

Two-Dimensional Massless Dirac Fermions in Antiferromagnetic $A\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$ ($A=\text{Ba},\text{Sr}$)

Zhi-Guo Chen (谌志国),^{1,*} Luyang Wang,^{2,3} Yu Song,⁴ Xingye Lu,¹ Huiqian Luo,¹ Chenglin Zhang,⁴ Pengcheng Dai,⁴ Zhiping Yin,^{5,†} Kristjan Haule,^{6,7} and Gabriel Kotliar^{6,7}

¹Beijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics, Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

²Institute for Advanced Study, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

³State Key Laboratory of Optoelectronic Materials and Technologies, School of Physics, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510275, China

⁴Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rice University, Houston, Texas 77005, USA

⁵Center of Advanced Quantum Studies and Department of Physics, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

⁶Department of Physics and Astronomy, Rutgers University, Piscataway, New Jersey 08854, USA

⁷DMFT-MatDeLab Center, Upton, New York 11973, USA

(Received 15 February 2017; published 31 August 2017)

We report infrared studies of $A\text{Fe}_2\text{As}_2$ ($A=\text{Ba}, \text{Sr}$), two representative parent compounds of iron-arsenide superconductors, at magnetic fields (B) up to 17.5 T. Optical transitions between Landau levels (LLs) were observed in the antiferromagnetic states of these two parent compounds. Our observation of a \sqrt{B} dependence of the LL transition energies, the zero-energy intercepts at $B = 0$ T under the linear extrapolations of the transition energies and the energy ratio (~ 2.4) between the observed LL transitions, combined with the linear band dispersions in two-dimensional (2D) momentum space obtained by theoretical calculations, demonstrates the existence of massless Dirac fermions in the antiferromagnet BaFe_2As_2 . More importantly, the observed dominance of the zeroth-LL-related absorption features and the calculated bands with extremely weak dispersions along the momentum direction k_z indicate that massless Dirac fermions in BaFe_2As_2 are 2D. Furthermore, we find that the total substitution of the barium atoms in BaFe_2As_2 by strontium atoms not only maintains 2D massless Dirac fermions in this system, but also enhances their Fermi velocity, which supports that the Dirac points in iron-arsenide parent compounds are topologically protected.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.096401

In condensed matter, massless Dirac fermions (MDF), which represent a type of quasiparticles with linear energy-momentum dispersions, provide a cornerstone for various quantum phenomena, such as the novel quantum Hall effect [1–3], Klein tunneling [4], and giant linear magnetoresistance [5]. Because of their crucial role in diverse quantum phenomena, searching for MDF in new systems is one of the most active research areas in condensed matter science. Generally, massless Dirac fermions with their valence and conduction band touching at a degenerate point (i.e., Dirac point) are protected by symmetries in solids [6–10]. However, the formation of magnetic order is always accompanied with time-reversal symmetry breaking and sometimes followed by crystalline symmetry lowering. Therefore, it is a challenge to achieve MDF in magnetic ground states of solid-state electronic systems [11,12]. Moreover, two-dimensional (2D) MDF, which were realized in 2D materials and on the surfaces of three-dimensional (3D) topological insulators [1–3,13–17], have rarely been observed in the bulk of 3D crystals [11]. The discovery of iron-arsenide superconductors not only brings people a new class of unconventional superconductivity [18], but also sheds light on seeking 2D MDF in magnetic compounds [19,20].

The parent compounds of iron-arsenide superconductors (PCIS) exhibit collinear antiferromagnetic (AFM) order at low temperature (T) [21,22]. After the AFM phase transition, the paramagnetic Brillouin zone of PCIS would be folded along the AFM wave vector connecting the hole-type Fermi surfaces (FSs) surrounding the Γ point and the electron-type FSs at the M point, which leads to the intersection between the electron and hole bands and results in the band gap opening at the band crossing points near Fermi energy (E_F) [23–27]. However, theoretical studies suggest that for PCIS, some band crossing points are *topologically* protected by (i) the collinear AFM order, (ii) inversion symmetry, and (iii) a combination of time-reversal and spin-reversal symmetry [19,20]. Furthermore, angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) measurements of BaFe_2As_2 show linear band dispersions within k_x - k_y plane below E_F [28]. Therefore, massless fermions, which are characterized by band-degeneracy points and linear energy-momentum dispersions, are expected to exist in the AFM state of BaFe_2As_2 [19,20,25,28–34]. There are three types of massless fermions, including MDF, massless Kane fermions, and Weyl fermions [35–42]. Therein, massless Kane fermions and Weyl fermions are characterized by a heavy-hole valence band around the

band-degeneracy point and pairs of degenerate nodes with opposite chirality, respectively [35–39], while in PCIS, the heavy-hole valence band is absent and the degenerate nodes have the same chirality [19,20,28,31], which preclude the association of the observed linear band dispersions by ARPES with massless Kane fermions and Weyl fermions. Therefore, massless fermions in PCIS were suggested to be MDF [19,20,25,28–34]. However, whether MDF in PCIS are 2D or 3D remains unclear. Further studies are needed to clarify the dimensionality of MDF in PCIS. Besides, in order to verify whether the degenerate points of PCIS are topologically protected, it is important to experimentally test the robustness of the band crossing points in this system. Experimental investigations of the optical transitions between Landau levels (LLs), combined with band-structure calculations, can provide strong evidence for identifying the nature of MDF in PCIS.

Infrared spectroscopy is a bulk-sensitive experimental technique for investigating low-energy excitations of a material. Here, to study the LL transitions in PCIS, we measured the infrared reflectance spectra $R(B)$ of AFe_2As_2 ($\text{A}=\text{Ba}, \text{Sr}$) single crystals in their AFM states ($T \sim 4.5$ K) with the magnetic field applied along the wave vector of the incident light and the crystalline c axis (i.e., Faraday geometry) [43]. Landau-level-transition features are present in the relative reflectance spectra $R(B)/R(B_0 = 0 \text{ T})$ of these two PCIS. Our observation of a \sqrt{B} dependence of the LL transition energies, the zero-energy intercepts at $B = 0 \text{ T}$ under the linear extrapolations of the transition energies, the energy ratio (~ 2.4) between the two measured LL transitions, and the dominant absorption features of the zeroth-LL-related transitions, combined with the linear band dispersions in 2D momentum space calculated using the density functional theory and dynamical mean field theory (DFT + DMFT), indicates 2D MDF in AFM BaFe_2As_2 . Moreover, to test the robustness of MDF in this system, we completely replaced the barium atoms in BaFe_2As_2 with strontium atoms, causing a distortion of the crystal structure [44]. Our investigations show that 2D MDF not only exist in SrFe_2As_2 , but also have larger effective Fermi velocity after substituting the barium atoms, which provides evidence for the topologically protected Dirac points in PCIS.

Figure 1(a) depicts the false-color map of the $R(B)/R(B_0 = 0 \text{ T})$ spectra of BaFe_2As_2 as a function of magnetic field and energy. As displayed in Fig. 1(b), peaklike features are present in $R(B)/R(B_0 = 0 \text{ T})$ and systematically shift to higher energies as the magnetic field increases. A fundamental question is what these peaklike features arise from. Largely due to the strong (next) nearest neighbor exchange coupling [45], an ultra-high magnetic field ($B > 500 \text{ T}$) along the crystalline c axis is estimated to destroy the low-temperature collinear AFM order in PCIS [46]. The applied magnetic field ($B \leq 17.5 \text{ T}$) here is, therefore, too low to dramatically change the AFM order at $T \sim 4.5 \text{ K}$. In addition, the




FIG. 1. Magneto-optical response of BaFe_2As_2 . (a) False-color map of the $R(B)/R(B_0 = 0 \text{ T})$ spectra. See the false-color map plotted as a function of \sqrt{B} and energy in Fig. S4 of the Supplemental Material [43]. (b) Several representative $R(B)/R(B_0 = 0 \text{ T})$ spectra and their fitting results (gray curves). The $R(B)/R(B_0 = 0 \text{ T})$ spectra up to 120 meV are shown in Fig. S5 of the Supplemental Material [43]. (c) Upper panel: the reflectance spectra at $B = 0$ and $B = 17.5 \text{ T}$, and their fitting results. Lower panel: the real part of $\sigma_{xx}(B, \omega)$ at $B = 17.5 \text{ T}$ and $\sigma(0 \text{ T}, \omega)$. The two black arrows in $\text{Re}[\sigma_{xx}(B = 17.5 \text{ T}, \omega)]$ indicate two additional peaks, T_1 and T_2 . (d) Relative real part of the optical conductivity. The blue and red dashed lines are guides to the eye. Except T_1 and T_2 , another peak emerges around 31 meV in (b) and (d) at $B > 13 \text{ T}$ (see its possible origin in the Supplemental Material [43], Sec. II, which includes Refs. [51–53]). The spectra in (b) and (d) are displaced from one another by 0.09 and $240 \text{ } (\Omega^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})$ for clarity.

AFM-order-related spectral-weight transfer in the reflectance spectra of BaFe_2As_2 takes place in the energy range up to about 250 meV [23–27], which is much wider than that observed in Fig. 1(b) ($\sim 55 \text{ meV}$). Based on the applied magnetic field and energy range of the spectral-weight-transfer here, the observed peaklike features are unlikely to originate from the magnetic-field-induced change in the AFM order. Besides, structural twins form in the orthorhombic crystal of BaFe_2As_2 below the AFM phase transition temperature [47,48]. The magnetic field applied along the orthorhombic a or b axis can be used for partially detwinning BaFe_2As_2 single crystals [49]. Here, the magnetic field applied perpendicular to the ab plane cannot detwin the single crystals, so the observed peak features in Fig. 1(b) are irrelevant with the detwinning [50]. Given the magnetic-field-dependent energy positions, the peak features should arise from the optical absorption of the LL transitions in BaFe_2As_2 .

To identify the nature of the LL transitions in BaFe_2As_2 , we need to extract the absorption energies of the LL transitions from the measured reflectance spectra. Using the magneto-optical model to fit the absolute reflectance $R(B)$ at a fixed magnetic field [54] [see the gray curves in Fig. 1(b) and the upper panel of Fig. 1(c)], we obtained the dielectric function tensor $\varepsilon_{\pm}(B, \omega)$ for the right and left circularly polarized light and then got the real part of the diagonal optical conductivity $\text{Re}[\sigma_{xx}(B, \omega)]$ [43]. Figure 1(c) shows the obtained $\text{Re}[\sigma_{xx}(B = 17.5 \text{ T}, \omega)]$ of BaFe_2As_2 . Compared with the $\text{Re}[\sigma_{xx}(B = 0 \text{ T}, \omega)]$ of BaFe_2As_2 in the AFM state, $\text{Re}[\sigma_{xx}(B = 17.5 \text{ T}, \omega)]$ has two additional peaklike features: T_1 and T_2 , which are better resolved in $\text{Re}[\sigma_{xx}(B = 17.5 \text{ T}, \omega)] - \text{Re}[\sigma_{xx}(B = 0 \text{ T}, \omega)]$ [see Fig. 1(d)]. These two peaklike features, which move towards higher energies with increasing the magnetic field, correspond to the absorption modes of the LL transitions. Following the definition in the magneto-optical study of BiTeI [55], we assign the peak positions in $\text{Re}[\sigma_{xx}(B = 17.5 \text{ T}, \omega)] - \text{Re}[\sigma_{xx}(B = 0 \text{ T}, \omega)]$ to the LL transition energies.

We plotted the T_1 and T_2 energies as a function of \sqrt{B} in Fig. 2(a). Both T_1 and T_2 energies exhibit a linear dependence on \sqrt{B} and the zero intercepts at $B = 0 \text{ T}$ under linear extrapolations. Moreover, the T_2 and T_1 energies scale as 2.4:1 [see the inset of Fig. 2(a)]. The \sqrt{B} dependence of the LL transition energies and the zero-energy intercepts at $B = 0 \text{ T}$ are two signatures of MDF [55–58]. To identify the dimensionality of MDF in BaFe_2As_2 , we performed DFT + DMFT calculations [43,59,60]. In Figs. 2(b)–2(d), our calculations show that in the AFM state, the band dispersions near E_F are linear along the k_x and k_y directions, but extremely weak along k_z direction, which theoretically suggests 2D MDF in BaFe_2As_2 . According to the effective Hamiltonian describing 2D MDF in PCIS [20], we can obtain (i) the LL energy spectrum without considering Zeeman effects [43],

$$E_n^{2D} = \text{sgn}(n) \sqrt{2e\hbar v_F^2 |n| B}, \quad (1)$$

where the integer n is LL index, $\text{sgn}(n)$ is the sign function, v_F is the effective Fermi velocity of LLs, e is the elementary charge, and \hbar is Planck's constant divided by 2π , and (ii) the selection rule for the allowed optical transitions from LL_n to $\text{LL}_{n'}$ [43],

$$\Delta n = |n| - |n'| = \pm 1. \quad (2)$$

According to Eq. (1), the energies of the allowed optical transitions from LL_n to $\text{LL}_{n'}$ are given by

$$E_{n \rightarrow n'}^{2D} = \text{sgn}(n') \sqrt{2e\hbar v_F^2 |n'| B} - \text{sgn}(n) \sqrt{2e\hbar v_F^2 |n| B}. \quad (3)$$

Indeed, the LL transition energies of 2D MDF exhibit a linear dependence on \sqrt{B} and converge to zero at $B = 0 \text{ T}$. More importantly, Eqs. (2) and (3) indicate that two groups of optical transitions between the LLs of 2D MDF have the




FIG. 2. Landau level transitions and band dispersions of BaFe_2As_2 in the AFM state. (a) Observed LL transitions, T_1 and T_2 , in an $E - \sqrt{B}$ plot. The inset shows the energy ratio of T_1 and T_2 as a function of B . The purple dashed line shows the theoretical energy ratio based on the MDF model. (b)–(d) Calculated band dispersions along k_x , k_y , and k_z near E_F . The flat band in (d) represents the dispersion of MDF along k_z . In the AFM Brillouin zone of AFe_2As_2 ($A = \text{Ba, Sr}$), $-\pi/a \leq k_x \leq \pi/a$, $-\pi/b \leq k_y \leq \pi/b$, and $-2\pi/c \leq k_z \leq 2\pi/c$, where a , b , and c are the lattice constants. (e) Schematic of the LL transitions of 2D MDF in BaFe_2As_2 . The green lines show the magnetic field dependence of the assumed E_F . (f) Left panel: LLs of 3D MDF. Right panel: DOS of 3D MDF. (g) Calculated relative real part of the optical conductivity of 3D MDF based on Eq. (15) of Ref. [61]. (h) $\text{Re}[\sigma_{xx}(B)] - \text{Re}[\sigma_{xx}(0 \text{ T})]$ of BaFe_2As_2 at $B = 7 \text{ T}$. (i) Left panel: LLs of 2D MDF. Right panel: Lorentz-shaped DOS of 2D MDF. (j) Calculated $\text{Re}[\sigma_{xx}(B)] - \text{Re}[\sigma_{xx}(0 \text{ T})]$ of 2D MDF based on Eq. (23) of Ref. [62]. When calculating the spectra in (g) and (j), we replaced the Kronecker delta functions in Eq. (15) of Ref. [61] and Eq. (23) of Ref. [62] by the Lorentzian functions with nonzero scattering rates.

energy ratios which are quite close to that between T_1 and T_2 : (i) $(\sqrt{2} - 1):1 \approx 1:2.414$ for the LL transitions, $\text{LL}_{-2} \rightarrow \text{LL}_{-1}$ and $\text{LL}_{-1} \rightarrow \text{LL}_0$ (or $\text{LL}_{+1} \rightarrow \text{LL}_{+2}$ and $\text{LL}_0 \rightarrow \text{LL}_{+1}$), and (ii) $1:(1 + \sqrt{2}) \approx 1:2.414$ for the LL transitions, $\text{LL}_{-1} \rightarrow \text{LL}_0$ and $\text{LL}_{-1} \rightarrow \text{LL}_{+2}$ (or $\text{LL}_0 \rightarrow \text{LL}_{+1}$ and $\text{LL}_{-2} \rightarrow \text{LL}_{+1}$). For case (i) [see the two gray

arrows in Fig. 2(e)], we can expect (1) $v_F \approx 2.85 \times 10^5$ m/s, calculated based on Eqs. (3), (2) E_F [see the green line in Fig. 2(e)] should be pinned on LL_{+1} (or LL_{-1}), even at $B = 17.5$ T. (If E_F was pinned on the LLs higher than LL_{+1} (or LL_{-1}), e.g., LL_{+2} (or LL_{-2}), LL_{+1} (or LL_{-1}) should be fully occupied (or depleted). According to Pauli exclusion principle, $LL_0 \rightarrow LL_{+1}$ (or $LL_{-1} \rightarrow LL_0$) would be blocked. If E_F was pinned on LL_0 , LL_{-1} (or LL_{+1}) should be fully occupied (or depleted). Then $LL_{-2} \rightarrow LL_{-1}$ (or $LL_{+1} \rightarrow LL_{+2}$) would be blocked. Thus, if E_F was not pinned on LL_{+1} or LL_{-1} , one type of the LL transitions in case (i) would not occur. See Fig. S6 of the Supplemental Material [43].) and (3) the spectral weight of the assumed LL transition T_1 should decrease as the magnetic field increases, since LL_{+1} (or LL_{-1}) is depopulated by the magnetic field [56]. In contrast, T_1 in Figs. 1(b) and 1(d) becomes more dominant with the enhancement of the magnetic field. Besides, based on the above v_F and Eq. (1), the corresponding E_F in $BaFe_2As_2$ is at least 179 meV, which is much higher than the measured Fermi energy of ~ 1 meV by ARPES [28]. Thus, T_1 and T_2 should not come from the LL transitions in case (i). Case (ii) [see the red and blue arrows in Fig. 2(e)] means that the system has been in the quantum limit at $B \leq 5$ T, which is consistent with the low E_F measured by ARPES [28]. By fitting the \sqrt{B} dependence of the energies of T_1 and T_2 in case (ii) based on Eq. (3), we deduced $v_F \approx 1.18 \times 10^5$ m/s, which is comparable to the values reported by ARPES and transport measurements [28,30]. Therefore, T_1 and T_2 arise from the LL transitions, $LL_{-1} \rightarrow LL_0$ and $LL_{-1} \rightarrow LL_{+2}$ (or $LL_0 \rightarrow LL_{+1}$ and $LL_{-2} \rightarrow LL_{+1}$), respectively.

When $E_F \sim 0$, 3D Dirac semimetals also have a \sqrt{B} dependence of the LL transition energies at low magnetic fields [37]. Further evidence is required to determine whether MDF in $BaFe_2As_2$ are 2D or 3D. The left panel of Fig. 2(f) shows that at magnetic fields, the band dispersions of 3D MDF are transformed into a series of one-dimensional LLs (or Landau bands), which disperse with the momentum component along the field direction. The LL spectrum of 3D MDF has the form

$$E_n^{3D} = \pm \delta_{n,0} v_z \hbar k_z + \text{sgn}(n) \sqrt{2e\hbar v_F^2 |n| B + (v_z \hbar k_z)^2}, \quad (4)$$

where $\delta_{n,0}$ is the Kronecker delta function, k_z is the momentum along the z axis, v_z is the Fermi velocity along k_z direction. In the right panel of Fig. 2(f), the zeroth LLs of 3D MDF disperse linearly with k_z and thus do not have a singularity of the density of states (DOS), while the other LLs have the singularities of DOS at $k_z = 0$ [37]. Since optical absorptions are determined by the joint DOS of the initial and final state, Fig. 2(g), which was obtained by the theoretical calculations based on the model of the optical conductivity of 3D linear band dispersions [see Eq. (15) of Ref. [61]], shows that the peak feature (i.e., T_1) of the zeroth-LL-related transition $LL_{-1} \rightarrow LL_{\pm 0}$ (or




FIG. 3. Magneto-optical response and band dispersions of $SrFe_2As_2$ in the AFM state. (a)–(c) Energy-momentum dispersions of MDF in $SrFe_2As_2$ along k_x , k_y , and k_z . The flat band in (c) shows the dispersion of MDF along k_z . (d) False-color map of the $R(B)/R(B_0 = 0 \text{ T})$ spectra of $SrFe_2As_2$ as a function of B and E . (e) Relative reflectance (upper panel) and relative optical conductivity (lower panel) spectra of $BaFe_2As_2$ and $SrFe_2As_2$ at $B = 17.5$ T. The inset shows the \sqrt{B} dependence of the observed T_1 transition energy. The spectra of $BaFe_2As_2$ in (e) are displaced from those of $SrFe_2As_2$ by 0.023 and 412 ($\Omega^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) for clarity.

$LL_{\pm 0} \rightarrow LL_{+1}$) in $\text{Re}[\sigma_{xx}(B)] - \text{Re}[\sigma(0 \text{ T})]$ is weaker than that (i.e., T_2) of the LL transition $LL_{-1} \rightarrow LL_{+2}$ (or $LL_{-2} \rightarrow LL_{+1}$). In contrast, the $\text{Re}[\sigma_{xx}(B = 7 \text{ T})] - \text{Re}[\sigma(0 \text{ T})]$ of $BaFe_2As_2$ in Fig. 2(h) show that its peak feature (i.e. T_1) of the zeroth-LL-related transition $LL_{-1} \rightarrow LL_0$ (or $LL_0 \rightarrow LL_{+1}$) is much stronger than its T_2 feature, indicating that MDF in $BaFe_2As_2$ should *not* be 3D. On the contrary to the zeroth LLs of 3D MDF, the LL_0 of 2D MDF has a singularity of DOS at zero energy [see Fig. 2(i)]. Thus, in Fig. 2(j), the theoretical calculations based on Eq. (23) of Ref. [62] reveal that the peak feature (i.e., T_1) of the LL_0 -related transition of 2D MDF is stronger than the T_2 feature of 2D MDF, which is consistent with our magneto-infrared results of $BaFe_2As_2$. The relative intensities of T_1 and T_2 , combined with the calculated band dispersions along k_x , k_y , and k_z directions, indicate 2D MDF in $BaFe_2As_2$.

To test the robustness of the Dirac points in PCIS, we completely replaced the barium atoms in $BaFe_2As_2$ by strontium atoms. The element replacement causes a crystal distortion, but (1) the AFM order still exists, and (2) inversion symmetry and the combination of time-reversal and spin-reversal symmetry are not broken [44]. In Fig. 3(a)–3(c), our DFT + DMFT calculations show the linear band dispersions

along k_x and k_y directions and the quite weak dispersions along k_z direction, indicating 2D MDF in SrFe₂As₂. We further performed magnetorefectance measurements of SrFe₂As₂ single crystals at $T \sim 4.5$ K in Faraday geometry [see Fig. 3(d)]. In Fig. 3(e), the peak features in the relative reflectance and relative optical conductivity spectra of SrFe₂As₂ at $B = 17.5$ T, which arise from the optical transition $LL_{-1} \rightarrow LL_0$ (or $LL_0 \rightarrow LL_{+1}$), appear at higher energies than those of BaFe₂As₂, implying a larger effective Fermi velocity of the LLs in SrFe₂As₂ (see the spectra at some other magnetic fields in Fig. S7 of the Supplemental Material [43]). For SrFe₂As₂, in the inset of Fig. 3(e), the energy positions of the peaks in its relative optical conductivity spectra show a linear dependence on \sqrt{B} and converge to zero at zero field, consistent with the DFT + DMFT calculation results about 2D MDF. Fitting the \sqrt{B} dependence of the peak features of SrFe₂As₂ yields $v_F \approx 1.30 \times 10^5$ m/s, which is indeed larger than that of BaFe₂As₂. Our infrared study, combined with the DFT + DMFT calculations, manifests that the substitution of the barium atoms in BaFe₂As₂ by strontium atoms not only maintains 2D MDF, but also enhances their effective Fermi velocity, providing evidence for the topologically protected Dirac points in PCIS.

In summary, our observation of the \sqrt{B} dependence of the LL transition energies, the zero-energy intercept at $B = 0$ T under linear extrapolations of the transition energies, the energy ratio (~ 2.4), and the dominant absorption features of the zeroth-LL-related transitions, together with the linear band dispersions in 2D momentum space obtained by DFT + DMFT calculations, not only demonstrate the existence of 2D MDF, but also provide support for the topological protection of the Dirac points in the AFM states of two iron-arsenide parent compounds—BaFe₂As₂ and SrFe₂As₂.

We thank Nanlin Wang, Marek Potemski, Zhiqiang Li, Milan Orlita, Ying Ran, Oskar Vafek, and Pierre Richard for very helpful discussions. The authors acknowledge support from the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Projects No. 2017YFA0304700, No. 2016YFA0300600, and No. 2016YFA0302300), the Pioneer Hundred Talents Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11674030), the start-up funding 10100-310432102 of Beijing Normal University, the European Research Council (ERC ARG MOMB Grant No. 320590) and the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, under Contract No. DE-AC02-98CH10886. The single crystal and materials work at Rice is supported by the U.S. DOE, BES under Contract No. DE-SC0012311 (P. D.). A portion of this work was performed in NHMFL which is supported by National Science Foundation Cooperative Agreement No. DMR-1157490 and the State of Florida. The DFT + DMFT calculations used high performance cluster at the National Supercomputer Center in Guangzhou.

Z.-G. C. conceived this project, carried out the optical experiments, analyzed the data, and wrote the Letter; L. W. calculated the LL spectrum and the selection rules; Z. Y., K. H., and G. K. did the DFT + DMFT calculations; Y. S., X. L., H. L., C. Z., and P. D. grew the single crystals.

*zgchen@iphy.ac.cn

†yinzhiping@bnu.edu.cn

- [1] K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang, Y. Zhang, S. V. Dubonos, I. V. Grigorieva, and A. A. Firsov, *Science* **306**, 666 (2004).
- [2] K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang, M. I. Katsnelson, I. V. Grigorieva, S. V. Dubonos, and A. A. Firsov, *Nature (London)* **438**, 197 (2005).
- [3] Yuanbo Zhang, Yan-Wen Tan, Horst L. Stormer, and Philip Kim, *Nature (London)* **438**, 201 (2005).
- [4] C. W. J. Beenakker, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80**, 1337 (2008).
- [5] Tian Liang, Quinn Gibson, Mazhar N. Ali, Minhao Liu, R. J. Cava, and N. P. Ong, *Nat. Mater.* **14**, 280 (2015).
- [6] M. Z. Hasan and C. L. Kane, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 3045 (2010).
- [7] X.-L. Qi and S.-C. Zhang, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **83**, 1057 (2011).
- [8] Z. K. Liu, B. Zhou, Y. Zhang, Z. J. Wang, H. M. Weng, D. Prabhakaran, S.-K. Mo, Z. X. Shen, Z. Fang, X. Dai, Z. Hussain, and Y. L. Chen, *Science* **343**, 864 (2014).
- [9] M. Neupane, S.-Y. Xu, R. Sankar, N. Alidoust, G. Bian, C. Liu, I. Belopolski, T.-R. Chang, H.-T. Jeng, H. Lin, A. Bansil, F. Chou, and M. Zahid Hasan, *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 3786 (2014).
- [10] S. Borisenko, Q. Gibson, D. Evtushinsky, V. Zabolotnyy, B. Büchner, and R. J. Cava, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 027603 (2014).
- [11] Joonbum Park, G. Lee, F. Wolff-Fabris, Y. Y. Koh, M. J. Eom, Y. K. Kim, M. A. Farhan, Y. J. Jo, C. Kim, J. H. Shim, and J. S. Kim, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 126402 (2011).
- [12] P. Tang, Q. Zhou, G. Xu, and S.-C. Zhang, *Nat. Phys.* **12**, 1100 (2016).
- [13] Y. Feng *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **113**, 14656 (2016).
- [14] D. Hsieh, D. Qian, L. Wray, Y. Xia, Y. S. Hor, R. J. Cava, and M. Z. Hasan, *Nature (London)* **452**, 970 (2008).
- [15] Y. L. Chen, J. G. Analytis, J.-H. Chu, Z. K. Liu, S.-K. Mo, X. L. Qi, H. J. Zhang, D. H. Lu, X. Dai, Z. Fang, S. C. Zhang, I. R. Fisher, Z. Hussain, and Z.-X. Shen, *Science* **325**, 178 (2009).
- [16] P. Cheng *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 076801 (2010).
- [17] T. Hanaguri, K. Igarashi, M. Kawamura, H. Takagi, and T. Sasagawa, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 081305(R) (2010).
- [18] Y. Kamihara, T. Watanabe, M. Hirano, and H. Hosono, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 3296 (2008).
- [19] Y. Ran, F. Wang, H. Zhai, A. Vishwanath, and D.-H. Lee, *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 014505 (2009).
- [20] T. Morinari, E. Kaneshita, and T. Tohyama, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 037203 (2010).
- [21] Q. Huang, Y. Qiu, W. Bao, M. A. Green, J. W. Lynn, Y. C. Gasparovic, T. Wu, G. Wu, and X. H. Chen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 257003 (2008).

- [22] J. Zhao, W. Ratcliff, II, J. W. Lynn, G. F. Chen, J. L. Luo, N. L. Wang, J. Hu, and P. Dai, *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 140504(R) (2008).
- [23] W. Z. Hu, J. Dong, G. Li, Z. Li, P. Zheng, G. F. Chen, J. L. Luo, and N. L. Wang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 257005 (2008).
- [24] Z. G. Chen, T. Dong, R. H. Ruan, B. F. Hu, B. Cheng, W. Z. Hu, P. Zheng, Z. Fang, X. Dai, and N. L. Wang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 097003 (2010).
- [25] M. Nakajima, T. Liang, S. Ishida, Y. Tomioka, K. Kihou, C. H. Lee, A. Iyo, H. Eisaki, T. Kakeshita, T. Ito, and S. Uchida, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **108**, 12238 (2011).
- [26] S. J. Moon, A. A. Schafgans, S. Kasahara, T. Shibauchi, T. Terashima, Y. Matsuda, M. A. Tanatar, R. Prozorov, A. Thaler, P. C. Canfield, A. S. Sefat, D. Mandrus, and D. N. Basov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 027006 (2012).
- [27] Y. M. Dai, A. Akrap, S. L. Bud'ko, P. C. Canfield, and C. C. Homes, *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 195142 (2016).
- [28] P. Richard, K. Nakayama, T. Sato, M. Neupane, Y.-M. Xu, J. H. Bowen, G. F. Chen, J. L. Luo, N. L. Wang, X. Dai, Z. Fang, H. Ding, and T. Takahashi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 137001 (2010).
- [29] N. Harrison and S. E. Sebastian, *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 224512 (2009).
- [30] K. K. Huynh, Y. Tanabe, and K. Tanigaki, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 217004 (2011).
- [31] T. Terashima, N. Kurita, M. Tomita, K. Kihou, C.-H. Lee, Y. Tomioka, T. Ito, A. Iyo, H. Eisaki, T. Liang, M. Nakajima, S. Ishida, S.-i. Uchida, H. Harima, and S. Uji, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 176402 (2011).
- [32] M. Matusiak, Z. Bukowski, and J. Karpinski, *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 224505 (2011).
- [33] S. Sugai, Y. Mizuno, R. Watanabe, T. Kawaguchi, K. Takenaka, H. Ikuta, Y. Takayanagi, N. Hayamizu, and Y. Sone, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **81**, 024718 (2012).
- [34] Y. Imai, F. Nabeshima, D. Nakamura, T. Katase, H. Hiramatsu, H. Hosono, and A. Maeda, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **82**, 043709 (2013).
- [35] M. Orlita, D. M. Basko, M. S. Zholudev, F. Teppe, W. Knap, V. I. Gavrilenko, N. N. Mikhailov, S. A. Dvoretiskii, P. Neugebauer, C. Faugeras, A.-L. Barra, G. Martinez, and M. Potemski, *Nat. Phys.* **10**, 233 (2014).
- [36] F. Teppe, M. Marcinkiewicz, S. S. Krishtopenko, S. Ruffenach, C. Consejo, A. M. Kadykov, W. Desrat, D. But, W. Knap, J. Ludwig, S. Moon, D. Smirnov, M. Orlita, Z. Jiang, S. V. Morozov, V. I. Gavrilenko, N. N. Mikhailov, and S. A. Dvoretiskii, *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 12576 (2016).
- [37] A. Akrap *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 136401 (2016).
- [38] S.-M. Huang, S.-Y. Xu, I. Belopolski, C.-C. Lee, G. Chang, B. Wang, N. Alidoust, G. Bian, M. Neupane, C. Zhang, S. Jia, A. Bansil, H. Lin, and M. Zahid Hasan, *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 7373 (2015).
- [39] H. Weng, C. Fang, Z. Fang, B. A. Bernevig, and X. Dai, *Phys. Rev. X* **5**, 011029 (2015).
- [40] S.-Y. Xu *et al.*, *Science* **347**, 294 (2015).
- [41] B. Q. Lv, N. Xu, H. M. Weng, J. Z. Ma, P. Richard, X. C. Huang, L. X. Zhao, G. F. Chen, C. E. Matt, F. Bisti, V. N. Strocov, J. Mesot, Z. Fang, X. Dai, T. Qian, M. Shi, and H. Ding, *Nat. Phys.* **11**, 724 (2015).
- [42] L. X. Yang, Z. K. Liu, Y. Sun, H. Peng, H. F. Yang, T. Zhang, B. Zhou, Y. Zhang, Y. F. Guo, M. Rahn, D. Prabhakaran, Z. Hussain, S.-K. Mo, C. Felser, B. Yan, and Y. L. Chen, *Nat. Phys.* **11**, 728 (2015).
- [43] See Supplemental Material at <http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.096401> for the experimental details, the single-crystal growth, the fitting details, the DFT+DMFT calculations, and the theoretical calculations of the LL spectrum and the optical selection rule.
- [44] D. C. Johnston, *Adv. Phys.* **59**, 803 (2010).
- [45] P. Dai, J. Hu, and E. Dagotto, *Nat. Phys.* **8**, 709 (2012).
- [46] M. Tokunaga, I. Katakura, N. Katayama, and K. Ohgushi, *J. Low Temp. Phys.* **159**, 601 (2010).
- [47] C. Ma, H. X. Yang, H. F. Tian, H. L. Shi, J. B. Lu, Z. W. Wang, L. J. Zeng, G. F. Chen, N. L. Wang, and J. Q. Li, *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 060506(R) (2009).
- [48] M. A. Tanatar, A. Kreyssig, S. Nandi, N. Ni, S. L. Bud'ko, P. C. Canfield, A. I. Goldman, and R. Prozorov, *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 180508(R) (2009).
- [49] J.-H. Chu, J. G. Analytis, D. Press, K. De Greve, T. D. Ladd, Y. Yamamoto, and I. R. Fisher, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 214502 (2010).
- [50] S. Zapf, C. Stingl, K. W. Post, J. Maiwald, N. Bach, I. Pietsch, D. Neubauer, A. Löhle, C. Clauss, S. Jiang, H. S. Jeevan, D. N. Basov, P. Gegenwart, and M. Dressel, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 227001 (2014).
- [51] M. Orlita, L. Z. Tan, M. Potemski, M. Sprinkle, C. Berger, W. A. de Heer, S. G. Louie, and G. Martinez, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 247401 (2012).
- [52] C. Faugeras, M. Amado, P. Kossacki, M. Orlita, M. Sprinkle, C. Berger, W. A. de Heer, and M. Potemski, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 186803 (2009).
- [53] L. Boeri, M. Calandra, I. I. Mazin, O. V. Dolgov, and F. Mauri, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 020506(R) (2010).
- [54] E. D. Palik and J. K. Furdyna, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **33**, 1193 (1970).
- [55] S. Bordács, J. G. Checkelsky, H. Murakawa, H. Y. Hwang, and Y. Tokura, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 166403 (2013).
- [56] M. L. Sadowski, G. Martinez, M. Potemski, C. Berger, and W. A. de Heer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 266405 (2006).
- [57] Z. Jiang, E. A. Henriksen, L. C. Tung, Y.-J. Wang, M. E. Schwartz, M. Y. Han, P. Kim, and H. L. Stormer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 197403 (2007).
- [58] R. Y. Chen, Z. G. Chen, X.-Y. Song, J. A. Schneeloch, G. D. Gu, F. Wang, and N. L. Wang, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 176404 (2015).
- [59] Z. P. Yin, K. Haule, and G. Kotliar, *Nat. Phys.* **7**, 294 (2011).
- [60] Z. P. Yin, K. Haule, and G. Kotliar, *Nat. Mater.* **10**, 932 (2011).
- [61] P. E. C. Ashby and J. P. Carbotte, *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 245131 (2013).
- [62] V. P. Gusynin, S. G. Sharapov, and J. P. Carbotte, *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **19**, 026222 (2007).