For a variety of quenched random spin systems on an Apollonian network, including ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic bond percolation and the Ising spin glass, we find the persistence of ordered phases up to infinite temperature over the entire range of disorder. We develop a renormalization-group technique that yields highly detailed information, including the exact distributions of local magnetizations and local spin-glass order parameters, which turn out to exhibit, as function of temperature, complex and distinctive tulip patterns.

Although their structure dates back to ancient Greek mathematics, Apollonian networks [1, 2] have seen a recent surge of interest as a simple and elegant model that incorporates some of the key features identified in real-world networks: a scale-free degree distribution, the small-world effect, and a high clustering coefficient. As such, they have become a versatile tool for understanding the effects of complex topologies in interacting systems: applications include percolation and epidemic spreading [1, 3], magnetic systems [1, 4], mechanisms of network growth [5], avalanches in sandpile models [6], neural networks [7], and even quantum behaviors like coherent exciton transport [8] and correlated electron models [9, 10]. The latter were inspired by the development of synthetic, nanoscale, non-branched fractal polymers [11], which raises the possibility that the unique properties of scale-free structures like Apollonian networks may be harnessed for technological applications.

In this study we focus on an intriguing aspect of these networks: their ordering resilience in the presence of imposed quenched disorder. For an Ising model with a variety of random-bond distributions – ferromagnetic/antiferromagnetic percolation and spin-glass – we find that ordered phases persist up to infinite temperature, for every case except the pure antiferromagnetic bond percolation and the Ising spin glass. The self-similar nature of the Apollonian network allows the use of exact renormalization-group (RG) techniques to calculate the phase diagram structure, even in the presence of quenched randomness. While there have been many numerical RG studies of spin glasses on fractal lattices, we have gone further, developing an iterative procedure based on the local recursion matrix that allows us to calculate exactly the complete distribution of the local magnetization and spin-glass order parameters, for the full range of bond probabilities and temperatures. The resulting local-order diagrams (Fig. 1) show an intricate structure as temperature is lowered, never before observed in such detail for a disordered spin system. Apollonian networks can be embedded in a Euclidean plane without any edge crossings [1, 2]. This makes spin systems on such networks potentially physically realizable, for example in nanostructures formed from dense polydisperse packings of magnetic grains [1, 4].

**Network structure** - The construction of the Apollonian network is depicted in Fig. 2(a): at each step, a new site is added to the center of every triangle in the network, and connected to the surrounding vertices. In the limit of infinite size, the geometrical characteristics of the network can be summarized as follows [1, 2, 12]: $P(k) \sim k^{-\gamma}$ for large $k$, with the scale-free exponent $\gamma = 1 + \ln 3/\ln 2 \approx 2.585$. Due to the compact network structure, the average shortest-path length $l$ between any two points scales as in the small-world effect, $l \sim \ln N$, as shown in [12] using the exact recursive method of [13]. As is typical in small-world networks, the average clustering coefficient is large, $C \approx 0.828$, measuring the ratio of the connections among the nearest-neighbors of a site and the maximum possible number of such connections $k(k+1)/2$, where $k$ is the degree of the site. In all these respects the topological properties of the Apollonian network are comparable to those observed in empirical complex networks that are simultaneously scale-free and small-world [14].

We study an Ising Hamiltonian on the network, $-\beta \mathcal{H} = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} J_{ij} s_i s_j$, where $s_i = \pm 1$, $\beta = 1/k_B T$, the sum $\langle ij \rangle$ is over nearest neighbors, and the bond strengths $J_{ij}$ are distributed with a quenched random probability distribution $P(J_{ij})$. We consider two types of distributions described by bond probability $p$ : (i) the percolation case, where $P(J_{ij}) = p \delta(J_{ij} - J) + (1 - p)\delta(J_{ij})$, for both ferromagnetic (F) $J > 0$ and antiferromagnetic (AF) $J < 0$ interactions; (ii) the $\pm J$ spin glass (SG) case, where $P(J_{ij}) = p \delta(J_{ij} + J) + (1 - p)\delta(J_{ij} - J)$ with $J > 0$.

**Exact renormalization-group transformation** – The self-similar structure of the Apollonian network allows us to formulate an exact RG transformation. For $-\beta \mathcal{H}(\{J_{ij}\})$
the Hamiltonian for a particular configuration of interactions on the \( n \)th-generation network (i.e., the lattice after \( n \) construction steps), the RG mapping yields a Hamiltonian \( \mathcal{H}'(\{J'_{ij}\}) \) with a renormalized set of interactions \( \{J'_{ij}\} \) on the \((n-1)\)th-generation network, preserving the partition function. The mapping is carried out through a star-triangle transformation, tracing over the spins at sites added at the \( n \)th step. This is shown for one plaquette in Fig. 2(b), with the decimated spin labeled \( \sigma \). The trace over \( \sigma \) produces interactions \( J_{12}, J_{13}, J_{23} \), between the edge sites of the triangle, which are functions of the original interactions \( J_1, J_2, J_3 \) of the star, \( J_{12} = \frac{1}{3} \ln \left\{ \frac{\cosh(2J_1+2J_2)+\cosh(2J_3)}{\cosh(2J_1-2J_2)+\cosh(2J_3)} \right\} \) and its cyclic permutations. In the context of the whole network, the mapping works as shown in Fig. 2(c). The \( J_{ij} \) interactions (inner to each triangle) are added to the original interactions \( J_{ij} \) of the \((n-1)\)th-generation network (in-between triangles), to give the renormalized interactions \( J'_{ij} \). Thus, in the bulk each original interaction gets \( \tilde{J}_{ij} \) contributions from its two adjoining plaquettes.

In order to implement this RG transformation for the system in the thermodynamic limit, we focus on the probability distribution of triplets \( Q(\{J_{ij}, J_{jk}, J_{ki}\}) \) generated by the star-triangle transformation. As we iterate the RG mapping, this distribution \( Q \) changes, and we can extract thermodynamic information from the resulting flows. To keep track of \( Q \) at each step, we adapt a numerical procedure developed by Nobre [15] for RG transformations of spin glasses on hierarchical lattices. This method has been shown to give numerically accurate results for phase diagrams [16], agreeing with more complicated binning techniques used to directly evaluate the RG flows of interaction distributions [13]. We represent the distribution \( Q \) by a pool of large size \( M \), where each element in the pool consists of a triplet of real numbers. To generate the initial pool \( Q^{(1)} \), we repeat the following \( M \) times: (i) choose three random numbers \( J_1, J_2, J_3 \) with the probability \( P(J) \); (ii) perform the star-triangle transformation of Fig. 2(b), yielding a triplet \( \{J_{12}, J_{23}, J_{13}\} \) which is placed in the pool. Each subsequent RG transformation creates a new pool \( Q^{(i)} \) from the previous pool \( Q^{(i-1)} \) in the following manner, again repeating the same procedure \( M \) times to preserve the size of the pool: (i) randomly choose three triplets from \( Q^{(i-1)} \); (ii) randomly
Calculation of local magnetizations and local SG order which is placed in pool decimate the center spin to yield a renormalized triplet, p delve bonds, chosen randomly with probability \( P(J) \); (iii) decimate the inner bonds in the third step of Fig. 2(c), which is placed in pool \( Q(1) \). As \( M \to \infty \), the pools mimic the exact renormalized distributions of triplets in the thermodynamic limit. For the present work, we found that \( M = 10^6 \) was sufficiently large to make finite-ensemble effects negligible. From the behaviors of the \( Q(1) \) in the limit of large \( i \), we can identify the phase structure of the system. Specifically, looking at the average \( \bar{J}(i) \) and standard deviation \( \sigma_J(i) \) of the 3M bond strengths in pool \( Q(i) \), we can distinguish three limiting behaviors as \( i \to \infty \): (i) a ferromagnetic (F) sink, where \( \bar{J}(i) \to \infty, \sigma_J(i) \to \infty, \sigma_J(i)/\bar{J}(i) \to 0 \); (ii) a spin-glass (SG) sink, where \( \bar{J}(i) \to \infty, \sigma_J(i) \to \infty, \bar{J}(i)/\sigma_J(i) \to 0 \); (iii) a paramagnetic (P) sink, where \( \bar{J}(i) \to 0, \sigma_J(i) \to 0 \). Furthermore, the RG evolution of fraction of frustrated triangles is different in the ferromagnetic and spin-glass phases, respectively going to 0 and 0.5 in the ferromagnetic and spin-glass phases.

Calculation of local magnetizations and local SG order parameters – Moreover, the numerical procedure described above is not limited to just the distribution of renormalized interactions \( Q \). It can be extended to determine additional thermodynamic details, in particular the distribution of local magnetizations and local SG order parameters. Let us consider the magnetization \( m_\sigma \) at a site \( \sigma \) in the original lattice. For simplicity, let \( \sigma \) be one of the sites generated at the last construction step. We shall denote these as “interior sites”, and they constitute 2/3 of the total lattice in the limit of large \( n \). Adding a local magnetic field \( H_\sigma \), we can write \( m_\sigma = \partial \ln Z/\partial H_\sigma \big|_{H_\sigma=0} \), where \( Z \) is the partition function. The star-triangle transformation with \( H_\sigma \) produces additional interactions in the renormalized triangle on the right side of Fig. 2(b): three local fields \( H_{1s1}, H_{2s2}, H_{3s3} \), and a three-site term \( K_{s1s2s3} \), where \( H_i \) and \( K \) are functions of the \( J_{ij} \) and

\[
\text{FIG. 3: Phase diagram of the Ising spin glass on an Apollonian network, in temperature } 1/J \text{ versus antiferromagnetic bond concentration } p. \text{ The boundary between the ferromagnetic and spin-glass phases is first order. The paramagnetic phase appears with a first-order phase transition at } p = 1. \]

\[
\text{FIG. 4: Magnetization } m \text{ and spin-glass order parameter } q \text{ for the Ising spin glass on an Apollonian network. In the left panels, the curves, consecutively leftmost from the top, are for constant temperature } 1/J = 0.2, 1.0, 2.0, 10.0. \text{ In the right panels, the curves, consecutively from the top, are for antiferromagnetic bond concentration } p = 0.10, 0.38, 0.50, 0.90. \]

\[
H_\sigma. \text{ With these interactions, the RG mapping is closed upon further iteration, so there will be a set of parameters } \mathbf{K}(i) \equiv \{ H_{1s1}^{(i)}, H_{2s2}^{(i)}, H_{3s3}^{(i)}, K_{s1s2s3}^{(i)} \} \text{ after the } i^{th} \text{ RG step associated with the triangle that originally contained spin } \sigma. \text{ Using the chain rule, } m_\sigma \text{ can be expressed }[17,18] \text{ in terms of local recursion matrices } T \text{ over the } i \text{ steps,}
\]

\[
m_\sigma = \mu^{(i)}T^{(i)}T^{(i-1)}\cdots T^{(2)}V^{(1)},
\]

where \( \mu^{(i)} = \partial \ln Z/\partial K_\alpha^{(i)}, T_{3\alpha}^{(i)} = \partial K_\alpha^{(i)}/\partial H_\alpha^{(i-1)}, V_\alpha^{(1)} = \partial K_\alpha^{(1)}/\partial H_\sigma, \text{ and } K_{\alpha}^{(i)} \text{ are the components of } \mathbf{K}(i). \text{ All these quantities are evaluated in the RG subspace with initial condition } H_\sigma = 0 \text{ (i.e., where } K_\alpha = 0 \text{ for all } i), \text{ which makes them functions only of the } J_{ij} \text{ configuration at the previous step. In the thermodynamic limit, as a corner boundary condition, we calculate } \mu^{(i)} \text{ over the up-magnetized configurations of the three original corner spins of the network. As the RG transform is iterated, each triplet in the pool } Q^{(1)} \text{ has a corresponding vector } T^{(i)}\cdots T^{(1)}V^{(1)}, \text{ which can be contracted with } \mu^{(i)} \text{ calculated from } Q^{(1)} \text{ to obtain a pool of } m_\sigma \text{ using Eq. (1). For sufficiently large } i \text{ and } M, \text{ the resulting pool converges to the exact distribution of local magnetizations in the thermodynamic limit. The averages of } m_\sigma \text{ and } q_\sigma \equiv m_\sigma^2 \text{ over this distribution respectively yield the magnetization } m \text{ and SG order parameter } q \text{ for the interior sites.}
\]

Results – We focus first on the ferromagnetic (F) and antiferromagnetic (AF) percolation cases. For F percolation the system is ferromagnetically ordered at all finite temperatures for any \( p > 0 \), directly related to the existence of a giant connected component in the network at all nonzero bond probabilities \[1\]. In contrast, one might expect macroscopic order in the system to be inhibited in the AF case, since AF bonds are frustrated on the triangular plaquettes in the network. Indeed, in
the case of $p = 1$, namely for a pure AF system, frustration leads to a paramagnetic phase at all temperatures. However, as soon as even a tiny fraction of AF bonds is removed, namely for any $0 < p < 1$, we find an SG phase at all temperatures, an interesting example of a glassy phase which is completely impervious to thermal excitations. The SG phase appears even when weaker forms of disorder are added to the pure AF system, such as simply attenuating a fraction of the bonds. Consider the range of models described by the bond distribution $P(J_{ij}) = p\delta(J_{ij} - J) + (1 - p)\delta(J_{ij} - cJ)$ where $J < 0$ and $0 < c < 1$. Here $c = 0$ corresponds to the AF percolation case described above, but it turns out that any $c < 1$ gives the same phase diagram structure: a SG phase of infinite extent for all $0 < p < 1$ and paramagnetism at $p = 1$.

We now turn to the spin-glass system: The system composed of antiferromagnetic bonds, under infinitesimal doping by ferromagnetic bonds, produces a spin-glass phase via a jump, namely a first-order phase transition at $p = 1$. For a sufficient quantity of ferromagnetic doping, a first-order transition occurs to the ferromagnetic phase, as can be seen in the phase diagram in Fig. 3. On both sides of the transition line the ordered phases persist to infinite temperature $1/J$, and the boundary itself asymptotically approaches a vertical line at $p = 0.5$ as $1/J \to \infty$. The first-order nature of the ferromagnetic-spin-glass phase transition, to our knowledge not seen in other systems, is evident from the magnetization and SG order parameter plotted in Fig. 4, which indeed show discontinuities crossing the boundary. (At the highest temperature depicted, $1/J = 10.0$, the discontinuities exist but are too small to be seen on the scale of the figure.)

In conclusion, we have shown that ferromagnetic phases and, moreover, spin-glass phases on Apollonian networks exhibit a remarkable robustness, with an infinite critical temperature for any amount of disorder. In fact, order persists to infinite temperature even when almost all of the bonds in the system are removed and even when almost all of the bonds in the system are frustrated, namely in the $p$ infinitesimally greater than zero and in the $p$ infinitesimally less than one regimes of the percolation and spin-glass problems, respectively. This property should have consequences for actual applications on networks. For example, interacting objects arranged on Apollonian nanostructures would be able to maintain cooperative behavior over a broad range of temperatures and intrinsic disorder. Our local renormalization-group theory method yields, in the network with frozen disorder, the exact local order parameters, up to now only calculated approximately by mean-field theory. The resulting local magnetizations and local spin-glass order parameters do not yield just a distribution of values, as would be most simply expected in systems with frozen disorder, but also unexpectedly distinctive tulip structures with stalks, leaves, and veins, evolving under temperature. It is clearly unlikely that the new and intriguing tulip structures, with stalks, leaves, and veins, in the microscopics are limited to Apollonian networks, but more likely will appear, perhaps in varying topologies, in diverse small-world systems. Our locally discriminating renormalization-group technique, in yielding such detailed local results, should be of interest for the positional distribution of order in systems with inhomogeneities, etc.

Acknowledgments - This research was supported by the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TÜBİTAK) and by the Academy of Sciences of Turkey.
