Stability of a ferroelectric phase with electrical domains in multilayers

I. B. Misirlioglu[a]

Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Sabanci University, Tuzla/Orhanli, 34956 Istanbul, Turkey

Multilayer $BaTiO_3$–$SrTiO_3$ and $PbTiO_3$–$SrTiO_3$ structures with different electrical domain states are studied using a Landau–Ginzburg–Devonshire free energy. Polarizations in the layers are computed for multidomain and single-domain states where the paraelectric-to-ferroelectric volumetric layer ratio is varied. It is shown that the ferroelectric layers with electrical domains are thermodynamically more stable than the single-domain ferroelectric state. High domain wall energies result in the stabilization of the paraelectric state in the ferroelectric layers for large depolarizing fields. It is concluded that the stability of single-domain state ferroelectric layers correspond to a very small paraelectric-to-ferroelectric ratio after which multidomain ferroelectric state is favored. © *2009 American Institute of Physics*. [DOI: 10.1063/1.3129872]

Ferroelectric (FE) thin heterostructures have been the focus of both the industry and the scientific community owing to their attractive electrical properties that could be tailored as functional components in new generation devices. Within the past two decades, possibility of growing FE structures together with another functional component either in a bilayer or a multilayer form on a misfitting substrate has been demonstrated. This has opened the gate to new possibilities where the properties of the entire multilayer system can be tuned toward a desired application through component fractions. Enhancement of the electric polarization,[1–3] a giant dielectric response at critical component fractions and alteration of the Curie points (T_C) of the constituent layers were among the reported and predicted.[4–13]

In a series of articles, Bratkovsky and Levanyuk[14–16] theoretically demonstrated that a FE thin film with 180° electrical domains is more stable when in the form of a capacitor sandwiched between electrodes if there are depolarizing fields (DFs) due to either ferroelectrically dead layers near the FE-electrode interface or if there is imperfect electrode screening. As the DFs scale with $1/\varepsilon_0$ where ε_0 is the permittivity of free space ($\varepsilon_0 = 8.85 \times 10^{-11}$ F/m, in SI units), a slight polarization variation $\Delta P (C/m^2)$ normal to a surface or an interface can create very strong electric fields, close to a form $\Delta P/\varepsilon_0$, that is sufficient to suppress ferroelectricity when there are no free charges. Keeping in sight the magnitude of the order of the polarization energy in FEs such as $BaTiO_3$ (BT), $PbTiO_3$ (PT) or solid solutions of Ba, Sr, TiO_3 and Pb, Zr, TiO_3, even a 10^{-5} or 10^{-6} ΔP can introduce an additional energy to the system comparable to polarization energy sufficient to destabilize the ferroelectricity and the system will want to lower its energy by existing in the paraelectric (PE) state.

All what is explained until now can happen well below the T_C of the FE. The debate at this point has been whether the FE really switches to the PE state to lower its energy due to the DF or it splits into electrical domains that lead to a globally zero DF, stabilizing a FE state within each domain. Thermodynamically, it is now well established that the latter option should be the path to minimize the DF energy but at the expense of the domain wall (DW) energy. If the film is too thin to sustain the DW energy arising from a short period alternating up-down polarization configuration of the 180° domains, the PE state will be preferred and thus become stable. It must also be kept in mind that the electrical domain periodicity, hence the DW energy density, is one of the consequences of the competition between DWs and the system's tendency to compensate for DF as the film thickness is reduced (higher surface area-to-volume ratio). This has very important implications when designing integrated systems employing FEs as functional components in the form of films as well as multilayers.

In this letter, the stability of FE layers in FE-PE multilayers are analyzed using a Landau–Ginzburg–Devonshire free energy where the strain effects, electrostatic coupling, and freedom of electrical domain formation are taken into account in the continuum limit at room temperature (RT). Supposing a perfect interface between the FE and the PE and that the FE can either exist in a single-domain (SD) state or a multidomain (MD) state, the free energy is written in a modified form of the energy expression used in Refs. 8 and 10 that were for SD states. The energy expression in this work also allows the system to exist in MD state by assigning the FE layer two degenerate polarization states, similar to the approach in Ref. 9. The approach is applied to the BT-$SrTiO_3$ (BT-ST) and the PT-ST both with (100)//(100) epitaxy assuming the FE layers to be fully strained c-phase structures on thick single crystal (100) ST substrates[17] with short-circuit boundary conditions (Fig. 1). Neglecting spatial


FIG. 1. (Color online) The schematic for the system considered in this study for the FE and PE layers.

[a]Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Electronic mail: burc@sabanciuniv.edu.

84 fluctuations other than short range variations of polarization
85 at DWs, the free energy of a FE-PE multicomponent system
86 can be written as

87
$$F = F_1 + F_2 + F_{EL} + F_{ELASTIC} + F_{DW}, \qquad (1)$$

88
$$F_1 = (1-\alpha)\{(1-\beta)[aP_1^2 + bP_1^4 + cP_1^6 - EP_1] + \beta[aP_2^2$$
89
$$+ bP_2^4 + cP_2^6 + EP_2]\}, \qquad (2)$$

90
$$F_2 = \alpha[dP_3^2 + eP_3^4 + fP_3^6 - EP_3], \qquad (3)$$

91
$$\text{and} \quad F_{EL} = \tfrac{1}{2}\alpha(1-\alpha)[(1-\beta)P_1 + \beta P_2 + P_3]^2, \qquad (4)$$

92 where α is the volumetric layer fraction of the PE component
93 ($\alpha = (L-L_1)/L$, see Fig. 1), β is the fraction of FE domains
94 that have negative vector sign (taken as 0.5 in this study
95 assuming degeneracy). $P_{1,2}$ are the degenerate polarization
96 states in the 180° domains of the FE, P_3 is the polarization of
97 the PE, E is the externally applied field (zero in this work). a,
98 b, c are the misfit strain-modified free energy coefficients of
99 the FE layer, d, e, f are the free energy coefficients of the PE
100 layer that were published elsewhere,[18] F_{EL} is the electrostatic
101 coupling energy responsible for the depolarization effect in
102 the FE component, $F_{ELASTIC}$ is the elastic energy of the lay-
103 ers in the form $u_M^2/(S_{11}+S_{12})$ where u_M is the pseudocubic
104 misfit,[17] S_{ij} are the elastic compliances of the layers in Voigt
105 notation.[18] F_{DW} is the DW energy in the FE approximated as
106 $A(P_1-P_2)^2$ where A scales the volumetric DW energy den-
107 sity cost to the system.[19] Value of A normally depends on
108 additional material parameters[19] but is varied here to demon-
109 strate the competition of this term with F_1 when the FE is
110 allowed to split into domains (i. e., when $\beta > 0$). Note that
111 $\beta = 0$ imposes a SD state in the FE layer and no DW term
112 exists.
113   The total free energy in Eq. (1) is minimized with re-
114 spect to P_1, P_2, and P_3 for $\beta = 0$ and $\beta = 0.5$ and simulta-
115 neously solved using a Newton–Rhapson iterative scheme
116 for the BT-ST and PT-ST system with α values ranging from
117 0 (pure FE) to 1 (pure PE). The polarization solutions as a
118 function of α for the BT-ST and PT-ST systems are given in
119 Fig. 2 for different magnitudes of DW energy as well as SD
120 states. As expected, for zero DW energy ($A=0$), the FE layer
121 can exist in a MD state until very small fractions (large α) in
122 the continuum limit. With increasing DW energy density
123 ($A>0$), there exists a critical α beyond which the FE layer is
124 forced to exist in the PE state dictated by the strength of DF.
125 On the other hand, as seen in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), when there
126 is electrical domain stabilization in the FE, the ST remains
127 macroscopically at zero polarization. Examining the polar-
128 ization profile for $\beta = 0$ in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d), the PE layer
129 has to exist at values well beyond its bulk (zero for ST at RT)
130 to compensate for the DF due to the polarization jump at the
131 interface (see Fig. 2). In both SD, BT-ST and the PT-ST,
132 there is a critical α beyond which the system is either en-
133 tirely PE or has very small values of remnant polarization.
134 This point has sometimes been discussed to be the critical PE
135 fraction beyond which electrical domains are stabilized in
136 the FE. In order to verify this, one has to compare the free
137 energies of the systems in SD and MD states. Figure 3 gives
138 the free energies for BT-ST and PT-ST using the polarization
139 solutions of MD and SD states given in Fig. 2.

FIG. 2. (Color online) Polarization solutions in the FE layers as a function of α when electrical domains are allowed ($\beta = 0.5$) in (a) BT-ST, (b) PT-ST, P_1 and P_3 only when a SD state is imposed ($\beta = 0$) in (c) BT-ST and (d) PT-ST. Note the impact of volumetric DW energy density on stability of FE phase in (a) and (b). P_3 (polarization of ST layer) has always zero polariza-tion for all A values when $\beta = 0.5$ in (a) and (b). In (c) and (d) for $\beta = 0$, the polarizations of each component (P_1 and P_3) are nearly the same, resulting in an overlap.

140   Figures 3(a) and 3(b) shows that the free energies of the
141 MD states, despite the DW energy, are much lower than the
142 SD states. Besides, the full SD state energy exhibits a broad
143 hump with α in both Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) (partly visible as red
144 dots). This happens due to three reasons: First, the FE layer
145 is not in the polarization state corresponding to the minima
146 of the free energy. Second, the PE layer is forced to exist in
147 a FE state which again is not the minimum free energy of
148 itself. And third, there is still a polarization jump at the in-
149 terface resulting in a large, nonzero DF energy. Note that PE
150 state has lower energy than a SD-FE state nearly in the entire
151 α range. In addition, the PT-ST system prefers the PE state in
152 a wide range of α for large DW energy density when β
153 $=0.5$. The very reason for this is the strain where ST sub-
154 strate induces a slight tensile in-plane strain on c-axis ori-
155 ented PT, reducing T_C with respect to its bulk counterpart,
156 raising the F_1 value beyond that of the PE state. Also note
157 that the formation of elastic domains to relax the self-strain
158 of the PE-FE phase transformation in form of $a1/a2$ or a/c
159 configurations, depending on the extent of misfit dislocation
160 formation and thermal strains, is not considered in this work.
161   In order to determine the α until which a SD state could
162 exist, one has to zoom into the small α region to compare the
163 free energies of the MD and SD states of BT-ST and PT-ST
164 in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b). It can immediately be realized that the
165 transition from the SD to the MD state occurs at very small
166 α values and the corresponding PE layer thickness can
167 hardly be resolved from Fig. 3, at the order of 10^{-4}. This
168 result is entirely consistent with the findings of theoretical
169 studies of pure FE thin film capacitors and recent findings for
170 superlattices.[16,20,21] Moreover, the PE state is stabilized for
171 high DW energy densities for $\beta = 0.5$ as seen in the enlarged
172 insets of Fig. 3. Such a picture could of course change when
173 there is an internal bias field resulting from asymmetric FE-
174 electrode interfaces or other secondary effects such as fields
175 due to defects and etc. In the presence of strong DFs due to,
176 for instance, thin layers (small α), the system cannot accom-

FIG. 3. (Color online) Free energies of (a) the BT-ST system and (b) the PT-ST system for various magnitudes of DW energy ($\beta=0.5$) and SD state ($\beta=0$). Region inside the dashed line is enlarged for better visualization of the free energies of different states. The MD and PE states have always lower energy than the case for $\beta=0$ with FE state in the layers. Note that the energies of the systems increase with larger A when $\beta=0.5$. Only two values, $A=0$ and $A=10^8$ (5×10^7 for PT-ST) are denoted here for brevity and space limitations.

[177] modate high DW energy density in FE state, stabilizing the
[178] PE phase. Theoretically, had there been no DW energy cost,
[179] MD state could remain stable for large PE/FE ratios as seen
[180] in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b). In reality, there is always a DW energy
[181] cost to the system both due to polarization variation and the
[182] related local elastic effects arising from the strong electros-
[183] trictive nature of FEs.[16,22]

[184]   Assuming a multilayer system that consist of FE compo-
[185] nents instead of a FE and PE, the SD state can be maintained
[186] for α larger than what is shown in this work. This critical α
[187] for MD formation in a FE-FE system, however, will still not
[188] be substantially greater than the α for a FE-PE multilayer as
[189] the DF energy will become dominant when the polarizations
[190] of the two layers differ by fraction of a few percent. For a
[191] FE-FE multilayer with each layer having different T_C, the
[192] layer that has the higher T_C will split into domains first upon
[193] cooling as also recently discussed by Roytburd et al.[9]

[194]   In summary, it is demonstrated that the MD state of FE
[195] layers in FE-PE multilayers or bilayers is thermodynamically
[196] favored over the SD states in BT-ST and PT-ST multilayers.

[197] When the DW energy is too costly for the system, PE state
[198] will be favored to avoid complications arising from the ex-
[199] istence of a spontaneous polarization. The phase stabilities in
[200] this work were analyzed considering the competing DF and
[201] DW energies with renormalized free energy coefficients on
[202] (001) ST and not temperature. An externally applied field can
[203] stabilize a SD state and even remnancy can be observed in
[204] dynamic hysteresis measurements when the FE does not
[205] have sufficient time to relax into the MD state.

[206] [1]J. B. Neaton and K. M. Rabe, Appl. Phys. Lett. **82**, 1586 (2003).
[207] [2]H. N. Lee, H.-M. Christen, M. F. Chisholm, C. M. Rouleau, and D. H.
[208] Lowndes, Nature (London) **433**, 395 (2005).
[209] [3]W. Tian, J. C. Jiang, X. Q. Pan, J. H. Haeni, Y. L. Li, L. Q. Chen, D. G.
[210] Schlom, J. B. Neaton, K. M. Rabe, and Q. X. Jia, Appl. Phys. Lett. **89**,
[211] 092905 (2006).
[212] [4]A. Erbil, Y. Kim, and R. A. Gerhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 1628 (1996).
[213] [5]E. D. Specht, H. M. Christen, D. P. Norton, and L. A. Boatner, Phys. Rev.
[214] Lett. **80**, 4317 (1998).
[215] [6]O. Nakagawara, T. Shimuta, T. Makino, S. Arai, H. Tabata, and T. Kawai,
[216] Appl. Phys. Lett. **77**, 3257 (2000).
[217] [7]J. Im, O. Auciello, and S. K. Streiffer, Thin Solid Films **413**, 243 (2002).
[218] [8]A. L. Roytburd, S. Zhong, and S. P. Alpay, Appl. Phys. Lett. **87**, 092902
[219] (2005).
[220] [9]A. L. Roytburd and J. Slutsker, Appl. Phys. Lett. **89**, 042907 (2006).
[221] [10]M. Dawber, N. Stucki, C. Lichtensteiger, S. Gariglio, P. Ghosez, and J. M.
[222] Triscone, Adv. Mater. (Weinheim, Ger.) **19**, 4153 (2007).
[223] [11]D. A. Tenne, A. Bruchhausen, N. D. Lanzillotti-Kimura, A. Fainstein, R.
[224] S. Katiyar, A. Cantarero, A. Soukiassian, V. Vaithyanathan, J. H. Haeni,
[225] W. Tian, D. G. Schlom, K. J. Choi, D. M. Kim, C. B. Eom, H. P. Sun, X.
[226] Q. Pan, Y. L. Li, L. Q. Chen, Q. X. Jia, S. M. Nakhmanson, K. M. Rabe,
[227] and X. X. Xi, Science **313**, 1614 (2006).
[228] [12]S. Zhong, S. P. Alpay, and J. Mantese, Appl. Phys. Lett. **88**, 132904
[229] (2006).
[230] [13]See for example F. A. Urtiev, V. G. Kukhar, and N. A. Pertsev Appl. Phys.
[231] Lett., **90**, 252910 (2007); J. H. Lee, U. V. Waghmare, and J. J. Yu, J. Appl.
[232] Phys. **103**, 124106 (2008).
[233] [14]A. M. Bratkovsky and A. P. Levanyuk, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 3177 (2000).
[234] [15]A. M. Bratkovsky and A. P. Levanyuk, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 3642 (2001).
[235] [16]A. M. Bratkovsky and A. P. Levanyuk, Integr. Ferroelectr. **84**, 3 (2006).
[236] [17]For fully coherent BT-ST on (001) ST, the pseudocubic misfit strain is
[237] used that has a value of -2.54% (compression) and for fully coherent
[238] PT-ST on (001) ST -1.18% (compression). The net strain changes with
[239] electrostrictive effects below T_C that is self-consistently taken into account
[240] in the calculations.
[241] [18]Free energy coefficients for BT, PT, and ST and how strain modifies these
[242] can be found in N. A. Pertsev, A. G. Zembilgotov, and A. K. Tagantsev,
[243] Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 1988 (1998); M. J. Haun, Z. Q. Zhuang, E. Furman,
[244] S. J. Jang, and L. E. Cross, Ferroelectrics **99**, 45 (1989); N. A. Pertsev, A.
[245] K. Tagantsev, and N. Setter, Phys. Rev. B **61**, R825 (2000) (S_{ij} of com-
[246] ponents can also be found from the references cited herein. The elastic
[247] energy does not significantly alter the domain stabilities as there is nearly
[248] two orders of magnitude energy difference between the pure elastic energy
[249] and the polarization energy and exists in both MD and SD.).
[250] [19]The original form of the DW energy is $a\delta^2(dP/dx)^2$ and acts as an energy
[251] penalty for spatial variations for a polarization wave propagating along
[252] x-axis ($\vec{P}\perp x$) with a being a function of the Curie point and δ is the
[253] correlation length, also expressed as $(a\delta^2/\Delta x^2)(P_i-P_{i-1})^2$ in a discretized
[254] form. Δx depends on the discretization and could be taken comparable to
[255] the unit cell dimensions. $A(P_1-P_2)^2$ term in this work is an approximate
[256] cost of DW energy density when DF energy is compensated by degenerate
[257] polarization states in a MD state and is intended for demonstrative pur-
[258] poses. The system is sensitive to the ratio of this term to the rest of the free
[259] energy terms, putting an imposition on the polarization solutions of a FE
[260] phase similar to the former original form of the DW energy.
[261] [20]Y. L. Li, S. Y. Hu, D. Tenne, A. Soukiassian, D. G. Schlom, X. X. Xi, K.
[262] J. Choi, C. B. Eom, A. Saxena, T. Lookman, Q. X. Jia, and L. Q. Chen,
[263] Appl. Phys. Lett. **91**, 112914 (2007).
[264] [21]M. Dawber, C. Lichtensteiger, and J. M. Triscone, Phase Transit. **81**, 623
[265] (2008).
[266] [22]N. A. Pertsev and H. Kohlstedt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 257603 (2007).

AQ: #1

AQ: #2

AUTHOR QUERIES — 056918APL

#1   Au: Please check the changes made in Ref. 10.
#2   au: please check Ref. 18b for accuracy