Nitric Oxide Modulation of the Basal Ganglia Circuitry: Therapeutic Implication for Parkinson’s Disease and Other Motor Disorders
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Abstract: Several recent studies have emphasized a crucial role for the nitricergic system in movement control and the pathophysiology of the basal ganglia (BG). These observations are supported by anatomical evidence demonstrating the presence of nitric oxide synthase (NOS) in all the basal ganglia nuclei. In fact, nitricergic terminals have been reported to make synaptic contacts with both substantia nigra dopamine-containing neurons and their terminal areas such as the striatum, the globus pallidus and the subthalamus. These brain areas contain a high expression of nitric oxide (NO)-producing neurons, with the striatum having the greatest number, together with important NO afferent input. In this paper, the distribution of NO in the BG nuclei will be described. Furthermore, evidence demonstrating the nitricergic control of BG activity will be reviewed. The new avenues that the increasing knowledge of NO in motor control has opened for exploring the pathophysiology and pharmacology of Parkinson’s disease and other movement disorders will be discussed. For example, inhibition of striatal NO/guanosine monophosphate signal pathway by phosphodiesterases seems to be effective in levodopa-induced dyskinesia. However, the results of experimental studies have to be interpreted with caution given the complexities of nitricergic signalling and the limitations of animal models. Nevertheless, the NO system represents a promising pharmacological intervention for treating Parkinson’s disease and related disorders.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Nitric oxide (NO) has been associated with a variety of physiological and pathological processes in the human body since it was identified as a novel signal molecule by Furchgott and Zawadzki [1]. NO is synthesized from L-arginine (L-ARG) by a nitric oxide synthase (NOS) using nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) and molecular oxygen [2]. To date, 3 isoforms of NOS, that is, neuronal NOS (nNOS), endothelial NOS (eNOS), and inducible NOS (iNOS), have been identified. While nNOS and eNOS are constitutively expressed, the expression of iNOS is induced through the inflammatory response process of cells to infections or injuries [3]. The characteristics of neurotransmitter NO are: (i) it is synthesized postsynaptically, (ii) it is not stored in vesicles being a diffusible gas, (iii) it does not act at conventional receptors on the surface of adjacent neurons, (iv) it can act as a retrograde messenger diffusing to the presynaptic terminal. Based on this evidence we can affirm that NO in the nervous system works as an unorthodox neurotransmitter. A major biochemical function of NO is to activate the soluble form of guanylyl cyclase (sGC), inducing the accumulation of cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) in target cells. cGMP subsequently acts via protein kinases, phosphodiesterases, and perhaps directly on ion channels [4, 5]. Furthermore, NO can exert its biological effects through other mechanisms, such as modulating the function of monoamine transporters and S-nitrosylation of receptors. It has been demonstrated that NO can S-nitrosylate the...
topographically and functionally segregated pathways. The cortical inputs to the striatum are convergent, in such a way, for example, that sensory and motor cortex areas converge into single striatal zones [12]. Close to the striatum is located the GPe and the substantia nigra pars reticulata (SNr), the main output nuclei of the BG [13]. They project, via various thalamic nuclei, to most cortical areas of the frontal lobe [14]. This architecture means that the BG are part of extensive loops, BG-thalamocortical circuits, which link almost the entire cerebral cortex to the frontal lobe. The GPe and the SNr also have descending output to the brain stem, especially with the pedunculopontine tegmental (PPT) nucleus.

The striatum can be divided into three main parts: the putamen, the caudate nucleus, and the ventral striatum. This division roughly corresponds to a functional division of BG-thalamocortical circuits: sensory-motor circuits of the putamen, with output to primary motor cortex, the supplementary motor cortex and the premotor cortex; associative circuits of the caudate nucleus, with output to the prefrontal cortex; and limbic circuits of the ventral striatum, with output to the anterior cingulate cortex and medial prefrontal cortex [13, 15]. The ventral (limbic) striatum also receives input from limbic structures, such as the amygdala and hippocampus [16]. The striatum projects to the output structures (GPi and SNr) by two pathways, the so-called direct and indirect pathways. The indirect pathway also includes the STN. All projections from the striatum, the GPe, and the SNr release γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) and are inhibitory, while the projections from the cortex, the STN and the thalamus are excitatory, and use glutamate (GLU) as their neurotransmitter. The GABA-containing neurons in the GPi and the SNr are tonically active, they project to the ventral tier of the thalamus (ventrolateral, ventromedial, ventral anterior nuclei) and form inhibitory synaptic contacts with thalamocortical neurons that project to the motor and premotor cortex. Activation of the direct pathway inhibits GPi/SNr neurons, which in turn disinhibits thalamic neurons, finally resulting in excitation of the cortical neurons. Activation of the indirect pathway has an opposite effect, activating the GPi/SNr and thereby inhibiting the cortex [13]. In this way, the two pathways balance each other, modulating cortical activity. Alexander and Crutcher [14] suggested a model where the indirect pathway provides a diffuse background inhibition of behavioural impulses, while the direct pathway gives a focused activation of the desired behavioural program. In this model, the BG play an important role in inhibiting potentially competing motor programs. This may be a general mechanism for action selection where “the winner takes all”, by facilitation of the strongest cortical signal and suppression of the rest [17]. Recently, it has been proposed by Wilkström and co-workers that the BG can also elicit a behaviourally meaningful and varied motor pattern without the involvement of the cerebral cortex [18].

Among the BG nuclei, the striatum seems to have a prominent role in determining when a given motor program should be selected and called into action. For the hypothesised function of the striatum in selection of motor programs, a certain level of tonic dopamine (DA) activity is required. DA projections from the substantia nigra pars compacta (SNC) to the striatum modulate the activity of striatal neurons in a complex way. According to a simplified model, the striatal neurons forming the direct pathway mainly express excitatory D1-receptors, while the striatal neurons in the indirect pathway mainly have inhibitory D2-receptors. This means that DA would facilitate motor behaviours through the activation of the direct pathway and conversely through the inhibition of the indirect one. Reduced DA innervation of the striatum results, indeed, in hypokinesia and difficulty in initiating different motor patterns, including facial expression [19]; enhanced striatal DA activity will instead give rise to hyperkinesia (i.e. premature or unintended activation of motor programs). DA also seems to be involved in BG learning processes, by strengthening or weakening the efficacy of corticostriatal synapses [8, 20, 21]. In this way the striatum may learn to respond to certain patterns of cortical activation.

3. NO DISTRIBUTION IN THE BG

NO signalling plays an important role in controlling motor behaviour modulating the integration of information processed by the BG nuclei. Most likely, it interacts with dopaminergic (DAergic), serotonergic, cholinergic and glutamatergic (GLUergic) neurotransmission at different levels of these nuclei. Consistently, mice mutant for nNOS have altered locomotor abilities and rats and mice treated with various NO inhibitors show problems with fine motor control. NO, furthermore, antagonizes the increase in locomotor activity found after DA agonist administration. The pharmacological blocking of nNOS decreases locomotion and induces catalepsy in different animal species [22].

Although NOS neurons are present throughout all BG nuclei and in other regions involved in motor control such as the motor cortices and the PPT, their concentration varies significantly [5, 22-28]. For example, in comparison with other brain centers, the SNC may be considered a rather NOS cell-poor nucleus [29, 30]. Some studies even failed to detect any NADPH-diaphorase (NADPH-d) (+) cells in the SNC [31]. Indeed, most NOS is contained in afferents from the PPT nucleus [32, 33], while only a small NOS+ neuronal population has been identified within the ventral tegmental area (VTA) and the SNC [29, 34, 35].

The sequential staining for NOS and tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) indicated that these enzymes are colocalized in less than 1% of the neurons positive for either marker [29, 30, 35]. Contrasting results have been shown in VTA by Kleibor and co-workers, revealing a higher percentage of NOS+ neurons ranging from 9 to 22% [34]. Similarly, some evidence seems to suggest that the SNC NOS+ neuron population is higher and has almost the same density as those present in the ventral pallidus and nucleus accumbens [36, 37]. Thus, such data provide grounds for a production of NO by DAergic cells, together with an afferent NO input that could affect DA neurons through diffusion from neighbouring sources. Strikingly, NOS+ neuron numbers within the SNC and the BG in general are subject to modification, for example nigral nitricergic neurons increase after intoxication with 1-methyl 4-phenyl 1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP) [38] and rotenone [39] but decreases after 30 and 50 days from 6-hydroxydopamine (6-OHDA) administration [40].

The scenario appears different in the SNr; in fact many NADPH-d (+) dendrites and axon-like processes are present, some of which have close relationships with vessels [31]. The origin of these dendrites and axon-like processes may be local, constituted by an ample intrinsic subpopulation of SNr GABA/NOS neurons, and extrinsic, constituted by medium to large cholinergic/NOS neurons of the PPT and laterodorsal tegmental nucleus [30]. Within the SNr two types of GABA-cells co-express NOS: one is represented by large cells in the rostromedial part of the SNr containing parvalbumin and NOS and another population constituted by small GABA-cells located in the rostromedial portion of the SNr [30].

NOS expression in the striatum has been more extensively studied [23, 24, 26-28, 31, 41, 42]. NOS neurons are one type of the four different classes of interneurons present in rodent and human striatum. They populate the entire striatum, including the tail and gyrus of the caudate nucleus, and represent 1-2% of all striatal cells and are spiny, co-expressing neurokinin 1-receptors, somatostatin (SOM) and neuropeptide Y (NPY) [28]. The striatal nitricergic neurons are essentially small in diameter (12-25 μm), slender, bipolar and fusiform with a long dendrite. The neuronal population of the human striatum seems to be more heterogeneous than previously thought. In fact, up to 12 different subtypes of NOS neurons have been described in humans and, strikingly, one of them is a large reticular NOS cell that resembles the characteristics of a projecting neuron [42]. This efferent nitricergic cell was
demonstrated to project to the insular cortex. Thus, at least some of the NOS reticular neurons of the human striatum have direct cortical projections, though the existence of their axon collaterals in striatal tissues close to the maternal cells also demonstrates that they influence surrounding cells [26]. Moreover, the matrix is the striatal compartment with the densest NOS neuronal population that tends to be located at the boundaries between the striosomes and the matrix, as well as at the boundaries between the core and the peripheral region of the striosomes [41]. This finding has an important functional implication, because the NOS neurons that occur at the edges between the two compartments are thought to mediate interactions between the medium spiny neurons (MSNs) of the matrix and the striosomes.

Despite recent advances, the role of NOS interneurons in the striatum is still not clear. Among their postulated functions are: (i) to control local blood flow in the striatum by releasing NO acting directly on sGC in the vascular smooth-muscle and causing vasodilatation; (ii) to produce NO that acts as a neurotransmitter modulating striatal discharge and plasticity, either through direct interactions with ligand-gated channels or by influencing surrounding striatal MSNs via the stimulation of second messenger systems [43].

mRNA expression studies have revealed a scattered sub-population of NOS neurons in the internal segment and medial medullary lamina (MML) of the globus pallidus (GP) and in almost all neurons of the STN, which are presumed to be GABAergic and excitatory. Moreover, it is important to note that NOS neurons have not been detected in the GPe and this may have functional implications, since it is the GPi and not the GPe which relays the BG output to the motor nuclei of the thalamus. In the GP, NO is probably co-localized with GABA, which has previously been shown to be the neurotransmitter of virtually all pallidal neurons [24, 27].

4. NO MODULATION OF BG CIRCUITRY

4.1 NO MODULATION of the Activity of DAergic Nigrostriatal System

Experimental evidence from a wealth of studies have shown that the NO system plays a prominent role in the control of central nigrostriatal DA function [43-48]. This experimental evidence is corroborated by anatomical localization of NOS in the ventral midbrain (see the discussion above).

NO modulation of DA nigrostriatal system has been intensive studied, especially with a neurochemical approach producing confounding results [43]. Both excitatory and inhibitory control by NO of striatal DA release has been described. Indeed, the role of NO in striatal DA release is one of the most controversial in neuroscience and enhancement is the commonly accepted effect [43]. However, a reconciliatory hypothesis has been suggested that NO acts to decrease DA release when the biological system is not in a state of oxidative stress [49, 50]. In contrast, under physiological conditions, NO might facilitate DA release. Nevertheless, neurochemical data obtained in our laboratory concur with the electrophysiological recordings, also showing that variation of endogenous nitricergic tone does not influence basal striatal DA release [44, 46, 47]. On the other hand, electrophysiological [44, 47, 51] evidence, although scanty, is compelling and shows a lack of tonic nitricergic control over the DA neuronal discharge both in vivo [44, 47, 52] and in vitro [51, 52] (Fig. 1). These studies have instead indicated a possible state-dependent facilitatory control of NO on the nigrostriatal DA pathway. Indeed, No-nitro-L-arginine methyl ester (L-NAME), an unselective NOS inhibitor, and the NO precursor L-ARG, inhibits and potentiates, respectively, NMDA-induced [51] increase of DA firing and bursting rate and had no effect in basal conditions in vitro. Similar results have been obtained in vivo: disruption of NO levels by general treatment or local application by microiontophoresis with L-NAME, L-ARG and the NO donor molsidomine (MOL) produced consistent changes in the firing rate and burst firing of SNc DA neurons [44]. Consistent with a block of NMDA-induced bursts in vitro by NO inhibition [51], disrupting NO endogenous tone counteracted the stimulation induced by nicotine in the SNc [44] and in VTA [52] (see [53] for the nicotine effect in the DA function). 7-nitro-indazolone (7-NI) and L-NAME pretreatment completely prevented the increase in DA neuronal firing rate and burst firing induced by nicotine administration in the SNc and attenuated nicotine-induced enhancement of the extracellular levels of DA and 3,4-dihydroxy-phenylacetic acid (DOPAC) in the striatum of awake freely moving rats [44]. Moreover, the critical role played by nitric oxide/DA interaction is further supported by the evidence of a complete restoration of nicotine effects in rats pre-treated with 7-NI and L-NAME, plus the NO donor MOL.

The electrophysiological and neurochemical effects of 7-NI on the nigrostriatal system are more complex and deserve more attention owing to the peculiarity of the molecule. 7-NI is a common pharmacological tool used to study NO effect in the central nervous system (CNS) since it preferentially inhibits nNOS in vivo [54] and above all it does not have any appreciable confounding pressor effects as does L-NAME [55]. However, 7-NI shows a strong monoamine oxidase (MAO) type B inhibitory activity [56-59]. Indeed, the effects obtained with 7-NI may be due not only to inhibition of neuronal NOS, but also, at least in part, to MAO inhibition suggesting that in general the results obtained with this NOS inhibitors should be taken cautiously.

Differently from L-NAME that was ineffective, although not significant in the overall statistical analysis, 7-NI treatment slightly decreased discharge rate and 2 DA neurons out of 6 were clearly affected in their firing pattern, showing a long-lasting decrease in the number of spikes fired in bursts [44]. In a SNC cells-per-track study, 7-NI significantly decreased the percentage of action potentials fired in bursts while the number of spontaneously active nigral DA neurons and the mean firing rate of these cells were unaffected [47]. In addition, 7-NI-induced a decrease of DOPAC [44, 47, 59]. All these effects are likely to be independent of nNOS inhibition or more generally of NO production and instead are a consequence of MAO B inhibitory activity possessed by 7-NI. Indeed, it has been shown that the MAO B inhibitor deprenyl decreases the spontaneous firing discharge of DA SNc and VTA cells in vitro [60] and striatal DOPAC [59].

Increasing NO levels within the SNc seems ineffective as well on the nigral neuron firing pattern. MOL, although capable of inducing a significant increase in the number of spontaneously active SNc neurons, did not modify nigral burst and firing in a population study [47], and did not change firing rate and pattern [44], confirming the L-ARG lack of effect seen in vivo [52] and in vitro [51]. Therefore, NO may be necessary, but not sufficient, for the induction of burst firing.

Surprisingly, a single MOL injection instead decreased both striatal DA tissue levels and DA metabolism, although the latter not significantly [47]. This evidence would suggest that NO has an inhibitory effect on striatal DA efflux in accordance with some previous studies [48]. Indeed, we have revealed an exacerbated effect on DA tissue levels in the 6-OHDA model of Parkinson’s disease (PD) produced by MOL [46], a toxin that induces degeneration of nigrostriatal DA-containing neurons by producing reactive oxygen species [61].

Furthermore, an interesting observation arising from [47] was that repeated (4 days) 7-NI and MOL administration affected nigrostriatal neurotransmission differently. Sub-chronic MOL treatment failed to modify either the number of spontaneously active neurons or other electrophysiological parameters.
Conversely, striatal DA and DOPAC levels were still decreased [47].

Fig. (1). Effect of nitric oxide drugs on the firing rate of dopaminergic neurons in vitro. Recording from a DA cell shows enhancement of burst firing by L-arginine (100 mM) (A). L-NAME (100 mM) selectively inhibits NMDA-induced burst firing in DA neurons (B). D-NAME (100 mM), the less potent enantiomer for inhibition of NOS, fails to inhibit NMDA-induced burst firing (C). L-NAME-induced inhibition of burst firing is reversed by the addition of L-arginine (100 mM) to the perfusate (D). Broken lines indicate -50 mV. Modified from [51].

Strikingly, the inhibition of NOS by 7-NI produced an unexpected significant rise in the number of spontaneously active nigral neurons and a correlated slight increase of striatal DA tissue levels. Nevertheless, sub-chronic 7-NI treatment induced an even stronger regularization of temporal structure of nigral spiking activity reducing burst firing of about 60% when compared with the control group. These findings are in line with the evidence that NOS inhibitors induce catalepsy in rodents [62, 63] and decrease exploratory behaviour in rats [64] while both effects disappear after only 4 days of NOS inhibition [36, 64-67]. Therefore, the increase in DA neurotransmission after 7-NI sub-chronic treatment showed by Di Matteo et al. [47] might be the mechanism involved in the rapid tolerance development after chronic NOS inhibition. Activation of the nigrostriatal system after chronic NO inhibition is difficult to explain and is likely to be the final result of different effects. For example, an increase in the number of nitrergic neurons in the striatum, nucleus accumbens and in the PPT occurred in rodents that developed tolerance to the cataleptic effect of the non-selective NOS inhibitor L-nitro-arginine (L-NOARG) [36, 67]. These plastic changes result in partial recovery of striatal NO formation [67] and might be involved in the rise in striatal DA levels that we observed in our study. Moreover, the increase in the number of spontaneously active nigral DA neurons after sub-chronic 7-NI treatment might be a consequence of the augmented excitatory PPT input to the SNc [36], likely mediated by acetylcholine (ACh) inasmuch as NOS largely co-localizes with it [68]. On the other hand, the decrease in bursting activity seen after sub-chronic NO inhibition [47] might depend on the reduced nigral NO levels. Indeed, nitrergic neurons are reduced within the SNc after L-NOARG sub-chronic treatment [36]. Furthermore, it is possible to rule out the involvement of changes in D2 receptors on the effects of chronic NOS inhibition inasmuch as it has been shown in mice and rats that repeated treatment with L-NOARG failed to change striatal D2 binding and D2-mRNA expression in the dorsal striatum and SNc [67].

The effect of striatal NO has been investigated on the responsiveness of SNc DA neurons to the intermittertual electrical stimulation of the striatum and orbital prefrontal cortex [69]. Increasing NO tone in the striatum counteracted the decrease in firing rate of DA cells observed in control animals during intermittent stimulation. Additionally, removal of NO tone increased the proportion of DA neurons responding to striatal stimulation and increased the prevalence of the initial inhibitory responses [48, 69]. Thus, it has been proposed that NO may play a pivotal role in controlling the delicate homeostatic processes that normally provide stability to the DA-nigral system. Indeed, it may be capable of dynamically regulating the relative phasic DA responsivity via its action on tonic DA levels, in a manner dependent on the arousal state of the animal. Under rest, NO produced by GLUergic activation of NOS interneurons might increase DA release either by intensifying GLU release or by influencing the activity of DA transporter, decreasing DA uptake and possibly causing a DA reverse release. This increase in tonic DA would down-modulate spike-dependent phasic DA release via stimulation of the very sensitive DA autoreceptors present on DA terminals. In contrast, during behavioural arousal, NO exerts an opposite effect on tonic extracellular DA levels that seems to be concentration-dependent. The strong production of NO, caused by intense GLUergic corticostriatal transmission, would result in the inhibition of NMDA receptor function and produce less inhibition of phasic DA release via disinhibition of the DA autoreceptors [43]. As striatal NO controls DA concentration mutually, striatal NO is also under a DAergic influence [70, 71]; indeed both electric and chemical stimulation of the SNc elicited a robust surge in striatal NO efflux. This release seems to be neuronally dependent, being blocked by pretreatment with nNOS inhibitors, and also evoked only by high-frequency stimulation that resembles the natural burst firing of DA SNc neurons. This last piece of evidence indicates that NO efflux occurs only when DA transmission is phasically increased and suggests that information transmitted via the nigrostriatal pathway during DA cell burst firing may be processed and/or amplified by NOS interneurons [70, 71]. DA within the striatum could directly modulate NO efflux, exciting NOS interneurons through the activation of DA1/5 receptors present on their somas and increasing the release of NO [72].

NO might be involved in both effects modulating GLU and GABA release in an opposite way. Therefore, NO directly or via a modulation of GLU, ACh and GABA striatal levels excites a sub-population of MSNs projecting to SN exciting SNc DA cells by...
Nitric Oxide Modulation of the Basal Ganglia Circuitry

inhibiting SNr neurons as suggested by West and Grace [69]. At the same time, SNc neurons could be directly inhibited by another striatal input [73, 74] and indirectly by a proportion of SNr neurons, possibly impinging on DA cells that we have shown to be excited by NO [75], limiting the excitatory nicotine effect. Thus, striatal NO might be crucial in permitting and maintaining nicotine action.

It is possible that removal of endogenous NO tone by 7-NI or L-NAME treatment might decrease the indirect excitatory pathway through the SNc balancing the direct inhibitory one, reducing GLU release and leading the SNc neurons to a hypo-functional state. Therefore, we propose that the degree of activity of nigrostriatal DA neurons may constitute a key factor for the expression of the NO/DA interaction, in that enhanced DA synthesis and/or release would be required to permit the occurrence of a NO modulatory control. In line with this hypothesis is the evidence that striatal NO increases only when SNc neurons fire at high frequency and in bursts [70, 71, 76]. Indeed, both electric and chemical stimulation of the SNc elicited a robust surge in striatal NO efflux [71, 76]. This release seems to be neuronally dependent, being blocked by pre-treatment with nNOS inhibitors, and also evoked only by high-frequency stimulation that resembles the natural burst firing of DA SNc neurons. This last piece of evidence indicates that NO efflux occurs only when DA transmission is phasically increased and suggests that information transmitted via the nigrostriatal pathway during DA cell burst firing may be processed and/or amplified by striatal NOS interneurons [71, 76]. DA within the striatum could directly modulate NO efflux, exciting NOS interneurons through the activation of D1/D5 receptors present on their somas causing an increased release of NO [71]. On the other hand, DA modulates striatal NO levels via D2 receptors in an opposing manner. This inhibitory control seems to be indirect; it is plausile that D2 receptors are in fact presynaptic on GLU and ACh fibres impinging on NOS interneurons [76].

Thus, NO seems to have a general role in controlling the DA brain reward and motivation circuitries even being implicated in the placebo effect [77].

In summary, neurochemical and electrophysiological results demonstrate that NO is involved in both physiological and in drugs of abuse processes in the nigrostriatal system. Noticeably, the evidence above reviewed indicates that endogenous NO positively modulates the efflux of DA in the striatum only when DA transmission is increased above basal levels.

Clearly, NO modulation of nigrostriatal DA neurotransmission is complex and far from being completely understood.

4.2. NO Modulation of Striatal Activity

Several reports have demonstrated two distinct neuron populations within the striatum: the interneurons and projecting neurons. This classification, obviously based on anatomical features, was formerly supported by electrophysiological observations showing that striatal neurons differently respond to cortical stimulation [78] and to antidromic stimulation [79, 80]. So far, by intracellular recordings coupled with intracellular staining it has been clearly demonstrated that the projecting neurons, anatomically defined as medium spiny pyramidal neurons, fire at low frequencies [81] whilst large aspiny interneurons (about 2–5% of striatal neurons), have a sustained and irregular activity [82, 83].

Based on this experience, the extracellular firing analysis allows definition of the two classes of striatal neurons both in awake and in anesthetized rats [73, 84, 85]. In primates, phasically active striatal neurons exhibit clear-cut increases in discharge rate occurring in several distinctive forms at specific phases of a task [87–88]. Conversely, interneurons exhibit a short lasting decrease in response to conditioned stimuli [89]. A more detailed classification identifies amongst interneurons [90] parvalbumin-positive GABAergic fast-spiking ones (about <1%), because of their brief spike duration [91, 92] separated by GABA interneurons, expressing neuropeptides SOM, or NPY or NOS (SOM/NPY/NOS+, about 0.6%) and the large aspiny cholinergic cells (about 3%).

Nitrergic interneurons exert slower neurumodulatory effects on their postsynaptic targets rather than fast synaptic effects [93]. The lack of synaptic responses in MSNs might be due to a preferential release of NO rather than to GABA. D1-class agonists through D1 family DA receptors elicit depolarization and action potential firing in vitro in nitrergic interneurons [94]. Interestingly, as is the case with fast spiking interneurons, the excitatory effect of DA on persistent low-threshold spiking neurons was also absent in D1 receptor knockout mice, indicating the involvement of D5 receptors. In addition, indirect cholinergic effects through M2 muscarinic ACh receptors have also been reported [95].

Forebrain regions express high levels of cGMP-stimulated phosphodiesterase (PDE) [96, 97]. In particular, it has been found that the striatonigral MSNs express this enzyme, and it appears to provide a mechanism whereby NO from aspiny interneurons, acting via cGMP can regulate DA-stimulated cAMP production [98].

NO remains a fascinating but puzzling messenger in the nervous system. Although NADPH-d histochemistry, combined with immunohistochemistry and in situ hybridization, have clearly delineated the cells which synthesize NO and those which express its receptor sGC, much remains unknown regarding the significance of this signaling system in the CNS. NO actions may be long-acting and state dependent. Indeed, measurements of endogenous NO production in the brain support this sort of prolonged global action for NO in the nervous system.

A large amount of evidence has shown that NO, under the influence of DA and GLU, plays a role in striatal function by acting upon the neuron subtypes [99-101]. NOS-positive fibers synapse along with GLUergic and DAergic terminals at level of the MSN spines where high levels of sGC were found [102-104], with profound functional consequences. For instance, the generation of the long-term depression, strictly dependent on NO [100] or the spreading of a slow (at 1 Hz) and large amplitude tightly correlated activity amongst cortex and striatum [72, 90, 105]. On the other hand, NO affects also the activity of the cholinergic large aspiny interneurons through the activation of protein kinase G [106].

So far, some studies have addressed the NO-mediated electrophysiological response in rat striatum by means of in vivo recordings and local microinophoretic drug administrations. The administration of a NO donor strongly inhibits GLU-induced excitation of the sporadically firing neurons whilst inhibition of the production of endogenous NO produced clear and reproducible excitation of glutamate evoked firing [74, 107] (Fig. 2). These observations were corroborated and extended by the demonstration that the NO/cGMP pathway exerts a powerful control upon the striatum by inhibiting the phasically and exciting the tonically active neurons [73].

4.3. NO Modulation Of Substantia Nigra Pars Reticulata

Along with the Gpi, the SNr represents the main output structure of the basal ganglia directly influencing the activity of thalamus and cortex at the end of motor program information processing [108-111].

SNr neurons have a tonic firing discharge [112, 113] resulting from the inhibitory striatonigral GABAergic afferents [108-110, 114] and the excitatory afferents form the STN [115-117]. The presence of POS-positive neurons has been demonstrated in the SNr [118, 119]. Recent evidence shows that the nitrergic system is capable of modulating SNr neuronal discharges [120, 121]. The data support the idea that NO exerts a tonic excitatory effect upon the SNr cells. This conclusion was based on the inhibitory effect of
order to reset the system for the other operation. As can be easily argued, the GLU-mediated augmentation of NO level within the SNr trough the STN, placed in the indirect pathway, can mediate the extensive excitation of the BG output, preparing the next step for a motor program selection.

This hypothesis seems to be corroborated by the finding that deep brain stimulation of the STN induced clinical amelioration in a PD patient was associated with a clear cut increase of NO tone within SNr [122].

4.4. NO Modulation of Substantia Subthalamic Nucleus

The NO is well known to play a role in modulating the neuronal activity of STN neurons. In situ neuro-anatomical evidence has shown that NOS mRNA is expressed in the STN of rodents and humans [27, 123]. This neuro-anatomical evidence, suggesting a putative role for NO in the modulation of STN neuronal activity, has been successively supported by electrophysiological data, showing that NO-active drugs are able to alter the basal firing rates of recorded neurons in the STN. Thus, systemic injection of the selective NOS inhibitor, 7-NI, induced a decrease in basal firing rates of most of the recorded neurons in the STN. The same effect was observed following the local blockade of NOS activity with L-NAME, another NOS inhibitor, applied by microiontophoresis [124, 125]. Consistently, local administration by microiontophoresis of SIN-1 and 5-nitroso-glutathione (SNOG), two NO donors, increased the discharge rate of the neurons recorded in vivo in the STN. Although this evidence might mainly indicate a functional involvement of NO in enhancing STN neuronal activity, the modalities through which NO modulates neurotransmission within the STN appear to be more complex. Indeed, the same authors described how a minority of the recorded cells showed an opposite response to the local application of NO-active compounds, both NOS inhibitors (L-NAME) and NO donors (SIN-1, SNOG) [124, 125]. Furthermore, when the drugs were tested on the same neuron, in most of the cases only one of them was able to elicit a response. According to the authors, these observations might indicate that NO regulates neuronal activity within the STN by acting as a neurotransmitter and interacting with other neurotransmitter systems (mainly GLU and GABA) at both pre and post-synaptic levels. This latter aspect of NO action on STN neuronal activity has been supported by some recently published data. Indeed, the magnitude of GABA-induced responses in the STN in vivo was reduced by local co-application of SNOG while, accordingly, it was enhanced by the NOS inhibitor L-NAME. Moreover, both excitatory and inhibitory responses to SNOG and L-NAME, respectively, were reduced by co-application of bicuculline, a selective GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors blocker, thus showing an involvement of this receptor subtype in the GABA-NO interaction within the STN [126]. Finally, GLUergic afferent fibers arising from the cortex represent an important input to this structure. A functional GLU-NO interaction within the STN has been suggested. Indeed, GLU-induced activation of STN neurons was enhanced by the local co-application of SNOG while it was attenuated by the NOS inhibitor L-NAME [126], thus showing that NO might exert a modulatory action of GLU opposite to GABA. The modulation of the GLUergic neurotransmission by this unorthodox neurotransmitter has long since been shown in other brain structures like the striatum [43]. For example, NO influences neuronal excitability by interacting with regulatory sites on the NMDA receptors, or by inducing calcium-independent release of GLU from synaptic terminals. Finally, NO has been recently shown to play an important role in mediating the activation of ATP sensitive K<sup>+</sup> channels induced by Ca<sup>2+</sup> influx through NMDA-gated channels [127]. The hyperpolarizing currents activated by ATP sensitive K<sup>+</sup> channels opening appear to play a role in countering the excessive neuronal activation induced by GLU release and regulating the firing pattern of STN neurons.

Fig. (2). Effect of nitric oxide drugs on the firing rate of striatal neurons in vivo. Representative rate histogram showing the typical inhibitory effect of microiontophoretically applied SIN 1 (20–100 nA) (A) and L-NAME (20–100 nA) (B) on GLU-induced activation of a striatal neuron. Lines and numbers indicate iontophoretic ejection and currents (in nA). Representative rate histogram showing that microiontophoretic application of methylene blue (50 nA, continuous) (C) prevents the responses to SIN 1 (50–100 nA) on GLU-induced activation of a striatal neuron. Lines and numbers indicate iontophoretic ejection and currents (in nA). Dashed lines indicate onset and offset of GLU pulses. GLU currents were constant throughout each recording. Modified from [74].

The NOS inhibitor, L-NAME locally applied, suggesting that an NO tone is present upon SNr neurons. Furthermore, direct local release (by using an NO donor, i.e. 3-morpholino-sydnoniminohydrochloride [SIN-1]) of NO caused a statistically significant increase in the firing rate of most of the responsive cells. Thus, based on these findings and on the peculiar physical characteristics of a gaseous neurotransmitter, NO could play a key role in the final stage of the so-called “pattern-model” of BG functioning. The sequenced involvement of the hyper-direct, direct and indirect pathway in the motor program out-flow, implies that at the end of the cycle a large part of the thalamus/cortex should be depressed in
4.5. NO Modulation of Substantia Globus Pallidus

The GP represents an important structure of the basal ganglia circuitry. So far, few data have been published showing a functional involvement of NO in modulating the neuronal activity of the neurons within the GP. Electrophysiological recordings in vivo of spontaneously active single neurons in the GP showed that the systemic injection of 7-NI was able to decrease the basal discharge rate in about half of the recorded cells [107]. Consistently, NOS inhibition induced by local administration of L-NAME resulted in a reduction of the firing activity in most of the neurons tested while, accordingly, the NO donor SIN-1 induced an increase of the basal neuronal activity following local ejection from the recording pipette [107]. Thus, these data clearly indicate a functional excitatory role of NO that is likely to be related to a nitrergic modulation of GLUergic neurotransmission within the GP. In fact, the increase of NO transmission has been shown to enhance GLU-induced excitation of GP neuron electrical activity while, consistently, it was reduced by the blockade of NOS activity in the GP [128].

5. INVOLVEMENT OF NO IN NEURODEGENERATION OF DAERGIC NIGROSTRIAL SYSTEM

NO is a Janus-faced molecule and, notwithstanding, the exact role (i.e., neuroprotective vs neurotoxic) it plays in neurodegenerative disorders is still ambiguous, with the effect depending on the redox state of the cellular environment. Substantial evidence demonstrates a causative role for NO in the degeneration of DAergic neurons of the nigrostriatal pathway in PD but the mechanism is still unknown and some data are controversial [24, 27, 45, 46, 129-132]. This hypothesis is also supported by studies reporting that NOS inhibitors or NOS gene knock-out significantly mitigate nigral cell loss in animal experimental models [133, 134]. Consistently, NOS polymorphisms which increase expression of astroglial iNOS and nNOS have been reported in PD patients and in different toxin-induced experimental models of PD. Evidence in animal models and in PD patients suggests that NOS is up-stimulated in the BG nuclei and enhanced NO formation takes place after partial injury of the nigrostriatal DAergic system. The exact mechanisms of how NO contributes to neurodegenerative diseases are not completely understood. Multiple lines of evidence indicate that NO is associated with excitotoxicity, DNA damage, and protein modifications, which are common pathogenic mechanisms involved in multiple neurodegenerative diseases [22]. Nevertheless NO, produced by either nNOS or iNOS, plays an important role in DA degeneration. iNOS once induced remains active for several hours to days and produces NO in 1000-fold greater quantities than the constitutive enzyme nNOS. A robust increase in iNOS mRNA levels has been observed after lipopolysaccharide, MPTP or 6-OHDA injection in striatum and SNc [2, 135]. Damage to striatal DAergic fibres seems to be mainly mediated by NO produced by nNOS, while the damage to nigral DAergic neurons is largely inflicted by NO generated by iNOS. Evidence from human post-mortem studies has revealed an increase of NOS mRNA expression only in the MML and in dorsal STN. Instead, a reduction has been shown to occur in the striatum although it was not statistically significant [24]. Such altered activity of NOS neurons of the MML and STN may play a role in the compensatory upregulation of nigrostriatal DAergic neurotransmission in PD, but might also exert an excitotoxic effect on striatal neurons and nigrostriatal terminals.

In animal 6-OHDA-models of PD nNOS expression is reduced while a proportion of nNOS nerve fibres in the striatum are apparently lost following DAergic deafferentation, resulting in a 50% decrease in NOS activity, and depression of the NO-cGMP pathway [136, 137]. In contrast, Gomes et al. [37] showed that 6-OHDA lesion induced a significant increase in NOS cell numbers in the ipsilateral dorsal striatum while a decrease was seen in the ipsilateral SNc and contralateral NAe.

A useful experimental approach to study PD in rats is to induce degeneration of nigrostriatal DA-containing neurons by perfusing 1-methyl-4-phenylpyridinium (MPP⁺) into the striatum and to study striatal DA release for two days by microdialysis, the so called 2-day test-challenge microdialysis method [138]. MPP⁺ is accumulated by DAergic terminals and then retrogradely transported in the cell bodies of DAergic neurons, causing cell degeneration and loss. Short perfusion of MPP⁺ induced a comparable impairment of DAergic striatal nerve terminals, associated with a massive increase in DA efflux 40 min after toxin injection, on day 1. A second challenge with MPP⁺, 24 h later, caused a limited output of extracellular DA in MPP⁺-lesioned rats, and this is considered an index of neurotoxin-induced damage. We should note that in the MPTP/PDF model with 7-NI can have a protective effect against neuronal damage induced by intrastriatal infusion of MPP⁺ [45]. 7-NI given 1 h before perfusion with the neurotoxins, on day 1, partially restored the cell’s ability to release DA after the second MPP⁺ challenge, showing a protective effect against MPP⁺ toxic effects on DAergic neurons.

Inhibition of the NO system by pretreatment with 7-NI has also a protective effect against neuronal damage induced by intra-nigral infusion of 6-OHDA using a neurochemical assay on striatal rat tissues [46]. 7-NI given 1 h before perfusion with neurotoxin partially restored the DA content. Notably, DA levels after 7-NI pretreatment were three times higher than those revealed in the control 6-OHDA-lesioned rats suggesting an important protective effect against the toxin effects on DAergic neurons by NOS inhibition. Further confirming the involvement of NO in the DA SNc death mechanisms, pretreatment with MOL, halved DA levels in the lesioned striata and, most importantly, completely counteracted the neuroprotective effect of 7-NI when co-administrated with it [46]. From these findings, the result that 7-NI counteracts the neurotoxicity induced by 6-OHDA nigral-lesion while MOL worsens it clearly indicates that the neuroprotective effect of nNOS inhibition is mainly due to a block in the rise of toxic NO. It is likely that NO induces the formation of peroxynitrite (NO₂⁻) produced by its combination with hydroxyl radical (‘OH) induced by 6-OHDA [139-141]. Furthermore, NO produces other important mediators of neuronal degeneration in the 6-OHDA model such as semiquinones, peroxynitrite and 6-OHDA quinone, reacting with DA [142] and 6-OHDA [143], respectively.

It is known that 6-OHDA induces degeneration of nigrostriatal DA-containing neurons being transported in the cell bodies of DAergic neurons, causing cell degeneration in a way similar to that found in PD probably by the production of reactive oxygen species [61, 144]. 6-OHDA induced a compelling impairment of the DA nigrostriatal system, associated with a massive decrease of striatal DA and DOPAC levels measured 1 week after the surgery. Although the aetiology of PD is complex, a significant body of data from clinical and experimental models suggests a role for oxidative stress as a causative agent inducing DA neurodegeneration [2, 145]. Thus, PD aetiology could be explained by a genetic susceptibility to environmental or endogenous agents, leading to oxidative damage in a neuronal population that is naturally under oxidative stress [146]. The role of oxidative stress in the pathogenesis of MPTP/MPP⁺-induced DAergic degeneration, has been suggested [56, 58, 61, 139-141, 147]. Numerous studies have proposed that nNOS inhibitors, including 7-NI, may reduce DAergic neuronal degeneration, both in vitro and in vivo through antioxidative mechanisms [148-150]. The neuroprotective effect of 7-NI against MPP⁺-induced DA striatal depletion is probably due to a block in the rise of the toxic NO²⁻ produced by the combination of NO and ‘OH induced by MPP⁺, as shown in previous studies [142, 151]. NO²⁻ is a highly reactive molecule, a potent oxidizing agent known to initiate lipid peroxidation in biological membranes, hydroxylation, and nitration of aromatic amino acid residues, and sulfhydryl oxidation of proteins [143, 152]. Nevertheless, 7-NI did...
not modify extracellular DA output after the first perfusions with MPP”, suggesting that it did not affect DA uptake or metabolism [46], a piece of evidence in contrast with findings in other studies [153, 154].

These possible NOS inhibitor neuroprotective mechanisms are consistent with a significant body of data, from clinical and experimental models, suggesting a role for oxidative stress as a causative agent inducing DA neurodegeneration [150, 155-157]. In addition, DA catabolism by MAO induces the formation of hydrogen peroxide, thus rendering DA-containing neurons particularly liable to oxidative stress [152, 155, 158]. Increased nigral DA metabolism, seen in PD, is associated with the production of hydrogen peroxide which, together with iron, may be converted into 'OH, reacting very rapidly with almost every molecule found in living cells, including DNA, membrane lipids and amino acids [153, 156]. The increased DA turnover could itself enhance basal production of hydrogen peroxide and cause a depletion of reduced glutathione stores, leading to further overproduction of toxic 'OH, as a consequence of impaired glutathione scavenging activity. Thus, PD aetiology could be explained by a genetic susceptibility to environmental or endogenous agents leading to oxidative damage in a neuronal population that is naturally under oxidative stress [149]. However, other mechanisms by which 7-NI modifies the effects of 6-OHDA cannot be ruled out. For example, numerous studies have proposed that nNOS inhibitors, including 7-NI, may reduce DAergic neuronal degeneration, both in vitro and in vivo through NO-independent mechanisms [146, 154, 159]. Indeed, 7-NI might act as 'OH scavenger and interfere with oxidative stress caused by MPTP [58]. Thus, this potent antioxidant action of 7-NI might be involved in its neuroprotective effects against 6-OHDA-induced neurotoxicity as well. Furthermore, NO can exert its biological effects through other mechanisms, such as apoptotic cell death induced by 6-OHDA via cGMP, modulating the function of monoamine transporters and S-nitrosylation of receptors [160].

In conclusion, NOS inhibitors have a neuroprotective effect on different toxin-induced nigrostriatal degeneration in rats, although its mechanism of action is still matter for debate. These results therefore provide further support that agents that inhibit the NO system may prove to be of therapeutic benefit against the ongoing loss of DA neurons and motor function that occurs in PD. Although these findings provide a potential link between NO activity and PD, they have to be interpreted with caution, as the PD models in rodents do not reproduce all the neurochemical abnormalities that may contribute to depression in PD. In this complex scenario, where the interaction of several pathogenic pathways concurs to PD, NO seems to represent an important downstream mediator and enhancer of molecular events leading to DAergic neuron death. NO overproduction appears to be an event that significantly contributes to death of DAergic neurons via oxidative damage on cellular lipids, proteins and DNA.

6. NO IMPLICATION ON BG DYSFUNCTION

PD is a disorder essentially characterized by a progressive slowing of movement (akinesia-bradykinesia) and an increased muscle tone (rigidity), frequently associated with resting tremor. Although this clinical description refers only to the motor features of the disease, ignoring the non-motor symptoms (commonly disclosing the disease course), it represents the clinical consequences of the degeneration of SNc DAergic neurons, the anatomo-pathological core of the PD [129, 161].

The DAergic axons of SNc reach the striatum, modulating at this level the processing of movement-related information. DAergic denervation triggers aberrant neuroplastic changes in the striatum influencing the direct and indirect projections to the output stations of the internal segment of the Gpi and the Snr. Neurophysiological changes consist, as extensively described in the recent literature, of abnormally synchronized oscillatory activity at multiple structures of the BG-cortical loop. The widespread BG neurons synchronization (largely in the beta band spectrum) is generally considered a PD hallmark of motor impairment in agreement with its high susceptibility to DAergic therapies [162-164].

It has been proposed that NO modulates motor behaviour [43, 66, 165] and considerable data indicate a direct NO-DA interaction either in normal BG function (as explained above) or in BG related movement disorders such as PD. For instance the DA agonists, cocaine, morphine, substance P or methamphetamine-induced hyperlocomotion could be impeded by NOS inhibitors in mice and rats [166-168]. Yet, inhibition of NOS also induces catalepsy after systemic or intrastrital injection [65, 66], in agreement with the finding that striatal NOS activity is depressed in parkinsonian animal models [136, 137] and in human PD [24, 169]. NO synthesis seems to be under the control of the phasic/synaptic striatal DA transmission [70, 71] and extracellular increase of NO tone within the Gpi, motor putamen and SNr are correlated with transient clinical transition in PD patients [122, 170, 171]. In parkinsonian 6-OHDA-treated rats different striatal responses to NO regarding the different subtypes of neurons can be observed [73]. Spontaneously active neurons are more susceptible to NO and less sensitive to endogenous NO inhibition, likely linked to a reduction of nNOS in DA-denervated striatum [136, 137]. The consequence of the decline of NOS-positive neurons following 6-OHDA denervation could be responsible for a decrease in tone upon spontaneously active neurons that subsequently, by a compensatory mechanism, leads to a more evident response to NO in 6-OHDA-lesioned animals. In agreement, both the expression and the activity of GC have been found to be augmented within striatum of parkinsonian mice [172].

On the other hand, MSNs of DA denervated striatum showed a peculiar dichotomy in relation to NO response, since about 40% MSNs showed a remarkable NO-mediated excitation. Parkinsonian state unmasks a decoupling effect of NO upon a subgroup of projection striatal neurons. This effect could be explained on the basis of a hypothetically different pattern of expression of PDE 1b/4/10 following DA-denervation or more consistently in the light of the topographical segregation of cholinergic interneurons [173, 174]. The latter hypothesis is centred on the increased activity of cholinergic interneurons in DA-lesioned striatum that might facilitate the activity of specific subclass of MSNs [175] by the activation of different subtypes of muscarinic receptors. In other words, parkinsonian state is associated with an abnormal NOergic activity that may also contribute to the pathogenesis of L-DOPA-induced dyskinesias (LID) [176-179].

6.1. Role of NO in L-DOPA-Induced Dyskinesia

The abnormal involuntary movements, or dyskinesia, generated by prolonged administration of L-DOPA represent one of the major challenges facing current therapy for PD [180, 181]. These debilitating motor disturbances are all the more problematic because L-DOPA, in spite of its introduction several decades ago [182], still represents the therapy of choice for the treatment of PD [183]. The discovery of pharmacological interventions able to counteract LID would therefore represent an important breakthrough in the therapy for PD. The design of novel agents for the prevention and treatment of LID requires the elucidation of their role in the development and expression of this condition [184]. The translation of antidyskinetic agents into clinically successful drugs has produced limited results yet. The new strategy for antidyskinetic drug discovery is based on non-DAergic adjuncts to L-DOPA. They should hypothetically be able to treat symptoms LID and PD, regulating the aberrant activity of the basal ganglia whilst maintaining the L-DOPA efficacy on motor function. Promising neurotransmitter targets are the noradrenergic, serotonergic, GLuergic, and adenosinergic systems [181, 185]. Recently, some
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Evidence has been also produced in both animal models and in PD patients suggesting a contributory role of NO signalling in LID [178, 179, 186-189]. Hitherto, the study of NO in the effects of L-DOPA has produced conflicting results. Despite the fact that early evidence failed to reveal any L-DOPA-modulation of striatal NO levels [190, 191], a recent microdialysis study showed increased production of NO\(^3\) although with no changes in either NO\(^\cdot\) or total NO in mice striatum after L-DOPA administration [192].

Recently, more compelling evidence that an over-activity of NO system could contribute to the pathogenesis of LID has been obtained by using rodent-models of the disease [178, 179, 186, 187]. For example, chronic L-DOPA treatment induced FosB expression in the striatum D1 MSNs and in NOS-positive striatal interneurons in rodent PD-models [178, 193]. L-DOPA increased nNOS mRNA levels in the contra- and ipsilateral side to the DA lesion of the frontal cortex [193] but did not produce any further increases of nNOS protein in the striatum compared to the 6-OHDA-induced increase [193]. 7-NI and L-NOARG treatment prevented dyskinesia-induced by L-DOPA [179,186,193] and 7-NI improved motor performance in rats [179,186]. Especially noteworthy is also the evidence that 7-NI sub-chronic administration was devoid of tolerance to the anti-dyskinetic effect [179] differently from its catalaptic action [64], effects on SNC DA cell-population electrophysiological activity and DA striatal release [47]. The hypothesis that raises NO/GC/cGMP pathway activity in the CNS is also supported by some evidence in Parkinsonian patients. Indeed, increased levels of NO second messenger cGMP in serum [194] and NO\(^3\) in cerebrospinal fluid [195] have been detected in PD patients receiving L-DOPA therapy. Nevertheless, the precise role of NO in the pathogenesis of such invalidating complications remains elusive and recent mounting evidence seems to indicate an inhibition of cGMP levels rather than an increase after L-DOPA. For instance, Stefani and colleagues [189] in a microdialysis study in advanced PD patients reported that acute L-DOPA administration in two subjects out of six produced a clear decrease of GPI cGMP levels. In the other four patients basal cGMP levels were not modified by L-DOPA treatment, probably because cGMP basal levels were close to the detection limit [189]. Moreover, L-DOPA did not affect the NO/gcGMP pathway in the mouse MPTP PD model, being capable only of up-regulating the expression and activity of nNOS and GC in normal animal to the level seen in MPTP-treated mice [177]. Moreover, Giorgi and colleagues [196] observed very low levels of cGMP in the corticostriatal-pallidal loop at the peak of LID in rats with experimental hemi-parkinsonism. Interestingly, especially for the possible new treatment applications, pretreatment of the dyskinetic system with an unselective PDE inhibitor before L-DOPA treatment effectively reduced the severity of the dyskinesias, and partly prevented the decrease of cGMP levels in the GP, putamen and the sensorymotor cortex [196]. These findings have recently been confirmed by Picconi and co-workers [187] using more specific inhibitors for the PDE that specifically acts on cGMP metabolism. Given the above, PDE inhibitors might represent a new pharmacological target of benefit in correcting motor behaviour as well as their potential for reducing or ameliorating dyskinetic behaviour during long-term L-DOPA treatment. Additional experiments are needed to confirm these issues. We are optimistic and the future may disclose important new avenues for the treatment of LIDs. NO and its nucleotide cascade is a promising target that might act on different facets of dyskinesia, such as the impairment of striatal plasticity and non-DA alterations. The role that cGMP-regulated PDEs play in mediating other NO actions deserves further study. Hitherto, this attractive hypothesis has not been validated by either non-human primates or humans studies.

The whole spectrum of NO implication on parkinsonian BG activity strongly suggest that in the near future a new molecule able to modify NOergic pathway will be a new possible therapeutic approach either to motor impairment or in the control of complications of long-term exposure to L-DOPA therapy in patients with PD.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Abundant experimental evidence points to complex effects of NO in the BG circuits, both directly and via interactions with the DAergic, GLUergic and GABAergic systems and its dysfunction is involved in the pathophysiology of PD and other motor disorders. In recent years, NO and its reactive metabolites have been proposed as important actors in the processes leading to neuronal cell death in PD both in terms of pro-oxidants and mediators of inflammatory responses. Therefore, the blockage of NO synthesis or the scavenging of nitrogen reactive species could represent an efficient tool against PD progression and/or prevention. Moreover, numerous studies point to nitrergic system as a new potential pharmacologic approach for the motor manifestations of PD and adjuvant to L-DOPA therapy. In addition, NO at the levels of limbic structure may also contribute to the co-morbid depression in these patients.

It is reasonable to believe that in a few years, increased understanding regarding the role of NO in the physiopathology of circuitry changes in the BG will concur in order to set a rational basis for NO-based therapies that are designed not simply to manage disabling dyskinesia but to prevent its induction. Strategies intended to halt or at least reduce the pace of nigrosstriatal loss would be likely to change the path that leads to PD, motor fluctuations and LID.
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ABBREVIATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DAT</td>
<td>Dopamine transporter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EP</td>
<td>Entopeduncular nucleus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSH</td>
<td>Glutathione</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDTg</td>
<td>Lateraldorsal segmental nucleus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPS</td>
<td>Lipopolysaccharide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAc</td>
<td>Nucleus accumbens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>oPFC</td>
<td>Orbital prefrontal cortex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV</td>
<td>Parvalbumine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPN</td>
<td>Pedunculopontine nucleus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMA</td>
<td>Supplementary motor cortex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPTP</td>
<td>1-Methyl 4-phenyl 1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPP(^+)</td>
<td>1-Methyl-4-phenylpyridinium ion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOPAC</td>
<td>3,4-Dihydroxy-phenylacetic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIN-1</td>
<td>3-Morpholino-syndnonimin-hydrochloride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-OHDA</td>
<td>6-Hydroxydopamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-NI</td>
<td>7-nitro-indazalone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACh</td>
<td>Acetylcholine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BG</td>
<td>Basal ganglia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS</td>
<td>Central nervous system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cGMP</td>
<td>Cyclic guanosine monophosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA</td>
<td>Dopamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAergic</td>
<td>Dopaminergic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eNOS</td>
<td>Endothelial nitric oxide synthase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP</td>
<td>Globus pallidus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPc</td>
<td>Globus pallidus/ external segment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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