Trends of the Major Porin Gene (*ompF*) Evolution: Insight from the Genus *Yersinia*

Anna M. Stenkova^{1*}, Marina P. Isaeva¹, Felix N. Shubin², Valeri A. Rasskazov¹, Alexander V. Rakin³

1 Pacific Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry, Far Eastern Branch of Russian Academy of Sciences, Vladivostok, Russian Federation, 2 Scientific Research Institute of Epidemiology and Microbiology, Siberian Branch of Russian Academy of Medical Sciences, Vladivostok, Russian Federation, 3 Max von Pettenkofer Institute for Hygiene and Clinical Microbiology of Ludwig Maximilians-University, Munich, Germany

Abstract

OmpF is one of the major general porins of *Enterobacteriaceae* that belongs to the first line of bacterial defense and interactions with the biotic as well as abiotic environments. Porins are surface exposed and their structures strongly reflect the history of multiple interactions with the environmental challenges. Unfortunately, little is known on diversity of porin genes of *Enterobacteriaceae* and the genus *Yersinia* especially. We analyzed the sequences of the *ompF* gene from 73 *Yersinia* strains covering 14 known species. The phylogenetic analysis placed most of the *Yersinia* strains in the same line assigned by *16S rDNA-gyrB* tree. Very high congruence in the tree topologies was observed for *Y. enterocolitica*, *Y. kristensenii*, *Y. ruckeri*, indicating that intragenic recombination in these species had no effect on the *ompF* gene. A significant level of intra- and interspecies recombination was found for *Y. aleksiciae*, *Y. intermedia* and *Y. mollaretii*. Our analysis shows that the *ompF* gene of *Yersinia* has evolved with nonrandom mutational rate under purifying selection. However, several surface loops in the OmpF porin contain positively selected sites, which very likely reflect adaptive diversification *Yersinia* to their ecological niches. To our knowledge, this is a first investigation of diversity of the porin gene covering the whole genus of the family *Enterobacteriaceae*. This study demonstrates that recombination and positive selection both contribute to evolution of *ompF*, but the relative contribution of these evolutionary forces are different among *Yersinia* species.

Citation: Stenkova AM, Isaeva MP, Shubin FN, Rasskazov VA, Rakin AV (2011) Trends of the Major Porin Gene (ompF) Evolution: Insight from the Genus Yersinia. PLoS ONE 6(5): e20546. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0020546

Editor: Mark Alexander Webber, University of Birmingham, United Kingdom

Received February 7, 2011; Accepted May 5, 2011; Published May 31, 2011

Copyright: © 2011 Stenkova et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This study was partly funded by the following grant: The program of Presidium of the Russian Academy of Sciences "Molecular and cell biology" 05-I-P10-018. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. No additional external funding received for this study.

1

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: stenkova@gmail.com

Introduction

The genus Yersinia, a member of the Enterobacteriaceae family, is currently composed of 14 known species: Y. pestis, Y. pseudotuberculosis, Y. enterocolitica Y. aldovae, Y. aleksiciae, Y. bercovieri, Y. frederiksenii, Y. intermedia, Y. kristensenii, Y. massiliensis, Y. mollaretii, Y. rohdei, Y. ruckeri, and Y. similis [1-3]. Three of them are well documented human pathogens. Y. pestis is the etiologic agent of plague while Y. pseudotuberculosis and Y. enterocolitica are known to cause a variety of gastrointestinal symptoms [4]. The characterization of the remaining 11 species is more limited. However, these species accepted as human nonpathogenic possess novel virulence mechanisms, and some of them have been associated with human cases [5,6]. Yersinia are disseminated all over the world in terrestrial and aquatic environments, and associated with many different hosts (plants, animals, insects, fish and so on). Despite recent advances in our understanding of the pathobiology of Yersinia, the molecular-genetic mechanisms by which Yersinia colonizes and adapts to various host or environmental conditions are still poorly understood. In this context, membrane surface molecules are considered the major targets of the membrane-environment

General bacterial porins (GBPs) are one of the most abundant proteins (up to 10⁵ copies per cell) in the outer membrane of the

gram-negative bacteria [7,8]. Structurally, a typical GBP subunit consists of 16 antiparallel β -strands forming a β -barrel, with short turns facing the periplasmic space and long loops facing the external surface of bacterial membrane [9–11]. Three porin subunits are assembled into stable homotrimers. The best-studied GBPs, which include OmpF, OmpC and PhoE of *E. coli*, differ in their solute selectivity, porin activity and gene expression in response to many environmental factors, such as osmotic pressure, temperature and pH [12–14]. Porins are one of the first molecules responding to environmental changes and at least for some bacteria have been found to reflect their ecological niche by the sequence type [15,16]. As the major components of the outer membrane, some pore-forming proteins play a role in bacterial pathogenesis, such as adherence, invasion, and serum resistance [17–20].

Little is known about evolution and diversity of GBPs of the *Enterobacteriaceae* at all and the *Yersinia* especially. Scattered reports showed that *Yersinia's* major porin is the β-structured protein resistant to high temperature, proteases, and detergents [21–23]. Primary structure and topology of the OmpF porin of pathogenic *Yersinia* was determined and demonstrated 55% homology with *E. coli* and 70% homology with *Serratia marcescens* OmpFs, respectively [24,25]. Here we conducted an in-depth study of the *ompF* gene diversity in all currently known *Yersinia* paying special attention to

evolution inference and phylogenetic relationships of these bacteria.

Results and Discussion

16S rDNA and gyrB sequence variations and genetic relationships among Yersinia species

To justify evolutionary relationships and taxonomic position, 16S rDNA and *gyrB* genes sequences were analysed in all *Yersinia* strains used in this study (Table 1). The 16S rDNA gene sequencing has definitely allowed *Yersinia* identification [26] and recognizing novel species and subspecies within the genus [3,27,28]. However, the 16S rDNA sequence analysis cannot resolve the phylogenetic relationships between closely related *Yersinia* species [2,29]. Recently, *gyrB* has been successfully applied to characterization of *Y. frederiksenii* genomospecies [30] and was included as one of the MLST gene targets for studying genetic relationships among *Yersinia* species [29].

In this study, the total number of Yersinia strains was 65, covering all Yersinia species, and originating from different sources and geographic locations (Table 1). 16S rDNA and gyrB sequences from all the above strains were PCR amplified and sequenced. Eight additional sequences of each gene were obtained from publicly available Yersinia genomes (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov). In total 73 16S rDNA and gyrB sequences were analysed. The sequences were aligned and adjusted to 750 bp for 16S rDNA and to 838 bp for gyrB. Each unique sequence, differing in one or more nucleotide or amino acids sites, was assigned as a distinctive allele, resulting in 31 alleles for 16S rDNA and 48 alleles for gyrB or 21 alleles for GyrB (Table 1). The number of the detected alleles was ranged from 1 of 16S rDNA per species (Y. pestis, Y. pseudotuberculosis, Y. enterocolitica subsp. palearctica and Y. aldovae) or GyrB (Y. mollaretii, Y. pestis, Y. pseudotuberculosis, Y. similis Y. ruckeri, Y. rohdei and Y. bercovieri) and to 13 alleles of gyrB (Y. intermedia). The number of allele variants slightly varied from those published previously [29] possibly because of inclusion of more distant strains and/or increasing the lengths of the analyzed fragments.

In order to correctly identify each strain examined, a neighbour-joining tree was constructed from the 16S rDNA-gyrB concatenated sequences (Fig. 1). Ten Yersinia species (Y. aldovae, Y. bercovieri, Y. enterocolitica, Y. intermedia, Y. mollaretii, Y. pestis, Y. pseudotuberculosis, Y. similis, Y. rohdei and Y. ruckeri) were clearly grouped into relatively distinct clusters. The intraspecies genetic distance means of these species were up to 0.012. Y. pestis strains clustered tightly with the Y. pseudotuberculosis strains and the distance mean for this group was 0,001. Since, only one Υ . similis strain was examined, the genetic distance of that species could not be estimated. Y. similis is a novel species in Yersinia, recently separated from its nearest phylogenetic neighbor Y. pseudotuberculosis [3]. As expected, Y. similis Y239 was clustered with Y. pseudotuberculosis and Y. pestis, forming a distinctive long branch. Strains of Υ . enterocolitica were divided into three groups mainly caused by gyrB sequences, while 16S rDNA sequences separated strains into two subspecies (Y. enterocolitica subsp. enterocolitica and Y. enterocolitica subsp. palearctica), previously described by Neubauer et al., 2000 [28]. Strain Y. frederiksenii 2043 did not group with other five isolates of this species. It branched with Y. aleksiciae, Y. bercovieri and Y. mollaretii. Based on these results phylogenetic relations and BLAST (data not shown), Y. frederiksenii 2043 was more closely related to Υ . massiliensis. Similar partition was observed for Υ . kristensenii, three of which (991, Y332 and 6266) diverged from the other eight strains (6572, 8914, H17-36/83, 5868, 6032, 5862, 5306 and 5932) with a genetic distance about 0.055 and clustered with Y. aleksiciae Y159, sharing the genetic distance by 0,005. The

data definitely indicated that these uncommon strains of Υ . kristensenii and Υ . frederiksenii might be members of Υ . aleksiciae sp. nov. and Υ . massiliensis sp. nov., since, Υ . aleksiciae was recently separated from Υ . kristensenii [1] and Υ . massiliensis is more closely related to Υ . frederiksenii [2]. Therefore, these strains were designated as Υ . aleksiciae-like and Υ . massiliensis-like, respectively. Based on the 16S rDNA-gyrB tree, most Υ . intermedia clustered together into one of two branches; four Russian strains (6044, 5934, 6270 and 601) were located on the line leading to the rest Υ . intermedia, shared the intraspecies distances up to 0.007.

Taken together, species identification of *Yersinia* strains based on the 16S rDNA-gyrB concatenated tree was in relative agreement with the MLST tree reported previously [29]. Three *Y. kristensenii* strains (991, Y332 and 6266) were designated as *Y. aleksiciae*-like and one *Y. frederiksenii* strain (2043) was as *Y. massiliensis* –like. Six *Yersinia* species (*Y. pestis, Y. pseudotuberculosis, Y. bercovieri, Y. ruckeri, Y. rohdei* and *Y. aldovae*) were genetically more homogeneous then the rest of species (*Y. enterocolitica, Y. frederiksenii, Y. mollaretii, Y. intermedia* and *Y. kristensenii*).

Phylogenetic and recombination analyses of the ompF gene

We investigated phylogenetic relationships and recombination of the ompF gene from all Yersinia strains (Table 1). The ompF gene was amplified, using primers, derived from a CLUSTALX alignment of the published ompF nucleotide sequences. 73 complete coding nucleotide sequences of the ompF gene were aligned to infer ompF phylogenetic tree. We found 62 unique nucleotide alleles of the *ompF* gene (table 1), which clustered into 18 groups on the tree (Fig. 2). Though different algorithms and clustering methods produced similar topologies of the ompF tree, phylogenetic clustering of the strains performed by neighborjoining method with Kimura 2-parameter algorithm gave the highest bootstrap values. With the exception of five species, \mathcal{I} . similis, Y. intermedia, Y. mollaretii, Y. frederiksenii and Y. aleksiciae, all strains that belong to the same Yersinia species were clustered in one group. Five strains of Y. ruckeri clustered together in a distinct group V and showed the intragroup distance mean by 0.002 and the largest intergroup genetic distance means from 0.166 to 0.197. It reaffirmed that *Y. nuckeri* has been fairly clonal and genetically the most distant species within the genus [26,29]. For Υ . enterocolitica (group VII) the intraspecies genetic distance mean was 0.029, and the groups means of 0.097–0.166. Phylogenetic grouping of Y. enterocolitica ompF genes exactly replicated that of 16S rDNA-gyrB sequences with division in two subspecies, Υ . enterocolitica subsp. palearctica (Y11, 1234, 2974/81, 6579, 1245, 2720/87, and 1215) and Y. enterocolitica subsp. enterocolitica (WA220 and ATCC 8081), supported by a high bootstrap value (100%). Interestingly, in both phylogenetic trees, Y. enterocolitica subsp. palearctica clearly splits into two lines (bootstrap value 100%), one of them was only formed by Y. enterocolitica strains (1215, 1234, and 1245) isolated in Russian Far-East. Strains of Y. kristensenii formed group X with intragroup distance mean 0.020, and intergroup distance means 0.072–0.183. The Y. bercovieri (group VI), Y. rohdei (group XIV) and Y. aldovae (group IV) were represented by only two strains and the within and between group distance means were up to 0.009 and 0.068–0.188, respectively. The strains of Υ . pestis, T. pseudotuberculosis and T. similis grouped together (group VIII) with intragroup distance mean of 0.037, and between group distance means being 0.138-0.196. The VIII group splits into two subgroups with bootstrap value of 100%. One of these subgroups included two Y. pseudotuberculosis strains IP32953, IP31758 and Y. similis Y239, while the other-all Υ . pestis strains and Υ . pseudotuberculosis YPIII. This ompF tree topology did not correlate

Table 1. Yersinia strains and the distribution of their 16S rDNA, gyrB and ompF gene alleles.

Species	Strain	Serotype	Source	Country	Allele type (NT/AT) ^A		
	Y112				16S RNA gyrB ompF		
Y. aldovae					1	16/11	10/14
	ATCC 35236		Water	Czechoslovakia	1	46/20	58/14
Y. aleksiciae	Y159			Germany	2	15/1	11/15
Y. bercovieri	ATCC 43970		Human feces	France	17	1/2	13/16
	H632-36/85				14	1/2	12/17
Y. enterocolitica subsp. palearctica	Y11	O:3			3	2/3	1/1
	1234	O:3		Russia	3	3/4	15/18
	2974/81	O:9			3	2/3	17/1
	6579	O:3		Russia	3	2/3	1/1
	1245		Human feces	Russia	3	3/4	19/2
	2720/87	O:9			3	17/3	16/1
	1215		Human feces	Russia	3	3/4	14/2
subsp. enterocolitica	WA220	O:8			4	4/4	2/3
,	ATCC 8081	O:8	Human	USA	4	4/4	18/19
Y. frederiksenii	H56-36/81	O:60		Germany	5	18/5	20/20
	4648	•	Human feces	Russia	5	5/6	22/21
	4849			Russia	5	20/13	24/22
	ATCC 33641		Sewage	Denmark	18	19/12	21/23
	176–36		9-		19	5/6	23/24
Y. massiliensis ^B	2043			Russia	20	21/14	25/25
'. intermedia	5631		Lemming	Russia	1	6/7	37/26
. memedia	5934		Citellus	Russia	1	14/7	30/27
	6325		Lemming	Russia	1	27/7	6/7
	ATCC 29909		Human urine	itussia	6	7/7	28/28
				Duccia	6		
	5373		Water	Russia		6/7	3/4
	6390		Lemming	Russia	6	9/7	5/6
	5593		Lemming	Russia	6	24/16	5/6
	5986	0.3	Field mouse	Russia	6	7/7	34/29
	H357/85	O:3	N/ -		6	10/7	35/30
	Nr27/84	52,53:2q	Water	Germany	6	9/7	5/6
	H9-36/83	0:17		Germany	6	7/7	26/31
	Nr13/84	37:q	Human	Germany	7	8/7	27/32
	1948		Water	Russia	7	25/7	4/5
	5828		Field mouse	Russia	7	8/7	36/33
	6043			Russia	7	26/7	38/34
	5375		Water	Russia	7	8/7	4/5
	5638		Lemming	Russia	7	6/7	3/4
	6270		Lemming	Russia	13	14/7	29/35
	6044		Field mouse	Russia	13	23/15	33/36
	Nr9/83	17:q	Human	Germany	21	10/7	31/37
	601			Russia	22	22/7	32/38
	6276		Lemming	Russia	23	28/17	6/7
Y. kristensenii	5306		Sorex araneus	Russia	15	35/8	42/9
	5862		Field mouse	Russia	15	34/8	7/9
	5932		Field mouse	Russia	15	36/8	43/40
	6032		Sorex araneus	Russia	16	33/8	7/9
	5868		Anas acuta	Russia	16	32/8	7/9

Table 1. Cont.

Species	Strain	Serotype	Source	Country	Allele type (NT/AT) ^A		
					16S RNA	gyrB	ompF
	H17-36/83	O:12,25		Germany	25	37/8	44/9
	ATCC 33638		Human urin		25	42/21	59/48
Y. aleksiciae ^B	Y332				2	15/1	45/39
	6266			Russia	8	30/18	40/8
	991			Russia	8	29/1	39/8
Y. mollaretii	Nr850/89	6,30,47:x:	Water	Germany	9	41/2	51/42
	Nr846/89	62:x:	Water	Germany	9	39/2	48/10
	H279-36/86	O:59		Germany	9	11/2	50/11
	87-36/87				10	40/2	49/10
	H87/82	O:3			10	38/2	46/10
	ATCC 43969		Soil	USA	26	11/2	47/11
Y. pestis	91001				11	12/9	8/12
	CO92		Human	USA	11	12/9	52/43
	Pestoides F				11	12/9	8/12
Y. pseudotuberculosis	IP 32953	1	Human	France	11	44/9	55/44
	IP 31758	1B	Human	Russia	11	43/9	54/45
	YpIII			USA	11	43/9	53/46
Y. rohdei	H274-36/78	O:76		Germany	28	45/19	57/47
	ATCC 43380		Dog feces		29	47/19	60/47
Y. ruckeri	Nr 34/85		Fish	Germany	12	13/10	9/13
	H528-36/85				12	13/10	9/13
	H529-36/85			Germany	12	13/10	9/13
	H527-36/85				27	13/10	56/13
	ATCC 29473		Fish		30	13/10	61/49
Y. similis	Y239			Germany	31	48/21	62/50
Total allele number					31	48/21	62/50

A-NT-nucleotide sequence type, AT-amino acid sequence type.

B-Species identity corrected by 16S-gyrB genotype.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0020546.t001

with the 16S rDNA-gyrB tree branching, possibly indicating interspecies recombination between Υ . pseudotuberculosis and Υ . similis, or/and diversification of the ompF gene of Υ . pseudotuberculosis before emergence of Υ . pestis by adaptive evolution.

The ompF sequences of the remaining species, Y. intermedia, Y. mollaretii, Y. frederiksenii and Y. aleksiciae, exhibited different phylogenetic relationships and produced incongruent molecular phylogenies with the 16S rDNA-gyrB tree. The Y. frederiksenii strains, that were genetically distinct and not closely related to each other according to the 16S rDNA-gyrB tree, split into three groups; two groups (XI and XII) were presented by single strains, and IX group was by strains with intragroup of 0.043 and intergroup from 0.112 to 0.178 distance means. From previously characterized Y. frederiksenii genomic groups [31], Y. frederiksenii IX and XI groups of *ompF* could corresponded to genomic groups 1b and 1a, respectively, and XII group (Y. massiliensis)-to genomospecies 2. A mixed branching pattern was found in Y. mollaretii and Y. aleksiciae strains. Two Y. aleksiciae strains (Y159 and Y332) grouped together with three Y. mollaretii strains (H279-36/85, Nr850/89 and ATCC43969), whereas two others Y. aleksiciae strains (991 and 6266)-with three other Y. mollaretii (87-36/87, H87/82 and Nr846/89). Therefore, Y. aleksiciae (groups XVI and XVII) and Y. mollaretii (groups XV and XVIII) strains split into two relatively distinct groups with intragroup distance means up to 0.007, and intergroup distance means of 0.048–0.197. Interestingly, Υ . aleksiciae recently isolated from Υ kristensenii was more closely related to Υ . bercovieri and Υ . mollaretii than to Υ kristensenii, and that was confirmed by the 16S rDNA-gyrB tree. Previously, based on the concatenated tufA-tufB tree, Υ . aleksiciae type strain LMG 22254 was found to be distinct from the Υ . kristensenii cluster and clearly grouped with Υ . bercovieri and Υ . mollaretii [32]. The most genetically heterogeneous was Υ . intermedia that formed four different groups (I-III, XIII) with intragroup distance means up to 0.039, and with between groups means being 0.076–0.195. Moreover, XIII group, formed by five Υ . intermedia strains (601, Nr12/84, 1948, 5375 and 5631) was separated from the rest Υ . intermedia groups by a number of genetic clades.

As mentioned above, some species produced incongruent 16S rDNA-gyrB and ompF phylogenies. A mix branching pattern can be a sign of recombination, whereas in the case of mutation the gene trees look the same [33]. To verify this assumption, we used four tests (RDP, MaxChi, Chimera, and Geneconv) in the RDP3.34 package for investigation of the ompF gene of all Yersinia groups. We detected four recombination events with brake-points involving three species, Y. intermedia (groups I, II, XIII), Y. aleksiciae (groups XVI and XVII) and Y. mollaretii (groups XVIII and XV)




Figure 1. Phylogenetic relationships among 16S rDNA-*gyrB* **sequences of** *Yersinia.* The unrooted dendrogram was generated using neighbour-joining algorithm. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Kimura 2-parameter method and are expressed in number of base substitutions per site. The percentages of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test are shown in nodes. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0020546.g001

(Fig. 3). From the ompF tree, one can suppose that a recombination event between ompFs of Y. aleksiciae and Y. mollaretii occurred twice. In the first case ompF of Y. mollaretii group XV served as a donor and ompF of Y. aleksiciae group XVII was a recipient, producing a recombinant ompF allele of Y. aleksiciae group XVI. And vice versa, ompF of Y. aleksiciae group XVII served as a donor and ompF of Y. mollaretii group XV was a recipient, giving a recombinant ompF allele of Y. mollaretii group XVIII. This explanation comes from comparison of the branch length and sequence diversity of the group members. To our data, interspecies intragenic recombination was detected for the first time in the genus Y ersimia. We observed a complex pattern of recombination in Y. intermedia ompF (groups I, II, XIII). Group I mainly played a parental role in different recombination events, giving ompF variants of Y. intermedia

groups II and XIII; other players of the events were not identified in this analysis. It should be noted, that group I strains are most numerous and widely geographically distributed. So it can be supposed, that this ompF variant is more spread and successful in coexistence with mammals including evolutionary newcomers, humans. Acquisition of regions of a successful allele by recombination can be preferred for minor variants (groups II and XIII) when bacterium get into a new niche such as mammals. Interestingly, an extraordinary position of the XIII group on the phylogenetic tree indicates a new origin of the ompF gene not represented by any known $\mathit{Yersinia}$ species. The fact, that this group includes a human isolate (Nr13/84) may be an evidence of occurrence in new niche, human. Noteworthy, it was extremely difficult to reconstruct a scenario of recombination events for all Y .




Figure 2. Phylogenetic relationships among *ompF* **sequences of** *Yersinia.* The unrooted dendrogram was generated using neighbour-joining algorithm. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Kimura 2-parameter method and are expressed in number of base substitutions per site. The percentages of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test are shown in nodes. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0020546.g002

intermedia ompF. This might be a subject of further research, as well as investigation of associations within a specific niche.

It is very interesting to note that one of the brake-points of all recombinant ompF is located in the same region (431–501 bp), corresponding to 6-th β -strand of OmpF. The reconstruction of the ompF tree for the region 1–501 bp produced very similar branch pattern with that of the 16S rDNA- gyrB tree (data not shown). We suspect that significant nucleotide similarity in this region (with the exception of the external loops) reflects a strong selective pressure (purifying selection) due to an important functional role of this region as a zone of monomer's contacts in a porin trimer. This might be an evident example of protein structural constraints.

Examples of the homologous recombination in porin genes have been recognized for some bacteria, mainly for naturally transformable species as *Pseudomonas* (OprD), *Neisseria* (PorB, OmpA), *Chlamydia* (OmpA), and *Leptospira* (OmpL1) [16,34–38]. For these genes different mosaic patterns have been identified. The

intragenic recombination has been frequently observed within species due to the transfer of a portion or an entire gene. As a rule, the exchanges occur only in the loop regions and do not affect the transmembrane domains. Moreover, rare cases of interspecies recombination of porin genes have been described in literature. It was suggested that *porB2*, an allele of *porB*, arose in meningococci by interspecies recombination between ancestral pathogenic and commensal *Neisseria* species [39]. Also, an interspecies recombination in *ompA* between a mouse strain of *C. trachomatis* and a horse strain of *C. pneumoniae* was documented [35]. Multiple interspecies recombination patterns were observed among *ompL1* genes, belonging to four different *Leptospira* species [38].

The phylogenetic analysis of ompF sequences placed most of the Yersinia strains in the same line assigned by 16S rDNA-gyrB tree with the exception of six species, Y. pseudotuberculosis, Y. similis, Y. frederiksenii, Y. intermedia, Y. mollaretii, and Y. similis, Y. sim




Figure 3. Schematic representation of recombination events with brake-points location in the *ompF* gene of *Yersinia*. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0020546.q003

nation events in the *Yersinia ompF* genes, this seems to happen not so often to remove all phylogenetic signals.

Adaptive evolution of the ompF gene in Yersinia

As it was shown above, the ompF gene of Yersinia is more divergent than the 16S rDNA and gyrB genes. The nucleotide diversity for all ompF genes (0.131 ± 0.005) is twofold higher than for housekeeping genes (0.051 ± 0.004) . The common alignment of 73 ompF sequences contain 40% (479/1200 bp) of polymorphic nucleotide sites, which distributed strikingly nonrandom and formed hypervariable and conserved regions (Fig. 4). We have divided yersinia's ompF gene into 18 regions, according to domain organization of Escherichia coli OmpF protein [9]. Loops L2, L4–L7 were characterized by nucleotide deletions and/or insertions. Comparative analysis of surface-exposed loops exhibited significant heterogeneity of L4 and L5 (46±4.5%). The highest homology was conserved in L3 (8.2 \pm 1.6%). The same nonrandom heterogeneity with characteristic conserved regions forming the βbarrel structure of the proteins, and variable regions, making up the putative surface-exposed loops, has been shown in some other porins [39,40].

To estimate deviation in codon usage, the codon adaptation index (CAI) was calculated for the ompF gene. CAI is a measure of the relative adaptiveness of the codon usage of a gene towards the

codon usage of highly expressed genes of that organism: the higher the index value, the greater the codon usage bias [41]. As a reference for highly expressed genes, we used the 27 concatenated ribosomal genes for ten *Yersinia* species. The genes of the ribosomal proteins had a CAI value from 0.52 to 0.56 for all species, but CAI values for the *ompF* gene were higher (from 0.64 to 0.75). Therefore, there is a strong codon usage bias in the *ompF* gene in all *Yersinia* species, as expected for highly expressed genes. This is another reason to assume that the high level of *ompF* transcription may be also responsible for nonrandom heterogeneity in the gene.

To determine how the level of selective constraint varies along the $\mathit{omp}F$ gene, we estimated the numbers of synonymous substitutions per synonymous site (dS) and nonsynonymous substitutions per nonsynonymous site (dN) and calculated the dS/dN ratio for the $\mathit{omp}F$ gene. If purifying selection has occurred, a gene has a dS/dN>1. Absence of selection should generate dS/dN=1. A ratio dS/dN<1 indicates diversifying selection or accelerated evolution [42,43]. We excluded $\mathit{Yersinia}$ groups with recombination events from analysis and dealt only with six $\mathit{omp}F$ groups of $\mathit{Yersinia}$ (VII, VIII, IX, I, X, XIII). The dS/dN ratio was calculated as an average over all of the codon sites in each $\mathit{omp}F$ group using the Nei-Gojobori method by MEGA 4 of Jukes-Cantor model. Statistical significance was tested by Codon-based Z-test. For all groups we detected approximately identical dS/dN




Figure 4. Nucleotide divergence (Pi) in 73 *ompF* **sequences.** The regions predicted to correspond to the external loops (L1–L8) are colored green, regions putatively exposed to the periplasm and predicted transmembrane strands (1-16β) are indicated by black shading, the signal sequence (Sig.s.) is colored blue. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0020546.q004




Figure 5. Location of positively selected sites in OmpF porins of *Yersinia.* Group VII-*Y. enterocolitica* WA220; Group XIII-*Y. intermedia* 1948; Group IX-*Y. frederiksenii* 4648; Group I-*Y. intermedia* ATCC 29909; Group X-*Y. kristensenii* 5868; Group VIII-*Y. pseudotuberculosis* IP 31758. Sites that show positive selection (P<0.05) are depicted as yellow spheres and (P<0.01)-as red spheres. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0020546.g005

means from 4.224 to 5.748 with p<0.05 of purifying selection. Thus, ompF gene is under strong purifying selection in all six Yersinia groups. Neilsen and Yang method [44], compiled in Sitewise likehood ratio estimation programme [45], was used to identify the sites with the evidence of positive selection in selected ompF groups. The porin protein structures for these groups have been simulated and sites with weak or strong positive selection have been located on the models (Fig. 5).

When these selected sites were mapped onto three-dimensional structural models, it becomes clear that the majority fell within regions predicted to encode surface-exposed loop regions. It is important to note that these sites were located in different surface loops of analyzed Yersinia groups. For example, three residues in putative loop L1 were shown to be under strong selection in the group VIII, whereas there is no evidence of positive selected sites in putative loop L1 for groups VII, XIII, I and X. Smith N.H. observed unlike distribution of positive selected regions in porB genes in N. meningitides and N. gonorrhoeae [46]. Authors explained this by differences in the immune response to these two organisms. The impact of diversifying selection on ompC, ompF, lamB and fluA omp's genes of Escherichia and Shigella [47,48], ompC, ompS1 and ompS2 genes of Salmonella [49] has been demonstrated. Authors proposed that positive selection in *omp* genes may be an important mechanism that facilitates adaptation of bacterial pathogens allowing them to escape recognition by the host immune system, phages and penetration of antibiotics.

Our analyses demonstrated that the Yersinia ompF gene has evolved with nonrandom mutational rate under purifying selection in overall. However, the surface loops of the OmpF porin contain sites subjected to positive selection. Interestingly, such sites are located in different surface loops in different Yersinia species. We suppose that the ompF genes of different Yersinia species have evolved under individual constraints associated with unlike environmental challenges. Existence of both positive selection and recombination in porin genes has previously been reported for Neisseria porB and porA genes [37,50] as well as for ompA from Chlamidia [35] and Wolbachia [51]. In case of Yersinia ompF gene we consider that horizontally acquired fragments of some surface loops may be fixed by positive selection in process of species adaptation to new ecological niches. Such recombinant genes might supply their new hosts with benefits allowing to escape a deadly response of the immune system as well as lethal attacks of phages and antimicrobials. This might be more easily achieved by gene recombination rather than by random mutations. Moreover, these mechanisms seem to operate in evolution of porins genes of all taxonomic groups.

Conclusion. Genetic diversity of outer membrane proteins might result from bacterial adaptation to different ecological

niches. Porins are surface exposed and their structure strongly reflects the history of multiple interactions with the environmental changes in their ecological niches. The evolution of the ompF gene of Yersinia clearly demonstrates a combination of diversifying selection (recombination and positive selection) and functionstructure constraint (translational selection and purifying selection). The data can be important for clarification the role of porin's surface exposed loops on bacterial adaptation and development of broad-spectrum Yersinia vaccine antigens and serological methods of diagnostics.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains, growth conditions, and DNA isolation

A total of 65 Yersinia strains from the collections of Max von Pettenkofer Institute (Munchen, Germany) and Research institute of epidemiology and microbiology, Siberian branch of Russian academy of medical sciences, (Vladivostok, Russia) were used in this study. Strain selection was intended to include strains of all known Yersinia species with a high degree of diversity. All strains were grown overnight at 30°C or 37°C under aerobic conditions on LB medium. Bacterial DNA was isolated from overnight cultures of the selected strains using Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Fermentas, EU). The DNA concentration was determined by agarose gel electrophoresis. The gels were scanned and the signals were analyzed with the VersaDoc 4000 MP system (Bio-Rad Laboratories AG, Switzerland). Additionally, eleven Yersinia strains for which the genome sequences are available on the GeneBank of NCBI website were analyzed.

PCR amplification and DNA sequencing

PCR amplification of 16S rDNA gene from all strains of Yersinia was performed using the primers, BF-20 (5'-ATCACGCG-TAAAAATCT-3') and BR2-22 (5'-CCGCAATATCATTGG-TGGT-3'). The expected amplicon size was 1500 bp. The part of gyrB gene was amplified using primers YgyrF (5'-CCCACTTTA-TACCT-3') and YgyrR (5'-CCCACTTTATACCT-3'). The expected amplicon size was 980 bp. The ompF gene was amplified using primers Fcds-F (5'-CCCACTTTATACCT-3') and Fcds-R (5'-CCCACTTTATACCT-3'). These were designed by aligning sequences of ompF genes of Y. enterocolitica 8081 (AM286415), Y. intermedia ATCC 29909 (AALF02000006), Y. mollaretii ATCC 33641 (NZ_AALD02000003) and Y. frederiksenii ATCC 33641 (NZ_ AALE02000015). The expected amplicon size was 1100 bp. PCR conditions for all genes were as follows: initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min followed by 30 cycles each at 94°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s, 72°C for s and a final extension step at 72°C for 5 min. PCR products were evaluated on a 1,5% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide. Unincorporated primers and dNTPs were removed from PCR products with NucleoSpin® Extract II kit (Macherey-Nagel). Purified DNA was sequenced using the dideoxynucleotide chain-termination method with fluorescent ddNTPs from Applied Biosystems on an ABI 310 Prism automated DNA sequencer, in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Sequence data for the appropriate loci from Y. bercovieri ATCC 43970 (NZ_AALC00000000), Y. enterocolitica 8081 (NC_008800), Y. frederiksenii ATCC 33641 (NZ_AALE00000000), Y. intermedia ATCC 29909 (NZ_AALF00000000), Υ. mollaretii ATCC 43969 (NZ_ AALD00000000), Y. pestis 91001 (NC_005810), CO92 (NC_ 003143), Pestoides F (NC_009381), Y. pseudotuberculosis IP 31758 (NC_009708), IP 32953 (NC_006155), YPIII (NC_010465), Y. rohdei ATCC 43380 (NZ_ACCD00000000), Y. kristensenii ATCC 33638 (NZ_ACCA00000000), Y. ruckeri ATCC 29473 (NZ_ ACCC00000000), Y. aldovae ATCC 35236 (NZ_ACCB00000000)

were obtained from GenBank (http://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) and analyzed together with other *Yersinia* isolates (Table 1).

Comparative sequence analysis and phylogeny inference

Nucleotide sequence data from forward- and reverse-strand chromatograms were assembled into single contiguous sequences using the Vector NTI Advance 9.1.0 software. Sequences were aligned by ClustalW 2.0.10 [52]. MEGA version 4.1 [53] was used to calculate genetic distances between sequences and to produce phylogenetic trees. To construct the tree from nucleotide sequences, all three coding positions were examined and the Neighbour-Joining model with Kimura 2-parameter method [54] was applied. The reliability of the inferred trees was assessed using the bootstrap test (1000 replications) [55]. Alignment gaps were excluded using function "Pairwise Deletion" from all analyses.

Evolution analyses

Nucleotide divergence (Pi) along ompF sequences was determined by DnaSP v5 [56] using Sliding window with length of 20 and step size of 7. Adaptive evolution of ompF gene was calculated as proportion of synonymous (silent; ds) and non-synonymous (amino acid-changing; dn) substitution rates in MEGA 4 using the Nei-Gojobori method with Jukes-Cantor correction and SLR [45] software. Recombinant ompF sequences were detected with the RDP v3.34 software [57] using four automated recombination detection methods including RDP [58], Genconv [59], Chimaera [60], Maximum Chi Square [60,61]. For the RDP method, internal reference sequences were used, the window size was set to 20, and 0-100 sequence identity was used. For both the MaxChi and the Chimera methods, the number of variable sites was set to 40. For the GENCONV method, we used standard settings. A maximum P value of 0.01 and a Bonferroni correction were used. Results were then checked by visual inspection. CAI index was calculated by CodonW 1.3 (ftp://molbiol.ox.ac.uk/cu/codonW. tar.Z) software for 11 Yersinia species (Y. pestis CO92, Y. pseudotuberculosis IP32953, Y. enterocolitica ATCC 8081, Y. intermedia ATCC 29909, Y. rohdei ATCC 43380, Y. kristensenii ATCC 33638, Y. frederiksenii ATCC 33641, Y. mollaretii ATCC 43969, Y. ruckeri ATCC 29473, Y. bercovieri ATCC 43970, Y. aldovae ATCC 35236). As a reference for highly expressed genes, we used the 26 concatenated ribosomal genes for each organism.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers

The novel sequences determined in this study have been deposited in GenBank under accession no. GQ421361-GQ4 21424; FJ641877-FJ641894; 146 HM142614-HM142721.

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge Prof. Nellya F. Timchenko (Research institute of epidemiology and microbiology SD RAMS, Russia) who kindly supplied us with *Yersinia* strains (1215, 4648, 5373, 5375, 1948 and 6572) and also other researchers who provided strains to the Max von Pettenkofer Institute. We would like to thank Konstantin Guzev, Evgeniya Bystritskaya and Vladimir Antonenko for the excellent technical assistance. Finally, we would like to thank our reviewers and the editor for the fruitful comments and suggestions on the manuscript.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: AMS MPI. Performed the experiments: AMS. Analyzed the data: AMS MPI. Contributed reagents/ materials/analysis tools: AVR VAR FNS. Wrote the paper: AMS MPI AVR.

References

- 1. Sprague LD, Neubauer H (2005) Yersinia aleksicieae sp. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 55: 831-835
- 2. Merhej V, Adekambi T, Pagnier I, Raoult D, Drancourt M (2008) Yersinia massiliensis sp. nov., isolated from fresh water. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 58: 779-784
- 3. Sprague LD, Scholz HC, Amann S, Busse HJ, Neubauer H (2008) Yersinia similis sp. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 58: 952-958.
- Bottone EJ (1999) Yersinia enterocolitica: overview and epidemiologic correlates. Microbes Infect 1: 323-333.
- Sulakvelidze A (2000) Yersinia other than Y. enterocolitica, Y. pseudotuberculosis, and Y. pestis: the ignored species. Microbes Infect 2: 497-513.
- Loftus CG, Harewood GC, Cockerill FR 3rd., Murray JA (2002) Clinical features of patients with novel Yersinia species. Dig Dis Sci 47: 2805-2810.
- Rosenbusch JP (1974) Characterization of the major envelope protein from Escherichia coli. Regular arrangement on the peptidoglycan and unusual dodecyl sulfate binding. J Biol Chem 249: 8019-29.
- 8. Nikaido H (1996) Outer membrane. In: Neidhardt FC, et al., ed. Escherichia coli and Salmonella cellular and molecular biology. Washington: ASM Press DC. pp
- Cowan SW, Schirmer T, Rummel G, Steiert M, Ghosh R, et al. (1992) Crystal structures explain functional properties of two E. coli porins. Nature 358:
- 10. Weiss MS, Schulz GE (1992) Structure of porin refined at 1.8 515 A resolution. J Mol Biol 227: 493-509.
- 11. Basle A, Rummel G, Storici P, Rosenbusch JP, Schirmer T (2006) Crystal structure of osmoporin OmpC from E. coli at 2.0 A. J Mol Biol 362: 933-942.
- 12. Csonka LN (1989) Physiological and genetic responses of bacteria to osmotic stress. Microbiol Rev 53: 121-147.
- 13. Liu X, Ferenci T (2001) An analysis of multifactorial influences on the transcriptional control of ompF and ompC porin expression under nutrient limitation. Microbiology 147: 2981–2989.
- 14. Nikaido H (2003) Molecular basis of bacterial outer membrane permeability revisited, Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 67: 593-656.
- 15. Bodilis J, Hedde M, Orange N, Barray S (2006) OprF polymorphism as a marker of ecological niche in Pseudomonas. Environ Microbiol 8: 1544-1551.
- 16. Chevalier S, Bodilis J, Jaouen T, Barray S, Feuilloley MGJ, et al. (2007) Sequence diversity of the OprD protein of environmental Pseudomonas strains Environ Microbiol 9: 824-835.
- 17. Su H, Watkins NG, Zhang YX, Caldwell HD (1990) Chlanydia trachomatis host cell interactions: role of the chlamydial major outer membrane protein as an adhesin. Infect Immun 58: 1017-1025.
- 18. van Putten JP, Duensing TD, Carlson J (1998) Gonococcal invasion of epithelial cells driven by P.IA, a bacterial ion channel with GTP binding properties. J Exp Med 188: 941-952.
- Achouak W, Heulin T, Pages JM (2001) Multiple facets of bacterial porins FEMS Microbiol Lett 199: 1-7.
- 20. Duperthuy M, Binesse J, Roux F Le, Romestand B, Caro A, et al. (2010) The major outer membrane protein OmpU of Vibrio splendidus contributes to host antimicrobial peptide resistance and is required for virulence in the oyster Crassostrea gigas. Environ Microbiol 12: 951-963.
- 21. Brzostek K, Hrebenda J, Benz R, Boos W (1989) The OmpC protein of Yersinia enterocolitica: purification and properties. Res Microbiol 140: 599-614.
- 22. Vostrikova OP, Kim NYu, Likhatskaya GN, Guzev KV, Vakorina TI, et al. (2006) Structure and function of pore-forming proteins from bacteria of the genus Yersinia: I. Isolation and a comparison of physicochemical properties and functional activity of Yersinia porins. Russ J Bioorg Chem 32: 333-344.
- Vostrikova OP, Novikova OD, Kim NYu, Likhatskaya GN, Solovjeva TF (2003) Pore-forming proteins of genus Yersinia. Adv Exp Med Biol 529: 261-263.
- Issaeva MP, Guzev KV, Novikova OD, Solovjeva TF, Degtyarev S, et al. (2003) Porin from Yersinia pseudotuberculosis: cloning and analysis of primary structure. Advances in experimental medicine and biology. In: Skurnik M, Bengoechea JA, Granfors K, eds. The genus Yersinia. New York: Kluwer Academic Publishers. pp
- 25. Guzev KV, Isaeva MP, Novikova OD, Solov'eva TF, Rasskazov VA (2005) Molecular characteristics of OmpF-like porins from pathogenic yersinia. Biochemistry (Moscow) 70: 1104-1110.
- 26. Ibrahim A, Goebel BM, Liesack W, Griffiths M, Stackebrandt E (1993) The phylogeny of the genus Yersinia based on 16S rDNA sequences. FEMS Microbiol Lett 114: 173-177
- 27. Ibrahim A, Liesack W, Steigerwalt AG, Brenner DJ, Stackebrandt E, et al. (1997) A cluster of atypical Yersinia strains with a distinctive 16S rRNA signature. FEMS Microbiol Lett 146: 73-78.
- Neubauer H, Stojanka A, Andreas H, Ernst-Jurgen F, Hermann M (2000) Yersinia enterocolitica 16S rDNA gene types belong to the same genospecies but form three homology groups. Int J Med Microbiol 290: 61-64.
- 29. Kotetishvili M, Kreger A, Wauters G, Morris JG Jr, Sulakvelidze A, et al. (2005) Multilocus sequence typing for studying genetic relationships among Yersinia species. J Clin Microbiol 43: 2674–2684.
- 30. Demarta A, Respinis SDe, Dolina M, Peduzzi R (2004) Molecular typing of Yersinia frederiksenii strains by means of 16S rDNA and gyrB genes sequence analyses. FEMS Microbiol Lett 238: 423-428.

- 31. Ursing J, Aleksic S (1995) Yersinia frederiksenii, a genotypically heterogeneous species with few differential characteristics. Contrib Microbiol Immunol 13: 119_116
- 32. Isabel S, Leblanc E, Boissinot M, Boudreau DK, Grondin M, et al. (2008) Divergence among genes encoding the elongation factor Tu of Yersinia species. Bacteriol 190(22): 7548-7558.
- 33. Dykhuizen DE, Green L (1991) Recombination in Escherichia coli and the definition of biological species. J Bacteriol 173: 7257-7268.
- 34. Suker J, Feavers IM, Achtman M, Morelli G, Wang JF, et al. (1994) The porA gene in serogroup A meningococci: evolutionary stability and mechanism of genetic variation. Mol Microbiol 12: 253-65.
- Millman KL, Tavare S, Dean D (2001) Recombination in the ompA gene but not the omcB gene of Chlamydia contributes to serovar-specific differences in tissue tropism, immune surveillance, and persistence of the organism. J Bacteriol 183: 5997-6008.
- Pirnay JP, Vos DDe, Mossialos D, Vanderkelen A, Cornelis P, et al. (2002) Analysis of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa oprD gene from clinical and environmental isolates. Environ Microbiol 4: 872–882
- 37. Urwin R, Holmes EC, Fox AJ, Derrick JP, Maiden MC (2002) Phylogenetic evidence for frequent positive selection and recombination in the meningococcal surface antigen PorB. Mol Biol Evol 19: 1686-1694.
- 38. Haake DA, Suchard MA, Kelley MM, Dundoo M, Alt DP, et al. (2004) Molecular evolution and mosaicism of Leptospiral outer membrane proteins involves horizontal DNA transfer. J Bacteriol 186: 2818-2828.
- 39. Derrick JP, Urwin R, Suker J, Feavers IM, Maiden MCJ (1999) Structural and evolutionary inference from molecular variation in Nesseria porins. Infect Immun 67: 2406-2413.
- 40. Baldo L, Bordenstein S, Wernegreen JJ, Werren JH (2006) Widespread recombination throughout Wolbachia genomes. Mol Biol Evol 23: 437-449.
- 41. Sharp PM, Li WH (1987) The codon adaptation index— a measure of directional synonymous codon usage bias, and its potential applications. Nucleic Acids Res 15: 1281–1295.
- 42. Hughes AL, Nei M (1988) Pattern of nucleotide substitution at major histocompatibility complex class I loci reveals overdominant selection. Nature 335: 167-170.
- 43. Yang Z, Bielawski JP (2000) Statistical methods for detecting molecular adaptation. Trends Ecol Evol 15: 496-503.
- 44. Nielsen R, Yang Z (1998) Likelihood models for detecting positively selected amino acid sites and applications to the HIV-1 envelope gene. Genetics 148: 929-936
- 45. Massingham T, Goldman N (2005) Detecting amino acid sites under positive selection and purifying selection. Genetics 169: 1853-1762.
- Smith NH, Smith JM, Spratt BG (1995) Sequence evolution of the porB gene of Neisseria gonorrhoeae and Neisseria meningitides: evidence of positive Darwinian selection. Mol Biol Evol 12: 363-370.
- 47. Chen SL, Hung CS, Xu J, Reigstad CS, Magrini V, et al. (2006) Identification of genes subject to positive selection in uropathogenic strains of Escherichia coli: a comparative genomics approach. Proc Natl Acad Sci 103: 5977-5982.
- Petersen L, Bollback JP, Dimmic M, Hubisz M, Nielsen R (2007) Genes under positive selection in Escherichia coli. Genome Res 17: 1336-1343.
- Soyer Y, Orsi RH, Rodriguez-Rivera DL, Sun Q, Wiedmann M (2009) Genome wide evolutionary analyses reveal serotype specific patterns of positive selection in selected Salmonella serotypes. BMC Evol Biol 9: 264.
- Posada D, Crandall KA, Nguyen M, Demma JC, Viscidi RP (2000) Population Genetics of the porB Gene of Neisseria gonorrhoeae: Different Dynamics in Different Homology Groups. Mol Biol Evol 17: 423-436.
- Baldo L, Desjardins CA, Russell JA, Stahlhut JK, Werren JH (2010) Accelerated microevolution in an outer membrane protein (OMP) of the intracellular bacteria Wolbachia. BMC Evol Biol 10: 48.
- 52. Larkin MA, Blackshields G, Brown NP, Chenna R, McGettigan PA, et al. (2007) ClustalW and ClustalX version 2. Bioinformatics 23: 2947-2948.
- Tamura K, Dudley J, Nei M, Kumar S (2007) MEGA4: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis (MEGA) software version 4.0. Mol Biol Evol 24: 1596-1599.
- 54. Kimura M (1980) A simple method for estimating evolutionary rate of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. J Mol Evol 16: 111-120.
- 55. Felsenstein J (1985) Confidence limits on phylogenies: An approach using the bootstrap. Evolution 39: 783-791.
- Librado P, Rozas J (2009) DnaSP v5: A software for comprehensive analysis of DNA polymorphism data. Bioinformatics 25: 1451-1452.
- 57. Martin DP, Williamson C, Posada D (2005) RDP2: recombination detection and analysis from sequence alignments. Bioinformatics 21: 260-262.
- 58. Martin DP, Rybicki E (2000) RDP: detection of recombination amongst aligned sequences. Bioinformatics 16: 562-563.
- 59. Padidam M, Sawyer S, Fauquet CM (1999) Possible emergence of new geminiviruses by frequent recombination. Virology 265: 218-225.
- Posada D, Crandall KA (2001) Evaluation of methods for detecting recombination from DNA sequences: Computer simulations. Proc Natl Acid Sci 98: 13757-13762
- 61. Maynard SJ (1992) Analyzing the mosaic structure of genes. J Mol Evol 34: 126-129