sup>, acetylisocupressic acid, agathadiol, communal, copalol, 13-epi-cupressic acid, dehydroabietic acid, 13,14-dehydrojunicedric acid, 14,15-dinor-13-oxo-8(17)-labden-19-oic acid, ferruginol, ferruginolon, hydroxydehydroabietic acid, 2-hydroxyferruginol, 6/7-hydroxyferruginol, isoagatholal, junicedric acid, labda-8(17),12,13-triene,13-epi-manool, sempervirol, 13-epi-torulosol, totarolon, and two unidentified diterpene acids (one of them present in all samples). All of these 32 compounds were recently found in Greek propolis of the Mediterranean type (Popova et al., 2010), and the GC-MS profiles of Greek and Maltese propolis were, in general, similar, but there were also some differences.

In the mass chromatograms of the samples from Malta, as in the Greek ones, diterpenes and sugars were the most abundant groups of constituents. However, in almost all Maltese samples (with the only exception of M-5), some peaks were observed that were not present in any of the Mediterranean samples that we have studied up to now (Greek, Sicilian and Croatian). The corresponding mass spectra were consistent with mono- and sesquiterpenyl esters of substituted benzoic acids. Very recently, we isolated several compounds of this type from a propolis sample from Iran (Trusheva et al., 2010). Using these isolated compounds as reference substances, we were able to verify the presence of ferutinin (ferutinol p-hydroxybenzoate) (3) and teferin (ferutinol vanillate) (4) in Maltese samples. It is important to note that the mass spectra of silvlated esters of substituted benzoic acids display a diagnostic fragment peak (ArC=O+), characteristic of the corresponding acid: at m/z 193 for p-hydroxybenzoates, at m/z 223 for vanillates, at m/z135 for anisates. Based on this fact, we detected the presence of three more esters of this type, besides 3 and 4, in the mass chromatograms. For this reason, we performed a rapid TLC fingerprinting of Maltese propolis, together with reference substances 3 and **4**. As a result, we were able to recognise, together with the spots of 3 and 4, two more terpene esters of benzoic acids in almost all samples. They were well recognizable because of their UV fluorescence and their specific green colour after spraying with vanillinsulphuric acid reagent. We succeeded in isolating them, by PTLC, from sample M-16 and identified them as 2-acetoxy-6-*p*-methoxy-benzoyl jaeschkeanadiol (1) and 2-acetoxy-6-*p*-hydroxybenzoyl jaeschkeanadiol (2). They were identified by comparison of their spectral characteristics (MS, <sup>1</sup>H– and <sup>13</sup>C–NMR spectra) with literature data (Lamnaouer, Martin, Molho, & Bodo, 1989). So we were able to use 1 and 2 as reference compounds and to identify them in the mass chromatograms of Maltese propolis. Fig. 1 shows the chemical structures of compounds 1–4. The semiquantitative data about the terpene esters of benzoic acids in the studied specimens is presented in Table 3. The characteristic most abundant MS peaks of the silvlated compounds are listed in Table 4.

Compounds 1 and 2 have previously been isolated only from Ferula communis (Lamnaouer et al., 1989) and are here reported, for the first time, in propolis. Sesquiterpene esters of benzoic acids are characteristic secondary metabolites of the genus Ferula. Their presence in Maltese propolis is a clear indication that Ferula species, and most probably Ferula communis, which is typical of the island's flora (Makhzoumi, 2000), play the role of a propolis plant source in Malta, although not a basic one (Table 2). It is important to note the fact that Ferula was chosen by bees as a secondary propolis source in two different phytogeographic regions: Iran and Malta.

Other constituents of Maltese propolis were aliphatic hydroxyacids, aromatic and fatty acids, triterpenes (mainly alcohols of the amyrin type) (Table 2). In only one sample, M-15, minor amounts of typical poplar flavonoids (chrysin, pinocembrin chalcone) and poplar caffeic acid esters (pentenyl caffeates) were detected. This might be explained by the occasional presence of an introduced poplar tree.

Having all these data, it was of interest to check if there were any statistically significant correlations between the compound groups in the samples, using Pearson's correlation. The presence of such correlations might be an indication of whether the particular compound groups originate from the same or different plant sources. A relatively strong negative correlation was observed (r = -0.750, p = 0.0004) between diterpenes and sugars, while the negative correlation detected between diterpenes and sesquiterpene esters of benzoic acids was only moderate (r = -0.495, p = 0.0043). The derivation of the diterpenes was suggested by Popova et al. (2009) to be some Coniferous plant, most probably of the Cupressaseae family (e.g. *Cupressus sempervirens*), while

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Others include: ethylamine, ethylphosphate, glycerol, butanediol and sitosterol.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> For mass spectra of the unknown see Materials and methods.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Present in all samples.

**Fig. 1.** Terpenyl esters in Maltese propolis: **1.** 2-acetoxy-6-*p*-methoxybenzoyl jaeschkeanadiol; **2.** 2-acetoxy-6-*p*-hydroxybenzoyl jaeschkeanadiol; **3.** ferutinin (ferutinol *p*-hydroxybenzoate); **4.** teferin (ferutinol vanillate).

the esters 1-4 most probably originate from Ferula, as already mentioned. Thus the negative correlation between these groups is expected. On the other hand, the origin of sugars in propolis has received almost no comments in the literature. Small amounts of glucose, fructose and sucrose are believed to originate from nectar or honey, introduced occasionally by the bees (Quan, Khan, Watson, & Fearnley, 2008). The guestion has been raised as to whether the sugars in propolis come from hydrolysed flavonoid glycosides (Quan et al., 2008). However, there are numerous proofs that bees collect propolis from plant materials that contain flavonoid aglycones but no glycosides. Then again, mucilages were listed among potential propolis sources by Crane (1988). Bankova, Christov, and Tejera (1998) found (in propolis from the Canary Islands) an unusually rich sugar fraction containing numerous sugars, sugar alcohols and acids, and suggested that the source of these sugars might be some mucilage. In the case of Maltese propolis, there were over 25 peaks corresponding to mono- and disaccharides, sugar alcohols and uronic acids in every sample. For this reason the hypothesis that some plant mucilage is an additional propolis source, seems well-founded.

The extracts of the Maltese propolis samples were subjected to screening for antimicrobial activity by the agar cup method (Table 5). No activity was found against *E. coli*, similarly to most propolis samples of different origin and their constituents (Grange & Davey, 1990; Kujumgiev et al., 1999), but unlike the results of Tosi et al. (2007) with Argentinean propolis. All samples demonstrated a good activity against *S. aureus*, somewhat higher than that of a typical poplar propolis sample (Bulgarian sample). This confirms the observation that Mediterranean propolis is active against this

**Table 4**Most prominent mass spectral peaks of the TMS ethers of terpenyl esters in Maltese propolis.

Compound	Prominent MS peaks
2-Acetoxy-6- <i>p</i> -methoxybenzoyl jaeschkeanadiol <b>1</b>	132 (26), 135 (100), 175 (47),
2-Acetoxy-6- <i>p</i> -hydroxybenzoyl jaeschkeanadiol <b>2</b>	132 (35), 175 (35), 193 (100),
Ferutinin 3	132 (19), 159 (27), 177 (25), 193 (100),
Teferin 4	132 (13), 159 (20), 177 (26), 193 (45), 223 (100),
<b>X</b> (unidentified anisate)	135 (25), 159 (18), 177 (38), 193 (100)

**Table 5** Antimicrobial activities against *S. aureus and Candida albicans* (zones of inhibition) of propolis extracts (at  $400 \mu g$  in the cup).

Sample	Zone of inhibition (mm)						
	S. aureus	C. albicans					
M1	17 ± 1	0					
M2	22 ± 0	15 ± 1					
M3	15 ± 1	0					
M4	25 ± 1	12 ± 0					
M5	27 ± 1	0					
M6	24 ± 0	0					
M7	22 ± 0	0					
M8	23.7 ± 0.6	0					
M9	23 ± 1	0					
M10	22 ± 0	0					
M11	25 ± 1	0					
M12	24.3 ± 0.6	0					
M13	22 ± 0	$16 \pm 0$					
M14	23 ± 1	$14 \pm 0$					
M15	23.7 ± 0.6	$15.7 \pm 0.6$					
M16	25 ± 1	15 ± 1					
M17	22 ± 0	0					
Bulgarian propolis	20 ± 1	18 ± 1					
Streptomycin <sup>a</sup>	28 ± 1	-					
Nysrtatin <sup>b</sup>	-	31 ± 1					

Tests were done in triplicate, values are means + s.d.

microorganism, due to the action of its diterpene constituents. On the other hand, only 6 samples displayed antifungal activity against C. albicans and all of them contained significant amounts of terpenyl esters of hydroxybenzoic acids. A statistically significant Pearson's correlation (r = 0.7887, p < 0.001) was found between the amount of the esters and the antifungal activity. These data suggest that the terpenyl hydroxybenzoates play an important role in the antifungal properties of Maltese propolis, while the diterpene constituents are of grater significance for the antibacterial properties. Obviously, Maltese propolis and, in general,

**Table 3**Terpenyl esters of substituted benzoic acids identified in propolis ethanol extracts by GC-MS (percent TIC, TMS derivatives).<sup>a</sup>

Compound	RT (min)	M1	M2	М3	M4	M5	M6	M7	M8	M9	M10	M11	M12	M13	M14	M15	M16	M17
1	47.2	0.2	-	0.2	0.1	-	0.1	-	-	-	0.7	-	0.6	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.9	Tr.
2	48.4	0.4	0.5	-	0.4	-	0.4	Tr.	Tr.	Tr.	0.1	Tr.	Tr.	1.8	0.3	Tr.	4.8	Tr.
3	44.8	3.4	4.1	2.5	3.9	-	1.6	0.2	0.1	1.0	1.2	0.2	2.2	8.4	2.3	4.5	2.3	0.1
4	46.6	0.4	0.6	0.2	0.4	-	0.2	_	-	Tr.	0.1	Tr.	Tr.	1.2	0.2	0.8	0.2	0.1
Xb	43.7	1.0	0.7	Tr.	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Tr.	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.3	-

<sup>1. 2-</sup>Acetoxy-6-p-methoxybenzoyl jaeschkeanadiol; 2. 2-acetoxy-6-p-hydroxybenzoyl jaeschkeanadiol; 3. ferutinin (ferutinol p-hydroxybenzoate); 4. teferin (ferutinol vanillate).

 $<sup>^{</sup>a}$  100 µg in the cup.

ь 50 I.U.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Two replicates, relative s.d. < 10%.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Unidentified ester of *p*-hydroxybenzoic acid.

Mediterranean propolis need further research in order to overcome the problem of standardization, which is an obstacle to wider use of propolis in the food industry.

#### 4. Conclusions

The present results demonstrate that Maltese propolis may be a rich source of antibacterial and antifungal compounds. They also confirm the view that Mediterranean propolis, from different Mediterranean regions, is a valuable natural product with potential to improve human health, and further studies are needed to reveal its chemistry and biological activity in more detail, in order to enable its use in health foods and cosmetics.

#### References

- Ahn, M. R., Kumazawa, S., Usui, Y., Nakamura, J., Matsuka, M., Zhu, F., et al. (2007). Antioxidant activity and constituents of propolis collected in various areas of China. Food Chemistry, 101, 1383–1392.
- Bankova, V. (2005). Recent trends and important developments in propolis research. Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine, 2, 29–32.
- Bankova, V. (2009). Chemical diversity of propolis makes it a valuable source of new biologically active compounds. *Journal of ApiProduct and ApiMedical Science*, 1, 23–28.
- Bankova, V. S., Christov, R. S., & Tejera, A. D. (1998). Lignans and other constituents of propolis from the Canary Islands. *Phytochemistry*, 49, 1411–1415.
- Bankova, V., Popova, M., & Trusheva, B. (2006). Plant sources of propolis: An update from a chemist's point of view. *Natural Product Communications*, 1, 1023–1028.
- Banskota, A. H., Tezuka, Y., & Kadota, S. (2001). Recent progress in pharmacological research of propolis. *Phytotherapy Research*, 15, 561–571.
- Cox, R. E., Yamamoto, S., Otto, A., & Simoneit, B. R. T. (2007). Oxygenated di- and tricyclic diterpenoids of southern hemisphere conifers. *Biochemical Systematics* and Ecology, 35, 342–362.
- Crane, E. (1988). Beekeeping: Science, practice and world recourses. London: Heinemann.
- Cuesta-Rubio, O., Piccinelli, A. L., Campo Fernandez, M., Márquez Hernández, I., Rosado, A., & Rastrelli, L. (2007). Chemical characterization of Cuban propolis by HPLC – PDA, HPLC – MS, and NMR: The brown, red, and yellow Cuban varieties of propolis. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 55, 7502–7509.

- Grange, J. M., & Davey, R. W. (1990). Antibacterial properties of propolis (bee glue). Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine, 83, 159–160.
- Kalogeropoulos, N., Konteles, S. J., Troullidou, E., Mourtzinos, I., & Karathanos, V. T. (2009). Chemical composition, antioxidant activity and antimicrobial properties of propolis extracts from Greece and Cyprus. Food Chemistry, 116, 452–461.
- Kujumgiev, A., Tsvetkova, I., Serkedjieva, Y., Bankova, V., Christov, R., & Popov, S. (1999). Antibacterial, antifungal and antiviral activity of propolis of different geographic origin. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 64, 235–240.
- Kumazawa, S., Hamasaka, T., & Nakayama, T. (2004). Antioxidant activity of propolis of various geographic origins. *Food Chemistry*, 84, 329–339.
- Lamnaouer, D., Martin, M.-T., Molho, D., & Bodo, B. (1989). Isolation of daucane esters from Ferula communis var. Brevifolia. Phytochemistry, 28, 2711–2715.
- Makhzoumi, J. M. (2000). Landscape ecology as a foundation for landscape architecture: Application in Malta. Landscape and Urban Planning, 50, 167-177.
- Popova, M., Chinou, I., Marekov, I., & Bankova, V. (2009). Terpenes with antimicrobial activity from Cretan propolis. *Phytochemistry*, 70, 1262–1271.
- Popova, M., Graikou, K., Chinou, I., Bankova, V. (2010). GC-MS profiling of diterpene compounds in Mediterranean propolis from Greece. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, Articles ASAP. doi:10.1021/jf903841k.
- Quan, W. L., Khan, Z., Watson, D. G., & Fearnley, J. (2008). Analysis of sugars in bee pollen and propolis by ligand exchange chromatography in combination with pulsed amperometric detection and mass spectrometry. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, 21, 78–83.
- Seidel, V., Peyfoon, E., Watson, D. G., & Fearnley, J. (2008). Comparative study of the antibacterial activity of propolis from different geographical and climatic zones. *Phytotherapy Research*, 22, 1256–1263.
- Sforcin, J. M. (2007). Propolis and the immune system: A review. Journal of Ethnopharmacology, 11, 1–14.
- Spooner, F. D., & Sykes, G. (1972). Laboratory assessment of antibacterial activity. In J. R. Norris & D. W. Ribbonsm (Eds.), Methods in microbiology. London: Academic Press (vol. 7B pp. 211–276).
- Tosi, E. A., Ré, E., Ortega, M. E., & Cazzoli, A. F. (2007). Food preservative based on propolis: Bacteriostatic activity of propolis polyphenols and flavonoids upon *Escherichia coli*. Food Chemistry, 104, 1025–1029.
- Trusheva, B., Popova, M., Bankova, V., Tsvetkova, I., Naydensky, C., & Sabatini, A. G. (2003). A new type of European propolis, containing bioactive labdabes. *Rivista Italiana E.P.P.O.S.*, 36, 3–7.
- Trusheva, B., Todorov, I., Ninova, M., Najdenski, H., Daneshmand, A., & Bankova, V. (2010). Antibacterial mono- and sesquiterpene esters of benzoic acids from Iranian propolis. Chemistry Central Journal, 4, 8. Available from: <a href="http://journal.chemistrycentral.com/content/4/1/8">http://journal.chemistrycentral.com/content/4/1/8</a>.
- Velikova, M., Bankova, V., Sorkun, K., Houcine, S., Tsvetkova, I., & Kujumgiev, A. (2000). Propolis from the Mediterranean region: Chemical composition and antimicrobial activity. Zeitschrift fur Naturforschung, Teil C, 55, 790–793.