

Original citation:

Sengodan, Sivaprakash, Lan, Rong, Humphreys, John, Du, Dongwei, Xu, Wei, Wang, Huanting and Tao, Shanwen. (2017) Advances in reforming and partial oxidation of hydrocarbons for hydrogen production and fuel cell applications. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 82 . pp. 761-780.

Permanent WRAP URL:

<http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/94017>

Copyright and reuse:


The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work of researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions.

This article is made available under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license (CC BY 4.0) and may be reused according to the conditions of the license. For more details see: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

A note on versions:

The version presented in WRAP is the published version, or, version of record, and may be cited as it appears here.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: wrap@warwick.ac.uk



Advances in reforming and partial oxidation of hydrocarbons for hydrogen production and fuel cell applications



Sivaprakash Sengodan^a, Rong Lan^a, John Humphreys^a, Dongwei Du^a, Wei Xu^a, Huanting Wang^b, Shanwen Tao^{a,b,*}

^a School of Engineering, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK

^b Department of Chemical Engineering, Monash University, Clayton, Victoria 3800, Australia

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Hydrogen production
Catalyst
Reforming
Partial oxidation
Fuel cells

ABSTRACT

One of the most attractive routes for the production of hydrogen or syngas for use in fuel cell applications is the reforming and partial oxidation of hydrocarbons. The use of hydrocarbons in high temperature fuel cells is achieved through either external or internal reforming. Reforming and partial oxidation catalysis to convert hydrocarbons to hydrogen rich syngas plays an important role in fuel processing technology. The current research in the area of reforming and partial oxidation of methane, methanol and ethanol includes catalysts for reforming and oxidation, methods of catalyst synthesis, and the effective utilization of fuel for both external and internal reforming processes. In this paper the recent progress in these areas of research is reviewed along with the reforming of liquid hydrocarbons, from this an overview of the current best performing catalysts for the reforming and partial oxidizing of hydrocarbons for hydrogen production is summarized.

1. Introduction

Fuel cells are electrochemical energy conversion devices that are used to convert the chemical energy stored in chemical fuels directly to electrical energy [1–5]. They offer many advantages such as high efficiency, low emissions, system compactness and environmental benefits when compared to conventional energy conversion technologies. Among the various types of fuel cells, solid oxide fuel cells (SOFCs) are well recognized due to advantages such as fuel flexibility, high tolerance to impurities in the fuel and not requiring expensive noble metal catalysts [6–14]. In conventional SOFCs, Ni-yttria stabilized zirconia (YSZ) is used as the anode and hydrogen is used as the fuel [15–17]. When this Ni-YSZ anode operated using hydrocarbon fuels instead of hydrogen then an efficiency increase can be seen at the system level [18–20]. However, when the Ni-YSZ anode is exposed to hydrocarbon fuels then carbon deposition will occur leading to the system failure [20–22]. When raw fuels, such as diesel, natural gas, and methanol are used, a fuel processor is needed to reform the hydrocarbons into a hydrogen rich gas for the fuel cell to perform the electrochemical conversion [23,24]. This has led to a great interest in converting hydrocarbons into hydrogen. Fuel processing technologies for high temperature fuel cells involve the conversion of hydrogen rich fuels such as gaseous hydrocarbons, gasoline, ammonia, or methanol into a hydrogen rich stream [25,26].

The development of converting hydrocarbon fuels to hydrogen-rich gas products generally fall in one of following processes: steam reforming (SR), auto thermal reforming (ATR), dry reforming (DR), partial oxidation (POX) or a combination of two or more [27]. In spite of their advantages, each of these processes is limited due to factors such as design, fuel and operating temperature. A range of fuel cell systems and common fuel reforming methods have been reviewed by Larminie and Dicks [28]. Thermodynamical analyses of the reforming processes for producing fuel cell feeds of the necessary quality using different fuels have been carried out. In 2006, reforming catalysts for hydrogen generation in fuel cell applications was reviewed by Cheekatamarla et al. [27] There is currently a wide selection of potential fuel reforming catalysts receiving research attention. When investigating a fuel reforming catalyst for fuel cell applications the critical consideration are weight, size, activity, cost, transient operations, versatility to reform different fuels/compositions, catalyst durability and fuel processor efficiency. A suitable catalyst for fuel reforming will catalyze the reaction at low temperatures, is resistant to coke formation, and is tolerant of different concentrations of poisons (e.g. sulphur, halogens, heavy metals, etc.) for an extended period of time. The challenges and opportunities of various fuel reforming technologies for applications in low and high-temperature fuel cells have been previously reviewed [27,29–31]. In previous papers the various steps involved in the generation of fuel cell grade hydrogen with respect to catalyst development

* Corresponding author at: School of Engineering, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK.
E-mail address: S.Tao.1@warwick.ac.uk (S. Tao).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.09.071>

Received 28 July 2016; Received in revised form 3 July 2017; Accepted 19 September 2017

1364-0321/© 2017 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

has been discussed [32–35]. A couple of excellent reviews on CO₂ reforming and partial oxidation of methane are also available [36,37]. The production of hydrogen from steam reforming of ethanol and glycerol was also reviewed in 2005 and 2009 respectively [38,39]. The goal of the present paper is to review and discuss recent progress in the catalyst development, particularly, steam reforming and partial oxidation catalysts and their ability to generate hydrogen from different fuel sources for fuel cell applications. The focus will be on transition metals supported on mixed metal oxides and perovskites oxides. This paper surveys four key processes in H₂ and syngas production technologies in the following sections, including Section 2 internal reforming for hydrogen production in solid oxide fuel cells, Section 3 – external reforming for hydrogen production (steam reforming of methane, partial oxidation of methane, CO₂ Reforming of methane) Section 4 – reforming of liquid hydrocarbons, Section 5 poisoning of catalyst and in Section 6 – reforming and partial oxidation catalysts for direct hydrocarbon solid oxide fuel cells. A summary section is also provided with descriptions on challenges and future work (Section 7), followed by the conclusion section. Some key papers in previous studies are also cited.

2. Internal reforming for hydrogen production

Among the various types of fuel cell systems, SOFCs can offer more fuel flexibility by internal reforming of hydrocarbon fuels such as methane, propane, ethanol and natural gas, at the anode [40–42]. Among the various hydrocarbon fuels, methane and propane are the most widely available with vast supply worldwide. By internally reforming hydrocarbon fuels in the SOFCs then an increase in efficiency can be observed as well as simplifying the integration of the system. For example, Eric et al. [43], reported that the efficiency of the internal reforming is 8% higher, compared to the external reforming in the same SOFC system. Simplified thermal management and higher fuel cell performance can be achieved when internally reforming of the fuel is used over external reforming. Also, the internal reforming process in SOFCs can be operated at low steam/carbon ratios compared to the external reforming process. When carrying out internal reforming in a SOFC, it should be noted that the conventional Ni based anode cermet will display poor catalytic activity towards the hydrocarbon and steam/CO₂ reforming due to carbon deposition at the Ni cermet anode. The catalytic modification of conventional Ni cermet anode for direct internal reforming is more useful than the identification of new anodes which are tolerant to hydrocarbon fuels. In order to improve the reforming reaction of the hydrocarbons while also improving the stability of the Ni cermet anode against carbon deposition a layer of reforming catalyst can be deposited over the Ni anode. Under the direct internal reforming conditions, hydrocarbon fuels with steam/CO₂ are passed through the catalytic functional layer, where the fuel is converted into syngas before it reaches the anode. The catalytic layer requires particular properties such as a high catalytic activity towards hydrocarbon reforming and high resistance against carbon deposition. Thus the greater partial reforming reactions occur at the functional catalyst layer with the hydrocarbon fuels converted into syngas as they reach the cermet anode.

Zhan et al. [19] first demonstrated the use of Ru-CeO₂ catalyst as a direct internal reforming catalyst with the Ni cermet anode. They applied a porous Ru-CeO₂ catalyst layer against the Ni cermet anode side of the fuel cell. This porous Ru-CeO₂ catalyst layer is used to internally reform the hydrocarbons into syn-gas. Sun et al. studied the effect of morphological features of CeO₂ catalyst on direct internal reforming the hydrocarbons [44]. The performance of the fuel cell with mesoporous flower like Ru-CeO₂ catalyst shows a power density up to 654 mW cm⁻² at 600 °C [45]. Klein et al. studied the Ir (0.1 wt%)–CeO₂ catalyst on Ni-YSZ cermet anode in CH₄ fuel [46,47]. Fig. 1 shows the anodic gas phase composition compared to current of the cell in pure methane fuel at 900 °C. H₂ and CO are the only major products for the internal reforming reaction occurring at the catalyst layer. Fuel cells




Fig. 1. Evolution as a function of time of the anodic gas phase composition compared to the current of the cell; the cell was operated at $V = 0.6$ V in pure CH₄ with a total flow rate of 4 sccm; dashed line represents the levels measured at OCV in diluted H₂ at 900 °C [46].

with an Ir-CeO₂ catalyst show stable fuel cell operation for 120 h in methane at 900 °C and 0.6 V yields a current density of about 100 mA cm⁻² [46]. However, it has been noted that the methane concentration gradually increased after 70 h (Fig. 1) indicating that the reforming or partial oxidation activity of the anode started to decrease at this time, however, the fuel cell performance was not affected. One of the possible reasons for this may be due to other mechanisms, such as the direct oxidation of methane playing an important role in retaining the stable current.

Wang et al. [48] studied the effect of Ru loading and their catalytic activities on Al₂O₃ supported catalysts for internal reforming of methane by partial oxidation, steam reforming and CO₂ reforming under SOFC operating conditions. They found that 1 wt% Ru-Al₂O₃ catalyst shows insufficient catalytic activity towards methane reforming. A 3 wt% Ru-Al₂O₃ catalyst shows an outstanding stability and a good thermal stability with the Ni-YSZ anode. Fig. 2 shows the electrochemical performance of 3 wt% Ru-Al₂O₃ anode in H₂, methane-oxygen, methane-steam and methane-CO₂ gas mixtures. At 850 °C, the fuel cell using a methane-oxygen mixture shows a peak power density of 1006 mW cm⁻², while the same fuel cell shows 1038 mW cm⁻² in pure H₂.

It has been found that addition of metal oxides can improve coke resistance property. Wang et al. [49–51] also studied the effect of promoters Li₂O, La₂O₃, CaO, CeO₂, Pr₂O₃, Sm₂O₃ and Gd₂O₃ on the Ni-Al₂O₃ catalyst with the aim of improving its coking resistance during fuel cell operation with methane fuels. Among the various promoters, LiLaNi/Al₂O₃ showed the best catalytic activity and stability compared to LaNi-Al₂O₃ and LiNi-Al₂O₃ catalysts. Lee et al. [52] studied the effect of pore formers in the Ni-Fe anode reforming catalyst on Ni-YSZ fuel cell. The fuel cells containing pore formers (10 wt% carbon black (HB170)) in the Ni-Fe catalyst layer exhibited the highest power density, when compared to the fuel cell containing Ni-Fe catalyst layer without pore formers. It should be noted that some oxides such as CaO, Li₂O may react with CO₂ to form stable carbonate thereby losing their promoting effects. Liao et al. [53], studied the doped ceria Ce_{0.8}Zr_{0.2}O₂ catalyst for direct internal reforming of ethanol. The high oxygen storage capacity, thermal stability and the ability of doped ceria to promote the water gas shift reaction makes Ce_{0.8}Zr_{0.2}O₂ a good catalyst for internal reforming. The catalytic activity is also related to the synthesis process. Liao et al. used different synthesis processes to prepare the Ni-Ce_{0.8}Zr_{0.2}O₂ catalyst. Ni-Ce_{0.8}Zr_{0.2}O₂ catalyst prepared by the glycine nitrate process (GNP) exhibited the best catalytic properties compared to the Ni-Ce_{0.8}Zr_{0.2}O₂ catalyst prepared by the infiltration method. Above 600 °C, both the Ni-Ce_{0.8}Zr_{0.2}O₂ catalysts show a high and




Fig. 2. *I-V* and *I-P* curves of the fuel cells with the 3 wt% Ru-Al₂O₃ catalyst layer operating on a mixed gas composed of pure hydrogen (a), 80% CH₄ and 20% O₂ (b), 66.7% CH₄ and 33.3% H₂O (c) and 66.7% CH₄ and 33.3% CO₂ (d) at different temperatures [48].

comparable selectivity for H₂. However, the catalytic activity decreases significantly at low operation temperatures. At 400 °C, the Ni-Ce_{0.8}Zr_{0.2}O₂ catalyst prepared by the GNP method shows 70% H₂ selectivity, while the infiltrated catalyst shows 60.2% selectivity. Ye et al. [54] also studied the ethanol steam reforming over Cu-CeO₂ catalyst. Fuel cells prepared with 21.5 wt% Cu-8.5 wt% CeO₂-scandia stabilized zirconia (ScSZ) show power densities of 372 mW cm⁻² at 800 °C when C₂H₅OH + H₂O (2:1) was used as the fuel.

In addition to stabilized zirconia based and doped cerium oxide based fuel cells, some proton conducting oxides have also been investigated as materials for internal reforming in fuel cells [55,56]. Azimova et al. [55] studied the BaCe_{0.48}Zr_{0.4}Yb_{0.1}Co_{0.02}O₃ perovskite type proton conducting oxides for direct internal reforming of methanol. Fig. 3 shows the electrochemical performance of a methanol/steam fueled proton conducting SOFC at 600 °C. The fuel cell shows a maximum power density of 65 mW cm⁻² in 3:1 (S:C) methanol/water fuel.

Jin et al. [57,58], has reported the use of Cu_{1.3}Mn_{1.7}O₄ spinel type oxide internal reforming catalyst layer for methane fueled Ni-Sm_{0.2}Ce_{0.8}O_{1.9} (SDC) SOFC. The fuel cells using the Cu_{1.3}Mn_{1.7}O₄ anode catalyst have been shown to exhibit similar electrochemical activities comparable to that of the Ni-SDC anode without a catalyst layer. However, the fuel cells composed of a Cu_{1.3}Mn_{1.7}O₄ anode catalyst layer shows a stable performance after 60 h in methane, while the fuel cell without the catalyst layer deactivated quickly in methane.

It was found that addition of BaO onto the Ni-based anode can improve the anti-coking property [59]. It was found that nanosized BaO islands grow on the Ni surface, creating numerous nanostructured BaO/Ni interfaces that readily adsorb water and facilitate water-mediated





Fig. 3. *I-V* and *I-P* curves of the Ni-BaCe_{0.48}Zr_{0.4}Yb_{0.1}Co_{0.02}O₃ fuel cell anode in 2:1 S:C methanol/water (○) and 3:1 S:C methanol/water (■) fuels at 600 °C [55].

carbon removal reactions. Besides the metal-metal oxide cermets, some redox stable oxide anode are also used as anode for SOFCs [4,60,61]. At the anode, internal reforming is possible with the presence of H₂O and CO₂ when hydrocarbon fuels are used in SOFCs. The catalytic activity of these redox stable oxides is very much related to their composition. For example, La_{0.75}Sr_{0.25}Cr_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O_{3-δ} (LSCM) is a good methane oxidation catalyst while La_{0.75}Sr_{0.25}Cr_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}O_{3-δ} (LSCrF) is an excellent reforming catalyst [10,11]. The use of some

oxides can prevent the coking on the anode when hydrocarbon fuels are used in SOFCs [60,61].




3. External reforming for hydrogen production

The production of hydrogen rich fuels from hydrocarbons can be achieved through either internal or external steam reforming; from this well established process high efficiency for hydrogen production can be achieved. However, the overall steam reforming reaction is endothermic and is generally carried out at the fuel cells high operating temperatures, typically between 500 to 800 °C in the presence of a suitable catalyst. The steam reforming reaction can be generalized as follows for hydrocarbon fuels,



3.1. Steam reforming of methane

Steam reforming catalysts must meet stringent requirements such as high activity on hydrocarbon conversion, high activity stability, good heat transfer, low pressure drop, high selectivity to hydrogen, high thermal stability and excellent mechanical strength [24]. Steam reforming of methane is a popular method for syngas production and a number of catalysts containing both noble metals and transition metals have been investigated. Catalysts based on Ni have been found to have high catalytic activity and high selectivity for steam reforming of methane (SRM). However, Ni based catalysts also have high carbon coking during SRM. The coking resistance of the Ni catalyst can be improved by the addition of small amounts of noble metals, rare earth metals and supporting materials like perovskites. Since the effects of the additives and support material for the Ni-based catalyst can drastically improve both catalytic performance as well as stability, research in to these areas is very important. The support and additive can be directly involved in the reaction steps facilitating the absorption of the reactant and product formation. Table 1 summarizes the chemical composition of Ni-metal/support catalysts and noble metal/support for steam reforming of methane. Some recently reported examples are briefly discussed. The SRM involves two endothermic reactions (steam reforming) and the exothermic reaction (water gas shift reaction) as follows:



When Ni-metal/support is used as the SRM catalyst, we have to pay close attention to preparation methods in order to ensure that a high catalytic activity is achieved for the modified catalysts. A typical catalyst synthesis method is the impregnation method using an aqueous solution containing Ni. When Ni/SiO₂ catalyst was prepared by impregnation method followed by dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) it shows an advantage of having smaller particle sizes, leading to a moderate methane decomposition rate and a better balance between carbon depositions. Besides, the catalyst prepared conventionally by calcination shows a much larger particle size [62].

In a recent work, Zhang et al. [62] studied SRM over Ni based core shell catalyst Ni/Al₂O₃ – silicalite zeolite by different synthesis methods. The catalyst consists of a Ni/Al₂O₃ core and silicalite zeolite shell. Their main finding shows that an inactive NiAl₂O₄ spinel phase was formed during repeated calcination at elevated temperatures. Among the catalysts tested the catalytic activity of the core shell catalyst for the SRM reaction displayed a 10% improvement over catalysts prepared by traditional method.

Cerium oxide was used as a support material for nickel catalysts and the effect of SRM at a temperature of 800 °C was investigated by Wang et al. [63]. A template synthesis process has been adopted to prepare

hierarchically structured NiO/CeO₂ nanocatalysts. The template catalyst is fabricated by interwoven ceramic fibers, and the fibers have a nanoporous structure with NiO nanoparticles supported on a CeO₂ scaffold. Catalyst calcination temperature and its microstructure have a strong influence on SRM. The catalyst calcined at 950 °C shows the highest performance and stable methane conversion due to its optimized microstructure. Methane conversion was reported about 98% at 800 °C and a steam/CH₄ ratio of 2. No catalyst decay was found within 300 min due to the hierarchical microstructure of the nanocatalyst.

A number of catalysts based on noble metals such as Ru, Pt, Pd, Ir etc. were tested under methane steam reforming conditions with various supports. Among them, Pd-Rh metal foam (Pd 1.5 wt%, Rh 0.16 wt%, ZrO₂ 35 wt%, Al₂O₃ 63.7 wt%) has been shown to exhibit good activity and stability in the hydrothermal SRM environment compared to commercial Ru/γ-Al₂O₃ and Ni/Al₂O₃ catalysts [90]. At 800 °C the Pd-Rh/metal foam catalyst exhibited steady activity with negligible coke deposition after a stability test of 200 h.

For SRM, Ru catalysts have been shown to be very active and selective for H₂ production. Simakov et al. [89], reported that Ru/γ-Al₂O₃ catalysts with different Ru loadings of 0.07, 0.15, 0.30, 1 and 3 wt% can be synthesized by sonication-assisted wet impregnation using ruthenium(III) chloride as a precursor, high surface area aluminum oxide as a support, and acetone as an impregnation medium. Ru/γ-Al₂O₃ (0.15 wt% loading) catalyst outperforms the commercial (12 wt% Ni-Al₂O₃) catalyst by two orders of magnitude in terms of methane conversion normalized by metal loading. The minimum limit of the Ru loading is 0.15 wt%, if this limit is lowered; the Ru catalyst activity declines significantly which was attributed to the oxidation of sub-nanometer Ru clusters [89]. However, another possibility is that the active site is insufficient to achieve high activity when loading is too low. It was reported that the commercial Ni/α-Al₂O₃ catalyst was relatively stable at steam to carbon ratio (S/C) = 3 but deactivated rapidly at S/C = 2, losing ca. 70% activity over the course of 15 h [89] while the Ru/γ-Al₂O₃ catalysts is stable even at a low steam to carbon ratio of 1. As shown in Table 1, it is generally difficult to achieve high conversion at a low steam to carbon ratio.

The effect of Pd catalysts supported on alumina and mixed with La₂O₃-Al₂O₃ oxides was studied by Cassinelli et al. [91]. The authors found that the catalytic performances of Pd catalysts in SRM were significantly affected by the type of support. La-containing Pd catalysts exhibit specific reaction rates in the SRM reaction at 490 °C compared to Pd supported on Al₂O₃, this is due to the different electronic state of Pd. The formation of Pd^{δ+}[Pd^{δ+}O_xLa] species with La support was found to promote the CH₄ activation and carbon oxidation. Their results show that the nature of support and the metal-support interface play a very significant part in the design of highly active and stable Pd catalysts in SRM.

The effect of support material on the surface and catalytic properties of the Pt catalyst when used for SRM were investigated by Rocha et al. [92]. The Pt/La₂O₃-Al₂O₃ and Pt/Al₂O₃ catalyst was obtained by impregnation of the support with an ethanolic solution of H₂PtCl₆·6H₂O. Through extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) results it has been shown that the Pt nanoparticles are anchored by weak interaction with the support, the higher stability of Pt catalyst obtained when the La₂O₃-Al₂O₃ support was used instead of the Al₂O₃ is related to the higher thermal stability of Al₂O₃ modified with lanthanum. The catalytic activity of Pt supported catalysts for SRM depends on the availability of CH₄ to Pt active sites.

Yu et al. have prepared Ag-Ni alloy catalysts supported on CeO₂ for the reforming reaction of methane and carbon dioxide through the impregnation method [93]. The samples have different Ag content with 10 mol% Ni as active sites and 0.3 mol%, 0.6 mol% and 2.4 mol% Ag as the promoter. Table 2 shows the assignments of the fitting components for C1s, Ag 3d, Ni2p3/2 and Ce 3d in X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectra for Ag promoted Ni/CeO₂ catalyst to determine the chemical states and surface compositions. The Ni content at the surface

Table 1
Chemical composition of Ni-metal/support catalyst and noble metal/support for steam reforming of methane.

Catalyst	Preparation method	Temperature (°C)	Weight hour space velocity (ml h ⁻¹ g _{cat} ⁻¹)	Steam to carbon ratio (S/C)	Conversion (%)	H ₂ selectivity (%)	Ref.
Ni/La ₂ Zr ₂ O ₇	Coprecipitation/impregnation	800	18,000	2	100	> 75	[64]
Ni-nano-CaO/Al ₂ O ₃	impregnation	600	2700	4	86	92	[65]
Ni/γ-Al ₂ O ₃	impregnation	655	n/a	3	88		[66]
Ni–Pt/ Al ₂ O ₃ anodic alumina support	impregnation	700	471,000	3	100	75	[67]
Ni/SiO ₂	impregnation	800	24,000	0.5	40	NA	[62]
Pt-Ni/Al ₂ O ₃	impregnation	650	96,000	1	77.2	68.3	[68]
Ni-Pt/MgAl ₂ O ₄	impregnation	600	9600	4	80	NA	[69]
Ni-Cu/Al ₂ O ₃	impregnation	500	2000	3	> 85	> 97	[70]
Ni-Rh/Al ₂ O ₃	impregnation	800	6000	3	32.2	NA	[71]
Ni-Au/Al ₂ O ₃	impregnation	550	3000	4	84	NA	[72]
Mo/Ni/Al ₂ O ₃	Precipitation/ impregnation	700	24,000	4	85	55	[73]
Ag-Ni/Al ₂ O ₃	impregnation	600	105,000	0.5	> 30	NA	[74]
Ni@SiO ₂	Stöber process/ deposition precipitation	750	6600	3	85	45	[75]
Ni/MgSiO ₃	impregnation	650	42,000	2.5	82	NA	[76]
M/Ni _{0.5} /Mg _{2.5} (Al)O M = Rh, Pt, Pd	co-precipitation	700	210,000	2	> 85	NA	[77]
M/Ce _{0.15} Zr _{0.85} O ₂ M = Pt, Ru, Rh	urea hydrolysis/ impregnation	800	54,000,000	2	98.6	NA	[78]
Ni/Ce _{1-x} Gd _x O ₂	hydrolysis	700	560,000	3	75	NA	[79]
Ni/ CeO ₂ -HfO ₂	EDTA-citrate method/ impregnation	700	25,500	2	85	NA	[80]
Ni/CaO-ZrO ₂ -Al ₂ O ₃		600	60,000	1	45	35	[81]
Ru/Ni _x Mg _{6-x} Al ₂	Hydrotalcite process/ impregnation	800	30,000	1	85	NA	[82]
Ru/Co _{6-x} Mg _x Al ₂	hydrotalcite route/ impregnation	600	15,000	3	80	NA	[83]
Ru/MgO-Nb ₂ O ₅	impregnation	700	20,000	4	> 95	> 72	[84]
Rh/CeO ₂	impregnation	800	40,000	1.2	99.4	NA	[85]
Rh/xSm ₂ O ₃ -yCeO ₂ .Al ₂ O ₃	sol-gel/ impregnation	760	6,480,000	3	70	NA	[86]
Zr _{0.95} Ru _{0.05} O _{2-s}	sonochemical method	650	61,800	1	74	81	[87]
LaNiO ₃	spray pyrolysis	777	300,000	1	75	NA	[88]
Ru/γ-Al ₂ O ₃	sonication-assisted wet impregnation	600	750	1	75	68	[89]

of Ni/CeO₂ is estimated to be higher than that in the bulk, indicating a surface enrichment of Ni on the Ni/CeO₂. For 0.3 mol% Ag Ni/CeO₂ and 2.4 mol% Ag Ni/CeO₂, the surface contents for Ni are still higher than that of the bulk surface, showing Ag does not affect the Ni content enrichment at the catalyst surface. Generally, as Ag and Ni form surface alloys on the Ni surface, the Ag content should be higher than that in the bulk in the XPS measurement. The difference came from fact that partially reduced CeO₂ can migrate onto the Ni surface and bury Ni particles into the catalyst support. The CeO₂ migration causes the Ni enrichment at the Ag-Ni/CeO₂ surface. The catalyst with 0.3 mol% Ag loading subjected to a stability test was shown to be resistant to coke deposition. 0.3 mol% Ag decreases the intrinsic activity of Ni surfaces and concurrently increases the long term (100 h) stability under various feedstock conditions. Also, the Ag promoter decreases the coke

formation and modifies the coke from whisker carbon or graphitic carbon to amorphous carbon.

SRM on the Sn/Ni/YSZ catalyst was reported by Nikolla et al. through a combination of kinetic studies, isotopic-labeling experiments, and DFT calculations [94–96]. Fig. 4 shows the kinetic isotope studies on Ni/YSZ and Sn/Ni/YSZ catalyst surface with labeled methane CD₄ [95]. They reported that kinetic isotope effects are similar in degree by the rate of C–H bond dissociation on both catalysts. From this kinetic we are able to draw conclusions about the mechanisms accountable for the improved carbon-tolerance of Sn/Ni compared to Ni. Sn atoms are reasonable for the rate-controlling CH₄ activation step to move from low-coordinated Ni sites to the well-coordinated Ni-Sn sites. Sn preferentially displaces the Ni atoms from the under-coordinated sites. In presence of the Sn, the activation barrier for the dissociation of CH₄ is

Table 2
Assignments of the fitting components for C1s, Ag 3d, Ni2p3/2 and Ce 3d in XPS spectra of catalyst [93].

Catalysts	C 1s	Content (at%)	Ag 3d	Content (at%)	Ni 2p3/2	Content (at%)	Ce 3d	Content
Ni/CeO ₂	Ni ₃ C	0.00%			Ni ⁺	27.26%	Ce ⁴⁺	83.45%
	Graphite	83.03%			Ni ²⁺	72.74%	Ce ³⁺	16.55%
	CO ₃ ²⁻	16.97%						
Ni/CeO ₂ -0.3Ag	Ni ₃ C	0.63%	Ag	74.05%	Ni ⁺	21.98%	Ce ⁴⁺	74.35%
	Graphite	90.81%	Ag _n ⁺	25.95%	Ni ²⁺	78.02%	Ce ³⁺	25.65%
	CO ₃ ²⁻	8.56%						
Ni/CeO ₂ -2.4Ag	Ni ₃ C	7.93%	Ag	86.63%	Ni ⁺	6.40%	Ce ⁴⁺	59.44%
	Graphite	65.89%	Ag _n ⁺	13.37%	Ni ²⁺	93.60%	Ce ³⁺	40.56%
	CO ₃ ²⁻	26.17%						




Fig. 4. The rate of steam reforming is measured for CH₄ and CD₄ reactants as a function of time on (a) Ni/YSZ at 700 °C and (b) Sn/Ni/YSZ at 760 °C. The dark lines depict points in time when the reactant is switched from CH₄ to CD₄ and back to CH₄. The steam-to-carbon ratio was 1 [95].

increased while also decreasing the binding of the carbon atoms to the low-coordinated sites. This will thereby inhibit the nucleation of carbon at Ni sites. In other words, the Sn alloying with Ni atoms preferentially oxidize C atoms from C–C bonds and/or decreasing the thermodynamic driving force for carbon nucleation on Ni sites.

A Cu promoted Ni/Al₂O₃ was prepared and studied by Khzouz et al. [70] in order to improve the SRM and suppress the carbon deposition. The activity of Cu-Ni/Al₂O₃ was compared with that of commercial Ni/Al₂O₃, Cu/ZnO/Al₂O₃ catalysts. Morphological characterization results showed that the average particle size increases when compared to the commercial Ni/Al₂O₃ catalyst. The prepared Cu-Ni/Al₂O₃ catalyst exhibited low selectivity for CO and it was shown to be more selective to H₂ in methane. Fig. 5 shows the SRM for Cu-Ni/Al₂O₃ and the commercial Ni/Al₂O₃ catalyst. The Cu-Ni/Al₂O₃ catalyst showed a methane fuel conversion of 98% with 99% hydrogen selectivity at 650 °C. The improved performance of Cu-Ni/Al₂O₃ catalyst can be due to the formation of a Ni–Cu alloy which could be formed. This Ni–Cu alloy may be responsible for blocking and decreasing the number of sites involved in the carbon formation.

MgO and Nb₂O₅ have both been employed as the additives for SRM in the Ni/Al₂O₃ catalyst. MgO as a support increases the CO₂ selectivity of the process at a steam to carbon (S/C) molar ratio of 2, Nb₂O₅ is well known for its acidic nature. Both MgO and Nb₂O₅ provide strong metal–support interaction. Amjad et al., [97] reported the use of MgO and Nb₂O₅ as the support for the Ru catalyst. Ru was introduced to the MgO and Nb₂O₅ support by the wet impregnation method before calcining at different temperatures. The Ru/Nb₂O₅ catalyst shows higher activity in terms of CH₄ conversion, CO₂ selectivity, and H₂ dry outlet concentration compared to MgO supported catalyst. The prepared catalyst showed different Ru oxidation states in XPS spectra depending on the preparation condition and precursor used.

Homsy et al. [83] studied the combination of MgO and Al₂O₃ supported Ru catalysts (Co_xMg_{6-x}Al₂) for SRM. The basic nature of MgO allows it to resist coking due to the enhancement in the oxidation rate of CH_x fragments which are adsorbed on the Ru active metal. The acidic nature of Al₂O₃ will facilitate the decomposition of methane. Four different Co_xMg_{6-x}Al₂ (x = 0, 2, 4 and 6) supports were prepared by the hydrotalcite route and Ru was introduced to the Co_xMg_{6-x}Al₂ support by wet impregnation method, this was then calcined at 500 °C. Fig. 6 shows the X-ray diffraction (XRD) spectra of Ru-Co_xMg_{6-x}Al₂ catalyst calcined in air at 500 °C. The supports with a high Mg content showed more intense RuO₂ diffraction lines with increased RuO₂ particle size. In the presence of high Co loading RuO₂ leads to the formation of smaller particles that are well dispersed on the Co₆Al₂ support making it non detectable by the XRD technique. At high Co loadings Ru interacts differently from that obtained with the Mg rich support. The SRM on Ru/Co₆Al₂ catalyst showed the highest H₂ molar concentration produced as well as the lowest CO concentration, demonstrating that Ru/Co₆Al₂ catalyst favors the water gas shift (WGS) reaction. The improved reactivity of high cobalt content catalyst is due to the result of improved surface properties of the support. An opposite behavior was




Fig. 5. Methane steam reforming over a) Ni-Cu/Al₂O₃ catalyst and b) Ni/Al₂O₃ catalyst [70].




Fig. 6. XRD patterns (“s”: Co₃O₄/CoAl₂O₄/Co₂AlO₄; “O”: MgAl₂O₄; “~”: MgO; “*”: RuO₂; “+”: Co₂RuO₄) [83].




Fig. 7. H₂ fraction obtained using LCFN-0.2 (●) and commercial catalyst (★) for various S/C ratios at 700 °C [98].

observed for the Mg rich support as well as an intermediate behavior observed for Ru/Co₄Mg₂Al₂ and Ru/Co₂Mg₄Al₂ catalysts. Additionally, the Ru/Co₆Al₂ catalyst is stable for 100 h on stream.

Various transition materials like Ni, Co, Fe and noble metals like Pt, Rh, Pd, Ir, and Ru have been reported to be active for SRM. However, deactivation of the catalyst due to carbon deposition was a major problem. Perovskite oxides (ABO₃) have been studied as catalysts for the production of syngas from hydrocarbon fuels. Perovskite oxides generally contain rare-earth elements in A-site (like La, Sr, or Ba) which determines the thermal stability of the catalyst and B site is occupied by a 3d transition metal, such as Ni, Co, or Fe, which determines the catalytic activity for steam reforming. Perovskite-type oxides are widely studied due to their high stability under a wide range of oxygen partial pressures as well as their ability to form metallic particles in the order of the nanometers under reforming condition which diminish coke formation. Choi et al. [98] studied the SRM over La_{1-x}Ce_xFe_{0.7}Ni_{0.3}O₃ (x = 0.1 ≤ x ≤ 0.5) perovskite catalysts and compared their results with a commercial catalyst containing 10–14 wt% of NiO and 86–90 wt % of Al₂O₃. Fig. 7 shows the H₂ produced with La_{0.8}Ce_{0.2}Fe_{0.7}Ni_{0.3}O₃ (LCFN-0.2) and a commercial catalyst at 700 °C with different S/C ratios. The H₂ produced using the commercial catalyst decreased from 79% to 51.9% when the S/C ratio was decreased from 3 to 1, while the H₂ produced using LCFN-0.2 catalyst remain unaffected with the S/C ratio. The amount of coke formed on the commercial catalysts was 48.7 wt% and 0.2 wt% for LCFN-0.2 after a 20 h stability test. In the perovskite LCFN catalyst, lattice oxygen vacancies in the perovskite structure may be responsible for enhanced coke gasification of the carbon species formed over the catalyst. The oxygen vacancies also act as active sites for the dissociation of steam under the reaction condition.

Urasaki et al. [99] studied the SRM on Ni catalyst supported on a variety of perovskite oxides, including LaAlO₃, LaFeO₃, SrTiO₃, BaTiO₃, La_{0.4}Ba_{0.6}Co_{0.2}Fe_{0.8}O_{3-δ} (LBCF), and compared the catalytic activity and resistance to coking of perovskite catalysts to the conventional Ni/Al₂O₃ catalyst. Perovskite catalysts LaAlO₃, LaFeO₃, LBCF were prepared by the Pechini method and the catalysts were calcined at 850 °C for 11 h. SrTiO₃ and BaTiO₃ catalyst were prepared by solid state reaction at 1150 °C for 10 h. 10 wt% Ni was introduced in the perovskite catalyst by impregnation using an aqueous solution of Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O. Fig. 8 shows the activities of various Ni/perovskite catalysts and the conventional Ni/Al₂O₃ catalyst in SRM tested at 800 °C with a steam to methane ratio of 2. The catalytic activity of Ni/LaAlO₃ and Ni/SrTiO₃ catalyst were comparable to that of the conventional Ni/Al₂O₃ catalyst. Meanwhile, the catalytic activity of Ni/LaFeO₃ showed a lower activity while the activities of Ni/BaTiO₃ and Ni/LBCF were also extremely poor. The Ni/LaAlO₃ and Ni/SrTiO₃ catalyst showed CH₄ conversion levels of 91.7% and 88.4% after being run for 1 h, respectively. Temperature programmed reduction (TPR) studies showed that a larger amount of lattice oxygen is removed from Ni/BaTiO₃ during reduction





Fig. 8. Catalytic activities of supported Ni catalysts. Catalyst; (○) Ni/LaAlO₃, (■) Ni/SrTiO₃, (●) Ni/LaFeO₃, (▲) Ni/BaTiO₃, (▼) Ni/LBCF, (◇) Ni/Al₂O₃. Reaction conditions: temperature, 800 °C; molar H₂O/CH₄ ratio, 2 [99].

with hydrogen when compared with either the Ni/LaAlO₃ or Ni/SrTiO₃ catalyst. This explains why the Ni/LaAlO₃ and Ni/SrTiO₃ catalysts displayed higher catalytic activities than Ni/BaTiO₃, because LaAlO₃ and SrTiO₃ catalysts have a larger amount of lattice oxygen near the surface and metallic nickel. The lattice oxygen present close to active nickel sites can be easily transferred to CH_x fragments adsorbed on metallic nickel, which facilitates the oxidation of CH_x fragments. Therefore, transition element based perovskite oxides are promising anti-coking catalysts for the reforming of hydrocarbons.

It should be noted that there is a process which combines steam-reforming of methane and CO₂ absorption together in a single reaction step over a steam reforming catalyst mixed with a CO₂ absorbent. This process is called sorption-enhanced H₂ production. The removal of the produced CO₂ from the system by the solid absorbent makes reaction (4) shift to the right thus methane conversion will be enhanced. The produced H₂ can have a purity of 98% with only a small amount (ppm level) of CO and CO₂ thus minimizing the requirement on purification. However, the CO₂ absorbent may be saturated after a period of time thus regeneration is required which can be achieved through temperature or/and pressure swing. The sorption-enhanced H₂ production has been covered by a few excellent reviews [100–105]. The key challenge is the multicycle durability of CO₂ absorbent which must be improved [101].

Hydrogen production through chemical looping was also investigated. In 1993, Steinfeld and Kuhn proposed to production hydrogen through combining methane and solar thermal with the use of Fe produced from Fe₃O₄ as the reaction catalyst [106].

First, methane reacts with Fe₃O₄ to form Fe, H₂ and CO according to the following reaction,



The molar ratio of produced CO and H₂ is 1:2 which can be used as an important intermediate for the gas-to-liquids (GTL) process for the production of liquid hydrocarbons [107].

In the following step, steam is introduced to the system to react with the produced Fe, forming pure H₂,



The advantage of this chemical-looping H₂ production is that a gas separation process is not required. The produced pure H₂ and syngas in the individual step are both very useful chemical products. Chemical

Table 3
Chemical composition of Ni-metal/support catalyst and noble metal/support for partial oxidation of methane.

Catalyst	Preparation method	Temperature (°C)	Weight hour space velocity (ml h ⁻¹ g _{cat} ⁻¹)	O ₂ to carbon ratio (O/C)	Conversion (%)	H ₂ selectivity (%)	Ref.
M/Mg/Al M = Rh, Ni, Rh/Ni, Ir/Ni or Ru/Ni	co-precipitation	750	339,292	0.5	92	40	[115]
Pt/Ni/Al ₂ O ₃ Pt	impregnation	800	225,000	0.5	85	NA	[116]
M + Ni/Al ₂ O ₃ M = Ru, Rh, Pd, Pt	impregnation	800	90,000	0.5	> 80	> 90	[117]
Pt-NiO/Al ₂ O ₃	impregnation	800	7200	0.5	91.8	98.4	[118]
M/Ni/Al ₂ O ₃ M = Ru, Pd, Pt	Precipitation/ impregnation	800	560,000	0.5	> 80	90	[119]
Co/Al ₂ O ₃	impregnation	850	60,000	0.5	95	93.6	[120]
Pt-Co/Al ₂ O ₃	impregnation	750	56,000	0.4	95	NA	[121]
Ni/ 12CaO-7Al ₂ O ₃	solid-state reaction/ impregnation	800	30,000	0.5	> 90	> 95	[122]
Pt/12CaO-7Al ₂ O ₃	solid-state reaction/ impregnation	800	30,000	0.5	> 90	> 90	[122]
Ni-Co/CaAl ₂ O ₄ /Al ₂ O ₃	impregnation	800	144,000	0.5	90	> 95	[123]
Co/MgO	impregnation	850	20,000	0.5	95		[124]
Co/ZrO ₂	impregnation	800	60,000	0.5	100	98.1	[125]
Co/La ₂ O ₃	impregnation	800	60,000	0.5	97.4	96.6	[125]
NiCoMgCeO _x /ZrO ₂ -HfO ₂	impregnation	800	62,000	0.55	95	95	[126]
NiCoMgO _x /ZrO ₂ -HfO ₂	impregnation	850	62,000	0.55	95.5	98.5	[127]
NiCo/SBA-15	hydrothermal/ impregnation	700	5000	0	NA	> 40	[128]
LiNiLaO _x //Al ₂ O ₃	impregnation	850	27,000	0.5	97	NA	[129]
Ni/CeO ₂ /Al ₂ O ₃	impregnation	800	152,432	0.5	80.3	NA	[130]
NiO/La ₂ O ₃ /γ-Al ₂ O ₃	impregnation	750	110,000	0.5	94.3	98	[131]
LiLaNiO/Al ₂ O ₃	impregnation	850	270,000	0.5	> 90	> 95	[132]
Ni-Cr/Al ₂ O ₃	impregnation	700	195,000	0.5	85	NA	[133]
NiFe-ZrO ₂ /Cu	combustion/ impregnation	800	28,500	0.5	> 60	NA	[134]
Ir-Ni/Al ₂ O ₃	impregnation	600	88,500	0.5	80		[135]
Pt-Ni/Al ₂ O ₃	impregnation	800	500,000	0.55	88.1	97.5	[136]
Ni-Pt/La _{0.2} Zr _{0.4} Ce _{0.4} O _x	combustion	700	480,000	0.5	> 80	NA	[137]
Pt/Ni _{0.5} /MgAlOx	impregnation	750	3,000,000	0.5	> 90	NA	[138]
Cu-Ni/Ce _{0.9} Zr _{0.1} O ₂	combustion/ impregnation	750	532,121	0.38	> 30	NA	[139]
Rh-CeO ₂	hydrothermal	700	60,000	0.5	95.2	92.9	[140]
Ni-Rh/Al ₂ O ₃ -MgO	coprecipitation	750	354,044	0.5	93	95	[141]
Rh/Ni/Mg/Al hydrotalcite	coprecipitation	750	111,357	0.5	90	> 95	[142]
Ni/SiC	Sol-gel/ impregnation	750	20,000	0.5	> 75	> 95	[143]
Ni/ZrO ₂ @SiO ₂ core shell	Stober method	750	50,000	0.5	> 90	> 75	[144]
Ni/CeO ₂	Precipitation/ impregnation	750	50,000	0.5	> 85	> 65	[145]
Ni/zeolite catalysts	impregnation	750	90,000	0.5	100	NA	[146]
Ni/CeO ₂ -La ₂ O ₃	impregnation	800	60,000	0.5	> 90		[147]
Ni/CeO ₂ -Al ₂ O ₃	Pechiney	750	12,000	0.5	> 80	> 90	[148]
Ni/TiO ₂	impregnation	800	4800	0.5	86.3	99.7	[149]
Ni-M/Anodic TiO ₂ M = Ru, Pt, Rh	impregnation	700	30,000	0.5	> 70	> 70	[150]
NiO-Al ₂ O ₃	Sol-gel	600	50,400	0.5	> 75	> 75	[151]
LaCoO ₃ /γ-Al ₂ O ₃	combustion	800	899,550	0.25	> 35	> 40	[152]
NdCaCoO _{3.96}	solid-state reaction	915	20,000	0.48	> 75	> 90	[153]
Sr _{0.8} Ni _{0.2} ZrO ₃	hydrothermal	900	66,000	0.5	> 94	NA	[154]
La _{0.08} Sr _{0.92} Fe _{0.20} Ti _{0.80} O ₃	Pechiney	900	30,000	0.5	> 50	> 60	[155]
La _{0.5} Sr _{0.5} CoO ₃	Sol-gel	850	30,000	0.5	> 70	> 75	[156]
Gd _{0.33} Ba _{0.67} FeO ₃	Pechiney	950	73,600	0.13	94		[157]
Ni@SiO ₂ core shell		700	72,000	0.5	83.9	85.9	[158]
La _{0.3} Sr _{0.7} Fe _{0.7} Cu _{0.2} Mo _{0.1} O ₃ membrane reactor	combustion	900	848	0.51	> 80	> 70	[159]
La ₂ NiO ₄ membrane reactor	solid-state reaction	900	5940	0.5	89	NA	[160]
Yb ₂ Ru ₂ O ₇	solid-state reaction	777	22,400	0.5	83	95	[161]

looping H₂ production has been reviewed by Tang et al. [108]

There are some key reports in chemical-looping production of hydrogen using Fe₂O₃-CeO₂ as the oxygen carrier [107,109,110]. The key challenge is carbon deposition when the oxide reacts with methane therefore making reaction (5) incomplete. Sintering of the formed Fe is another problem [107]. The weak oxidation ability of H₂O leading to a low oxidation degree and slow oxidation rate also remain as challenges [108]. It is therefore very important to find suitable oxygen carriers which have a good reactivity and high agglomeration resistance for this process. It was found that perovskite oxides LaFe_{1-x}Co_xO₃ are good

oxygen carriers for the chemical looping steam methane reforming to syngas and hydrogen co-production [111]. Another strategy to prevent sintering and improve the stability of the catalyst has been the introduction of pores into the oxygen carrier. It has been reported that macroporous CeO₂-ZrO₂ solid solutions are excellent oxygen carrier for chemical-looping steam reforming of methane [112].

Both sorption-enhancement and chemical looping H₂ production are very attractive due to gas separation being minimized or not required at all, however, there are challenges that must be overcome before any real applications can be achieved.


3.2. Partial oxidation of methane for hydrogen production

Partial oxidation, in which methane, natural gas or a hydrocarbon is heated in the presence of a stoichiometric amount of pure oxygen, has attracted a lot of attention in recent years. Partial oxidation of methane shows several advantages over steam reforming including; good response time, compactness, and less sensitivity for fuel variation. Partial oxidation reactors are gaining increased interest in the energy conversion field particularly in high temperature fuel cells. Partial oxidation of methane is a highly exothermic reaction and is considered as a faster reaction than the SRM [113].



The partial oxidation of hydrocarbon to syn-gas (CO + H₂) can occur at very high temperatures without the use of a catalyst; however, the operating temperature will be significantly reduced with the use of catalysts.

Partial oxidation of methane (POM) to fuel rich syn-gas can be represented as,



The most studied catalysts for POM are similar to steam reforming catalysts including supported transition metals (Ni, Co, and Fe), noble metals and perovskite oxides [114]. Although, transition metals are active catalyst components for POM, the metal species with different oxidation states plays a significant role in the methane conversion steps. When referring to a Ni supported catalyst, metallic nickel is used to promote the formation of syn-gas, and the Ni species with oxidation number ≥ 2 will promote the total combustion of methane. In general, the distribution of the catalyst metallic species with various oxidation states depends on the synthesis process and the support properties. Table 3 summarizes the different catalyst systems investigated in the literature. In the reported catalysts, Co/ZrO₂ is an excellent low cost catalyst with both high conversion and H₂ selectivity [98].

Pantaleo et al. [147] studied the POM of a Ni catalyst supported over CeO₂, La₂O₃ and mixed CeO₂-La₂O₃. The Ni catalyst supports were prepared by co-precipitation and wet impregnation. During stability tests it was observed that carbon formed only on the single oxide supported catalysts, Ni/CeO₂ and Ni/La₂O₃. In the presence of mixed supports like CeO₂-La₂O₃ carbon did not form, this was due to the formation of different nickel-lanthanum oxide species with different Ni oxidation states. It was also reported that the defect species over the support play an important role in the POM by Pal et al. [145]. Fig. 9 shows the schematic representation of Ni/CeO₂ and CeO₂ surface showing surface defects with under coordinated oxygen atoms. When the Ni content is low (i.e., up to 2.5% Ni) in CeO₂, the defects are mainly substitution defects. The enhancement of the interstitial and surface (structural) defects by the formation of the -O-Ni-O-Ce super structure with exposure to highly under-coordinated O atoms can be achieved by further increasing the Ni content in CeO₂. These under-coordinated O atoms strongly interact with the C-H bond facilitating the cleavage of weakened C-H bonds, while the pure CeO₂ shows a lower affinity of CH₄ toward the “Ce center”, due to absence of point defect and surface defects.

Abbasi et al. studied the monolith supported noble metal (Pt, Pt: Pd, and Pd) catalyst for POM [162]. The results of the POM test showed that the most active catalyst for both wet and dry feeds was Pd, which was followed in order of decreasing activity by Pt: Pd and then by Pt. Santis-Alvarez et al. investigated the effect of different supports (Al₂O₃ and Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂) on a Rh based catalyst [163]. It was found that Rh oxidation was inhibited by the Al₂O₃ support and POM over Rh/Ce_{0.5}Zr_{0.5}O₂ catalyst support was limited due to continuous re-oxidation of metallic Rh by oxygen spillover from the support.

Among studied catalysts for POM, it was found that perovskite





Fig. 9. Schematic representation of Ni/CeO₂ and CeO₂ surface having surface defects with under coordinated oxygen atoms [145].

based catalyst materials exhibited good catalytic activity, good stability and the ability to restrain itself from carbon deposition. It has been claimed that the perovskite catalyst can be used to bring down the threshold value needed to generate the carbon deposition. Carbon deposition can also be prevented through the reaction of the oxygen species over the perovskite reacting with the carbon deposition. Staniforth reported a Sr_{0.8}Ni_{0.2}ZrO₃ perovskite catalyst with high selectivity and activity for POM [154]. Fig. 10 shows the stability of this Sr_{0.8}Ni_{0.2}ZrO₃ perovskite catalyst for different cycles at a temperature of 900 °C. Catalyst deactivation was not observed during the stability test with a high stability of the Sr_{0.8}Ni_{0.2}ZrO₃ perovskite catalyst shown for the reducing environment at 900 °C. A similar result was also reported by Meng et al. when using a LaGa_{0.65}Mg_{0.15}Ni_{0.20}O₃ (LGMN) perovskite catalyst for the partial oxidation of methane to syngas [114]. The LGMN perovskite catalyst syngas selectivity reached up to 100% with the methane conversion reaching values larger than 81% at 900 °C. Post analysis test on the catalyst after POM showed that the LGMN catalysts contain a major perovskite phase and other phases like La₂O₃, La₂O₂CO₃ along with metallic Ni.

3.3. CO₂ reforming of methane for hydrogen production

Dry reforming of methane (DRM) with CO₂ is very attractive in terms of syngas production as it can be used to convert greenhouse gases (CH₄ and CO₂) into valuable fuel rich syngas for both domestic and industrial utilization. In the dry reforming process carbon dioxide is used to reform methane to obtain syngas (H₂ and CO) by the following reaction:



In reality the above reaction proceeds through a number of complex intermediate steps involving absorption, disproportionation, recombination and desorption. These intermediate reactions typically





Fig. 10. Methane conversion during reaction of methane and limited oxygen (CH₄:O₂ = 2) over Sr_{0.8}Ni_{0.2}ZrO₃ for different cycles at a reaction temperature of 900 °C [154].

Table 4
Chemical composition of metal/support catalyst used for dry reforming of methane.

Catalyst	Temperature (°C)	Weight hour space velocity (ml h ⁻¹ g _{cat} ⁻¹)	CO ₂ to carbon ratio (CO ₂ /C)	Conversion CH ₄ (%)	Conversion CO ₂ (%)	H ₂ /CO ratio	Ref.
2% Cr–40% Ni/CeO ₂	850	10,200	1	90	95	NA	[169]
Ni-Pt/Al ₂ O ₃	700	7500	1	65	76	0.65	[170]
5%Ni/Ce _{0.8} Pr _{0.2} O ₂	750	30,000	1	71.5	83.4	1.20	[171]
Ni/SBA–15	650	52,800	1	98	86	1.09	[165]
Ir/Ce _{0.9} Pr _{0.1} O ₂	750	18,000	1	61.1	74.5	0.97	[172]
Ni/CeO ₂ -TiO ₂	800	14,400	1	> 80		0.73–0.82	[173]
Ni-Al ₂ O ₃	800	72,000	1	> 85	> 85	NA	[174]
Ni-Mo ₂ C/La ₂ O ₃	800	12,000	1	60	80	NA	[175]
Ni@SiO ₂	750	40,000	1	71.2	58.4	0.75	[166]
Ni-Ce/MgAl ₂ O ₄	700	10,000	1	> 90	> 90	NA	[176]
Ni ₁₇ W ₃ alloy/ SiO ₂	800	96,000	1	60	70	NA	[177]
Co-Ce/ZrO ₂	700	20,000	1	> 70	NA	> 0.6	[178]
Co/CeO ₂	750	30,000	1	79.5	87.6	NA	[179]
NiMg _x Al _y	800	80,000	1	80	95	NA	[180]
NiPt _x /Al ₂ O ₃	700	20,000	1	87.2	90	0.96	[181]
Co-Zr/Activated Carbon	750	7200	1	90.6	88.5	NA	[182]
Pt/PrO ₂ -Al ₂ O ₃	650	48,000	1	67.3	79.2	0.84	[183]
Rh/CaO-SiO ₂	650	222,222	1	11.8		0.53	[184]
4% Ni–0.2% Au 0.2% Pt–10% CeO ₂	800	60,000	1	93.41	97.26	NA	[185]
Ni/CeAlO ₃ -Al ₂ O ₃	800	20,000	1	80	90	NA	[186]
NiO-MgO-ZrO ₂	750	64,500	0.67	65	75	NA	[187]
La _{1-x} Sr _x CoO ₃	800	24,000	1	93.6	98.9	NA	[188]
LaNi ₅ Fe _{1-x} O ₃	800	300,000	1	80	NA	0.95	[189]
Ni-Ce _{0.8} Zr _{0.2} O ₂	750	44,800	0.92	73.2	85	NA	[190]
La _{0.9} Ba _{0.1} NiO ₃	700	24,000	1	50	55	0.66	[191]
Rh-CeO ₂	750	11,100	1	48.6	57	0.73	[192]
Ni-Ba/Al ₂ O ₃	700	30,000	1	60	70	0.6	[193]
Ru/Ce _{0.7} Zr _{0.25} O ₂	900	12,000	1	96	98	1	[194]
Rh-Ni/ Boron Nitride	700	60,000	1	72	81	0.7	[195]
M _x La _{1-x} Ni _{0.3} Al _{0.7} O ₃ M = Li, Na, K	750	15,000	1	90	NA	1	[196]
La _{0.95} Ce _{0.05} NiO ₃	750	72,000	1	50	60	0.4	[197]
LaNi _{1-x} Mg _x O ₃	700	600,000	1	57	67	0.47	[198]
LaNi _{0.8} Ru _{0.2} O ₃	750	72,000	1	80	85	0.6	[199]
Ce ₂ Zr _{1.51} Ni _{0.49} Rh _{0.03} O ₈	800	12,000	1	30	100	NA	[200]
LaNi _{0.95} Rh _{0.05} O ₃	650	40,000	1	70	NA	NA	[201]
Pt -Ni/nanofibrous-Al ₂ O ₃	700	30,000	1	> 65	> 70	NA	[202]
Rh/Al ₂ O ₃	800	60,000	1	56.9	NA	NA	[203]

influence the DRM reaction by the reverse water gas shift (RWGS) reaction, which leads to a H₂/CO ratio different from less than unity.



In addition to the difficulties associated with the RWGS reaction DRM has the inherent disadvantage of rapid catalyst deactivation due to carbon buildup on the catalyst surface. Coke deposition can be either formed by methane decomposition and/or by CO disproportionation reaction (Boudouard reaction) to form solid carbon on the catalyst surface producing H₂.






Fig. 11. Stability test for Ni@SiO₂ with 3.3 nm and 11.2 nm shell thickness. Conditions: 800 °C, GHSV = 36,000 ml g⁻¹ cat h⁻¹, CO₂:CH₄:N₂ = 1:1:1 [167].




Fig. 12. CH₄ and CO₂ conversion efficiency as a function of temperature (700–850 °C) for various Ni-based Al₂O₃ catalysts (Ni/Al₂O₃, NiCoMg/Al₂O₃, and WNiCoMg/Al₂O₃), (b) long-term stability of CH₄ and CO₂ conversion of catalysts up to 3000 h at 850 °C (Reaction conditions: P = 1 atm, CH₄:CO₂:N₂ = 1.0:1.0:1.0, GHSV = 80,000 h⁻¹) [168].

Effective stabilization of the metal catalyst against coke formation can be achieved through percolating the metal catalyst with inorganic layers such as SiO₂ and Al₂O₃. A new Ni@SiO₂ core shell catalyst introduced by Zhang et al. to study the DRM shows a stable performance by reducing the formation of coke on the catalyst surface [166]. Similarly, Li et al. studied the effect of shell thickness with Ni@SiO₂ catalyst towards DRM [167]. It was found that a small increase in the shell thickness of the Ni@SiO₂ catalyst results in dramatic improvements in catalyst stability and specific activity towards CH₄ and CO₂. Fig. 11 shows the stability test for Ni@SiO₂ with 3.3 nm and 11.2 nm shell thickness at 800 °C. The high catalytic activity of Ni@SiO₂ was due to the effective void space between the Ni core and the SiO₂ shell providing a uniform reaction environment which improves the adsorption ability of Ni towards methane.

Addition of basic metal oxide supports to the DRM (eg: MgO) catalyst provide a promising alternative to minimize carbon deposition. The basicity of these supports and/or promoters will bring a more favorable CO₂ adsorption through the formation of bicarbonate (HCO₃⁻) or carbonate species (CO₃²⁻) were the CO₂ interacts with basic OH surface species or O²⁻ surface ions. Son et al. reported a high carbon resistant MgO promoted NiCo/Al₂O₃ catalyst (WNiCoMg/Al₂O₃) with a MgAl₂O₄ nano island decorated on the DRM catalyst [168]. Fig. 12 shows the performance of Ni/Al₂O₃, NiCoMg/Al₂O₃, and WNiCoMg/Al₂O₃ catalyst at atmospheric pressure using high gas hourly space velocity (GHSV) of 80,000 h⁻¹ showing an excellent stability over 3000 h. The excellent catalytic activity and long term stability of WNiCoMg/Al₂O₃ catalyst mainly originates from the robust structure of the catalyst itself.

4. Reforming of liquid hydrocarbons for hydrogen production

The production of hydrogen from liquid hydrocarbons such as ethanol, methanol, etc. has received considerable research attention due to their economic importance and environmental benefits [204,205]. Unlike SRM, steam reforming of liquid hydrocarbons involves complex pathways and intermediate products which depend on the nature of the metal surface. For example ethanol steam reforming (ESR), commonly reported surface intermediated species [206] such as acetaldehyde (CH₃CHO*), acetyl (CH₃C*O), ketene (*CH₂C*O), and ketenyl (*CHC*O) and other intermediate species like *CHyCHx*OH and *CH₂CH₂O* are formed on the metal catalyst surface. Their molecular structures are shown in Fig. 13. Similarly, the commonly identified surface intermediate species [207] formed during methanol steam reforming (MSR) are methoxy (*OCH₃), formaldehyde (*OCH₂), dioxymethylene (*OCH₂O*), mono-dental formate (*OCHO), bidental formate (*OCHO*), and methyl formate (*OCHOCH₃). Their molecular structure is shown in Fig. 14. Table 5 summarizes the chemical composition of the Ni-metal/support catalyst and noble metal/support for steam reforming of liquid hydrocarbons. Among the investigated catalysts, Cu-based catalysts are the best in terms of cost and conversion.




Fig. 13. Representation of intermediate species molecular structures of ethanol steam reforming on catalyst surfaces.




Fig. 14. Representation of intermediate species molecular structures of methanol steam reforming on catalyst surfaces.

4.1. Reforming of methanol for H₂ production

Experimental studies of MSR carried out on Ni-Cu/ZrO₂ catalyst have been reported by Lytkina et al. [208] using different Ni and Cu ratios and annealing temperatures. ZrO₂ was prepared by the precipitation method and the resulting samples were sequentially impregnated with aqueous solution of Cu and Ni. The obtained bi-metallic catalysts were further annealed at 350 and 400 °C followed by reduction at 350 °C in H₂. Four catalyst supports prepared with different Cu/Ni ratios and at different temperatures were produced. (1) Cu_{0.8}-Ni_{0.2}/ZrO₂ 350 °C; (2) Cu_{0.2}-Ni_{0.8}/ZrO₂ 400 °C; (3) Cu_{0.8}-Ni_{0.2}/ZrO₂ 400 °C; (4) Cu_{0.2}-Ni_{0.8}/ZrO₂ 350 °C. Thermal analysis (DSC) and XRD patterns shows that the catalyst annealed at 350 °C is amorphous whereas the catalyst annealed at 400 °C has a monoclinic structure. For all compositions of the catalyst support a methanol conversion was archived at over 350 °C. Fig. 15 shows the temperature dependence of hydrogen formation on different Ni-Cu/ZrO₂ catalysts. The catalyst annealed at 350 °C (amorphous) shows higher hydrogen production compared to catalyst annealed at 400 °C (monoclinic). It is well known that water adsorption on the catalyst surface plays an important role in reforming reactions. The increase in H₂ production on the Cu_{0.8}-Ni_{0.2}/ZrO₂ 350 °C

Table 5
Chemical composition of Ni-metal/support catalyst and noble metal/support for steam reforming of liquid hydrocarbon.

Catalyst	Fuel	Preparation method	Temperature (°C)	Weight hour space velocity (ml h ⁻¹ g _{cat} ⁻¹)	Steam to carbon ratio (S/C)	Conversion (%)	H ₂ selectivity (%)	Ref.
CuNi/CeO ₂	ethanol	impregnation	450	57,000	10	60	70	[219]
Cu/ZnO	methanol	homogeneous precipitation	250	12,600	1.2	100	NA	[227]
CuZnZrAl	methanol	impregnation	350	903	1.4	> 50		[228]
Cu–Mn spinel	methanol	soft reactive grinding	260	10,080	1.3	92.9	99.5	[229]
Cu/ZnO	methanol	soft reactive grinding	300	7680	1.3	100	60	[230]
Cu/ZnO/ZrO ₂ /Al ₂ O ₃	methanol	precipitation	260	60,000	1.3	> 90	NA	[231]
ZnO/Cu/SiO ₂	methanol	hydrolysis/ polymerization	300	21,200	1.5	> 75	NA	[232]
CuO/ZnO/CeO ₂ /ZrO ₂ /Al ₂ O ₃	methanol	precipitation	270	22,909	1.1	90.5	NA	[233]
Cu/ZnO/ZrO ₂ /Al ₂ O ₃	methanol	precipitation	300	276,000	1.3	100	NA	[234]
Cu–Zn–Ce–Al-oxide	methanol	impregnation	260	7331	1.4	90		[235]
Ce _{1-x} Cu _x O ₂	methanol	precipitation	260	5000	1	90.7		[236]
Cu _x Mn _{3-x} O ₄ spinel	methanol	combustion	280	14,008	1.5	100	94.3	[237]
Ni/La ₂ O ₃ -ZrO ₂	ethanol	impregnation	350	9180	30	100	55	[225]
Ni/CeO ₂ -ZrO ₂	ethanol	impregnation	650	5517	8	100	> 75	[238]
Ni/GDC	ethanol	hydrothermal/ impregnation	850	80,000	3	100	65	[239]
Ni/TiO ₂	ethanol	precipitation/ impregnation	500	29,160	3	99.5	NA	[240]
Ni _{0.95} Mo _{0.05} /SBA	ethanol	hydrothermal	700	8571	3	80	80	[224]
CuNi/SiO ₂	ethanol	impregnation	350	17,177	6	100		[241]
PtNi/CeO ₂	ethanol	hydrothermal/ impregnation	500	36,000	3	69	NA	[242]
Pt _{1.5} Co _x /ZrO ₂	ethanol	sol-gel/impregnation	300	22,000	13	100	NA	[243]
Ni ₃ Mg ₂ AlO ₇	bio ethanol		300	168,000	3	100	60	[244]
Co ₂ MnO ₄	ethanol	combustion	700	8571	0.33	100	71	[245]
2KCo/ZrO ₂	ethanol	impregnation	420	60,000	9	100	74	[246]
La _{0.6} Sr _{0.4} CoO ₃	ethanol	sol-gel citrate	700	40,000	3	100	70	[221]
La _{1-x} Ca _x Fe _{0.7} Ni _{0.3} O ₃	ethanol	pechini method	650	400,000	3	100	65	[247]
La _{1-x} K _x Fe _{0.7} Ni _{0.3} O ₃	ethanol	pechini method	450	60,000	3	100	70	[248]
LaCo ₃ Ni _{1-x} O ₃	ethanol	pechini method	550	60,000	3	100	60	[249]
Ni/LaFe _{0.7} Co _{0.3} O ₃	ethanol	pechini	550	80,000	3	100	67	[250]
Mn/Co ₁₀ Si ₉₀ MCM	ethanol	hydrothermal/ impregnation	600	8571	0.33	100	97	[251]
Rh/La ₂ O ₃ (5%)CeO ₂ -Al ₂ O ₃	ethanol	impregnation	500	82,200	3	70	70	[215]
Rh/CeO ₂	ethanol	deposition-precipitation	650	381,703	3	100	72	[211]
Ir/CeO ₂	ethanol	deposition-precipitation	650	6000	1.8	100	55	[252]
Ir/CeO ₂	ethanol	deposition-precipitation	450	22,000	4	100	NA	[253]
Ir/Ce _{0.9} Pr _{0.1} O ₂	ethanol	impregnation	450	18,000	3	95	60	[254]
Rh-Co/CeO ₂	ethanol	impregnation	450	72,000	3	90	60	[212]
Rh/MgO	ethanol	impregnation	650	24,000	8.4	100	92	[255]
Pt/CeO ₂	bio ethanol	impregnation	350	25,350	3	82		[256]
Pt/CeZrO ₂	ethanol	precipitation/ impregnation	500	80,000	0	100	> 50	[257]




Fig. 15. Temperature dependence of H₂ yields in MSR on obtained catalysts [208].

catalyst may likely be due to the significantly higher content of water molecules absorbed on the surface of amorphous ZrO₂ catalyst than monoclinic ZrO₂ catalyst.

Besides oxides, carbides have also been investigated as the catalyst supports for reforming of liquid hydrocarbons Ma et al. studied the catalytic activity of metal modified Mo₂C catalysts for MSR with different M/Mo molar ratios [209]. The catalyst were prepared by mixing aqueous solutions of (NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄·4H₂O, Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O, Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O and Co(NO₃)₂·6H₂O, respectively and calcining at 500 °C. Carburization of M–MoO₃ to M–Mo₂C was carried out in a fixed-bed quartz microreactor with a CH₄/H₂ (20 vol% CH₄) atmosphere. The MRS of Ni–Mo₂C with different doping amount of Ni (Ni/Mo ratio = 0.8/99.2, 1.2/98.8, 1.6/98.4, 2.4/97.6, 5/95, 10/90, and 15/85) was

shown in Fig. 16. The catalytic performance of Ni–Mo₂C has a strong dependence on the methanol conversion. When the Ni loading is low (Ni/Mo = 0.8/99.2–2.4/97.6) the catalyst exhibits the highest methanol conversion with a reaction temperature of 300 °C, when the Ni molar ratio increases to over 5 the methanol conversion decreases sharply. The XRD and BET results shows that the Ni particles loaded on the Mo₂C were sintered together and covered the surface of molybdenum carbide at a high Ni/Mo ratio (5/95, 10/90, and 15/85), which results in the decrease in the methanol conversion. The superior catalytic activity of lower Ni/Mo (0.8/99.2–2.4/97.6) is due to the synergistic effect between Ni and native Mo₂C which plays a prominent role in the methanol conversion. Fig. 16b shows the stability of β–Mo₂C, Fe–Mo₂C (1.6), Co–Mo₂C (1.6), and Ni–Mo₂C (1.6) catalysts for the MSR reactions at 400 °C. The Ni–Mo₂C (1.6) showed a comparatively longer catalytic stability and the higher methanol conversion for 12 h. XPS studies show that Mo²⁺ species on fresh and spent β–Mo₂C decreases from 40% to 8% due to surface oxidation. The high performance and stability of Ni modified Mo₂C may be due to a higher resistance to oxidation than pure molybdenum carbide and Fe-, Co modified carbides catalyst.

LaCo₃-based perovskite oxides have also been studied for several oxidation and reduction reactions. Recently, La–Sr–Co–FeO perovskite mixed oxides have attracted considerable attention due to their high mixed electronic-ionic conduction properties and a good catalytic activity towards methane oxidation, as well as their chemical stability in the reducing conditions. Kuc et al. studied the effect of Pd and Zn substitution on the LaCo_{1-x-y}Pd_xZn_yO_{3±δ} perovskite catalyst for




Fig. 16. (a) Catalytic performances of Ni-modified molybdenum carbide with different doping amounts. (b) Stability of β -Mo₂C, Fe-Mo₂C (1.6), Co-Mo₂C (1.6), and Ni-Mo₂C (1.6) catalysts for the SRM reaction at 400 °C [209].

MSR [210]. A series of LaCoO_{3±δ} (LCO), LaCo_{0.873}Pd_{0.127}O_{3±δ} (LCPO), LaCo_{0.89}Zn_{0.11}O_{3±δ} (LCZO), LaCo_{0.95}Pd_{0.025}Zn_{0.025}O_{3±δ} (LCPZO-5), LaCo_{0.85}Pd_{0.075}Zn_{0.075}O_{3±δ} (LCPZO-15), and LaCo_{0.75}Pd_{0.125}Zn_{0.125}O_{3±δ} (LCPZO-25) perovskite oxides were prepared by the Pechini method and calcined at 800 °C in air. The methanol conversion and CO₂ selectivity were studied for all the perovskite compositions. It was observed that Pd and Zn containing LCPZO-5, LCPZO-15 and LCPZO-25 show superior CO₂ selectivity in the 200–400 °C temperature range than the un-substituted or mono substituted materials LCO, LCPO and LCZO. For example, at 225 °C, the CO₂ selectivity is around 11% on un-substituted LCO, on the other hand, for substituted it is between 70% and 91% over Pd and Zn containing perovskite materials. The CO₂ selectivity was shown to improve with increasing Pd and Zn content in LCPZO-5 and LCPZO-15. Fig. 17 shows the 1st derivative of the mass loss curves (DTG) of the perovskite catalyst materials. From Fig. 17b it is evident that the positions of low-temperature DTG curves shifts progressively to lower temperatures with increasing Pd (and Zn) content in the due to the increased reducibility with the presence of the Pd substituent, the materials with both Pd and Zn (LCPZO) leading to significantly improve the MSR activity ($\geq 80\%$ CO₂ selectivity).

4.2. Reforming of ethanol for H₂ production

For ethanol steam reforming Rh [211,212] and Ni [213] based catalysts are the most commonly studied with a very high selective towards hydrogen production. The studied supports were Al₂O₃ [214,215], MgO [216,217], ZrO₂ [218], CeO₂ [219], La₂O₃ [220], Nb₂O₅ [219], perovskites [221,222] and bi-metallic [223,224]. A

comparative study of ESR on two series of catalysts: (i) Ni deposited on CeO₂ or La₂O₃ promoted alumina; and (ii) Ni deposited on CeO₂ or La₂O₃ promoted zirconia were reported by Dan et al. [225]. The catalysts were prepared through the impregnation method on Al₂O₃ and ZrO₂ supports with an aqueous solution of Ce and La followed by calcining. The mixed supports contain 6 wt% CeO₂ and 6 wt% La₂O₃. Finally Ni (8 wt%) was introduced on the CeO₂-Al₂O₃, La₂O₃-Al₂O₃, CeO₂-ZrO₂, and La₂O₃-ZrO₂ supports, followed by calcination in argon at 550 °C and reduction in H₂ at 550 °C. Fig. 18 shows the catalytic activity of the Ni supported, CeO₂ and La₂O₃ promoted, Al₂O₃, and ZrO₂ catalysts for ESR at temperatures between 150–350 °C. For both the Al₂O₃, and ZrO₂ catalysts promoted with La₂O₃, 100% ethanol conversion was reported at 300 °C. Also, the ESR was improved by addition of CeO₂ on the ZrO₂ supported catalyst, addition of CeO₂ on Al₂O₃ was not significant on the ethanol conversion.

High H₂ yield was observed by coupling the Rh/CeO₂ catalyst on ESR at 400 °C, this was due to the remarkable C–C bond breaking capacity of the Rh catalyst [211]. CeO₂ support was prepared by the urea precipitation process and was then calcined in air at 400 °C. 1% Rh loaded catalyst was further prepared by a deposition-precipitation method. Fig. 19 shows the ESR over the Rh/CeO₂ catalyst. At 400 °C, ethanol and other intermediate products like acetone, acetaldehydes were not detected, suggesting that ethanol was entirely converted into hydrogen and other C₁ products (CO, CO₂, CH₄). At high temperature (650 °C), the reformed gas contains 72 mol% H₂, 14 mol% CO, 10 mol% CO₂ and 4 mol% CH₄.

For the Ni on perovskite supports, Ni/BZCYb (BaZr_{0.1}Ce_{0.7}Y_{0.1}Yb_{0.1}O₃), Ni/BZCY7 (BaZr_{0.1}Ce_{0.7}Y_{0.2}O₃) and Ni/BZCY4 (BaZr_{0.4}Ce_{0.4}Y_{0.2}O₃) a higher catalytic activity and lower carbon deposition than other oxide catalysts was observed [222,226]. Ex situ Raman spectroscopy was performed to investigate carbon formed on the catalyst (Fig. 20). Without the addition of steam in the feed, Ni/BZCYb and Ni/BZCY7 display comparable graphitization. When the steam content is increased in the feed, the carbon deposition was much lower in the Ni/BZCYb catalyst than in the Ni/BZCY7 catalyst. It was reported that the high carbon tolerance of BaZrO₃-BaCeO₃ oxides is due to the water storage capability, the addition of Yb effectively improves the catalytic activity for the ethanol steam reforming reactions. The stored water in the proton-conducting oxide may react with deposited carbon forming relevant gases thus carbon deposition was alleviated.

5. Poisoning of catalysts for reforming and partial oxidation of hydrocarbons

The stability of catalysts for reforming and partial oxidation of hydrocarbons is extremely important. The deactivation and regeneration of catalysts may be caused by various reasons including chemical, thermal and mechanical which have been reviewed by Argyle et al. [258]. The recent progress beyond this excellent review is briefly described below. Poisoning by impurities, mainly sulphur is the major challenge for reforming and partial oxidation catalysts, whilecoking also poses a problem for reforming catalysts, particularly at low oxygen partial pressure. Recently Ocsachoque et al. reported that the Rh/CeO₂ catalyst exhibits a higher tolerance to sulphur than the Ni/CeO₂ catalyst, this is because of the existence of O²⁻ species in the former. The O²⁻ species can help to oxidize sulphur into SO_x [259]. As for the conventional Ni/Al₂O₃ catalyst, it was found that a high sintering temperature is beneficial to the anti-coking property when performing the CO₂ reforming of methane. NiAl₂O₄ will be formed at high temperature while Ni particles formed from the reduction of NiAl₂O₄ have a strong interaction with the support while carbon deposition did not affect the contact of gases with the supported Ni catalysts thus the activity remains [260]. Not only methane, reforming of dodecane and liquefied petroleum gas has attracted the attention of researchers. It was found that LaNiO₃ nanocrystals in SBA-15 mesoporous SiO₂ exhibits high stability and anti-coking on steam reforming of liquefied petroleum [261].




Fig. 17. 1st derivative of the mass loss (DTG) curves of (a) LCO (—), LCPO (---) and LCZO (—) and (b) LCPZO-5 (---), LCPZO-15 (---) and LCPZO-25 (·····) [210].

6. Reforming and partial oxidation catalysts for direct hydrocarbon solid oxide fuel cells

The high fuel flexibility offered by SOFCs gives the possibility to use relatively cheap, safe, and readily available hydrocarbons. However more direct utilization of such fuels would greatly lower fuel cost and increase the feasibility of SOFC commercialization, especially for near-term adoption in high value markets. This also allows the technology to create a bridge towards the anticipated arrival of the “hydrogen economy”. Current SOFC technology has shown good performance with a wide range of hydrocarbon and syngas fuels, but there are still significant challenges for practical application [262]. The direct utilization of hydrocarbons in an SOFC was reported by Vohs et al. as early as 1995 but the power density was not high [263]. In 1999, Barnett et al. reported a direct methane SOFC without carbon deposition when a 0.5 μm thick $(\text{Y}_2\text{O}_3)_{0.15}(\text{CeO}_2)_{0.85}$ (YDC) porous film was used between the Ni- Y_2O_3 -stabilized ZrO_2 (YSZ) anode and YSZ electrolyte [264]. In

2000, Gorte et al. extended the hydrocarbon fuels for SOFCs from methane to ethane, 1-butene, n-butane and toluene [265]. The development of anodes for hydrocarbon SOFCs have been reviewed in several papers [61,262,266,267]. Reformed liquid hydrocarbons such as n-dodecane and diesel are also reported as fuels for SOFCs with good performance although the degradation is slightly higher than that when H_2 was used as the fuel [268–270]. MoO_2 has been identified as a conductive anti-coking anode running on reformed diesel. There is also some initial work on the reforming of diesel/biodiesel to be used as fuels for SOFCs [271].

Conventional Ni-based anodes for SOFCs suffer from carbon deposition due to Ni being an excellent hydrocarbon decomposition catalyst. While this can be avoided to a large extent by having excess water in the system to promote steam reforming reactions, this requirement can increase system complexity and add water management issues. The ability to utilise the fuel directly with less or no additional water would be a great benefit for system by reducing the cost, thus a good reforming catalyst that can work at low steam to carbon ratios would be ideal. This can be realised whether as part of direct utilization on the anode itself or as part of a robust pre-reforming catalyst supplying partly reformed fuel to the anode. It has been reported that when Ni is replaced by Cu, Cu alloys, or with the integration of CeO_2 , carbon deposition can be alleviated [265,272]. Liu reported that BaO-Ni/YSZ anode can run C_3H_8 as the fuel exhibiting stable performance for 100 h [59]. The first redox perovskite-based anode $(\text{La}_{0.75}\text{Sr}_{0.25})\text{Cr}_{0.5}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{O}_{3-\delta}$ (LSCM) was discovered by Tao and Irvine. This can run on methane as the fuel with negligible carbon deposition [4]. Other material families such as titanate [273], vanadate [61,274] and double perovskite [275] are also reported to be redox stable/reversible and with promising performances when run on hydrocarbon fuels [61,267]. Besides these requirements, carbon deposition, tolerance to impurities (particularly sulphur), long term operational reliability and durability remain challenges, especially when liquid hydrocarbons such as diesel/bio-diesel are used as the fuel. Therefore some element of pre-reforming is essential in these cases [262]. For gaseous hydrocarbons, reforming of the fuels will generate H_2 and CO to be used as the fuels for SOFCs however, care must still be exercised to prevent reverse water gas shift reactions resulting in the formation of solid carbon. Anti-coking can also be achieved through tailoring of microstructure. It has been reported that hierarchically porous Ni-based anode deposited with a nanocatalyst layer has improved coking resistance when methane was used as the fuel. A thin layer of nano samaria doped ceria catalyst was infiltrated on the walls of Ni-yttria-stabilised zirconia anode. The cell efficiency has been improved with a power density of 650 mW cm^{-2} at $800 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ when methane was used as the fuel while the performance is stable for over 400 h. This study provides an excellent strategy in developing anti-coking anodes for SOFCs [276]. In addition to carbon deposition and poisoning, sintering of catalysts is a further key challenge in order to realise a stable robust anode for SOFCs. In general, oxidation of hydrogen is easier than that for CO and hydrocarbons. An anode with integrated reforming or oxidation catalysts for in situ hydrogen




Fig. 18. The variation of ethanol conversion with temperature in ethanol steam reforming reactions catalyzed by: (a) alumina supported Ni catalysts and (b) zirconia supported Ni catalysts; 1 g catalyst, 0.1 ml/min liquid flow, 35 ml/min Ar flow [225].




Fig. 19. Effect of reaction temperature on the product distribution of ethanol steam reforming over the Rh/CeO₂ catalyst. C₂H₅OH/H₂O = 1/3, molar ratio; GHSV: 6000 h⁻¹ [211].




Fig. 20. Raman spectra of the deposited carbon on the Ni/BZCYYb and Ni/BZCY7 catalyst after treatment in ethanol-containing atmospheres for 30 min at 600 °C [222].

production at the anode may facilitate the fuel oxidation thus reduce anode polarization resistance resulting in enhanced performance [60].

7. Challenges and future work

Although the focus of this review is on the catalytic activity, the development of hydrocarbon reforming for hydrogen or hydrogen rich syngas generation for fuel cells has been the focus of a remarkable quantity of research efforts in order to understand factors such as the reforming catalyst structure, the reforming reaction and fuel processing. These areas are also important but are not within the scope of this paper. Tolerance to impurities such as sulphur and anti-coking are important for fuel cells which are also highlighted. By performing a comparative literature study it can be seen that the most common preparation method for the reforming catalyst is the wet impregnation technique. By using the proper preparation method and appropriate catalyst support then it is possible to maximize the key catalyst parameters such as hydrocarbon conversion, hydrogen production and selectivity. Key catalyst parameters including hydrogen selectivity, thermal stability, chemical stability and carbon deposition tolerance can be improved by the addition of catalyst promoters as well as the choice of catalyst support. The catalyst promoters are usually in the form of other metals which are added to the Ni catalyst thereby forming an M-Ni bi-metallic alloy catalyst. From the literature it can be found that the good performing catalyst promoters are Co, Cu, Sn, Pt, Pd, Mn, Rh, Ru and Au which have been reported to greatly improve the hydrogen production of the Ni catalyst while also decreasing carbon deposition. To conclude, the growth in the exploration in the design and

identification of new reforming catalysts has led to the development of new effective catalyst with improved performance for hydrocarbon reforming for hydrogen or hydrogen rich gas generation for fuel cells. To avoid or simplify the gas separation process, sorption – enhancement and chemical looping steam reforming of hydrocarbons, particularly methane is promising. However, the cyclability of the CO₂ sorbent, the oxygen carrier catalyst, anti-coking and slow kinetics etc are all major challenges. It is desired to develop new catalysts with low cost, that are both chemically and mechanically stable (keep microstructure, negligible sintering/coarsening at high temperature), tolerant to impurities, anti-coking with high catalytic activities towards reforming and partial oxidation of hydrocarbons for hydrogen production and fuel cell applications.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank EPSRC SuperGen XIV ‘Delivery of Sustainable Hydrogen’ Project (Grant No EP/G01244X/1), Flame SOFCs (EP/K021036/2), UK-India Biogas SOFCs (EP/I037016/1) and SuperGen Fuel Cells (EP/G030995/1) projects for funding.

References

- Jacobson AJ. Materials for solid oxide fuel cells. *Chem Mater* 2010;22:660–74.
- Shao Z, Haile SM. A high-performance cathode for the next generation of solid-oxide fuel cells. *Nature* 2004;431:170–3.
- Park S, Vohs JM, Gorte RJ. Direct oxidation of hydrocarbons in a solid-oxide fuel cell. *Nature* 2000;404:265–7.
- Tao SW, Irvine JTS. A redox-stable efficient anode for solid-oxide fuel cells. *Nat Mater* 2003;2:320–3.
- Steele BCH, Heinzel A. Materials for fuel-cell technologies. *Nature* 2001;414:345–52.
- Brett DJL, Atkinson A, Brandon NP, Skinner SJ. Intermediate temperature solid oxide fuel cells. *Chem Soc Rev* 2008;37:1568–78.
- Wachsman ED, Lee KT. Lowering the temperature of solid oxide fuel cells. *Science* 2011;334:935–9.
- Atkinson A, Barnett S, Gorte RJ, Irvine JTS, McEvoy AJ, Mogensen M, et al. Advanced anodes for high-temperature fuel cells. *Nat Mater* 2004;3:17–27.
- Minh NQ. Solid oxide fuel cell technology—features and applications. *Solid State Ion* 2004;174:271–7.
- Tao SW, Irvine JTS, Plint SM. Methane oxidation at redox stable fuel cell electrode La_{0.75}Sr_{0.25}Cr_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}O_{3-δ}. *J Phys Chem B* 2006;110:21771–6.
- Tao SW, Irvine JTS. Catalytic properties of the perovskite oxide La_{0.75}Sr_{0.25}Cr_{0.5}Fe_{0.5}O_{3-δ} in relation to its potential as a solid oxide fuel cell anode material. *Chem Mater* 2004;16:4116–21.
- Sengodan S, Choi S, Jun A, Shin TH, Ju Y-W, Jeong HY, et al. Layered oxygen-deficient double perovskite as an efficient and stable anode for direct hydrocarbon solid oxide fuel cells. *Nat Mater* 2015;14:205–9.
- Sengodan S, Liu M, Lim T-H, Shin J, Liu M, Kim G. Enhancing sulfur tolerance of a Ni-YSZ anode through BaZr_{0.1}Ce_{0.7}Y_{0.1}Yb_{0.1}O_{3-δ} infiltration. *J Electrochem Soc* 2014;161:F668–73.
- Sengodan S, Yeo HJ, Shin JY, Kim G. Assessment of perovskite-type La_{0.8}Sr_{0.2}Sc_xMn_{1-x}O_{3-δ} oxides as anodes for intermediate-temperature solid oxide fuel cells using hydrocarbon fuels. *J Power Sources* 2011;196:3083–8.
- Vohs JM, Gorte RJ. High-performance SOFC cathodes prepared by infiltration. *Adv Mater* 2009;21:943–56.
- Goodenough JB, Huang Y-H. Alternative anode materials for solid oxide fuel cells. *J Power Sources* 2007;173:1–10.
- Gorte RJ, Vohs JM. Nanostructured anodes for solid oxide fuel cells. *Curr Opin Colloid Interface Sci* 2009;14:236–44.
- Murray EP, Tsai T, Barnett SA. A direct-methane fuel cell with a ceria-based anode. *Nature* 1999;400:649–51.
- Zhan Z, Barnett SA. An octane-fueled solid oxide fuel cell. *Science* 2005;308:844–7.
- Liu M, Choi Y, Yang L, Blinn K, Qin W, Liu P, et al. Direct octane fuel cells: a promising power for transportation. *Nano Energy* 2012;1:448–55.
- McIntosh S, Gorte RJ. Direct hydrocarbon solid oxide fuel cells. *Chem Rev* 2004;104:4845–66.
- Yang L, Choi Y, Qin W, Chen H, Blinn K, Liu M, et al. Promotion of water-mediated carbon removal by nanostructured barium oxide/nickel interfaces in solid oxide fuel cells. *Nat Commun* 2011;2:357.
- Andersson M, Paradis H, Yuan J, Sundén B. Review of catalyst materials and catalytic steam reforming reactions in SOFC anodes. *Int J Energy Res* 2011;35:1340–50.
- Angeli SD, Monteleone G, Giaconia A, Lemonidou AA. State-of-the-art catalysts for CH₄ steam reforming at low temperature. *Int J Hydrog Energy* 2014;39:1979–97.
- Ashcroft AT, Cheetham AK, Foord JS, Green MLH, Grey CP, Murrell AJ, et al. Selective oxidation of methane to synthesis gas using transition metal catalysts. *Nature* 1990;344:319–21.

- anode for solid oxide fuel cell applications. *J Power Sources* 2013;243:203–10.
- [269] Jeong J, Baek S-W, Bae J. A diesel-driven, metal-based solid oxide fuel cell. *J Power Sources* 2014;250:98–104.
- [270] Kwon BW, Hu S, Marin-Flores O, Norton MG, Kim J, Scudiero L, et al. High-performance molybdenum dioxide-based anode for dodecane-fueled solid-oxide fuel cells (SOFCs). *Energy Technol* 2014;2:425–30.
- [271] Lin J, Trabold TA, Walluk MR, Smith DF. Bio-fuel reformation for solid oxide fuel cell applications. Part 3: biodiesel-diesel blends. *Int J Hydrog Energy* 2014;39:196–208.
- [272] McIntosh S, He HP, Lee SI, Costa-Nunes O, Krishnan VV, Vohs JM, et al. An examination of carbonaceous deposits in direct-utilization SOFC anodes. *J Electrochem Soc* 2004;151:A604–8.
- [273] Ruiz-Morales JC, Canales-Vazquez J, Savaniu C, Marrero-Lopez D, Zhou WZ, Irvine JTS. Disruption of extended defects in solid oxide fuel cell anodes for methane oxidation. *Nature* 2006;439:568–71.
- [274] Petit CT, Lan R, Cowin PI, Irvine JT, Tao S. Structure, conductivity and redox reversibility of Ca-doped cerium metavanadate. *J Mater Chem* 2011;21:8854–61.
- [275] Huang YH, Dass RI, Xing ZL, Goodenough JB. Double perovskites as anode materials for solid-oxide fuel cells. *Science* 2006;312:254–7.
- [276] Chen Y, Zhang Y, Lin Y, Yang Z, Su D, Han M, et al. Direct-methane solid oxide fuel cells with hierarchically porous Ni-based anode deposited with nanocatalyst layer. *Nano Energy* 2014;10:1–9.