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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Pittsburgh's Racial Demographics: Differences and Disparities provides indicators of quality of life by race and ethnicity in the Pittsburgh region. Data are provided for four groups (Whites, African Americans, Asians, and Hispanics) and for four geographic areas (city of Pittsburgh, Allegheny County, Pittsburgh Metropolitan Statistical Area, and the U.S.).

The most recent data available for the Pittsburgh area and the nation were used in this report. In some instances, however, only data from the 2000 census were available to make comparisons. It is also the case that data were not available on all of our topic areas in the same years. It is our hope to update this report every three years, particularly if the American Community Survey (which is designed to replace the decennial census) produces regular, reliable data by race for cities, counties, and regions.

The findings, by section of the report, are:

## Families, Youth, and Elderly

- The population of the Pittsburgh region is far less diverse than that of the nation primarily because the region has small Asian and Hispanic populations.
- In the last 20 years the major change in racial and ethnic distribution of the nation's population has been the large increase in the Hispanic share. No major changes occurred in the Pittsburgh area.


Source: Appendix 2.1

- Whites and African Americans in the Pittsburgh region and in the nation live largely in racially segregated communities. This has serious implications for African American communities,
which are often disadvantaged in many quality of life aspects including job and transportation access, schools, and public safety.
- The percentages of elderly Whites, African Americans, and Hispanics are higher in the region than in the nation.
- In the city, county, and region, less than a third of African American children are being raised in families with both of their parents, compared to more than half of Hispanic children, twothirds of White children, and more than four out of five Asian children. Further, the percentage of African American two-parent families among African American families with children is much lower in the Pittsburgh area than in the nation.
- About one-quarter of African American women age 15 and over in the Pittsburgh area are married compared to $40-50 \%$ of the Whites, half of the Hispanics, and two-thirds of Asian women.
- Multiracial children make up 2-3.5 percent of children in the Pittsburgh area and $4 \%$ of the child population in the nation.


## Education

- The majority of students enrolled in public schools in the city of Pittsburgh are African American, whereas the majority of students in Allegheny County, the Pittsburgh MSA, and the U.S. are White.
- Higher percentages of White than African American students achieved proficiency in reading and math in $5^{\text {th }}, 8^{\text {th }}$, and $11^{\text {th }}$ grades in the Pittsburgh School District.
- Higher percentages of Whites and Asians than African Americans and Hispanics achieved proficiency in reading and math in the nation.
- The number of African American girls graduating from high school in Pittsburgh Public Schools increased substantially in the last two years.
- In Allegheny County and the nation White women receive a much larger share of bachelor degrees conferred than White men, and African American women receive a much larger share of bachelor degrees conferred than African American men.
- Much higher percentages of African Americans and Hispanics than Whites and Asians have less than a high school degree in the Pittsburgh area and the nation.
- Much higher percentages of Asians and Hispanics than Whites and African Americans have graduate or professional degrees in the Pittsburgh area.
- Much higher percentages of Asians in the Pittsburgh area than in the nation have graduate or professional degrees, and much higher percentages of Hispanics in the Pittsburgh area than in the nation have graduate or professional degrees.


Source: Appendix 3.6

## Economic Disparities

- Whites and Hispanics in the Pittsburgh MSA have higher homeownership rates than Whites and Hispanics, respectively, in the nation while African Americans and Asians in the region have lower rates than in the nation.
- Male and female unemployment rates for Whites, African Americans, and Asians are higher in the Pittsburgh MSA than in the nation. Hispanic male unemployment rates are the same in the region as in the nation while Hispanic female unemployment rates are lower in the region than in the nation.
- Asian and Hispanic workers are employed at much higher rates in the Pittsburgh area than in the nation in management and professional occupations.
- African American workers are employed at much lower rates than the other racial/ethnic groups in management and professional occupations in the Pittsburgh area.
- The median income of White households in the city of Pittsburgh exceeds that of African Americans, Asians, and Hispanics by more than $\$ 10,000$.


Source: Appendix 4.1

- Asians have the highest median household income in the county, Pittsburgh MSA, and U.S.
- Median household income for Hispanics is slightly higher in the Pittsburgh MSA than in the nation while it is $16 \%$ lower in the region than in the nation for Whites, $32 \%$ lower for African Americans, and 13\% lower for Asians.
- Aggregate income in the Pittsburgh MSA is about $\$ 46$ billion for Whites, $\$ 2.5$ billion for African Americans, $\$ 670$ million for Asians, and $\$ 290$ million for Hispanics.
- African American poverty rates are four times White poverty rates in Allegheny County, three times White rates in the Pittsburgh MSA and the nation, and 2.5 times White rates in the city of Pittsburgh.
- African Americans use public transportation to go to work in the Pittsburgh area and the nation at higher rates than the other racial/ethnic groups, although all of the groups use public transportation to go to work in the Pittsburgh area at higher rates than in the nation.
- $89 \%$ of Whites and $61 \%$ of African Americans in the Pittsburgh MSA own a motor vehicle compared to $93 \%$ of Whites and $76 \%$ of African Americans in the nation.


## Intergroup Relations

- African Americans are highly segregated from Whites in the city of Pittsburgh and the Pittsburgh MSA while Asians and Hispanics are only moderately segregated from Whites.
- Small proportions of Allegheny County residents (6\% of African Americans and $4 \%$ of Whites) see race relations as a serious problem in their neighborhood. About half of the county's African American residents and one-fifth of its White residents believe that race relations is a severe problem in the region and nation.
- African Americans much more than Whites in the county feel that African Americans are treated less fairly at work, on public transportation, by police, and in stores/malls, restaurants, bars, and theaters.
- About half of the county's African American population report being victims of unfair treatment or discrimination in a store within the last six months, one-third report being victims of unfair treatment or discrimination by the police and in a restaurant, bar, or theater, one-fifth report being victims at work, and $6 \%$ report being victims on public transportation.
- $85 \%$ of Whites but only $46 \%$ of African Americans in the county believe that citizens of all races in their communities are being treated in a fair and equitable manner by police.
- One in three African Americans (32\%) in the county believes that he or she has been treated unfairly for reasons other than race.
- African Americans in the county are 3.5 times more likely than Whites ( $49 \%$ vs. $14 \%$ ) to know someone who was treated unfairly or discriminated against in the last six months because he or she is African American.
- African Americans in the county are more likely than White residents ( $42 \%$ vs. $30 \%$ ) to know someone who was discriminated against for reasons other than race.


## Mental Health

- The African American rate of serious mental illness in Allegheny County is substantially higher than the White rate in the county and the national African American rate.
- African American men in Allegheny County have a higher rate of serious mental illness than African American women and White men and women.
- Whites in Allegheny County with household incomes of $\$ 25,000$ or less a year have a higher rate of serious mental illness than Whites with incomes of more than $\$ 25,000$. African Americans in the county with incomes of $\$ 25,000$ or less per year have a higher rate of serious mental illness than Whites at the same income level.
- African Americans in Allegheny County with a high school diploma or less and those with some college have higher serious mental illness rates than Whites with the same education.
- About equal numbers of White and African American city of Pittsburgh residents are mental health and drug abuse clients of the Allegheny County Department of Human Services each year. White clients greatly outnumber African American clients in Allegheny County.


Source: Appendix 6.1 and Ravi Sharma (Graduate School of Public Health, University of Pittsburgh) and Joan Epstein, Peggy Barker, Michael Vorburger, and Christine Murtha. 2002. Serious Mental Illness and Its CoOccurrence with Substance Use Disorders. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration.

## Criminal Justice

- The majority of juveniles and adults arrested in the city of Pittsburgh are African American while the majority of juveniles and adults arrested in Allegheny County, the Pittsburgh MSA, and the nation are White.
- African American arrest rates for juveniles and adults are 2-4 times White rates in the Pittsburgh area.
- The majority of juveniles arrested for violent crimes in the Pittsburgh area are African American and the majority of adults arrested for violent crime in the city and county are African American. The majority of juveniles arrested for violent crime in the nation and the majority of adults arrested for violent crime in the Pittsburgh MSA and the nation are White.
- African American arrest rates for violent crime among juveniles and adults in the Pittsburgh area are 7-20 times White rates while African American rates are three times White rates in the nation.
- All seven of the juveniles arrested for murder and non-negligent manslaughter in the Pittsburgh area in 2004 were African Americans from the city of Pittsburgh.
- The majority of African American adult murder and non-negligent manslaughter arrests in the region are in the city of Pittsburgh while the majority of White adult murder and non-negligent arrests in the region are outside Allegheny County.
- The majority of juveniles arrested for property crime in the city of Pittsburgh and Allegheny County and the majority of adults arrested for property crime in the city are African American. The majority of juveniles arrested for property crime in the Pittsburgh MSA and nation and the majority of adults arrested for property crime in the county, Pittsburgh MSA, and the nation are White.
- African American arrest rates among juveniles and adults for property crime are 3-4.5 times White arrest rates in the Pittsburgh area and 1.5-3 times White arrest rates in the nation.
- African American arrest rates for drug abuse among juveniles and among adults are higher than arrest rates for Whites, Asians, and Hispanics in the Pittsburgh area.
- In the Pittsburgh area in 2004, six African American juveniles were murdered in the city of Pittsburgh, three were murdered in Allegheny County but outside the city, and three White juveniles were murdered in the region but outside of Allegheny County.
- Among adult murder victims in the Pittsburgh MSA in 2004, 60 were African American, 31 were White, and one was Hispanic.



Notes: White and African American data may include Hispanics. U.S. data are from 2002. U.S. data are not available for Hispanics.
Source: Appendix 7.1-7.8

## SECTION 1. INTRODUCTION

Race and ethnicity affect almost every aspect of American society, and their importance is likely to increase as the share of people of color in our society increases. At present, people of color account for $33 \%$ of the U.S. population and by 2059 this share is expected to increase to $50 \%$.

However, accurate and up-to-date socioeconomic data about racial and ethnic groups in the Pittsburgh region and the nation are not always accessible to policymakers, practitioners, researchers, and members of the community. If data were readily available, many people could have a better understanding of the positive conditions and the problems different racial and ethnic groups face and therefore could provide better strategies for improving conditions.

Pittsburgh's Racial Demographics: Differences and Disparities presents the most up-to-date data on the social and economic status of racial and ethnic groups in four geographic regions: city of Pittsburgh, Allegheny County, Pittsburgh Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA), and the United States. This is the first in a series of chartbooks prepared by the University of Pittsburgh's Center on Race and Social Problems, which is part of the School of Social Work. It is our hope that this book will promote greater racial and ethnic equality in the Pittsburgh region and the U.S.

The report is divided into six sections: Families, Youth, and Elderly; Education; Economic Disparities; Intergroup Relations; Mental Health; and Criminal Justice. We selected these categories to provide a broad picture of the social and economic conditions facing racial and ethnic groups in the Pittsburgh area. Each section provides key indicators of well-being for four racial groups: Whites, African Americans, Asians, and Hispanics.

The most recent data available for the Pittsburgh area and the nation were used in this report. In some instances, however, only data from the 2000 census were available to make comparisons. It is also the case that data were not available on all of our topic areas in the same years. It is our hope to update this report every three years, particularly if the American Community Survey (which is designed to replace the decennial census) produces regular, reliable data by race for cities, counties, and regions.

## SECTION 2. FAMILIES, YOUTH, AND ELDERLY

In this section we summarize recent data on demographic characteristics of the population in the Pittsburgh area and the United States. Specifically, we examine:

- Geographic distribution of African Americans
- Racial and ethnic distribution of the population
- Racial and ethnic distribution of the population, trends 1980-2000
- Age distribution
- Family types
- Marital status
- Multiracial children

America has become increasingly diverse in recent decades. In fact, over the past 30 years, the non-Hispanic White population has declined from more than 80 percent of the population to 67 percent, Hispanics have surpassed African Americans as the nation's largest racial/ethnic minority group, and Asians have become the nation's fastest growing racial/ethnic group. The racial and ethnic distribution of the 300 million people in America in 2006 is:

- 67\% non-Hispanic White
- $15 \%$ Hispanic
- 12\% African American, non-Hispanic
- $4 \%$ Asian American and Pacific Islander, non-Hispanic
- $2 \%$ Other

Further, it is projected that by 2059 people of color will outnumber non-Hispanic Whites in the nation (U.S. Census Bureau, 2000).

## Geographic Distribution of African Americans

In the Pittsburgh region as well as nationwide, Americans tend to live in racially segregated communities. Compared to predominantly White communities, the region's African American communities are often disadvantaged in many quality of life aspects including job and transportation access, schools, and public safety. Appendices 1.1-1.3 map the geographic distribution of African Americans in the city of Pittsburgh, Allegheny County, and the Pittsburgh MSA.

- Appendix 1.1 shows that African Americans are concentrated in six main areas of the city of Pittsburgh - the northeast belt from Garfield to Homewood and East Hills; the Hill district; the northwest belt from Manchester to Northview; Glen Hazel; Beltzhoover; and Fairywood.
- At the county level, African Americans are concentrated in just a few areas. The largest concentration is in Penn Hills (Appendix 1.2).
- Similarly in the Pittsburgh MSA, African Americans are not well dispersed. They are more heavily concentrated in Allegheny County than in any of the other counties which make up the MSA (Appendix 1.3).


## Racial and Ethnic Distribution of the Population

The Pittsburgh region is far less diverse than the nation, primarily because the region lacks a large Hispanic population. As shown in Figure 2.1, the region is comprised primarily of Whites and African Americans, with relatively small Hispanic and Asian populations.


Source: Appendix 2.1

- Whites make up the majority of the population in the Pittsburgh area, followed by African Americans, Asians, and Hispanics. However, Hispanics outnumber both African Americans and Asians in the nation.
- The percentage of African Americans in the city is more than double the percentage in the county, the Pittsburgh MSA, and the nation.
- Because the Pittsburgh area has such small minority populations, it has an unusually large White population. In fact, among all United States' counties with populations of one million or more, Allegheny County has the highest percentage ( $82.9 \%$ ) of non-Hispanic Whites.(U,S, Census Bureau, 2005). Further, among all metropolitan areas with populations of one million or more, the Pittsburgh MSA has the highest percentage (89.5\%) of non-Hispanic Whites (American Demographics, 2002).


## Section 2. Families, Youth \& Elderly

## Racial and Ethnic Distribution of the Population, Trends 1980 - 2000



[^0]Figure 2.2b. Trends in Population Distribution by Race and Geographic Region for Asians and Hispanics, 1980, 1990, and 2000


Source: Appendix 2.2

- The percentage of Whites in the population decreased whereas the percentages of African Americans, Asians, and Hispanics increased in each of the geographic areas from 1980 to 2000.
- The share of Hispanics in the nation's population grew rapidly in the last two decades while the share of Whites declined rapidly.
- The Asian population in the city and the nation increased substantially while there were smaller increases in the county and region.


## Age Distribution

The age distribution of a population can have immense implications for the types of education and other services needed. Age distribution tends to vary greatly by race/ethnicity and geographic area. Higher percentages of Whites, African Americans, and Hispanics are elderly in the region than in the nation.


Source: Appendix 2.1


## Source: Appendix 2.1

## Age Distribution continued



Source: Appendix 2.1


## Source: Appendix 2.1

## Age Distribution continued

- In the city of Pittsburgh about one-third of the African American population is under age 18, whereas in the county, Pittsburgh region, and the nation about one-third of the African American population and one-third of the Hispanic population are under age 18. The relative youthfulness of the African American and Hispanic populations has important implications for educational, recreational, and other youth-related programs and services.
- As a share of their total population, Asians in the city, county, region, and nation have the largest working-age population of any of the racial/ethnic groups. College students are counted in this age group, which could account for part of the high share.
- As a share of their total population, Whites in the city, county, region, and nation have the largest elderly population of any of the racial/ethnic groups. In fact, the White elderly population in the city is larger than the White youth population. The relatively large number of White elderly suggests that aging-related social programs might be an important area for consideration among policy makers and service providers.
- In the nation, Hispanics have the highest share of youth and smallest share of elderly.


## Families With Children

Family type can have a large effect on children. Specifically, two-parent families tend to have more economic and non-economic resources to care for children than single-parent families. The distribution of family types among families with children under age 18 varies greatly among racial and ethnic groups in the U.S.


Source: Appendix 2.3

- In the city, county, and region, less than a third of African American families with children are married couple families compared to more than half of Hispanic families, two-thirds of White families, and more than four out of five Asian families with children.
- In the Pittsburgh area as well as in the nation, Asians consistently have the highest percentage of married couple families among families with children under age 18, and African Americans have the lowest percentage.
- The percentage of White two-parent families is about the same in the county (76\%), MSA (77\%), and the U.S. (76\%). However, the percentage of White two-parent families in the city $(67 \%)$ is noticeably lower.
- The percentage of African American two-parent families in the Pittsburgh area is much lower than in the nation.

Families With Children continued


Source: Appendix 2.3

- The majority of African American families with children under age 18 are single femaleheaded in the Pittsburgh area and the nation.
- Asian families with children under age 18 have the lowest rates of single female-headed families in the Pittsburgh area and the nation.
- The percentages of single female-headed White, African American, and Hispanic families with children under age 18 are higher in the city than in the county, region, and nation.
- The nation has a higher percentage of Asian single female-headed families than the Pittsburgh area.

Families With Children continued


Source: Appendix 2.3

- In each geographic area, only a small percentage of White, African American, Asian and Hispanic families with children under age 18 are single male-headed.
- African Americans and Hispanics in the Pittsburgh area and the nation have a higher percentage of single male-headed families among families with children under age 18 than do Whites and Asians. Asians have the smallest percentage of single male-headed families.
- The percentages of White, African American, Asian, and Hispanic single male-headed families in the Pittsburgh area are similar to those in the nation.


## Marital Status

Marital status can affect an individual's emotional and economic well-being. It can also have an impact on the health of and educational outcomes for children and can be used to determine eligibility for some social services. In the nation as well as the Pittsburgh area, the percentage of married people varies among different racial and ethnic groups.


Source: Appendix 2.4-2.7

- Asian females in each geographic area are married at higher rates than women in other racial and ethnic groups. About two-thirds of Asian women age 15 and over in the Pittsburgh MSA are married.
- African American women have the lowest marriage rate in the Pittsburgh area and the nation. Less than one-quarter of African American women age 15 and over in the city of Pittsburgh are married.
- Whites, African Americans, and Hispanics have lower marriage rates in the Pittsburgh area than in the nation.

Marital Status continued


Source: Appendix 2.4-2.7

- White females have lower 'never married' percentages than other racial and ethnic groups in each of the four geographic areas.
- Of all the racial and ethnic groups in the county, the Pittsburgh MSA and the U.S., African Americans have the highest percentage of women who have 'never married. However in the city, African Americans, Asians, and Hispanics have similar percentages of women who were never married.
- The percentage of White women in the city who were never married is noticeably higher than in the county, the Pittsburgh MSA, and the U.S.
- The Pittsburgh area has higher shares of White, African American, Asian and Hispanic women who were never married than does the nation.

Marital Status continued


Source: Appendix 2.4-2.7

- White men are more likely to be married than African American, Asian, and Hispanic men in the city, county, the Pittsburgh MSA, and the U.S.
- The majority of White men in the county, the Pittsburgh MSA, and the nation are married. However, White men in the city are married at noticeably lower rates than those in the county, the Pittsburgh MSA, and the U.S.
- The majority of African American men in all four geographic areas are not married.
- Although only about one-third of Hispanic men in the city are married, in the nation over half of them are married.

Marital Status continued


Source: Appendix 2.4-2.7

- White males have lower 'never married' rates than African American, Asian, and Hispanic males in the city, county, Pittsburgh MSA, and nation.
- White men in the city of Pittsburgh are more likely to be never married than White men in the county, Pittsburgh MSA, and nation.
- The percentage of African American men who have never been married is roughly comparable across the geographic areas.
- Asians and Hispanics in the city have the highest percentages of never married men while African Americans, Asians, and Hispanics have the highest rates in the county, Pittsburgh MSA and nation.


## Multiracial Children

Although we have no data on interracial marriage for the Pittsburgh area, a proxy indicator is multiracial children as a percent of all children. The percentage of multiracial children in the population can be viewed as an indicator of its racial and ethnic diversity. It may also suggest how tolerant people of different races are of each other and the prevalence of interracial marriage. In the U.S., multiracial children make up about $4 \%$ of the child population.


Source: Appendix 2.8

- Multiracial children make up 3.5\% of all children in the city of Pittsburgh, 2.4\% in Allegheny County, and $2.1 \%$ in the Pittsburgh MSA.
- The share of multiracial children in the nation (4.1\%) is higher than in the region. This is to be expected as Whites are a much higher share and non-Whites are a much smaller share of the total population in the Pittsburgh area than in the U.S.


## SECTION 3. <br> EDUCATION

Educational attainment is critical for economic opportunities and quality of life. Higher levels of education tend to correspond with better employment, increased income, greater wealth, improved health, and lower rates of poverty.

In this section we present data on racial and ethnic disparities in education in the Pittsburgh area and the nation. The topics covered are:

- Public elementary and secondary school enrollment
- Reading and math skills
- High school diploma recipients
- Bachelor degrees conferred
- Education attainment


## Public Elementary and Secondary School Enrollment

Public school enrollment reflects racial and ethnic diversity among the youth population and racial and ethnic differences in access to schools.


Source: Appendix 3.1

- The majority of students enrolled in public schools in the city of Pittsburgh are African American, whereas the majority of students in Allegheny County, the Pittsburgh MSA, and the U.S. are White.
- The highest percentage of White enrollment in public pre-kindergarten to grade 12 is in the Pittsburgh MSA (85\%) while the lowest percentage is in the city ( $39 \%$ ).
- Asians and Hispanics each comprise 2\% or less of students enrolled in the Pittsburgh area but have substantially higher shares of enrollment in the nation.


## Reading and Math Skills

Reading and math are basic skills required to function in American society. Performance on standardized reading and math tests is often used to indicate academic ability and achievement. Academic ability and achievement are key predictors of future social and economic mobility.


Source: Appendix 3.2
Note: Asian and Hispanic data are not available.

- Recent data from Pennsylvania System of School Assessment (PSSA) tests reveal that significantly higher percentages of White students than African American students in $5^{\text {th }}, 8^{\text {th }}$ and $11^{\text {th }}$ grades achieved proficiency in reading.
- Among White students, a higher percentage scored at the level proficient or above in $8^{\text {th }}$ grade (74\%) than in grades 5 (61\%) or 11 ( $63 \%$ ).
- Similarly, a higher percentage of African American $8^{\text {th }}$ graders ( $44 \%$ ) than $5^{\text {th }}(33 \%)$ or $11^{\text {th }}$ graders (33\%) achieved or exceeded proficiency in reading.

Reading and Math Skills continued


Source: Appendix 3.2
Note: Asian and Hispanic data are not available.

- Consistent with the data on reading, PSSA data also show that substantially larger percentages of White students than African American students score at or above the proficient level in math. White $5^{\text {th }}(63 \%)$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ graders $(61 \%)$ achieved proficient and above math scores at higher rates than White $11^{\text {th }}$ graders ( $52 \%$ ).
- Among African American students, fewer than one third of $5^{\text {th }}$ graders (29\%) and only about a quarter of $8^{\text {th }}(26 \%)$ and one-fifth of $11^{\text {th }}$ graders $(20 \%)$ achieved proficiency and above in math.


## Reading and Math Skills continued



Source: Appendix 3.3

- A different test is used in the U.S. than in Pennsylvania to assess basic skills proficiency. Nationally, White and Asian $4^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ graders consistently score higher than African American and Hispanic students in reading.
- White students in grade 4 performed similarly to White students in grade $8: 41 \%$ of White $4^{\text {th }}$ graders and $39 \%$ of White $8^{\text {th }}$ graders scored proficient or above in reading.
- Among African Americans, just $13 \%$ of $4^{\text {th }}$ graders and $12 \%$ of $8^{\text {th }}$ graders scored at the proficient or advanced level in reading.
- Asian $4^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ graders had levels of achievement in reading comparable to those of White students.
- Among Hispanic students, only $16 \%$ of $4^{\text {th }}$ graders and $15 \%$ of $8^{\text {th }}$ graders performed at or above a proficient level in reading.


## Reading and Math Skills continued



Source: Appendix 3.3

- Across the nation, approximately half or fewer of American young people achieved or exceeded proficiency in math.
- The percentage of students who achieved proficiency in math is highest among Asian students, somewhat lower among White students, substantially lower among Hispanic students, and lowest among African American students.
- Within the racial/ethnic groups, higher percentages of $4^{\text {th }}$ graders than $8^{\text {th }}$ graders scored at the proficient level or above in math.


## High School Diploma Recipients

Higher education institutions and most jobs require a high school degree. Students who do not graduate from high school are likely to have serious social and economic problems. In addition, a well-educated population is crucial for a competitive and productive workforce.


Source: Appendix 3.4
Note: Asian and Hispanic data are not available.

- Figure 3.6 shows trends in the number of students by race and gender in the Pittsburgh Public Schools who received high school diplomas. Consistent with the loss of residents in the city of Pittsburgh, the data indicate that the number of White and African American high school diploma recipients was lower in 2003-04 than five years earlier. The biggest drop was among White females. In 1998-99, 602 White females graduated from high school compared to 485 in 2003-04.
- Despite the fact that the majority of students enrolled in Pittsburgh Public Schools are African American, the majority of high school diplomas are awarded to Whites.
- The number of African American girls graduating from high school increased substantially from 482 in 2002-03 to 546 in 2003-04.
- Over the past five years, the number of African American men in Allegheny County who received high school diplomas has been much lower than the number of African American women, White men, or White women.


## High School Diploma Recipients continued



Source: Appendix 3.4
Note: Asian and Hispanic data are not available.

- The number of White male and female and African American female students who graduated from high school in Allegheny County increased slightly in recent years.

High School Diploma Recipients continued


Source: Appendix 3.4

- Consistent with the findings for the county, many more White students graduate from high school than African American, Asian and Hispanic students in the Pittsburgh MSA.
- The number of White male and female, public and private high school diploma recipients increased in the Pittsburgh MSA in recent years. More White men graduate each year in the region than White women.
- The number of African American male and female diploma recipients slightly declined or remained steady in the Pittsburgh MSA. More African American women than men graduate each year in the region.

High School Diploma Recipients continued


Source: Appendix 3.4

- In recent years the number of Whites and African Americans who received high school diplomas in the nation has increased significantly.
- The number of Asians and Hispanics receiving high school diplomas increased in recent years.


## Bachelor's Degrees Conferred

College graduates have much better economic outcomes, on average, than people without a college degree. For example, college graduates generally have higher incomes, greater amounts of wealth, and lower rates of poverty and unemployment.

In the United States, about 1.3 million bachelor degrees are awarded each year (Appendix 3.5). Because of the large number of colleges and universities in the Pittsburgh area, a relatively large number of four-year college degrees are awarded in the region (about 15,000 annually in Allegheny County).


Source: Appendix 3.5

- White men receive a high percentage of the bachelor's degrees awarded in both the county and the U.S. In the county each year, White men receive $34 \%$ of the degrees awarded, while African American men receive $2 \%$, Asian men $3 \%$, and Hispanic men $1 \%$. The nation's percentages are similar.


## Bachelor's Degrees Conferred continued

Figure 3.11. Female Shares of Bachelor Degrees Awarded by Race in Allegheny County and the United States, 2002


## Source: Appendix 3.5

- White women receive many more bachelor's degrees than African American, Asian, and Hispanic women in the county and the U.S.
- African American women receive a higher percentage of bachelor's degrees than Asian and Hispanic women in the county and the U.S.


## Educational Attainment

A high school degree is often necessary for even low-paying jobs. Higher levels of education are usually associated with higher incomes, higher standards of living, and above average health. In the U.S. educational attainment has increased for all major racial and ethnic groups over the last several decades. In addition, the educational attainment gap between races is decreasing.


Source: Appendix 3.6

- African Americans and Hispanics across the Pittsburgh region and the U.S. are more likely than Whites and Asians to have less than a high school degree.
- African Americans in the city and nation are more likely to have less than a high school degree than African Americans in the county and the Pittsburgh MSA.
- African Americans in the Pittsburgh area have lower rates of not having a high school degree than African Americans in the nation.
- Whites in the city of Pittsburgh have a higher rate of not having a high school degree than Whites in the nation.


## Educational Attainment continued



## Source: Appendix 3.6

- Whites, followed closely by African Americans, are more likely to have only a high school degree. Meanwhile, Asians are less likely than any other racial/ethnic group to have only a high school degree.
- The percentage of Whites with only a high school degree is higher in the Pittsburgh area than in the nation.
- The percentage of African Americans with only a high school degree is higher in the Pittsburgh area than in the nation.
- Asians in the nation are more than twice as likely as Asians in the Pittsburgh area to have only a high school degree.

Educational Attainment continued


Source: Appendix 3.6

- In all of the geographic areas, higher shares of African Americans than Whites, Asians and Hispanics have some college, but no degree. Of all the races, Asians are the least likely to have some college and no degree.
- The percentage of Whites with some college, no degree in the U.S. is slightly higher than in the region.
- African Americans across the four geographic areas are equally likely to have some college, no degree.
- Asians in the U.S. are more than twice as likely as Asians in the Pittsburgh area to have some college, no degree.
- Hispanics across the four geographic areas are about equally likely to have some college, no degree.


## Educational Attainment continued



Source: Appendix 3.6

- A small share of all adults age 25 and over has only an associate degree. However, in the city, county and the Pittsburgh MSA, a slightly higher percentage of African American adults compared to other racial/ethnic groups has only an associate degree.
- In the city, county and the MSA, Asians have the smallest percentage of adults age 25 and over with only an associate degree. The percentage of Asians in the Pittsburgh area with associate degrees is slightly lower that the percent of Asians in the nation with associate degrees.


## Educational Attainment continued



Source: Appendix 3.6

- Across all of the geographic areas, Asians have the highest percentage of adults age 25 and above whose highest educational attainment is a bachelor's degree.
- Interestingly, in the Pittsburgh region, the percentage of Hispanics age 25 and above with only a college degree is as high or higher than the percentage of Whites in the region with only a college degree.
- African Americans in all geographic areas, except the U.S., have the smallest percentage of adults with only a bachelor's degree.
- The share of Whites possessing only a bachelor's degree is slightly lower in the city ( $15 \%$ ) than in Allegheny County (20\%), Pittsburgh MSA (16\%), and the U.S. (17\%).


## Educational Attainment continued



Source: Appendix 3.6

- In the Pittsburgh region, Asian and Hispanic adults are much more likely than White or African American adults to have earned a graduate or professional degree.
- Asians in the Pittsburgh area are much more highly educated than Asians in the U.S.
- Among the racial and ethnic groups, African Americans have the lowest percentage of adults age 25 and over with graduate or professional degrees in the city, county, and the Pittsburgh MSA. In the U.S. the percentage of African Americans with graduate and professional degrees is similar to that of Hispanics.
- The percentage of Whites age 25 and over with a graduate or professional degree in the city (14\%) is slightly higher than that of Whites in the county (12\%), the Pittsburgh MSA (9\%), and the United States (10\%).


## SECTION 4. ECONOMIC DISPARITIES

Employment, income, and the accumulation of wealth are critical for quality of life. Substantial racial disparities in key economic indicators and predictors of life quality continue to be a serious problem for the Pittsburgh area and the nation. This section summarizes recent data on:

- Homeownership
- Unemployment
- Occupations
- Income
- Poverty
- Transportation


## Homeownership

Homeownership is an essential part of the "American Dream". Homeownership provides many benefits to families, children, and communities. These benefits include wealth building, tax reductions, a source of equity for education and other major expenses, a stable residential population, and greater neighborhood safety. Although the number of minorities owning homes has increased over the years, the nation is still far from achieving racial parity in homeownership.


Source: Appendix 4.1

- Homeownership is lower in the city of Pittsburgh than in the other geographic areas for all of the racial and ethnic groups.
- Whites are significantly more likely than African Americans, Asians, or Hispanics to own their homes across all of the geographic areas.
- In the Pittsburgh region, Asians have the lowest homeownership rates in the city, county, and the Pittsburgh MSA. Only in the U.S. does the percentage of Asians owning homes exceed that of African Americans and Hispanics.


## Unemployment

Employment typically provides income, health and retirement benefits, and a sense of stability, satisfaction, and purpose. A lack of employment can result in serious financial, physical, and mental strain on individuals, families, and communities. Unemployment rates show the extent to which adults who are seeking work are not able to find it. In the Pittsburgh region and in the nation as a whole there are striking racial disparities in rates of unemployment.


Source: Appendix 4.2

- Within each of the racial/ethnic groups, male unemployment rates are higher in the city of Pittsburgh than in the county, the MSA, and the nation.
- In the city of Pittsburgh, African American, Asian, and Hispanic men are twice as likely as White men to be unemployed.
- African American men have higher unemployment rates than men in the other major racial and ethnic groups. In fact, in the Pittsburgh area and the nation the African American male unemployment rate is two to three times the White male unemployment rate.


## Section 4. Economic Disparities

Unemployment continued


Source: Appendix 4.3

- Unemployment rates for White, African American, and Asian women are higher in the city of Pittsburgh than in the county, MSA, or nation.
- Across the four geographic areas, White female unemployment rates are lower than those of other women. African American and Asian women have higher unemployment rates than White and Hispanic women in the city, county and the Pittsburgh MSA. In fact, African American female unemployment rates are often more than twice White female rates.
- Unlike the rates for women in the other racial groups, unemployment rates for Hispanic women in the Pittsburgh region are below the national average.


## Section 4. Economic Disparities

## Occupations

The occupations of employed workers affect the quality of their jobs, particularly wages, salary, and fringe benefits. Most Americans are employed in five categories: management/professional, service, sales/office, construction/maintenance, and production/transportation. In general, management and professional positions offer higher salaries, better benefits, less physically strenuous work, and more favorable work environments than other jobs.


Source: Appendix 4.4


Source: Appendix 4.4

Section 4. Economic Disparities


Source: Appendix 4.4


## Source: Appendix 4.4

- The largest proportions of White, Asian, and Hispanic workers are employed in management and professional positions in the city, county, and the Pittsburgh MSA, and African Americans are distributed almost equally across management and professional, service, and sales/office positions.
- Asians, much more than the other racial/ethnic groups, are concentrated in management and professional positions in all of the geographic areas.
- Construction/maintenance and production/transportation positions are a relatively small proportion of the jobs in the city, county, and the Pittsburgh MSA and are largely filled by Whites, African Americans, and Hispanics.
- African Americans in the nation are employed most in service, sales/office occupations, management/professional, and production/transportation occupations and least in construction/ maintenance occupations.


## Income

Income largely determines the standard of living in the Pittsburgh area where the cost of living is similar to that of most urban areas in the U.S. In the region and nation, there are striking racial disparities in income.


Source: Appendix 4.5

- In the city of Pittsburgh the median income of White households exceeds that of African Americans, Asians, and Hispanics by more than $\$ 10,000$.
- Asians have the highest median household income in the county, Pittsburgh MSA, and U.S.
- Asians have about twice the median household income of African Americans in the county, MSA and nation.
- African Americans have the lowest household income in each geographic area, and African Americans in the city of Pittsburgh have lower median household incomes than African Americans in the other geographic areas.
- Whites in Allegheny County have a higher median household income than Whites in the city or region.
- Whites, African Americans, and Asians in the Pittsburgh area have lower median household incomes than the same racial/ethnic groups in the nation.


## Income continued

Total buying power for a racial or ethnic group can affect a group's economic impact, political power, and amount of attention received from businesses, such as the types of products and services provided and advertising and marketing to the group. Aggregate income of each racial/ ethnic group is used below to measure buying power. Aggregate income is the sum of the income of all individuals age 15 and over in a given geographic area.

Table 4.1. Aggregate Income for the Population Age 15 and Over in 1999

| Aggregate <br> Income in <br> 1999 <br> (Dollars) | Pittsburgh <br> City | Allegheny <br> County | Pittsburgh <br> MSA | United States |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$⿻$| Total | $6,295,100,300$ | $28,826,174,900$ | $49,379,003,400$ | $6,074,932,742,500$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| White, non- <br> Hispanic | $4,901,516,300$ | $25,813,110,600$ | $45,637,126,900$ | $4,827,736,924,300$ |
| African <br> American | $1,106,099,500$ | $2,068,733,700$ | $2,483,359,900$ | $496,091,715,700$ |
| Asian | $143,721,400$ | $547,335,100$ | $669,228,200$ | $221,976,262,700$ |
| Hispanic | $76,006,000$ | $194,716,500$ | $285,327,100$ | $426,780,479,600$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau (2000). Summary File 3, P158: Aggregate Income in 1999 (Dollars) for Population 15 Years and Over.

- Whites have more buying power than African Americans, Asians, and Hispanics combined in the Pittsburgh area and the U.S.
- Whites in 1999 had buying power of about $\$ 5$ billion in the city, $\$ 26$ billion in the county, $\$ 46$ billion in the region, and $\$ 4.8$ trillion in the nation.
- African Americans, after Whites, in 1999 had the next largest amount of buying power: $\$ 1.1$ billion in the city, $\$ 2.1$ billion in the county, $\$ 2.5$ billion in the region, and $\$ 500$ billion in the nation.
- Asians and Hispanics have buying power of hundreds of millions of dollars in the Pittsburgh area and hundreds of billions in the nation.


## Income continued



Source: Table 4.1 above.

- In the city of Pittsburgh Whites are $67 \%$ of the population and have $78 \%$ of the aggregate income while African Americans are $27 \%$ of the population and have $18 \%$ of the income.
- Whites in the county and Pittsburgh MSA have at least $90 \%$ of the aggregate income while all other groups together have $10 \%$ or less.
- In the nation Whites have $79 \%$ of the aggregate income while all other groups have a total of $21 \%$.


## Poverty

People in poverty often lack income for basic needs, such as a nutritious diet, health care, decent housing, safe neighborhoods, high performing schools, quality child care, and reliable transportation. In spite of America's prosperity, there are still many people -- particularly people of color -- in poverty.

Figure 4.10. Poverty Status by Race and Ethnicity, 1999


Source: Appendix 4.6

- African Americans, Asians, and Hispanics are much more likely than Whites to be poor.
- African Americans in the Pittsburgh area and the U.S. have the highest poverty rates. In fact, African American poverty rates in the county, region, and nation are more than three times White rates, and the African American rate in the city is more than two times the White rate.
- White poverty rates in the city are noticeably higher than White rates in the nation. White rates in the county and Pittsburgh MSA are comparable to White rates in the nation.
- Asian poverty rates in the Pittsburgh area are higher than national rates.
- Hispanic poverty rates in the city are higher than in the nation while Hispanic rates in the county and Pittsburgh MSA are lower than national rates.


## Transportation

Transportation is important for seeking work, working, getting an education, and obtaining food, health care, and child care. Large disparities by race and ethnicity exist in the use of public transportation and ownership of a motor vehicle.


Source: Appendix 4.7

- African American workers in the Pittsburgh area depend on public transportation to go to work much more than White, Asian, and Hispanic workers. In fact, African Americans are 2-5 times as likely as Whites to use public transportation to go to work in the Pittsburgh area.
- Whites use public transportation at much lower rates than the other racial/ethnic groups.
- Whites, African Americans, Asians, and Hispanics in the city use public transportation more than Whites, African Americans, Asians, and Hispanics in the other geographic areas.


## Transportation continued

Another indicator of dependence on public transportation is the percent of households which do not own a motor vehicle.


Source: Appendix 4.8

- African Americans are more likely to be without a motor vehicle than Whites, Asians, and Hispanics. Whites are the least likely to be without a motor vehicle. In fact, the percent of African American households without a motor vehicle in the Pittsburgh area and the nation is 2-4 times that of Whites.
- Whites, African Americans, Asians, and Hispanics in the city are much more likely not to have a motor vehicle than the same groups in the other geographic areas.
- The county and the Pittsburgh MSA have higher percentages of Whites, African Americans, Asians, and Hispanics who do not own a motor vehicle than the nation.


## SECTION 5. <br> INTERGROUP RELATIONS

As our society becomes increasingly diverse, it is important that we study how different racial/ ethnic groups tolerate, accept, and respect each other. While one may agree that the growing diversity in America should be accompanied by increased intergroup relations, there is still notable evidence of overt expressions of racial intolerance and negative views of people of different racial/ ethnic backgrounds. In this section we examine:

- Residential segregation
- Racial attitudes

One of the most commonly examined indicators of intergroup relations is the extent to which people of different racial/ethnic groups do or do not live in close geographic proximity to one another. Census tracts are the geographic units most often examined for a community's level of residential integration, or alternatively, segregation. Census tracts are relatively small areas that typically comprise between 1,000 and 8,000 people. In the year 2000, there were 140 census tracts in the city of Pittsburgh, 416 in Allegheny County, and 695 in the Pittsburgh MSA. In this section we examine the most widely used measure of residential segregation-the index of dissimilarity.

The index of dissimilarity measures the evenness with which two groups are distributed within a particular geographic area. Specifically, the dissimilarity index means the percent of each minority group that would have to move for all census tracts to have that minority group distributed the same as Whites.

## Section 5. Intergroup Relations

## Residential Segregation continued



Source: Appendix 5.1
Note: The dissimilarity index means the percent of each minority group that would have to move for all census tracts to have that minority group distributed the same as Whites.

- African Americans are the most segregated minority group in the city and the Pittsburgh MSA. Hispanics are the least segregated.
- Two-thirds (67\%) of African Americans would have to relocate for African Americans and Whites to be equally distributed in the city or region. A dissimilarity index of 60 or above is considered very high segregation.
- One-half of Asians and one-third of Hispanics in the city and the Pittsburgh MSA would have to relocate for each group to be evenly distributed in relation to Whites.


## Racial Attitudes

Examining racial attitudes can expose the extent and nature of prejudice. It also helps to determine the level of popular support for policies or efforts to assist disadvantaged minority groups.

The figures below present data from a quality of life study of Allegheny County conducted by the University Center for Social and Urban Research (UCSUR) at the University of Pittsburgh. The data were collected via a telephone survey of county residents between February and April of 2003. A total of 330 Whites and 81 African Americans responded to the survey.


Source: Appendix 5.2

- Small proportions of Allegheny County residents ( $6 \%$ of African Americans and $4 \%$ of Whites) see race relations as a serious problem in their neighborhood.
- African Americans are much more likely than Whites in Allegheny County to perceive severe race-related problems in the region and nation. About half of the county's African American residents and one-fifth of its White residents believe that race relations is a severe problem in the region and nation.

Racial Attitudes continued


Source: Appendix 5.2

- There are large racial disparities in the county in perceptions about the extent to which African Americans are treated less fairly than Whites. African Americans much more than Whites feel that African Americans are treated less fairly at work, on public transportation, by police, and in stores/malls, restaurants, bars, and theaters.
- The majority of African Americans and Whites in the county believe that African Americans are mistreated most by police ( $87 \%$ of African American and $58 \%$ of White residents). The majority of African Americans, but not Whites, also believe that African Americans are treated less fairly at work and in stores/malls.
- Both African Americans and Whites believe that African Americans are least likely to be treated less fairly than Whites on public transportation ( $20 \%$ of African American and $10 \%$ of White residents in the county).

Racial Attitudes continued


Source: Appendix 5.2

- About half of the county's African American population reports being victims of unfair treatment or discrimination in a store within the last six months.
- About one-third of the county's African American population reports being victims of unfair treatment or discrimination by the police and being victims of unfair treatment or discrimination in a restaurant, bar, or theater within the last six months.
- One-fifth of the county's African American population reports being victims of unfair treatment or discrimination at work within the last six months.
- A small proportion of African Americans (6\%) report being victims of unfair treatment or discrimination on public transportation.


## Racial Attitudes continued



Source: Appendix 5.2

- There are large race differences in perceptions of the ways in which police treat people. The vast majority ( $85 \%$ ) of Whites agrees that the police in their community treat citizens of all races fairly and equitably. Less than half ( $46 \%$ ) of African Americans believe that citizens of all races in their community are being treated fairly and equitably by police.

Racial Attitudes continued


Source: Appendix 5.2

- One in three African Americans (32\%) believes that he or she has been treated unfairly for reasons other than his or her race. Similar data for Whites are not available.


## Racial Attitudes continued



Source: Appendix 5.2

- African Americans are 3.5 times more likely than Whites to know someone who was treated unfairly or discriminated against in the last six months because he or she is African American.


## Racial Attitudes continued



Source: Appendix 5.2

- African Americans are more likely than White residents to know someone who was discriminated against for reasons other than race.
- A substantial number of the county's White residents (nearly one-third) report knowing someone who experienced discrimination for reasons other than race.


## SECTION 6. MENTAL HEALTH

This portion of the report reviews discrepancies between Whites and African Americans in Allegheny County with regard to mental health. A plethora of studies have documented the correlation between mental and physical health, and even mental health and income (Ettner, 1995). In this section we will examine two main topics:

- Mental Health Status in Allegheny County
- Utilization of Services in Pittsburgh and Allegheny County


## Mental Health Status in Allegheny County

Figures 6.1-6.4 contain data from a 2004 Behavioral Risk Factor household survey in Allegheny County, which measured depression rates among Whites and African Americans by gender, income, and education. Figure 6.1 also contains comparable national data. Note that county data for a racial or ethnic group are provided only if the count is 10 or more cases.


Source: Appendix 6.1 and Ravi Sharma (Graduate School of Public Health, University of Pittsburgh) and Joan Epstein, Peggy Barker, Michael Vorburger, and Christine Murtha. 2002. Serious Mental IIIness and Its Co-Occurrence with Substance Use Disorders. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration.

- African Americans in Allegheny County have higher rates of serious mental illness than Whites in the county.
- Whites and African Americans in the nation have similar rates of serious mental illness.
- White rates of serious mental illness are similar in the county and the nation.
- The African American rate for serious mental illness in the county $(10.9 \%)$ is higher than the African American rate (8.8\%) in the nation.


## Mental Health Status continued



## Source: Appendix 6.1

- African American women and men are more likely than White women and men to have serious mental illness.
- White female and male rates of serious mental illness are similar in the county.


## Mental Health Status continued



Source: Appendix 6.1. Note that the percent for African Americans with household incomes greater than $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 , 0 0 0}$ is not shown because the number of cases with serious mental illness is less than ten.

- African Americans with household incomes of $\$ 25,000$ or less are more likely than Whites at the same income level to have serious mental illness.
- Whites with household incomes of $\$ 25,000$ or less are more likely than higher income Whites to have serious mental illness.


## Mental Health Status continued



Source: Appendix 6.1. Note that the percent for African Americans with college degrees is not shown because the number of cases with serious mental illness is less than ten.

- African Americans with a high school diploma or less and African Americans with some college are more likely than Whites with similar educational attainment to have serious mental illness.


## Utilization of Services in Pittsburgh and Allegheny County

Local data on the utilization of mental health services are important since little local information on mental health status is available. The data below reflect the utilization of mental health services from the Department of Human Services (DHS) in 2005 by race for the city of Pittsburgh and Allegheny County. The data include persons who received mental health services, drug abuse services, and both mental health and drug abuse services.



Source: Appendix 6.2

- In the city of Pittsburgh African Americans and whites are each about half of the mental health, drug abuse, and mental health/drug abuse clients who receive services provided by DHS.
- African Americans are overrepresented in the utilization of DHS services in the city of Pittsburgh since African Americans make up $50 \%$ or more of the clients but only $27 \%$ of the population.
- Only a small portion of mental health and drug abuse clients in the city of Pittsburgh who use the services provided by DHS are Asian.


## Utilization of Services continued



Figure 6.6b. Percent of Clients of the Department of Human Services in Allegheny County by Race, 2005

$\square$ White $\square$ African American $\square$ Asian
Source: Appendix 6.2
Note: Data for Allegheny County excludes data for the city of Pittsburgh.

- More than three times as many Whites as African Americans are treated for mental illness in the county each year. Since Whites make up $83.8 \%$ of the population in Allegheny County and African Americans only make up 12.4\%, African Americans are overrepresented among those treated for mental illness.
- Whites represent $71 \%$ of clients receiving drug abuse treatment in the county, while African Americans account for $28 \%$ of the clients. African Americans are overrepresented among clients that receive treatment for drug abuse in the county.
- $69 \%$ of clients in the county receiving both mental health and drug abuse services are White, while 30\% are African American.
- Asians are a small portion of mental health and drug abuse clients in the county.


## SECTION 7. CRIMINAL JUSTICE

Having reliable, quality data on crime and criminal justice is essential to inform government decisions that promote justice and prevent crime. There are striking disparities in arrest and victimization rates in the region. In this section we explore recent data on race differences in involvement in the criminal justice system in Pittsburgh, Allegheny County, the Pittsburgh MSA, and the United States. Specifically, we examine data on:

- Arrests
- Murder Victims


## Arrests

Data on arrest rates can help to show if the criminal justice system is biased towards any particular racial or ethnic group. Here we present data on arrest rates for violent crime, murder, property crime, and drug violations for four geographic regions.



Notes: White and African American data may include Hispanic. U.S. data are from 2002. U.S. data for Hispanics are not available.
Source: Appendices 7.1-7.8

- White juveniles and adults have a higher share of total arrests than African American juveniles and adults in each of the geographic areas except the city.
- White juveniles in the U.S. have a higher share of arrests than White juveniles in the region.
- African American juveniles and adults have a higher share of arrests in the city than in any of the other three geographic areas. In addition, African Americans comprise a higher share of juvenile arrests than they do adult arrests in the Pittsburgh area. In the nation African American shares of juvenile and adult arrests are similar.
- Asian and Hispanic juveniles and adults represent very small shares of arrests in the Pittsburgh area and the nation.


## Arrests continued




Notes: White and African American data may include Hispanics. U.S. data are from 2002. U.S. data are not available for Hispanics.
Source: Appendix 7.1-7.8

- African American juveniles and adults in the region and nation have higher arrest rates than White, Asian, and Hispanic juveniles and adults.
- White juveniles have lower arrest rates than White adults in the region and the U.S.
- African American juveniles have lower arrest rates than African American adults in the region and the U.S.
- Asian and Hispanic juveniles and adults have low arrest rates in the region, and Asian juveniles and adults have low arrest rates in the nation.
- The region has higher arrest rates for African American juveniles and adults than the nation.


## Arrests continued

Crime Index offenses are serious transgressions that include murder and non-negligent manslaughter, forcible rape, robbery, aggravated assault, burglary, larceny-theft, motor vehicle theft, and arson.



Notes: White and African American data may include Hispanics. U.S. data are from 2002. U.S. data are not available for Hispanics.
Source: Appendices 7.1-7.8

- African American juveniles and adults have substantially higher percentages of Crime Index arrests than White juveniles and adults in the city. However, White juveniles and adults have a higher share of Crime Index arrests than African Americans in the nation.
- White juveniles in the nation have a higher share of Crime Index arrests than White juveniles in the region. Conversely, White adults in the Pittsburgh MSA have a higher share of Crime Index arrests than White adults in the nation.
- African American juveniles in the Pittsburgh area have much higher shares of total juvenile arrests for Crime Index offenses than African American juveniles in the nation.
- Asians and Hispanics have low percentages of Crime Index arrests in the area and in the nation.


## Arrests continued




Notes: White and African American data may include Hispanics. U.S. data are from 2002. U.S. data are not available for Hispanics.
Source: Appendices 7.1-7.8

- African American juveniles and adults have higher Crime Index arrest rates than juveniles and adults of any other race/ethnicity.
- White juveniles in the U.S. have higher Crime Index arrest rates than White juveniles in the Pittsburgh area. However, White adults in the Pittsburgh area are arrested at similar rates as White adults in the nation.
- African American adults and juveniles in the Pittsburgh area have a higher Crime Index arrest rate than African American adults and juveniles in the U.S.
- Asian Crime Index arrest rates in the area are similar to Asian Crime Index arrest rates in the nation.


## Arrests continued

Violent crime refers to murder, non-negligent manslaughter, forcible rape, robbery, and aggravated assault.


Figure 7.5b. Percent of Violent Crime Arrests for Adults Age 18 and Over by Race, 2004


Notes: White and African American data may include Hispanics. U.S. data are from 2002. U.S. data are not available for Hispanics.
Source: Appendices 7.1-7.8

- African American juveniles represent the majority of violent crime arrests in the Pittsburgh area, while Whites are the majority of these arrests in the nation.
- African American adults represent the majority of violent crime arrests in the city of Pittsburgh and Allegheny County, while Whites are the majority of these arrests in the Pittsburgh MSA and the nation.
- White juveniles have smaller shares of arrests for violent crimes than White adults do.
- African American juveniles have higher shares of arrests for violent crimes than African American adults do.


## Arrests continued




Notes: White and African American data may include Hispanics. U.S. data are from 2002. U.S. data are not available for Hispanics.
Source: Appendices 7.1-7.8

- African American juveniles and adults are arrested for violent crimes at higher rates than White, Asian and Hispanic juveniles and adults.
- White adults in the U.S. have a higher rate of violent crime arrests than White adults in the city, county and the Pittsburgh MSA. Meanwhile, the violent crime arrest rate for White juveniles and adults is similar in the city, county, and the Pittsburgh MSA.
- The violent crime arrest rate for African American juveniles is higher than the rate for African American adults in the city, county, and the Pittsburgh MSA. In addition, African American juveniles are arrested at a higher rate in the city than in the county, the Pittsburgh MSA or the U.S.


## Arrests continued

Murder and non-negligent manslaughter refer to the unlawful killing of a person by another. Murder and non-negligent manslaughter are identified based on police investigation as opposed to any decision of a court, coroner, jury, or other judicial body. Data are reported for murder and non-negligent manslaughter together.


Note: White and African American may include Hispanic.
Source: Appendices 7.1-7.8

- All of the juveniles arrested for murder and non-negligent manslaughter in the Pittsburgh area were African Americans from the city of Pittsburgh.


## Arrests continued



Note: White and African American may include Hispanic.
Source: Appendices 7.1-7.8

- Only African American juveniles within the city of Pittsburgh were arrested for murder and non-negligent manslaughter. No juveniles in any of the other racial/ethnic groups or outside of the city of Pittsburgh but in the Pittsburgh region were arrested for murder or non-negligent manslaughter in 2004.
- African American juveniles in the city are more likely to be arrested for murder and nonnegligent manslaughter than African American juveniles in the U.S.

Arrests continued


Note: White and African American may include Hispanic.
Source: Appendices 7.1-7.8

- The number of African American adults arrested for murder and non-negligent manslaughter in the Pittsburgh area was 3-12 times the number of Whites arrested.
- Four White adults in the city and 12 White adults in the Pittsburgh MSA but outside Allegheny County were arrested for murder and non-negligent manslaughter.
- The majority of African American adult murder and non-negligent manslaughter arrests in the region in 2004 were in the city of Pittsburgh.

Arrests continued


Note: White and African American may include Hispanic.
Source: Appendices 7.1-7.8

- African American adults are arrested for murder and non-negligent manslaughter at a much higher rate than White, Asian, and Hispanic adults.
- African American adults have much higher murder arrest rates in the region than in the nation.


## Arrests continued

Property crime includes burglary, larceny-theft, motor vehicle theft, and arson. In these thefttype offenses, money or property is taken, but there is no force or threat of force against the victims.



Notes: White and African American data may include Hispanics. U.S. data are from 2002. U.S. data are not available for Hispanics.
Source: Appendices 7.1-7.8

- African Americans have a higher share of juvenile arrests for property crime than the other racial/ethnic groups in the city and county, while Whites have the largest share in the Pittsburgh MSA and nation.
- Whites are a larger share of juveniles arrested for property crime in the nation than in the region. However, Whites are a larger share of adults arrested in the region than in the nation. In addition, a smaller proportion of White juveniles are arrested than White adults in the city, county, and MSA, but not in the U.S.
- African Americans have a higher share of juvenile arrests for property crime in the Pittsburgh area than African Americans have of adult arrests in the Pittsburgh area.


## Arrests continued



Figure 7.12b. Property Crime Arrests per 100,000 Population for Adults Age 18 and Over by Race, 2004


Notes: White and African American data may include Hispanics. U.S. data are from 2002. U.S. data are not available for Hispanics.
Source: Appendices 7.1-7.8

- African American juveniles and adults have much higher property crime arrest rates than White, Asian, and Hispanic juveniles and adults.
- African American juveniles in the Pittsburgh area and the nation have similar property crime arrest rates, while White juveniles in the Pittsburgh area have lower property crime arrest rates than White juveniles in the nation.
- Asian adults in the region are arrested for property crimes at a similar rate as Asian adults in the nation.
- Asian and Hispanic juveniles and adults have low rates of property crime arrests in the Pittsburgh area.


## Arrests continued

Drug abuse relates to the unlawful possession, sale, use, growing, manufacturing, and making of narcotic drugs. Drug abuse is an important issue because it is often related to more serious crimes.



Notes: White and African American data may include Hispanics. U.S. data are from 2002. U.S. data are not available for Hispanics.
Source: Appendices 7.1-7.8

- The majority of adults and juveniles arrested for drug abuse in the city is African American. The majority of adults and juveniles arrested for drug abuse in the county, Pittsburgh MSA, and nation is White.
- African Americans have a much higher share of juvenile drug abuse arrests than adult drug abuse arrests in the city. African American adults and juveniles have similar shares of drug abuse arrests in the county and the Pittsburgh MSA.


## Arrests continued




Notes: White and African American data may include Hispanics. U.S. data are from 2002. U.S. data are not available for Hispanics.
Source: Appendices 7.1-7.8

- African American youth and adults are arrested at higher rates for drug violations than youth and adults of other races.
- White juveniles have lower rates of drug violations than White adults in all of the geographic areas.
- African American youth are arrested for drug violations at lower rates than African American adults in all of the geographic areas.
- Asians and Hispanics have low arrest rates for drug violations.


## Murder Victims

Murder involves the unlawful killing of a human being by another.


Note: White and African American may include Hispanic.
Source: Appendix 7.9

- Nine African American, three White, and no Asian or Hispanic juveniles were murdered in the Pittsburgh MSA in 2004.
- Six of the nine African American juvenile murders in the region occurred in the city. All three of the White juvenile murders in the region occurred in counties outside of Allegheny County.


## Murder Victims continued



Note: White and African American may include Hispanic.
Source: Appendix 7.9

- African American youth are murdered at much higher rates than White youth in each geographic area.
- African American youth in the region are murdered at higher rates than African American youth in the nation.


## Murder Victims continued



Note: White and African American may include Hispanic.
Source: Appendix 7.10

- The number of African American adults murdered is two to three times that of Whites in the city, county, and the Pittsburgh MSA.
- The majority of African American adult murders in the region occur in the city of Pittsburgh. The majority of White adult murders in the region occur in the county.


## Murder Victims continued



Note: White and African American may include Hispanic.
Source: Appendix 7.10

- African American adults are murdered at much higher rates than the other racial/ethnic groups.
- African American adults in the Pittsburgh area are murdered at higher rates than African American adults in the nation.


## APPENDICES

Appendix 1.1. African American Population in the City of Pittsburgh, 2000

Appendix 1.2. African American Population in Allegheny County, 2000

Appendix 1.3. African American Population in the Pittsburgh Region, 2000

Appendix 2.1. Population by Age, Race, and Ethnicity, 2000

|  | $\mathbf{1 7 ~ \& ~ U n d e r ~}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 8 - 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 +}$ |  | Total Population |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| City of Pittsburgh | 66,508 | $100 \%$ | 213,021 | $100 \%$ | 55,034 | $100 \%$ | 334,563 | $100 \%$ |
| White, Non-Hispanic | 33,830 | $50.9 \%$ | 147,259 | $69.1 \%$ | 42,893 | $77.9 \%$ | 223,982 | 66.9 |
| African American | 28,301 | $42.6 \%$ | 51,222 | $24.0 \%$ | 11,227 | $20.4 \%$ | 90,750 | 27.1 |
| Asian | 1,112 | $1.7 \%$ | 7,816 | $3.7 \%$ | 267 | $0.5 \%$ | 9,195 | 2.7 |
| Hispanic | 1,032 | $1.6 \%$ | 3,123 | $1.5 \%$ | 270 | $0.5 \%$ | 4,425 | 1.3 |
| Allegheny County | 281,176 | $100 \%$ | 772,074 | $100 \%$ | 228,416 | $100 \%$ | $1,281,666$ | $100 \%$ |
| White, Non-Hispanic | 215,399 | $76.6 \%$ | 651,007 | $84.3 \%$ | 207,723 | $90.9 \%$ | $1,074,129$ | 83.8 |
| African American | 50,923 | $18.1 \%$ | 90,056 | $11.7 \%$ | 18,079 | $7.9 \%$ | 159,058 | 12.4 |
| Asian | 4,378 | $1.6 \%$ | 16,460 | $2.1 \%$ | 878 | $0.4 \%$ | 21,716 | 1.7 |
| Hispanic | 3,371 | $1.2 \%$ | 7,013 | $0.9 \%$ | 782 | $0.3 \%$ | 11,166 | 0.9 |
| Pittsburgh MSA | 525,047 | $100 \%$ | $1,415,953$ | $100 \%$ | 417,695 | $100 \%$ | $2,358,695$ | $100 \%$ |
| White, Non-Hispanic | 441,618 | $84.1 \%$ | $1,267,085$ | $89.5 \%$ | 391,798 | $93.8 \%$ | $2,100,501$ | 89.1 |
| African American | 60,748 | $11.6 \%$ | 107,812 | $7.6 \%$ | 21,951 | $5.3 \%$ | 190,511 | 8.1 |
| Asian | 5,508 | $1.0 \%$ | 19,478 | $1.4 \%$ | 1,134 | $0.3 \%$ | 26,120 | 1.1 |
| Hispanic | 5,469 | $1.0 \%$ | 10,341 | $0.7 \%$ | 1,290 | $0.3 \%$ | 17,100 | 0.7 |
| United States | $72,293,812$ | $100 \%$ | $174,136,341$ | $100 \%$ | $34,991,753$ | $100 \%$ | $246,116,088$ | $100 \%$ |
| White, Non-Hispanic | $44,027,087$ | $60.9 \%$ | $121,280,827$ | $69.6 \%$ | $29,244,860$ | $83.6 \%$ | $194,552,774$ | 69.1 |
| African American | $10,885,696$ | $15.1 \%$ | $20,949,544$ | $12.0 \%$ | $2,822,950$ | $8.1 \%$ | $34,658,190$ | 12.3 |
| Asian | $2,464,999$ | $3.4 \%$ | $6,977,204$ | $4.0 \%$ | 800,795 | $2.3 \%$ | $10,242,998$ | 3.6 |
| Hispanic | $12,342,259$ | $17.1 \%$ | $21,229,968$ | $12.2 \%$ | $1,733,591$ | $5.0 \%$ | $35,305,818$ | 12.5 |

[^1]Appendix 2.2. Population Distribution by Race, 1980, 1990, and 2000

|  | Pittsburgh City |  |  | Allegheny County |  |  | Pittsburgh MSA |  |  | United States |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1980 | 1990 | 2000 | 1980 | 1990 | 2000 | 1980 | 1990 | 2000 | 1980 | 1990 | 2000 |
| White, Non-Hispanic | 74.7\% | 71.5\% | 66.9\% | 88.7\% | 87.1\% | 83.8\% | 92.2\% | 91.2\% | 89.1\% | 83.4\% | 75.6\% | 69.1\% |
| African American | 24.0\% | 25.9\% | 27.1\% | 10.4\% | 11.2\% | 12.4\% | 7.1\% | 7.5\% | 8.1\% | 11.7\% | 12.1\% | 12.3\% |
| Asian | 0.6\% | 1.6\% | 2.7\% | 0.5\% | 1.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.4\% | 0.7\% | 1.1\% | 1.5\% | 2.9\% | 3.6\% |
| Hispanic | 0.8\% | 0.9\% | 1.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.9\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 0.7\% | 6.4\% | 9.0\% | 12.5\% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau (2000). Summary File 2, PCT1: Total Population. U.S. Census Bureau (1990). 1980 Census of Population Vol. 1 Characteristics of the Population. General, Social, and Economic Characteristics, Part 1 U.S., Census of Population, General Characteristics PA 1980, Number of Inhabitants, U.S. Summary 1980 Census of Population. Note: Percentages may not add to 100 since Native Americans and persons with 2 or more races are not included.
Appendix 2.3. Families with Related Children Age 17 and Under by Race, Ethnicity, and Family Type, 2000

|  | Pittsburgh City |  | Allegheny County | Pittsburgh MSA | United States |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| White (non-Hispanic) with Related <br> Children Under 18 Years of Age | 19,777 | $100.0 \%$ | 121,214 | $100.0 \%$ | 247,768 | $100.0 \%$ | $24,624,240$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Married Couple Families | 13,202 | $66.8 \%$ | 92,668 | $76.4 \%$ | 189,774 | $76.6 \%$ | $18,787,720$ | $76.3 \%$ |
| Female Householder, No Husband <br> Present | 5,169 | $26.1 \%$ | 22,318 | $18.4 \%$ | 44,586 | $18.0 \%$ | $4,323,738$ | $17.6 \%$ |
| Male Householder, No Wife Present | 1,406 | $7.1 \%$ | 6,228 | $5.1 \%$ | 13,408 | $5.4 \%$ | $1,512,782$ | $6.1 \%$ |
| African American with Related <br> Children Under 18 Years of Age | 13,707 | $100.0 \%$ | 25,060 | $100.0 \%$ | 29,788 | $100.0 \%$ | $5,418,161$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Married Couple Families | 3,197 | $23.3 \%$ | 7,123 | $28.4 \%$ | 8,899 | $29.9 \%$ | $2,170,529$ | $40.1 \%$ |
| Female Householder, No Husband <br> Present | 9,490 | $69.2 \%$ | 16,023 | $63.9 \%$ | 18,521 | $62.2 \%$ | $2,825,483$ | $52.1 \%$ |
| Male Householder, No Wife Present | 1,020 | $7.4 \%$ | 1,914 | $7.6 \%$ | 2,368 | $7.9 \%$ | 422,149 | $7.8 \%$ |
| Asian American with Related <br> Children Under 18 Years of Age | 725 | $100.0 \%$ | 2,648 | $100.0 \%$ | 3,212 | $100.0 \%$ | $1,343,202$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Married Couple Families | 626 | $86.3 \%$ | 2,377 | $89.8 \%$ | 2,872 | $89.4 \%$ | $1,125,309$ | $83.8 \%$ |
| Female Householder, No Husband | 73 | $10.1 \%$ | 197 | $7.4 \%$ | 250 | $7.8 \%$ | 157,582 | $11.7 \%$ |
| Present |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau (2000). Summary File 1, P35B, 35D, 35H, 35I: Family Type by Presence and Age of Related Children
Appendix 2.4. Marital Status of the Population Age 15 Years and Older by Race, Ethnicity, and Gender in the City of Pittsburgh, 2000

|  | White, Non-Hispanic |  | African American |  | Asian |  | Hispanic |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Male Total | 93,466 | 100\% | 28,355 | 100\% | 4,425 | 100\% | 1,988 | 100\% |
| Never Married | 39,759 | 42.5\% | 12,614 | 44.5\% | 2,520 | 56.9\% | 1,112 | 55.9\% |
| Now Married* | 41,248 | 44.1\% | 10,349 | 36.5\% | 1,765 | 39.9\% | 670 | $33.7 \%$ |
| Separated | 1,626 | 1.7\% | 1,398 | 4.9\% | 30 | 0.7\% | 31 | 1.6\% |
| Widowed | 3,773 | 4.0\% | 1,283 | 4.5\% | 56 | 1.3\% | 33 | 1.7\% |
| Divorced | 7,060 | 7.6\% | 2,711 | 9.6\% | 54 | 1.2\% | 142 | 7.1\% |
| Female Total | 103,017 | 100.0\% | 37,395 | 100.0\% | 3,630 | 100.0\% | 1,734 | 100.0\% |
| Never Married | 35,326 | 34.3\% | 16,560 | 44.3\% | 1,535 | 42.3\% | 763 | 44.0\% |
| Now Married* | 40,002 | 38.8\% | 8,620 | 23.1\% | 1,793 | 49.4\% | 687 | 39.6\% |
| Separated | 2,044 | 2.0\% | 2,054 | 5.5\% | 51 | 1.4\% | 68 | 3.9\% |
| Widowed | 15,701 | 15.2\% | 5,313 | 14.2\% | 135 | $3.7 \%$ | 113 | 6.5\% |
| Divorced | 9,944 | 9.7\% | 4,848 | 13.0\% | 116 | $3.2 \%$ | 103 | 5.9\% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau (2000). Summary File 4, QT-P18. Marital Status by Sex, Unmarried-Partner Households, and
Appendix 2.5. Marital Status of the Population Age 15 Years and Older by Race, Ethnicity, and Gender in Allegheny County, 2000

|  | White, Non-Hispanic |  | African American |  | Asian | Hispanic |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Male Total | 418,980 | $100.0 \%$ | 49,538 | $100.0 \%$ | 8,905 | $100.0 \%$ | 4,338 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Never Married | 127,278 | $30.4 \%$ | 21,211 | $42.8 \%$ | 3,685 | $41.4 \%$ | 1,915 | $44.1 \%$ |
| Now Married* | 240,039 | $57.3 \%$ | 19,267 | $38.9 \%$ | 4,960 | $55.7 \%$ | 1,877 | $43.3 \%$ |
| Separated | 6,261 | $1.5 \%$ | 2,243 | $4.5 \%$ | 68 | $0.8 \%$ | 97 | $2.2 \%$ |
| Widowed | 15,660 | $3.7 \%$ | 2,058 | $4.2 \%$ | 82 | $0.9 \%$ | 114 | $2.6 \%$ |
| Divorced | 29,742 | $7.1 \%$ | 4,759 | $9.6 \%$ | 110 | $1.2 \%$ | 335 | $7.7 \%$ |
| Female Total | 477,806 | $100.0 \%$ | 65,111 | $100.0 \%$ | 8,354 | $100.0 \%$ | 4,479 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Never Married | 114,641 | $24.0 \%$ | 27,779 | $42.7 \%$ | 2,343 | $28.0 \%$ | 1,616 | $36.1 \%$ |
| Now Married* $*$ | 241,204 | $50.5 \%$ | 16,787 | $25.8 \%$ | 5,266 | $63.0 \%$ | 2,145 | $47.9 \%$ |
| Separated | 8,536 | $1.8 \%$ | 3,472 | $5.3 \%$ | 101 | $1.2 \%$ | 127 | $2.8 \%$ |
| Widowed | 70,434 | $14.7 \%$ | 8,390 | $12.9 \%$ | 336 | $4.0 \%$ | 268 | $6.0 \%$ |
| Divorced | 42,991 | $9.0 \%$ | 8,683 | $13.3 \%$ | 308 | $3.7 \%$ | 323 | $7.2 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau (2000). Summary File 4, QT-P18. Marital Status by Sex, Unmarried-Partner Households, and Grandparents as Caregivers. *Excludes separated.
Appendix 2.6. Marital Status for the Population Age 15 Years and Older by Race, Ethnicity, and Gender in the Pittsburgh MSA, 2000

|  | White, Non-Hispanic |  | African American | Asian | Hispanic |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Male Total | 817,079 | $100.0 \%$ | 60,477 | $100.0 \%$ | 10,351 | $100.0 \%$ | 6,377 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Never Married | 228,124 | $27.9 \%$ | 25,832 | $42.7 \%$ | 4,085 | $39.5 \%$ | 2,729 | $42.8 \%$ |
| Now Married* | 488,396 | $59.8 \%$ | 23,632 | $39.1 \%$ | 5,968 | $57.7 \%$ | 2,898 | $45.4 \%$ |
| Separated | 12,242 | $1.5 \%$ | 2,615 | $4.3 \%$ | 79 | $0.8 \%$ | 155 | $2.4 \%$ |
| Widowed | 29,265 | $3.6 \%$ | 2,447 | $4.0 \%$ | 92 | $0.9 \%$ | 128 | $2.0 \%$ |
| Divorced | 59,052 | $7.2 \%$ | 5,951 | $9.8 \%$ | 127 | $1.2 \%$ | 467 | $7.3 \%$ |
| Female Total | 920,066 | $100.0 \%$ | 76,923 | $100.0 \%$ | 9,970 | $100.0 \%$ | 6,547 | $100.0 \%$ |
| Never Married | 199,430 | $21.7 \%$ | 32,368 | $42.1 \%$ | 2,658 | $26.7 \%$ | 2,228 | $34.0 \%$ |
| Now Married* | 490,538 | $53.3 \%$ | 20,089 | $26.1 \%$ | 6,456 | $64.8 \%$ | 3,167 | $48.4 \%$ |
| Separated | 16,773 | $1.8 \%$ | 3,997 | $5.2 \%$ | 119 | $1.2 \%$ | 184 | $2.8 \%$ |
| Widowed | 132,279 | $14.4 \%$ | 10,254 | $13.3 \%$ | 385 | $3.9 \%$ | 483 | $7.4 \%$ |
| Divorced | 81,046 | $8.8 \%$ | 10,215 | $13.3 \%$ | 352 | $3.5 \%$ | 485 | $7.4 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau (2000). Summary File 4, QT-P18. Marital Status by Sex, Unmarried-Partner Households, and Grandparents as Caregivers.
*Excludes separated.
Appendix 2.7. Marital Status for the Population Age 15 Years and Older by Race, Ethnicity, and Gender in the United States, 2000

|  | White, Non-Hispanic |  | African American | Asian | Hispanic |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Male Total | $76,405,470$ | $100.0 \%$ | $11,691,001$ | $100.0 \%$ | $3,862,972$ | $100.0 \%$ | $12,682,318$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Never Married | $20,384,316$ | $26.7 \%$ | $4,865,296$ | $41.6 \%$ | $1,337,553$ | $34.6 \%$ | $4,853,645$ | $38.3 \%$ |
| Now Married* | $45,910,162$ | $60.1 \%$ | $4,847,071$ | $41.5 \%$ | $2,305,319$ | $59.7 \%$ | $6,554,114$ | $51.7 \%$ |
| Separated | $1,011,353$ | $1.3 \%$ | 520,218 | $4.4 \%$ | 42,028 | $1.1 \%$ | 346,924 | $2.7 \%$ |
| Widowed | $2,082,594$ | $2.7 \%$ | 352,479 | $3.0 \%$ | 50,631 | $1.3 \%$ | 166,153 | $1.3 \%$ |
| Divorced | $7,017,045$ | $9.2 \%$ | $1,105,937$ | $9.5 \%$ | 127,441 | $3.3 \%$ | 761,482 | $6.0 \%$ |
| Female Total | $81,665,080$ | $100.0 \%$ | $13,626,532$ | $100.0 \%$ | $4,293,154$ | $100.0 \%$ | $12,068,400$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| Never Married | $16,601,606$ | $20.3 \%$ | $5,409,775$ | $39.7 \%$ | $1,108,654$ | $25.8 \%$ | $3,618,368$ | $30.0 \%$ |
| Now Married* | $45,389,872$ | $55.6 \%$ | $4,256,440$ | $31.2 \%$ | $2,601,011$ | $60.6 \%$ | $6,148,764$ | $50.9 \%$ |
| Separated | $1,297,837$ | $1.6 \%$ | 65,731 | $0.5 \%$ | 65,731 | $1.5 \%$ | 559,535 | $4.6 \%$ |
| Widowed | $9,396,682$ | $11.5 \%$ | 299,023 | $2.2 \%$ | 299,023 | $7.0 \%$ | 679,202 | $5.6 \%$ |
| Divorced | $8,979,083$ | $11.0 \%$ | 218,735 | $1.6 \%$ | 218,735 | $5.1 \%$ | $1,062,531$ | $8.8 \%$ |

[^2]Appendix 2.8. Multiracial Children, 2000

|  | Pittsburgh City | Allegheny County | Pittsburgh MSA | United States |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total Number of Children Under 18 <br> Years of Age | 66,508 | 281,176 | 525,047 | $72,293,812$ |
| Total Number of Multiracial Children <br> Under 18 Years of Age | 2,345 | 6,829 | 11,085 | $2,956,504$ |
| Multiracial Children as Percent of <br> Total Children | $3.5 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ |

[^3]Appendix 3.1. Public School Enrollment for Grades PreK-12 by Race and Ethnicity, 2003-2004

|  | Pittsburgh City |  | Allegheny County |  | Pittsburgh MSA |  | United States |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| PreK-12 Enrollment | 34,658 | 100\% | 167,558 | 100\% | 339,645 | 100\% | 48,123,966 | 100\% |
| White, Non-Hispanic | 13,362 | 38.6\% | 125,249 | 74.7\% | 287,097 | 84.5\% | 28,272,495 | 58.7\% |
| Black, Non-Hispanic | 20,462 | 59.0\% | 38,230 | 22.8\% | 47,335 | 13.9\% | 8,240,184 | 17.1\% |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 559 | 1.6\% | 2,945 | 1.8\% | 3,501 | 1.0\% | 2,118,576 | 4.4\% |
| Hispanic or Latino | 234 | 0.7\% | 947 | 0.6\% | 1,339 | 0.4\% | 8,902,337 | 18.5\% |
| K-5 Enrollment | 15,320 | 100\% | 70,461 | 100\% | 148,287 | 100\% | 21,444,799 | 100\% |
| White, Non-Hispanic | 5,526 | 36.1\% | 50,782 | 72.1\% | 122,425 | 82.6\% | 12,192,820 | 56.9\% |
| Black, Non-Hispanic | 9,305 | 60.7\% | 17,612 | 25.0\% | 23,399 | 15.8\% | 3,692,630 | 17.2\% |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 340 | 2.2\% | 1,519 | 2.2\% | 1,710 | 1.2\% | 948,444 | 4.4\% |
| Hispanic or Latino | 126 | 0.8\% | 457 | 0.6\% | 620 | 0.4\% | 4,353,402 | 20.3\% |
| 6-8 Enrollment | 8,435 | 100\% | 40,772 | 100\% | 81,907 | 100\% | 11,371,564 | 100\% |
| White, Non-Hispanic | 3,127 | 37.1\% | 30,170 | 74.0\% | 69,015 | 84.3\% | 6,752,292 | 59.4\% |
| Black, Non-Hispanic | 5,168 | 61.3\% | 9,704 | 23.8\% | 11,554 | 14.1\% | 1,991,707 | 17.5\% |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 84 | 1.0\% | 634 | 1.6\% | 809 | 1.0\% | 479,976 | 4.2\% |
| Hispanic or Latino | 50 | 0.6\% | 214 | 0.5\% | 322 | 0.4\% | 2,005,721 | 17.6\% |
| 9-12 Enrollment | 10,903 | 100\% | 56,225 | 100\% | 107,817 | 100\% | 14,134,513 | 100\% |
| White, Non-Hispanic | 4,709 | 43.2\% | 44,267 | 78.7\% | 94,113 | 87.3\% | 8,800,520 | 62.3\% |
| Black, Non-Hispanic | 5,989 | 54.9\% | 10,845 | 19.3\% | 12,213 | 11.3\% | 2,271,935 | 16.1\% |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 135 | 1.2\% | 792 | 1.4\% | 979 | 0.9\% | 654,999 | 4.6\% |
| Hispanic or Latino | 58 | 0.5\% | 275 | 0.5\% | 380 | 0.4\% | 2,231,508 | 15.8\% |

[^4]Appendix 3.2. Reading and Math Scores by Grade and Race, Pittsburgh School District, 2003-04

| Grade \& Type | Race | Percentage of Students at Achievement Level |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Below Basic | Basic | Proficient |
| 5th Grade |  |  |  |  |
| Reading | White | 16.7 | 21.8 | 27.6 |
|  | African American | 39.1 | 28 | 21.3 |
| Mathematics | White | 17.8 | 18.9 | 25.1 |
|  | African American | 44.5 | 26.4 | 18.6 |
| 8th Grade |  |  |  |  |
| Reading | White | 12.8 | 13.7 | 34.3 |
|  | African American | 30.1 | 26.1 | 30.9 |
| Mathematics | White | 18.9 | 19.6 | 35.8 |
|  | African American | 47.8 | 20.8 | 20.5 |
| 11th Grade |  |  | 25.8 | 33.2 |
| Reading | White | 15.2 | 21.3 | 23.6 |
|  | African American | 41.6 | 17.7 | 14.3 |
| Mathematics | White | 27.2 | 62.2 |  |
|  | African American |  |  |  |

Source: PA Department of Education, PSSA test scores webpage.
Note: Data are not available for Hispanic and Asian populations.

Appendix 3.4. Public High School Diploma Recipients

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1998- \\ & 1999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1999- \\ & 2000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2000- \\ & 2001 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2001- \\ & 2002 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2002- \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2003- \\ & 2004 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pittsburgh City School District |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White, Non-Hispanic Male | 545 | 511 | 556 | 521 | 486 | 504 |
| White, Non-Hispanic Female | 602 | 545 | 545 | 510 | 513 | 485 |
| Black, Non-Hispanic Male | 465 | 396 | 419 | 366 | 368 | 374 |
| Black, Non-Hispanic Female | 579 | 530 | 509 | 462 | 482 | 546 |
| Asian Male | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ | 6 | 3 | 14 | 15 | u/a |
| Asian Female | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ | 13 | 10 | 15 | 11 | u/a |
| Hispanic Male | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ | 5 | 5 | 1 | 6 | u/a |
| Hispanic Female | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ | 4 | 2 | 2 | 5 | u/a |
| Allegheny County |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White, Non-Hispanic Male | 4,799 | 4,752 | 5,042 | 4,851 | 5,065 | 5,165 |
| White, Non-Hispanic Female | 4,808 | 4,927 | 4,888 | 4,749 | 5,085 | 5,059 |
| Black, Non-Hispanic Male | 760 | 715 | 693 | 728 | 799 | 739 |
| Black, Non-Hispanic Female | 889 | 899 | 836 | 826 | 914 | 944 |
| Asian Male | u/a | 79 | 7 | 88 | 100 | u/a |
| Asian Female | u/a | 102 | 60 | 79 | 86 | u/a |
| Hispanic Male | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ | 29 | 20 | 24 | 24 | u/a |
| Hispanic Female | u/a | 29 | 24 | 31 | 21 | u/a |

Appendix 3.4. Public High School Diploma Recipients, continued.

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}-$ <br> $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pittsburgh MSA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White, Non-Hispanic Male | 10,518 | 10,467 | 10,803 | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| White, Non-Hispanic Female | 10,304 | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ | 10,423 | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Black, Non-Hispanic Male | 962 | 887 | 877 | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Black, Non-Hispanic Female | 1,084 | 1,096 | 1,018 | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Asian Male | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ | 118 | 100 | 115 | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Asian Female | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ | 130 | 82 | 114 | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Hispanic Male | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ | 44 | 41 | 39 | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Hispanic Female | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ | 40 | 40 | 46 | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| United States |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White, Non-Hispanic Male | 797,774 | 801,227 | 837,787 | 857,321 | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| White, Non-Hispanic Female | 808,536 | 813,175 | 848,716 | 870,984 | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Black, Non-Hispanic Male | 133,491 | 134,238 | 141,685 | 146,674 | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Black, Non-Hispanic Female | 162,829 | 165,455 | 172,406 | 178,393 | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Asian Male | 41,399 | 49662 | 57195 | 61363 | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Asian Female | 42,615 | 51134 | 59606 | 63254 | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Hispanic Male | 96,382 | 127,064 | 127,728 | 134,728 | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Hispanic Female | 106,253 | 137,273 | 142,767 | 148,914 | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{a}$ |

Source: NCES webpage: Common Core Data, Build a Table.
Note: Includes graduates with a regular high school diploma or GED during the school year or the following summer.
Appendix 3.5. Bachelor's Degrees Conferred by Race, Ethnicity, and Gender for 1999-00 and 2001-02

|  | 1999-2000 |  | 2001-2002 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Allegheny County |  |  |  |  |
| Total Degrees Earned | 7078 | 100\% | 7737 | 100\% |
| White, Non-Hispanic Male | 2432 | $34.4 \%$ | 2621 | $33.9 \%$ |
| White, Non-Hispanic Female | 3144 | 44.4\% | 3395 | 43.9\% |
| Black, Non-Hispanic Male | 124 | 1.8\% | 163 | 2.1\% |
| Black, Non-Hispanic Female | 327 | 4.6\% | 340 | 4.4\% |
| Asian/ Pacific Islander Male | 226 | $3.2 \%$ | 224 | 2.9\% |
| Asian/ Pacific Islander Female | 140 | 2.0\% | 164 | 2.1\% |
| Hispanic Male | 61 | 0.9\% | 62 | 0.8\% |
| Hispanic Female | 61 | 0.9\% | 60 | 0.8\% |
| United States |  |  |  |  |
| Total Degrees Earned | 1,237,875 | 100\% | 1,291,900 | 100\% |
| White, Non-Hispanic Male | 402,961 | 32.6\% | 414,885 | 32.1\% |
| White, Non-Hispanic Female | 526,145 | 42.5\% | 543,700 | 42.1\% |
| Black, Non-Hispanic Male | 37024 | 3.0\% | 39194 | 3.0\% |
| Black, Non-Hispanic Female | 70,989 | 5.7\% | 77,430 | 6.0\% |
| Asian/ Pacific Islander Male | 35853 | 2.9\% | 37666 | 2.9\% |
| Asian/ Pacific Islander Female | 42,059 | 3.4\% | 45,435 | 3.5\% |
| Hispanic Male | 30,301 | 2.4\% | 32953 | 2.6\% |
| Hispanic Female | 44,758 | 3.6\% | 50016 | 3.9\% |

Source: National Data: National Center for Education Statistics, Table 264, Bachelor's degrees conferred
by degree-granting institutions, by racial/ethnic group and sex of student, 2003. County Data: PA Dept. of
Education, Table 9, Completions Awarded by County, Level of Program, Sex and Race, 2003
Appendix 3.6. Educational Attainment for Population 25 Years and Older by Race, 2000

|  | Pittsburgh City |  | Allegheny County |  | Pittsburgh MSA |  | United States |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
|  | 40,982 | $18.7 \%$ | 121,836 | $13.7 \%$ | 245,477 | $14.9 \%$ | $35,715,625$ | $19.6 \%$ |
| White, Non-Hispanic | 26,528 | $17.0 \%$ | 98,956 | $12.8 \%$ | 215,998 | $14.4 \%$ | $19,459,455$ | $14.5 \%$ |
| Black Alone | 13,013 | $24.8 \%$ | 19,586 | $21.3 \%$ | 24,146 | $21.8 \%$ | $5,507,694$ | $27.7 \%$ |
| Asian Alone | 414 | $8.0 \%$ | 1,139 | $8.7 \%$ | 1,481 | $9.5 \%$ | $1,299,750$ | $19.6 \%$ |
| Hispanic or Latino | 571 | $23.3 \%$ | 1,166 | $18.1 \%$ | 1,838 | $19.3 \%$ | $8,693,346$ | $47.6 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total High School <br> Graduates | 71,657 | $32.7 \%$ | 301,774 | $33.9 \%$ | 619,383 | $37.7 \%$ | $52,168,981$ | $28.6 \%$ |
| White, Non-Hispanic | 52,415 | $33.6 \%$ | 265,781 | $34.4 \%$ | 573,109 | $38.3 \%$ | $40,148,392$ | $30.0 \%$ |
| Black Alone | 17,758 | $33.9 \%$ | 31,488 | $34.2 \%$ | 38,878 | $35.2 \%$ | $5,909,783$ | $29.8 \%$ |
| Asian Alone | 327 | $6.3 \%$ | 1,121 | $8.6 \%$ | 1,519 | $9.8 \%$ | $1,051,190$ | $15.8 \%$ |
| Hispanic or Latino | 456 | $18.6 \%$ | 1,521 | $23.6 \%$ | 2,494 | $26.1 \%$ | $4,038,959$ | $22.1 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Some College But No <br> Degree | 35,497 | $16.2 \%$ | 151,441 | $17.0 \%$ | 270,789 | $16.5 \%$ | $38,351,595$ | $21.0 \%$ |
| White, Non-Hispanic | 22,793 | $14.6 \%$ | 127,135 | $16.5 \%$ | 241,402 | $16.1 \%$ | $29,233,180$ | $21.9 \%$ |
| Black Alone | 11,356 | $21.7 \%$ | 20,924 | $22.7 \%$ | 24,333 | $22.0 \%$ | $4,464,348$ | $22.5 \%$ |
| Asian Alone | 299 | $5.8 \%$ | 756 | $5.8 \%$ | 945 | $6.1 \%$ | 927,788 | $14.0 \%$ |
| Hispanic or Latino | 353 | $14.4 \%$ | 998 | $15.5 \%$ | 1,634 | $17.1 \%$ | $2,847,623$ | $15.6 \%$ |

Appendix 3.6. Educational Attainment for Population 25 Years and Older by Race, 2000, continued.

|  | Pittsburgh City |  | Allegheny County |  | Pittsburgh MSA |  | United States |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
|  | 13,410 | $6.1 \%$ | 63,537 | $7.1 \%$ | 115,774 | $7.0 \%$ | $11,512,833$ | $6.3 \%$ |
| White, Non-Hispanic | 9,054 | $5.8 \%$ | 54,405 | $7.0 \%$ | 104,772 | $7.0 \%$ | $8,884,614$ | $6.6 \%$ |
| Black Alone | 3,864 | $7.4 \%$ | 7,769 | $8.4 \%$ | 8,989 | $8.1 \%$ | $1,145,001$ | $5.8 \%$ |
| Asian Alone | 166 | $3.2 \%$ | 475 | $3.6 \%$ | 590 | $3.8 \%$ | 436,200 | $6.6 \%$ |
| Hispanic or Latino | 82 | $3.3 \%$ | 317 | $4.9 \%$ | 559 | $5.9 \%$ | 782,410 | $4.3 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bachelor's Degrees | 29,936 | $13.7 \%$ | 154,369 | $17.3 \%$ | 248,556 | $15.1 \%$ | $28,317,792$ | $15.5 \%$ |
| White, Non-Hispanic | 23,970 | $15.4 \%$ | 141,157 | $18.3 \%$ | 232,738 | $15.6 \%$ | $22,977,114$ | $17.2 \%$ |
| Black Alone | 3,861 | $7.4 \%$ | 7,702 | $8.4 \%$ | 8,984 | $8.1 \%$ | $1,877,471$ | $9.5 \%$ |
| Asian Alone | 1,294 | $25.1 \%$ | 3,307 | $25.3 \%$ | 3,896 | $25.0 \%$ | $1,771,798$ | $26.7 \%$ |
| Hispanic or Latino | 453 | $18.5 \%$ | 1,175 | $18.2 \%$ | 1,545 | $16.2 \%$ | $1,216,124$ | $6.7 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Graduate and | 27,331 | $12.5 \%$ | 98,214 | $11.0 \%$ | 143,135 | $8.7 \%$ | $16,144,813$ | $8.9 \%$ |
| Professional Degrees | 21,138 | $13.6 \%$ | 85,017 | $11.0 \%$ | 128,042 | $8.6 \%$ | $13,083,508$ | $9.8 \%$ |
| White, Non-Hispanic | $21 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Black Alone | 2,555 | $4.9 \%$ | 4,643 | $5.0 \%$ | 5,201 | $4.7 \%$ | 953,798 | $4.8 \%$ |
| Asian Alone | 2,651 | $51.5 \%$ | 6,295 | $48.1 \%$ | 7,126 | $45.8 \%$ | $1,153,945$ | $17.4 \%$ |
| Hispanic or Latino | 535 | $21.8 \%$ | 1,263 | $19.6 \%$ | 1,474 | $15.4 \%$ | 691,915 | $3.8 \%$ |

Appendix 4.1. Occupied Housing Units by Race, 2000

|  | Pittsburgh City |  | Allegheny County | Pittsburgh MSA | United States |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Occupied Units: Race of <br> Householder | 143,739 | $100 \%$ | 537,150 | $100 \%$ | 966,500 | $100 \%$ | $105,480,101$ | $100 \%$ |
| White, Non-Hispanic | 101,291 | $100 \%$ | 459,327 | $100 \%$ | 871,906 | $100 \%$ | $79,086,566$ | $100 \%$ |
| Black Alone | 34,985 | $100 \%$ | 61,247 | $100 \%$ | 72,815 | $100 \%$ | $11,977,309$ | $100 \%$ |
| Asian Alone | 3,886 | $100 \%$ | 7,950 | $100 \%$ | 9,139 | $100 \%$ | $3,117,356$ | $100 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 1,511 | $100 \%$ | 3,780 | $100 \%$ | 5,349 | $100 \%$ | $9,179,764$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $100 \%$ |  |
| Owner Occupied | 74,930 | $52.1 \%$ | 360,021 | $67.0 \%$ | 688,921 | $71.3 \%$ | $69,816,513$ | $66.2 \%$ |
| White, Non-Hispanic | 60,657 | $59.9 \%$ | 329,692 | $71.8 \%$ | 650,043 | $74.6 \%$ | $57,296,118$ | $72.5 \%$ |
| Black Alone | 12,551 | $35.9 \%$ | 23,950 | $39.1 \%$ | 29,149 | $40.0 \%$ | $5,549,286$ | $46.3 \%$ |
| Asian Alone | 607 | $15.6 \%$ | 2,663 | $33.5 \%$ | 3,501 | $38.3 \%$ | $1,659,794$ | $53.2 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 380 | $25.1 \%$ | 1,624 | $43.0 \%$ | 2,663 | $49.8 \%$ | $4,190,613$ | $45.7 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Renter Occupied | 68,809 | $47.9 \%$ | 177,129 | $33.0 \%$ | 277,579 | $28.7 \%$ | $35,663,588$ |  |
| White, Non-Hispanic | 40,634 | $40.1 \%$ | 129,635 | $28.2 \%$ | 221,863 | $25.5 \%$ | $21,790,448$ |  |
| Black Alone | 22,434 | $64.1 \%$ | 37,297 | $60.9 \%$ | 43,666 | $60.0 \%$ | $6,428,023$ | $27.6 \%$ |
| Asian, Alone | 3,279 | $84.4 \%$ | 5,287 | $66.5 \%$ | 5,638 | $61.7 \%$ | $1,457,562$ | $46.8 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 1,131 | $74.9 \%$ | 2,156 | $57.0 \%$ | 2,686 | $50.2 \%$ | $4,989,151$ | $54.4 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau (2000). Summary File 3, H9: Race of Householder, H11: Tenure by Race of Householder,
H12: Tenure (Hispanic or Latino Householder), H13 Tenure (White Alone, Not Hispanic or Latino Householder).
Appendix 4.2. Employment Status for Males Age 16 and Over by Race, 2000

|  | Pittsburgh City |  | Allegheny County |  | Pittsburgh MSA | United States |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| In Labor Force |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White, Non-Hispanic | 60,659 | $100 \%$ | 286,636 | $100 \%$ | 553,903 | $100 \%$ | $54,409,342$ | $100 \%$ |
| Black Alone | 15,036 | $100 \%$ | 28,513 | $100 \%$ | 34,433 | $100 \%$ | $6,947,332$ | $100 \%$ |
| Asian Alone | 2,787 | $100 \%$ | 6,458 | $100 \%$ | 7,589 | $100 \%$ | $2,693,069$ | $100 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 1,263 | $100 \%$ | 2,946 | $100 \%$ | 4,302 | $100 \%$ | $8,589,271$ | $100 \%$ |
| Employed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White, Non-Hispanic | 55,877 | $92.1 \%$ | 271,548 | $94.7 \%$ | 523,719 | $94.6 \%$ | $51,366,266$ | $94.4 \%$ |
| Black Alone | 12,358 | $82.2 \%$ | 24,176 | $84.8 \%$ | 29,133 | $84.6 \%$ | $5,953,284$ | $85.7 \%$ |
| Asian Alone | 2,353 | $84.4 \%$ | 5,928 | $91.8 \%$ | 7,035 | $92.7 \%$ | $2,532,334$ | $94.0 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 1,056 | $83.6 \%$ | 2,602 | $88.3 \%$ | 3,915 | $91.0 \%$ | $7,782,049$ | $90.6 \%$ |
| Unemployed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White, Non-Hispanic | 4,707 | $7.8 \%$ | 14,714 | $5.1 \%$ | 29,389 | $5.3 \%$ | $2,367,979$ | $4.4 \%$ |
| Black Alone | 2,618 | $17.4 \%$ | 4,223 | $14.8 \%$ | 5,151 | $15.0 \%$ | 835,490 | $12.0 \%$ |
| Asian Alone | 434 | $15.6 \%$ | 530 | $8.2 \%$ | 554 | $7.3 \%$ | 132,948 | $4.9 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 200 | $15.8 \%$ | 315 | $10.7 \%$ | 358 | $8.3 \%$ | 708,212 | $8.2 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau (2000). Summary File1, P12 B/D/H/I: Sex by Age, Summary File 3, P150 B/D/H/I:
Appendix 4.3. Employment Status for Females Age 16 Years and Over by Race, 2000

|  | Pittsburgh City |  | Allegheny County |  | Pittsburgh MSA | United States |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| In Labor Force |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White, Non-Hispanic | 55,560 | $100 \%$ | 256,583 | $100 \%$ | 480,753 | $100 \%$ | $46,533,529$ | $100 \%$ |
| Black Alone | 19,820 | $100 \%$ | 35,870 | $100 \%$ | 41,960 | $100 \%$ | $7,958,563$ | $100 \%$ |
| Asian Alone | 2,001 | $100 \%$ | 4,451 | $100 \%$ | 5,287 | $100 \%$ | $2,384,722$ | $100 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 1,012 | $100 \%$ | 2,675 | $100 \%$ | 3,708 | $100 \%$ | $6,246,470$ | $100 \%$ |
| Employed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White, Non-Hispanic | 51,346 | $92.4 \%$ | 244,324 | $95.2 \%$ | 457,566 | $95.2 \%$ | $44,467,752$ | $95.6 \%$ |
| Black Alone | 16,962 | $85.6 \%$ | 31,321 | $87.3 \%$ | 36,422 | $86.8 \%$ | $7,048,511$ | $88.6 \%$ |
| Asian Alone | 1,653 | $82.6 \%$ | 3,956 | $88.9 \%$ | 4,767 | $90.2 \%$ | $2,254,448$ | $94.5 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 927 | $91.6 \%$ | 2,418 | $90.4 \%$ | 3,385 | $91.3 \%$ | $5,565,827$ | $89.1 \%$ |
| Unemployed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White, Non-Hispanic | 4,184 | $7.5 \%$ | 12,162 | $4.7 \%$ | 23,050 | $4.8 \%$ | $1,975,993$ | $4.2 \%$ |
| Black Alone | 2,844 | $14.3 \%$ | 4,605 | $12.8 \%$ | 5,500 | $13.1 \%$ | 862,927 | $10.8 \%$ |
| Asian Alone | 348 | $17.4 \%$ | 495 | $11.1 \%$ | 520 | $9.8 \%$ | 125,666 | $5.3 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 85 | $8.4 \%$ | 253 | $9.5 \%$ | 319 | $8.6 \%$ | 663,629 | $10.6 \%$ |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau (2000). Summary File1, P12 B/D/H/I: Sex by Age, Summary File 3, P150 B/D/H/I: Sex by Employment Status for the Population 16 Years and Over.
Appendix 4.4. Occupations of Employed Civilian Population Age 16 and Over by Race, 2000

|  | Pittsburgh City |  | Allegheny County |  | Pittsburgh MSA |  | United States |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total Workers | 144,768 | $100 \%$ | 591,905 | $100 \%$ | $1,074,663$ | $100 \%$ | $129,721,512$ | $100 \%$ |
| White, Non-Hispanic | 107,223 | $100 \%$ | 515,872 | $100 \%$ | 981,285 | $100 \%$ | $95,834,018$ | $100 \%$ |
| Black Alone | 29,320 | $100 \%$ | 55,407 | $100 \%$ | 65,555 | $100 \%$ | $13,001,795$ | $100 \%$ |
| Asian Alone | 4,006 | $100 \%$ | 9,884 | $100 \%$ | 11,802 | $100 \%$ | $4,786,782$ | $100 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 1,983 | $100 \%$ | 5,020 | $100 \%$ | 7,300 | $100 \%$ | $13,347,876$ | $100 \%$ |
| Management, <br> Professional and Related | 53,398 | $36.9 \%$ | 223,974 | $37.8 \%$ | 364,539 | $33.9 \%$ | $43,646,731$ | $33.6 \%$ |
| White, Non-Hispanic | 41,435 | $38.6 \%$ | 198,553 | $38.5 \%$ | 334,626 | $34.1 \%$ | $35,034,751$ | $36.6 \%$ |
| Black Alone | 7,578 | $25.8 \%$ | 14,581 | $26.3 \%$ | 16,725 | $25.5 \%$ | $3,281,151$ | $25.2 \%$ |
| Asian Alone | 2,743 | $68.5 \%$ | 6,813 | $68.9 \%$ | 7,872 | $66.7 \%$ | $2,132,705$ | $44.6 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 866 | $43.7 \%$ | 2,053 | $40.9 \%$ | 2,617 | $35.8 \%$ | $2,410,505$ | $18.1 \%$ |
| Service | 28,871 | $19.9 \%$ | 93,411 | $15.8 \%$ | 170,385 | $15.9 \%$ | $19,276,947$ | $14.9 \%$ |
| White, Non-Hispanic | 18,675 | $17.4 \%$ | 74,543 | $14.4 \%$ | 147,198 | $15.0 \%$ | $12,313,725$ | $12.8 \%$ |
| Black Alone | 8,805 | $30.0 \%$ | 15,355 | $27.7 \%$ | 18,103 | $27.6 \%$ | $2,861,041$ | $22.0 \%$ |
| Asian Alone | 444 | $11.1 \%$ | 1,166 | $11.8 \%$ | 1,587 | $13.4 \%$ | 675,200 | $14.1 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 339 | $17.1 \%$ | 994 | $19.8 \%$ | 1,432 | $19.6 \%$ | $2,905,681$ | $21.8 \%$ |
| Sales and Office | 39,835 | $27.5 \%$ | 170,055 | $28.7 \%$ | 298,193 | $27.7 \%$ | $34,621,390$ | $26.7 \%$ |
| White, Non-Hispanic | 29,477 | $27.5 \%$ | 149,409 | $29.0 \%$ | 273,060 | $27.8 \%$ | $26,100,604$ | $27.2 \%$ |
| Black Alone | 8,623 | $29.4 \%$ | 16,614 | $30.0 \%$ | 19,485 | $29.7 \%$ | $3,544,677$ | $27.3 \%$ |
| Asian Alone | 670 | $16.7 \%$ | 1,342 | $13.6 \%$ | 1,549 | $13.1 \%$ | $1,148,775$ | $24.0 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 540 | $27.2 \%$ | 1,242 | $24.7 \%$ | 1,883 | $25.8 \%$ | $3,089,756$ | $23.1 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix 4.4. Occupations of Employed Civilian Population Age 16 and Over by Race, 2000, continued.

|  | Pittsburgh City |  | Allegheny County |  | Pittsburgh MSA |  | United States |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Farming, Fishing, and Forestry | 145 | 0.1\% | 396 | 0.1\% | 1,990 | 0.2\% | 951,810 | 0.7\% |
| White, Non-Hispanic | 85 | 0.1\% | 326 | 0.1\% | 1,860 | 0.2\% | 513,934 | 0.5\% |
| Black Alone | 12 | 0.0\% | 12 | 0.0\% | 20 | 0.0\% | 48,995 | 0.4\% |
| Asian Alone | 5 | 0.1\% | 15 | 0.2\% | 15 | 0.1\% | 14,066 | 0.3\% |
| Hispanic | 43 | 2.2\% | 43 | 0.9\% | 63 | 0.9\% | 356,531 | 2.7\% |
| Construction, Extraction and Maintenance | 8,994 | 6.2\% | 44,338 | 7.5\% | 95,586 | 8.9\% | 12,256,138 | 9.4\% |
| White, Non-Hispanic | 7,678 | 7.2\% | 41,327 | 8.0\% | 91,666 | 9.3\% | 9,197,626 | 9.6\% |
| Black Alone | 1,147 | 3.9\% | 2,433 | 4.4\% | 2,900 | 4.4\% | 849,144 | 6.5\% |
| Asian Alone | 25 | 0.6\% | 85 | 0.9\% | 122 | 1.0\% | 172,887 | 3.6\% |
| Hispanic | 47 | 2.4\% | 180 | 3.6\% | 332 | 4.5\% | 1,754,586 | 13.1\% |
| Production, Transportation and Material Moving | 13,525 | 9.3\% | 59,731 | 10.1\% | 143,970 | 13.4\% | 18,968,496 | 14.6\% |
| White, Non-Hispanic | 9,873 | 9.2\% | 51,714 | 10.0\% | 132,875 | 13.5\% | 12,673,378 | 13.2\% |
| Black Alone | 3,155 | 10.8\% | 6,412 | 11.6\% | 8,322 | 12.7\% | 2,416,787 | 18.6\% |
| Asian Alone | 119 | 3.0\% | 463 | 4.7\% | 657 | 5.6\% | 643,149 | 13.4\% |
| Hispanic | 148 | 7.5\% | 508 | 10.1\% | 973 | 13.3\% | 2,830,817 | 21.2\% |

Appendix 4.5. Median Household Income by Race and Ethnicity, 1999

|  | Pittsburgh City | Allegheny <br> County | Pittsburgh MSA | United <br> States |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Median Household Income | $\$ 28,588$ | $\$ 38,329$ | $\$ 37,467$ | $\$ 41,994$ |
| White, Non-Hispanic | $\$ 32,692$ | $\$ 40,880$ | $\$ 39,025$ | $\$ 45,367$ |
| Black Alone | $\$ 20,075$ | $\$ 22,130$ | $\$ 22,271$ | $\$ 29,423$ |
| Asian Alone | $\$ 22,063$ | $\$ 42,254$ | $\$ 46,130$ | $\$ 51,908$ |
| Hispanic | $\$ 22,407$ | $\$ 32,224$ | $\$ 34,171$ | $\$ 33,676$ |

[^5]Appendix 4.6. Poverty Status in 1999 by Race, Gender, and Age Group, 2000

|  | Pittsburgh City |  | Allegheny County |  | Pittsburgh MSA |  | United States |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% Below <br> Poverty | Number | \% <br> Below <br> Poverty | Number | \% <br> Below <br> Poverty | Number | \% <br> Below <br> Poverty |
| Total Below Poverty | 63,866 | 20.4\% | 139,505 | 11.2\% | 248,640 | 10.8\% | 33,899,812 | 12.4\% |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 Yrs. and Under | 8,992 | 27.1\% | 21,225 | 14.9\% | 38,372 | 14.4\% | 5,968,154 | 16.4\% |
| 18 to 64 Yrs. | 16,517 | 17.5\% | 31,740 | 8.8\% | 55,253 | 8.2\% | 7,984,034 | 9.6\% |
| 65 Yrs. and Above | 1,842 | 9.2\% | 4,871 | 5.7\% | 9,273 | 5.8\% | 972,874 | 7.0\% |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 Yrs. and Under | 9,144 | 28.5\% | 21,050 | 15.6\% | 37,894 | 15.0\% | 5,778,704 | 16.7\% |
| 18 to 64 Yrs. | 22,167 | 21.8\% | 45,970 | 11.8\% | 81,330 | 11.4\% | 10,881,146 | 12.6\% |
| 65 Yrs. and Above | 5,204 | 16.2\% | 14,649 | 11.2\% | 26,518 | 11.2\% | 2,314,900 | 11.9\% |
| White, NonHispanic | 30,111 | 14.3\% | 83,882 | 8.0\% | 181,144 | 8.8\% | 15,414,119 | 8.1\% |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 Yrs. and Under | 2,231 | 12.9\% | 9,293 | 8.4\% | 23,838 | 10.6\% | 2,067,575 | 9.3\% |
| 18 to 64 Yrs. | 9,829 | 14.4\% | 21,281 | 6.8\% | 42,691 | 7.0\% | 3,903,000 | 6.6\% |
| 65 Yrs. and Above | 1,204 | 7.6\% | 3,792 | 4.8\% | 7,915 | 5.3\% | 612,690 | 5.2\% |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 Yrs. and Under | 2,338 | 14.4\% | 9,093 | 8.8\% | 22,992 | 10.8\% | 1,992,009 | 9.4\% |
| 18 to 64 Yrs. | 11,231 | 16.5\% | 28,661 | 8.8\% | 60,749 | 9.6\% | 5,280,856 | 8.8\% |
| 65 Yrs. and Above | 3,278 | 13.1\% | 11,762 | 9.9\% | 22,959 | 10.3\% | 1,557,989 | 9.7\% |

Appendix 4.6. Poverty Status in 1999 by Race, Gender, and Age Group, 2000, continued.

|  | Pittsburgh City |  | Allegheny County |  | Pittsburgh MSA |  | United States |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% Below <br> Poverty | Number | \% <br> Below <br> Poverty | Number | \% <br> Below <br> Poverty | Number | \% <br> Below <br> Poverty |
| Black Alone | 28,831 | 34.1\% | 46,793 | 30.9\% | 55,086 | 30.6\% | 8,146,146 | 24.9\% |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 Yrs. and Under | 6,205 | 45.1\% | 10,375 | 41.4\% | 12,022 | 41.1\% | 1,748,624 | 32.9\% |
| 18 to 64 Yrs. | 4,768 | 24.6\% | 7,694 | 21.4\% | 9,117 | 21.0\% | 1,513,024 | 17.2\% |
| 65 Yrs. and Above | 581 | 15.1\% | 970 | 15.2\% | 1,214 | 15.6\% | 185,026 | 18.3\% |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 Yrs. and Under | 6,318 | 35.3\% | 10,542 | 33.3\% | 12,441 | 33.2\% | 1,719,276 | 26.8\% |
| 18 to 64 Yrs. | 9,173 | 25.1\% | 14,579 | 22.9\% | 17,073 | 22.9\% | 2,537,577 | 19.0\% |
| 65 Yrs. and Above | 1,786 | 21.2\% | 2,633 | 20.1\% | 3,219 | 20.0\% | 442,619 | 21.1\% |
| Asian Alone | 2,409 | 30.4\% | 3,293 | 16.7\% | 3,666 | 15.5\% | 1,257,237 | 12.6\% |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 Yrs. and Under | 159 | 32.6\% | 350 | 16.5\% | 437 | 16.4\% | 176,787 | 14.3\% |
| 18 to 64 Yrs. | 1,140 | 30.7\% | 1,409 | 18.2\% | 1,516 | 17.0\% | 383,763 | 11.9\% |
| 65 Yrs. and Above | 24 | 39.3\% | 29 | 11.6\% | 33 | 10.1\% | 37,444 | 11.5\% |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 Yrs. and Under | 74 | 13.1\% | 167 | 8.3\% | 203 | 7.7\% | 166,938 | 14.2\% |
| 18 to 64 Yrs. | 960 | 32.0\% | 1,246 | 17.2\% | 1,385 | 16.1\% | 434,505 | 12.2\% |
| 65 Yrs. and Above | 52 | 48.1\% | 92 | 23.9\% | 92 | 18.9\% | 57,800 | 13.0\% |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau (2000). Summary File 4, PCT142: Poverty Status in 1999 by Sex by Age
(Population for whom poverty status is determined).
Appendix 4.7. Means of Transportation to Work for Workers 16 Years and Over, 2000

|  | Pittsburgh City |  | Allegheny County |  | Pittsburgh MSA |  | United States |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total Workers | 138,679 | $100 \%$ | 573,294 | $100 \%$ | $1,043,016$ | $100 \%$ | $124,559,458$ | $100 \%$ |
| White Alone, NH | 104,601 | $100 \%$ | 505,295 | $100 \%$ | 961,126 | $100 \%$ | $94,286,396$ | $100 \%$ |
| Black Alone | 28,327 | $100 \%$ | 53,549 | $100 \%$ | 63,322 | $100 \%$ | $12,694,546$ | $100 \%$ |
| Asian Alone | 3,867 | $100 \%$ | 9,617 | $100 \%$ | 11,514 | $100 \%$ | $4,674,922$ | $100 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 1,884 | $100 \%$ | 4,833 | $100 \%$ | 7,054 | $100 \%$ | $12,903,594$ | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Car, Truck, or Van | 92,602 | $66.8 \%$ | 474,263 | $82.7 \%$ | 914,738 | $87.7 \%$ | $110,418,331$ | $88.6 \%$ |
| White Alone, NH | 74,598 | $71.3 \%$ | 431,021 | $85.3 \%$ | 859,349 | $89.4 \%$ | $85,182,500$ | $90.3 \%$ |
| Black Alone | 15,309 | $54.0 \%$ | 33,119 | $61.8 \%$ | 41,618 | $65.7 \%$ | $10,489,848$ | $82.6 \%$ |
| Asian Alone | 1,737 | $44.9 \%$ | 6,660 | $69.3 \%$ | 8,346 | $72.5 \%$ | $3,851,662$ | $82.4 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 958 | $50.8 \%$ | 3,463 | $71.7 \%$ | 5,425 | $76.9 \%$ | $10,894,321$ | $84.4 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drive Alone | 76,729 | $55.3 \%$ | 416,423 | $72.6 \%$ | 812,743 | $77.9 \%$ | $95,208,103$ | $76.4 \%$ |
| White Alone, NH | 62,517 | $59.8 \%$ | 380,973 | $75.4 \%$ | 767,270 | $79.8 \%$ | $75,703,522$ | $80.3 \%$ |
| Black Alone | 12,155 | $42.9 \%$ | 26,999 | $50.4 \%$ | 33,906 | $53.5 \%$ | $8,442,110$ | $66.5 \%$ |
| Asian Alone | 1,315 | $34.0 \%$ | 5,463 | $56.8 \%$ | 6,923 | $60.1 \%$ | $3,112,409$ | $66.6 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 742 | $39.4 \%$ | 2,988 | $61.8 \%$ | 4,644 | $65.8 \%$ | $7,950,062$ | $61.6 \%$ |

Appendix 4.7. Means of Transportation to Work for Workers 16 Years and Over, 2000, continued.

|  | Pittsburgh City |  | Allegheny County |  | Pittsburgh MSA |  | United States |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Carpooled | 15,873 | $11.4 \%$ | 57,840 | $10.1 \%$ | 101,995 | $9.8 \%$ | $15,210,228$ | $12.2 \%$ |
| White Alone, NH | 12,081 | $11.5 \%$ | 50,048 | $9.9 \%$ | 92,079 | $9.6 \%$ | $9,478,978$ | $10.1 \%$ |
| Black Alone | 3,154 | $11.1 \%$ | 6,120 | $11.4 \%$ | 7,712 | $12.2 \%$ | $2,047,738$ | $16.1 \%$ |
| Asian Alone | 422 | $10.9 \%$ | 1,197 | $12.4 \%$ | 1,423 | $12.4 \%$ | 739,253 | $15.8 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 216 | $11.5 \%$ | 475 | $9.8 \%$ | 781 | $11.1 \%$ | $2,944,259$ | $22.8 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Transportation | 28,562 | $20.6 \%$ | 60,075 | $10.5 \%$ | 64,308 | $6.2 \%$ | $5,920,934$ | $4.8 \%$ |
| White Alone, NH | 16,656 | $15.9 \%$ | 41,285 | $8.2 \%$ | 44,940 | $4.7 \%$ | $2,711,054$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| Black Alone | 10,421 | $36.8 \%$ | 16,442 | $30.7 \%$ | 16,923 | $26.7 \%$ | $1,567,025$ | $12.3 \%$ |
| Asian Alone | 936 | $24.2 \%$ | 1,503 | $15.6 \%$ | 1,542 | $13.4 \%$ | 480,478 | $10.3 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 549 | $29.1 \%$ | 845 | $17.5 \%$ | 903 | $12.8 \%$ | $1,162,377$ | $9.0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bicycle | 617 | $0.4 \%$ | 875 | $0.2 \%$ | 1,135 | $0.1 \%$ | 473,066 | $0.4 \%$ |
| White Alone, NH | 519 | $0.5 \%$ | 757 | $0.1 \%$ | 996 | $0.1 \%$ | 330,966 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Black Alone | 39 | $0.1 \%$ | 57 | $0.1 \%$ | 78 | $0.1 \%$ | 33,856 | $0.3 \%$ |
| Asian Alone | 42 | $1.1 \%$ | 42 | $0.4 \%$ | 42 | $0.4 \%$ | 19,533 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 17 | $0.9 \%$ | 19 | $0.4 \%$ | 19 | $0.3 \%$ | 88,711 | $0.7 \%$ |

Appendix 4.7. Means of Transportation to Work for Workers 16 Years and Over, 2000, continued.

|  | Pittsburgh City |  | Allegheny County |  | Pittsburgh MSA | United States |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Walked | 13,582 | $9.8 \%$ | 23,593 | $4.1 \%$ | 37,286 | $3.6 \%$ | $3,643,091$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| White Alone, NH | 10,206 | $9.8 \%$ | 18,909 | $3.7 \%$ | 31,685 | $3.3 \%$ | $2,497,048$ | $2.6 \%$ |
| Black Alone | 2,015 | $7.1 \%$ | 3,085 | $5.8 \%$ | 3,738 | $5.9 \%$ | 413,495 | $3.3 \%$ |
| Asian Alone | 1,041 | $26.9 \%$ | 1,170 | $12.2 \%$ | 1,301 | $11.3 \%$ | 210,036 | $4.5 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 320 | $17.0 \%$ | 429 | $8.9 \%$ | 562 | $8.0 \%$ | 522,512 | $4.0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Worked at Home | 3,316 | $2.4 \%$ | 14,488 | $2.5 \%$ | 25,549 | $2.4 \%$ | $4,104,036$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| White Alone, NH | 2,622 | $2.5 \%$ | 13,323 | $2.6 \%$ | 24,156 | $2.5 \%$ | $3,564,828$ | $3.8 \%$ |
| Black Alone | 543 | $1.9 \%$ | 846 | $1.6 \%$ | 965 | $1.5 \%$ | 190,322 | $1.5 \%$ |
| Asian Alone | 111 | $2.9 \%$ | 242 | $2.5 \%$ | 283 | $2.5 \%$ | 113,213 | $2.4 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 40 | $2.1 \%$ | 77 | $1.6 \%$ | 145 | $2.1 \%$ | 235,673 | $1.8 \%$ |

[^6]Appendix 4.8. Vehicles Available in Households by Race and Ethnicity, 2000

Source: http://www.albany.edu/mumford/census
Appendix 5.2. Allegheny County Quality of Life Survey, 2003
Appendix 6.1. Serious Mental Illness by Gender, Income, Education, and Race, 2002

|  | White |  | African American |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Total serious mental Illness | 252 | 8.3 | 49 | 10.9 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 72 | 7.9 | 16 | 12.7 |
| Female | 161 | 8.3 | 33 | 10.2 |
| Income |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq \$ 25,000$ | 141 | 11.4 | 34 | 13.3 |
| $>\$ 25,000$ | 43 | 7.1 | 3 | 10.7 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| High School and Less | 102 | 8.8 | 27 | 12.1 |
| Some College | 63 | 7.0 | 16 | 10.7 |
| College | 68 | 8.0 | 6 | 9.6 |

[^7]Appendix 7.1. Arrests of Juveniles Age 17 and Under by Offense Type and Race, City of Pittsburgh, 2004

|  | Total | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ethnicity <br> Hispanic |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | White |  |  | African American |  |  | Asian |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number | Number | Percent of Arrests | Per 100,000 Pop. | Number | Percent of Arrests | Per 100,000 Pop. | Number | Percent of Arrests | Per 100,000 Pop. | Number | Percent of Arrests | Per 100,000 Pop. |
| Total Arrests | 2,284 | 589 | 25.8\% | 1741.1 | 1,680 | 73.6\% | 5936.2 | 14 | 0.6\% | 1259.0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Crime Index | 733 | 142 | 19.4\% | 419.7 | 588 | 80.2\% | 2077.7 | 2 | 0.3\% | 179.9 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Violent Crime Index | 370 | 48 | 13.0\% | 141.9 | 320 | 86.5\% | 1130.7 | 1 | 0.3\% | 89.9 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Property Crime Index | 363 | 94 | 25.9\% | 277.9 | 268 | 73.8\% | 947.0 | 1 | 0.3\% | 89.9 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Murder/Nonnegligent manslaughter | 7 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 | 7 | 100.0\% | 24.7 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 | 0 | 0\% | 0.0 |
| Drug Abuse Violations | 220 | 41 | 18.6\% | 121.2 | 173 | 78.6\% | 611.3 | 6 | 2.7\% | 539.6 | 0 | 0\% | 0.0 |

Source: Pennsylvania State Police's Uniform Crime Reporting System (PAUCR), 2002 (2003 Reporting Period), found at http://ucr.psp.state.pa.us/UCR/ComMain.asp?SID =
Note: White and Black may include Hispanic.
Appendix 7.2. Arrests of Adults Age 18 and Over by Offense Type and Race, City of Pittsburgh, 2004

|  | Total | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ethnicity <br> Hispanic |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | White |  |  | African American |  |  | Asian |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number | Number | Percent of Arrests | Per 100,000 Pop. | Number | Percent of Arrests | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & 100,000 \\ & \text { Pop. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Number | Percent of Arrests | Per 100,000 Pop. | Number | Percent of Arrests | Per 100,000 Pop. |
| Total Arrests | 14,510 | 6,726 | 46.4\% | 3537.2 | 7,676 | 52.9\% | 12291.6 | 83 | 0.6\% | 1026.85 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Crime Index | 2,667 | 946 | 35.5\% | 497.5 | 1,693 | 63.5\% | 2711.0 | 25 | 0.9\% | 309.29 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Violent Crime Index | 1,240 | 353 | 28.5\% | 185.6 | 880 | 71.0\% | 1409.1 | 5 | 0.4\% | 61.86 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Property <br> Crime Index | 1,427 | 593 | 41.6\% | 311.9 | 813 | 57.0\% | 1301.9 | 20 | 1.4\% | 247.43 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Murder/Nonnegligent manslaughter | 41 | 4 | 9.8\% | 2.1 | 37 | 90.2\% | 59.2 | 0 | 0\% | 0.00 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Arson | 15 | 8 | 53.3\% | 4.2 | 7 | 46.7\% | 11.2 |  |  | 0.00 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Drug Abuse Violations | 2,879 | 1,158 | 40.2\% | 609.0 | 1,712 | 59.5\% | 2741.4 | 8 | 0.3\% | 98.97 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 |

Source: Pennsylvania State Police's Uniform Crime Reporting System (PAUCR), 2002 (2003 Reporting Period), found at http://ucr.psp.state.pa.us/UCR/ComMain.asp?SID=.

[^8]Appendix 7.3. Arrests of Juveniles Age 17 and Under by Offense Type and Race, Allegheny County, 2004

|  | Total | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Ethnicity } \\ \hline \text { Hispanic } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | White |  |  | African American |  |  | Asians |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number | Number | Percent of Arrests | Per 100,000 Pop. | Number | Percent of Arrests | Per 100,000 Pop. | Number | Percent of <br> Arrests | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Per } \\ 100,000 \\ \text { Pop. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Number | Percent of <br> Arrests | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Per } \\ & 100,000 \\ & \text { Pop. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Arrests | 7,258 | 3,829 | 52.8\% | 1777.6 | 3,402 | 46.9\% | 6680.7 | 26 | 0.4\% | 593.88 | 25 | 0.3\% | 741.6 |
| Crime Index | 1,365 | 474 | 34.7\% | 220.1 | 886 | 64.9\% | 1739.9 | 4 | 0.3\% | 91.37 | 6 | 0.4\% | 178.0 |
| Violent Crime Index | 583 | 136 | 23.3\% | 63.1 | 445 | 76.3\% | 873.9 | 1 | 0.2\% | 22.84 | 2 | 0.3\% | 59.3 |
| Property <br> Crime Index | 782 | 338 | 43.2\% | 156.9 | 441 | 56.4\% | 866.0 | 3 | 0.4\% | 68.52 | 4 | 0.5\% | 118.7 |
| Murder/Nonnegligent manslaughter | 7 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 | 7 | 100.0\% | 13.7 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.00 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Drug Abuse Violations | 502 | 248 | 49.4\% | 115.1 | 248 | 49.4\% | 487.0 | 6 | 1.2\% | 137.05 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 |

Source: Pennsylvania State Police's Uniform Crime Reporting System (PAUCR), 2002 (2003 Reporting Period), found at http://ucr.psp.state.pa.us/UCR/ComMain.asp?SID=.
Note: White and Black may include Hispanic.
Source: Pennsylvania State Police's Uniform Crime Reporting System (PAUCR), 2002 (2003 Reporting Period), found at http://ucr.psp.state.pa.us/UCR/ComMain.asp?SID=.
Note: White and Black may include Hispanic.
Appendix 7.4. Arrests of Adults Age 18 and Over by Offense Type and Race, Allegheny County, 2004

|  | Total | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ethnicity <br> Hispanic |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | White |  |  | African American |  |  | Asian |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number | Number | Percent of Arrests | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & 100,000 \\ & \text { Pop. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Number | Percent of Arrests | Per 100,000 Pop. | Number | Percents of Arrests | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & 100,000 \\ & \text { Pop. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Number | Percent of Arrests | Per <br> 100,000 <br> Pop. |
| Total Arrests | 35,068 | 22,302 | 63.6\% | 2597.1 | 12,593 | 35.9\% | 11645.6 | 133 | 0.4\% | 765.2 | 104 | 0.3\% | 1334.2 |
| Crime Index | 6,015 | 3,279 | 54.5\% | 381.8 | 2,694 | 44.8\% | 2491.3 | 35 | 0.6\% | 201.4 | 12 | 0.2\% | 153.9 |
| Violent Crime Index | 2354 | 4 | 0.2\% | 0.5 | 48 | 2.0\% | 44.4 | 8 | 0.3\% | 46.0 | 6 | 0.3\% | 77.0 |
| Property <br> Crime Index | 3661 | 2246 | 61.3\% | 261.5 | 1386 | 37.9\% | 1281.7 | 27 | 0.7\% | 155.4 | 6 | 0.2\% | 77.0 |
| Murder/Nonnegligent manslaughter | 53 | 4 | 7.5\% | 0.5 | 48 | 90.6\% | 44.4 | 0 | 0\% | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Drug Abuse Violations | 5,199 | 2,736 | 52.6\% | 318.6 | 2,452 | 47.2\% | 2267.5 | 9 | 0.2\% | 51.8 | 9 | 0.2\% | 115.5 |

Appendix 7.5. Arrests of Juveniles Age 17 and Under by Offense Type and Race, Pittsburgh MSA, 2004

|  | Total | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ethnicity <br> Hispanic |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | White |  |  | African American |  |  | Asian |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number | Number | Percent of Arrests | Per 100,000 Pop. | Number | Percent of Arrests | Per 100,000 Pop. | Number | Percent of Arrests | Per 100,000 Pop. | Number | Percent of Arrests | Per 100,000 Pop. |
| Total Arrests | 12,603 | 8,188 | 65.0\% | 1854.1 | 4,378 | 34.7\% | 7206.8 | 34 | 0.3\% | 617.3 | 47 | 0.4\% | 859.4 |
| Crime Index | 2,383 | 1,301 | 54.6\% | 294.6 | 1,058 | 44.4\% | 1741.6 | 8 | 0.3\% | 145.2 | 11 | 0.5\% | 201.1 |
| Violent Crime Index | 789 | 269 | 34.1\% | 60.9 | 517 | 65.5\% | 851.1 | 2 | 0.3\% | 36.3 | 2 | 0.3\% | 36.6 |
| Property <br> Crime Index | 1,594 | 1,036 | 65.0\% | 234.6 | 551 | 34.6\% | 907.0 | 6 | 0.4\% | 108.9 | 9 | 0.6\% | 164.6 |
| Murder/Nonnegligent manslaughter | 7 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 | 7 | 100.0\% | 11.5 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Drug Abuse Violations | 788 | 481 | 61.0\% | 108.9 | 301 | 38.2\% | 495.5 | 6 | 0.8\% | 108.9 | 1 | 0.1\% | 18.3 |

Source: Pennsylvania State Police's Uniform Crime Reporting System (PAUCR), 2002 (2003 Reporting Period), found at http://ucr.psp.state.pa.us/UCR/ComMain.asp?SID=.
Note: White and Black may include Hispanic.
Appendix 7.6. Arrests of Adults Age 18 and Over by Offense Type and Race, Pittsburgh MSA, 2004

|  | Total | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ethnicity <br> Hispanic |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | White |  |  | African American |  |  | Asian |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number | Number | Percent of Arrests | Per 100,000 Pop. | Number | Percent of Arrests | Per 100,000 Pop. | Number | Percent of Arrests | Per 100,000 Pop. | Number | Percent of Arrests | Per 100,000 Pop. |
| Total Arrests | 66,614 | 50,126 | 75.2\% | 3021.7 | 16,262 | 24.4\% | 12532.1 | 168 | 0.3\% | 815.1 | 340 | 0.5\% | 2923.2 |
| Crime Index | 11,514 | 8,017 | 69.6\% | 483.3 | 3,446 | 29.9\% | 2655.6 | 40 | 0.3\% | 194.1 | 64 | 0.6\% | 550.3 |
| Violent <br> Crime Index | 3,746 | 2,097 | 56.0\% | 126.4 | 1,634 | 43.6\% | 1259.2 | 9 | 0.2\% | 43.7 | 20 | 0.5\% | 172.0 |
| Property Crime Index | 7,768 | 5,920 | 76.2\% | 356.9 | 1,812 | 23.3\% | 1396.4 | 31 | 0.4\% | 150.4 | 44 | 0.6\% | 378.3 |
| Murder/Nonnegligent manslaughter | 67 | 16 | 23.9\% | 1.0 | 57 | 85.1\% | 43.9 | 1 | 1\% | 4.9 | 0 | 0.0\% | 0.0 |
| Drug Abuse Violations | 7,580 | 4,551 | 60.0\% | 274.3 | 3,014 | 39.8\% | 2322.7 | 11 | 0.1\% | 53.4 | 19 | 0.3\% | 163.4 |

Source: Pennsylvania State Police's Uniform Crime Reporting System (PAUCR), 2002 (2003 Reporting Period), found at http://ucr.psp.state.pa.us/UCR/ComMain.asp?SID=.
Note: White and Black may include Hispanic.
Appendix 7.7. Arrests of Juveniles Age 17 and Under by Offense Type and Race, United States, 2002

|  | Total | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ethnicity <br> Hispanic |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | White |  |  | African American |  |  | Asian or Pacific Islander |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number | Number | Percent of Arrests | Per $100,000$ <br> Pop. | Number | Percent of Arrests | Per $100,000$ <br> Pop. | Number | Percent of Arrests | Per $100,000$ <br> Pop. | Number | Percent of Arrests | Per <br> 100,000 <br> Pop. |
| Total Arrests | 1,620,594 | 1,158,776 | 71.5\% | 2632.0 | 415,854 | 25.7\% | 3820.2 | 25,581 | 1.6\% | 1037.8 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Crime Index | 414,670 | 278,547 | 67.2\% | 632.7 | 123,127 | 29.7\% | 1131.1 | 7,685 | 1.9\% | 311.8 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Violent Crime Index | 66,390 | 36,297 | 54.7\% | 82.4 | 28,448 | 42.8\% | 261.3 | 959 | 1.4\% | 38.9 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Property Crime Index | 348,280 | 242,250 | 69.6\% | 550.2 | 94,679 | 27.2\% | 869.8 | 6,726 | 1.9\% | 272.9 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Murder/Nonnegligent manslaughter | 972 | 446 | 45.9\% | 1.0 | 487 | 50.1\% | 4.5 | 16 | 1.6\% | 0.6 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Drug Abuse Violations | 133,494 | 97,766 | 73.2\% | 222.1 | 33,208 | 24.9\% | 305.1 | 1,368 | 1.0\% | 55.5 | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Source: U.S. Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Crime in the United States, 2002 (Washington, DC: USGPO, 2003) pp.252-254.
Note: Data unavailable for Hispanics.
Appendix 7.8. Arrests of Adults Age 18 and Over by Offense Type and Race, United States, 2002

|  | Total | Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ethnicity <br> Hispanic |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | White |  |  | African American |  |  | Asian |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number | Number | Percent of Arrests | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & 100,000 \\ & \text { Pop. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Number | Percent of Arrests | Per 100,000 Pop. | Number | Percent of Arrests | Per 100,000 Pop. | Number | Percent of Arrests | Per $100,000$ <br> Pop. |
| Total Arrests | 8,176,791 | 5,764,614 | 70.5\% | 3829.7 | 2,217,778 | 27.1\% | 9329.2 | 84,146 | 1.0\% | 1045.3 | N/a | N/a | N/a |
| Crime Index | 1,199,464 | 779,299 | 65.0\% | 517.7 | 391,642 | 32.7\% | 1647.5 | 15,346 | 1.3\% | 190.6 | N/a | N/a | N/a |
| Violent Crime Index | 379,966 | 230,384 | 60.6\% | 153.1 | 141,077 | 37.1\% | 593.4 | 4,296 | 1.1\% | 53.4 | N/a | N/a | N/a |
| Property Crime Index | 819,498 | 548,915 | 67.0\% | 364.7 | 250,565 | 30.6\% | 1054.0 | 11,050 | 1.3\% | 137.3 | N/a | N/a | N/a |
| Murder/Nonnegligent manslaughter | 9,127 | 4,368 | 47.9\% | 2.9 | 4,560 | 50.0\% | 19.2 | 107 | 1.2\% | 1.3 | N/a | N/a | N/a |
| Drug Abuse <br> Violations | 968,053 | 631,031 | 65.2\% | 419.2 | 324,517 | 33.5\% | 1365.1 | 6,809 | 0.7\% | 84.6 | N/a | N/a | N/a |

Source: U.S. Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Crime in the United States, 2002 (Washington, DC: USGPO, 2003) pp.252-254.
Note: Data unavailable for Hispanics.
Appendix 7.9. Murder Victims Rate Age 17 and Under by Race, 2004

|  | Total | Race |  |  |  |  |  | Ethnicity |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | White |  | African American |  | Asian |  | Hispanic |  |  |  |
| Region | Number | Number | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ 100,000 \\ \text { Pop. } \end{gathered}$ | Number | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ 100,000 \\ \text { Pop. } \end{gathered}$ | Number | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Per } \\ 100,000 \\ \text { Pop. } \end{gathered}$ | Number | $\begin{gathered} \text { Per } \\ 100,000 \\ \text { Pop. } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| City of Pittsburgh | 6 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 21.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Allegheny County | 9 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 17.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Pittsburgh MSA | 12 | 3 | 0.7 | 9 | 14.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| United States | 1357 | 689 | 1.6 | 610 | 5.6 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |  |  |

Source: Pennsylvania Department of Health Statistics and Research, 2002,
found at http://www.dsf.health.state.pa.us/health/cwp/view.asp? $a=175 \& Q=201652$.
Note: White and Black may include Hispanic.
Appendix 7.10. Murder Victims Rate Age 18 and Over by Race, 2004
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[^0]:    Source: Appendix 2.2

[^1]:    Source: U.S. Census Bureau (2000). Summary File 1, P12B, P12D, P12H, P12I: Sex by Age.

[^2]:    Source: U.S. Census Bureau (2000). Summary File 4, QT-P18. Marital Status by Sex, Unmarried-Partner Households, and Grandparents as Caregivers.
    *Excludes separated.

[^3]:    Source: U.S. Census Data (2000). Summary File 1, P12. Sex by Age (Total population). Summary File 4, P.145G. Sex by Age (Two or More Races).

[^4]:    Source: National Center for Education Statistics (2002). Note: data are available for only non-Hispanic Whites, Blacks, and Asian and Pacific Islanders. Data for the Metropolitan Statistical Area is for 2001-2002, which is the most current available

[^5]:    Source: U.S. Census Bureau (2000). Summary File 4, PCT89: Median Household Income in 1999 (Dollars).

[^6]:    Source: U.S. Census Bureau (2000).Summary File 4, PCT55: Means of Transportation to Work for Workers 16 Years and Over Detailed Tables.

    Note: Public transportation includes bus or trolley bus, streetcar or trolley car, subway or elevated, railroad,
    ferryboat, and taxicab.

[^7]:    Source: Ravi Sharma, Graduate School of Public Health, University of Pittsburgh, and Joan Epstein, Peggy Barker, Michael Vorburger, and Christine Murtha,

    Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration,
    Serious Mental Illness and Its Co-Occurrence with Substance Use Disorders, 2002

[^8]:    Note: White and Black may include Hispanic.

[^9]:    Source: Pennsylvania Department of Health Statistics and Research, 2002, found at http://www.dsf.health.state.pa.us/health/cwp/view.asp? $a=175 \& Q=201652$.

    Note: White and Black may include Hispanic.

