Kinetics of Hydrogen Absorption and Desorption in LaNi\textsubscript{5-x}Al\textsubscript{x} Slurries
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The kinetics of hydrogen absorption and desorption in LaNi\textsubscript{5-x}Al\textsubscript{x}, LaNi\textsubscript{5}Al\textsubscript{0.2}, and LaNi\textsubscript{5}, suspended in cyclohexane and LaNi\textsubscript{5}, in ethanol have been investigated. The absorption process can be described in terms of mass transfer and reaction resistances in series. The rate-limiting steps for this process are dissolution of hydrogen in the solvent and the reaction of hydrogen with the metal alloy. Over a broad range of hydrogen loadings, the reaction of hydrogen with the metal follows the rate equation:

\[ R_{\text{abs}} = k_{\alpha}a(C_{\text{H}_2} - C_{\text{eq},\alpha}) \]

This type of kinetic equation indicates that during hydride formation in a slurry a surface process is the rate-determining step, which was confirmed by the observed influence of the solvent. Desorption of hydrogen can be described best with a shrinking core relation:

\[ 1 - (1 - X)^{1/3} = k_{\beta} \ln\left(\frac{P_{\text{eq},\beta}}{P_{\text{H}_2}}\right)t \]

The phase transformation at the \( \beta/\alpha \) boundary appears to be the rate-determining step. Alloys with higher aluminum contents produce a lower reaction rate constant.

Introduction

The determination of hydrogen absorption and desorption rates by hydride forming metal alloys (for example, LaNi\textsubscript{5}, FeTi, Mg\textsubscript{2}Ni) has received much attention in the literature. These alloys can be applied in gas-solid systems (Goodell and Rudman, 1983) and they can be suspended in inert solvents (Beenackers and van Swaaij, 1982; Johnson and Reilly, 1986). Knowledge of the absorption/desorption rates is necessary for a good dimensioning of hydrogen recovery units (Holstvoogd et al., 1989). A relatively new application of metal hydrides is their use as catalysts (Wallace et al., 1980). The hydrogen transport from the bulk of the metal hydride particles to the surface is an important step during a hydrogenation reaction with hydrogen which is supplied by the hydride. Therefore, the hydrogen desorption kinetics are required for a complete understanding of the hydrogenation mechanism. The experiments as described in the present study, are a part of the investigations on cyclohexene hydrogenation with LaNi\textsubscript{5}Al\textsubscript{0.2}, LaNi\textsubscript{5}Al\textsubscript{0.1}, and LaNi\textsubscript{5}, suspended in cyclohexane or ethanol (Snijder et al., 1992). The absorption rates of hydrogen in these slurries have been measured in the present work.

Theory

The ability of alkali and earth alkali to react with hydrogen in order to form metal hydrides has been discovered already almost a century ago. Well-known examples are LiH and NaH in which the interaction between the metal and hydrogen is via an ionic bond. Later, hydrides like LiAlH\textsubscript{4}, NaBH\textsubscript{4}, and types with a covalent bond based on BH\textsubscript{3} have been developed. A new class of hydride forming metal alloys was developed in the late 1960s. Examples are FeTi, Mg\textsubscript{2}Ni (Reilly and Wiswall, 1968, 1974) and LaNi\textsubscript{5} (Van Vucht et al., 1970). These are...
crystalline metal alloys which absorb hydrogen in free interstitial sites of the crystal structure, the type of bonding is of a metallic nature (Reilly, 1977).

Several mass transfer and reaction steps take place when hydrogen is absorbed in a metal hydride, see for example, Flanagan (1978) and Park and Lee (1982). On the surface of the particles, two different regions have been recognized: Ni and La₂O₃/La(OH)₃ (Wallace et al., 1979). During absorption, hydrogen is chemisorbed and dissociated on the nickel. H atoms diffuse on the nickel surface to the Ni-La₂O₃ interface, along the boundary between the Ni and La₂O₃ regions to the underlying metal hydride and then through the hydride (β phase) to the unconverted metal (α phase). Here the phase transformation takes place. For desorption these processes proceed vice versa.

When a metal hydride is suspended in an inert solvent, two additional mass-transfer steps have to be included, as was done earlier by Ptasinski et al. (1986) and Tung et al. (1986). The following steps can be distinguished:

1. \( H_2(g) \rightarrow H_2(l) \) mass transfer from gas to liquid
2. \( H_2(l) \rightarrow H_2(s) \) mass transfer from liquid to solids
3. \( H_2(s) \rightarrow H_2(ads) \) chemisorption of hydrogen on the metal surface
4. \( H(ads) \rightarrow 2H(ads) \) dissociation of hydrogen into atoms
5. \( H(ads) \rightarrow H(\alpha-\beta \text{ interface}) \) diffusion on the Ni surface and in the bulk of the material
6. \( H(\alpha) \rightarrow H(\beta) \) phase transformation at the metal/metal hydride interface

The various steps for both absorption and desorption are shown in Figure 1. Since all steps occur simultaneously, the slowest step will limit the rate of the total process.

For pure hydrogen, no gas phase mass-transfer resistance will occur and the first mass-transfer step for hydrogen entering the slurry is at the liquid side of the gas-liquid interface. The volumetric mass-transfer coefficient \( k_a \) characterizes this step. Around the metal particles there is another diffusion layer, the corresponding volumetric mass-transfer coefficient is the \( k_a \). When it is assumed that the mass-transfer processes are in series (for further discussion on that subject, see the discussion section), the molar fluxes through the interfaces can be written as:

\[
J_{H_2} = k_a (C_{H_2,l} - C_{H_2,s})
\]

If the bulk concentration \( C_{H_2,s} \) is constant, both fluxes are equal to the hydrogen absorption rate: \( J_{H_2} = J_{H_2} = R_{abs} \). Furthermore, it is assumed that at the gas-liquid interface both phases are in equilibrium. Applying Henry’s law gives \( C_{H_2,s} = m_{H_2} C_{H_2,g} \). Equations 1 and 2 can be rearranged to:

\[
C_{H_2,s} = m_{H_2} C_{H_2,g} - R_{abs} \left( \frac{1}{k_a} + \frac{1}{k_a^*} \right)
\]

The absorption rate \( R_{abs} \) is determined experimentally. With data for \( k_a \) and \( k_a^* \), the concentration close to the surface \( C_{H_2,s} \) can be calculated according to Eq. 3. The rate limiting step for hydrogen absorption in a metal hydride, suspended in a solvent, can then be obtained from the relation between \( R_{abs} \), \( C_{H_2,s} \) and the hydrogen concentration in the hydride.

For the analysis of the desorption experiments, the method of Hancock and Sharp (1972) was applied. They proposed to use a generalized equation, based on the Johnson-Mehl-Avrami (JMA) relation (Avrami, 1939, 1940, 1941; Johnson and Mehl, 1939), for the description of the solid phase reaction.

\[
X = 1 - \exp(-Bt^\alpha)
\]

The fraction reacted \( X \) is related to the hydrogen storage capacity of the hydride \( F \) according to:

\[
X = 1 - \frac{F}{F_0}
\]

with \( F = \frac{\text{number of hydrogen atoms}}{\text{La atoms}} = \frac{2n_{H_2,\text{hydr}}}{m_{\text{hydr}}/M_{\text{hydr}}} \)

Plotting \( \ln(-\ln(1-X)) \) vs. \( \ln(t) \) yields \( q \) as the value of the line. For \( 0.15 \leq X \leq 0.5 \) the value of \( q \) roughly indicates which rate equation may describe the reaction best. Some values for \( q \) and the corresponding rate equations are presented in Table 1 (Hancock and Sharp, 1972). Three groups of relations can be recognized: diffusion controlled \( (D_1, D_2) \), reaction controlled \( (zero-order, first-order, R_3) \) and processes which follow the JMA equation \( (A_2, A_3) \). Subsequently, the precise mechanism can be obtained by plotting some selected rate equations (based on the value of \( q \)) over a wider range for \( X \). According to Reilly et al. (1989), hydrogen bubbles are formed on the metal surface during hydrogen desorption from a hydride slurry. The metal hydride is then in equilibrium with the gas phase and concentration gradients in the liquid phase are absent during desorption, as shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Film model representation of hydrogen absorption and desorption in a slurry.
Table 1. Different Rate Limiting Mechanisms for Solid Phase Reactions According to Hancock and Sharp (1972)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$q$</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Equation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>$D_1$</td>
<td>$X^2 = kt$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>$D_2$</td>
<td>$[1 - (1 - X)^{1/2}]^2 = kt$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>first-order</td>
<td>$-\ln(1 - X) = kt$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>$R_3$</td>
<td>$1 - (1 - X)^{1/2} = kt$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>zero-order</td>
<td>$X = kt$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>$A_2$</td>
<td>$[-\ln(1 - X)]^{1/2} = kt$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>$A_3$</td>
<td>$[-\ln(1 - X)]^{1/3} = kt$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Experimental Procedure

Experimental setups and activation

The experiments were carried out in two parallel setups (I and II), the experimental technique is identical for both. A schematic flowsheet is given in Figure 2. The addition of hydrogen from a storage vessel $V_s$ to the stirred batch reactor takes place through a pressure regulator, resulting in a constant hydrogen pressure in the reactor throughout an experiment. Hydrogen consumption in the slurry is determined by means of the pressure drop in the storage vessel. Via a back pressure regulator the reactor is connected to another vessel, $V_d$. During a desorption experiment the reactor is kept at a constant pressure by venting the evolved hydrogen through the back pressure regulator. Hydrogen desorption is followed by measuring the pressure increase in the previously evacuated desorption vessel. The experimental conditions and the geometrical details of both setups are listed in Table 2. Prior to the use in the experiments, the solvents are stored on molecular sieves for a few days and then degassed with oxygen free nitrogen (treated with active CuO catalyst) and finally stored under nitrogen.

The metal alloys LaNi$_{4.5}$Al$_{0.3}$ and LaNi$_{5}$ were provided by Japan Metals & Chemicals (JMC), LaNi$_{4.5}$Al$_{0.3}$ was obtained from Highways International. Cyclohexane and ethanol were supplied by Merck (≥ 99.9%) and hydrogen by Hoekloos (≥ 99.999%). At 333 K the raw materials were first activated by about 20 hydriding/dehydriding cycles with hydrogen (at 25 bar and at 0.1 mbar resp.). After addition of solvent to the reactor still more cycles were carried out, in total about 40-50. During the activation process, the particles pulverize until a stable diameter is reached. Several properties of the slurries are given in Table 3. The density of the alloys ($\rho_{hydr}$) was determined with a pycnometer, using methanol as solvent. Equilibrium measurements for the hydrogen/metal hydride

Table 2. Experimental Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Setup</th>
<th>$m_{hydr}$ kg</th>
<th>$10^6 \times V_{ed}$ m$^3$</th>
<th>$10^6 \times V_{ed}$ m$^3$</th>
<th>$\epsilon_i$</th>
<th>$n$ (rpm)</th>
<th>$10^6 \times V_a$ m$^3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LaNi$_5$/cyclohexane</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>620.6</td>
<td>317.4</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>1,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaNi$_5$/ethanol</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>145.9</td>
<td>404.9</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaNi$<em>{4.5}$Al$</em>{0.2}$/cyclohexane</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>620.6</td>
<td>317.4</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>1,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaNi$<em>{4.5}$Al$</em>{0.2}$/cyclohexane</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>312.2</td>
<td>238.6</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$V_a=4609.5 \times 10^{-6}$m$^3$

sirr setup I: two blade propeller setup II: six blade turbine

Table 3. Properties of the Slurries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>$M_{hydr}$ kg/mol</th>
<th>$A_{hydr}$ m$^2$/kg</th>
<th>$\rho_{hydr}$ kg/m$^3$</th>
<th>$d_p \times 10^6$ m</th>
<th>$\Delta H^*$ kJ/mol</th>
<th>$\Delta S^*$ J/(mol·K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LaNi$<em>{4.5}$Al$</em>{0.2}$</td>
<td>0.4261</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>8,110</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>abs.*</td>
<td>-33.375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaNi$<em>{4.5}$Al$</em>{0.3}$</td>
<td>0.4293</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>8,200</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>abs.*</td>
<td>-31.730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaNi$_5$</td>
<td>0.4325</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>8,290</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>abs.*</td>
<td>-31.280</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

cyclohexane

$D_{D}$ = 4.7803 $\times 10^{-4}$ exp($-3.239/T$)

$H_{D}$ = 189 $\times 10^{-8}$ exp($-454.0/T$)

equilibrium

ethanol

$H_{D} = 101 \times 10^{-6}$ exp($-317.5/T$)

*Absorption

**Desorption

Figure 2. Experimental setup.
system have been carried out in a separate setup, consisting of a reactor and a hydrogen storage vessel. After the supply of a small amount of hydrogen to the reactor, equilibrium was allowed to establish. The temperature and pressure both in the reactor and storage vessel were recorded, next, a new amount of hydrogen was supplied to the reactor. This was repeated until the maximum pressure was reached. With the obtained data, the amount of hydrogen in the hydride \( F \) has been derived. The \( \Delta H^o \) and \( \Delta S^o \) values as listed in Table 3 were calculated with the experimentally determined relations for the equilibrium pressures as a function of \( 1/T \) by the application of a van’t Hoff relation (\( \ln(P_o - \Delta H^o/RT - \Delta S^o/T) \)). More details are provided by Snijder (1992). With the completely pulverized metal powder, the determination of the surface area \( (A_{\text{hydr}}) \) and the particle-size distribution were performed. The surface area has been measured by means of nitrogen adsorption at 77 K. The particle-size distribution, resulting in an average particle diameter, has been obtained with a laser diffraction particle-size distribution analyzer (Horiba LA-500). The solubility of hydrogen in cyclohexane is presented as a Henry coefficient and was measured in a separate gas/liquid equilibrium setup. The hydrogen solubility is related to the Henry coefficient according to: \( m_{\text{H}_2} = H_{\text{H}_2}RT \). The values for ethanol are available in the literature (Clever, 1981). Finally, the diffusion coefficient of hydrogen in cyclohexane was determined in the diaphragm cell as described by Littel et al. (1992).

**Absorption**

Before every absorption experiment, the metal hydride is sorbed until the reactor pressure is equal to the vapor pressure of the solvent. The reactor is then pressurized up to the desired value, after which the stirrer is started. During the hydrogen absorption, the pressure in the storage vessel and the temperature in the reactor are recorded. The reactor pressure is kept constant within 0.02 bar, the temperature increase depends on the pressure and temperature in the reactor (typically 2-4 K). The hydrogen absorption rate \( R_{\text{abs}} \) can be calculated with the pressure change in the absorption storage vessel \( V_s \):

\[
R_{\text{abs}} = -\frac{V_s}{zRT_s} \frac{dP_s}{dt}
\]  

(6)

According to Ptasinski et al. (1986) and Tung et al. (1986) the value of the \( k_a \) is not influenced appreciably by small metal particles at low volume concentrations. In the present investigations, the slurries contain only 1-2 vol% metal alloy. Therefore, the value of \( k_a \) can be determined by measuring the sorption rate in pure solvents at experimental conditions which are identical to those during hydrogen absorption in the slurry. Before hydrogen absorption, the solvents are completely desorbed. After the supply of hydrogen to the reactor, the stirrer is started. During the absorption experiment, no further hydrogen is supplied to the reactor and pressure in the reactor follows the equation:

\[
\frac{V_s}{zRT_s} \frac{dP_{\text{H}_2}}{dt} = -k_a \left( \frac{m_{\text{H}_2}P_{\text{H}_2}}{zRT_s} - C_{\text{H}_2}\right) \frac{V_s}{V}
\]

at \( t = 0 \): \( P_{\text{H}_2} = P_o \), \( C_{\text{H}_2} = 0 \)  

(7)

An overall mass balance provides the hydrogen concentration in the liquid bulk, \( P \), is the final pressure:

\[
C_{\text{H}_2}V_i + \frac{P_{\text{H}_2}}{zRT_s} V_s = \frac{P_f}{zRT_s} (m_{\text{H}_2}V_i + V_s)
\]

(8)

Substitution of \( C_{\text{H}_2} \) as derived from relation 8, into Eq. 7, followed by the integration of the differential equation yields:

\[
\ln \left( \frac{P_{\text{H}_2} - P_f}{P_f - P_o} \right) = - \left( \frac{m_{\text{H}_2}V_i}{V_s} + 1 \right) k_a t
\]

(9)

Constructing a Figure with the lefthand term of Eq. 9 against time yields \( k_a (1 + m_{\text{H}_2}V_i/V_s) \) as slope of the curve. The determination of the mass-transfer coefficient \( k_a \) has been studied extensively, for example, Sano et al. (1974), Marrone and Kirwan (1986) and Armenante (1983). Generally, the results are presented in dimensionless form as a Sherwood relation:

\[
Sh = 2 + \text{const} \left( \frac{E_p d_k}{D} \right)^n Sc^{1/3}
\]

(10)

The power input \( E_p \) has to be estimated with relations given by for example, Bates et al. (1963). In the case of very small particles \((10^{-3} \text{ m})\) and a kinematic viscosity of about \( 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s} \) for cyclohexane, the second part of the righthand term will be small, even for a relatively large power input. In the present study \( Sh = 2 \) was taken as a conservative estimate. Finally, the outer surface of the particles \( a_i \) has been calculated with:

\[
a_i = A_{\text{hydr}} \rho_{\text{hydr}} \delta
\]

(11)

It appeared that the calculated \( k_a \delta \), using the conservative estimate for the \( Sh \) number, was for all conditions very large as compared to the \( k_a \). Therefore, a better estimate for the Sherwood number will not have any effect on the results.

**Desorption**

The desorption experiments have been carried out according to the procedure as presented by Reilly et al. (1989). First, the hydride slurry was saturated at 0.5 to 1 bar above the absorption equilibrium pressure. The hydrogen pressure was reduced through the back pressure valve till 0.5-2.5 bar below the desorption equilibrium pressure, after which the stirrer was started immediately. The pressure in the desorption vessel and the reactor temperature were recorded, the reactor pressure was kept constant within 0.03 bar.

The number of moles of hydrogen in the hydride (which provides \( F \)) was obtained from an overall hydrogen balance over the reactor and desorption vessel \( (n_{\text{H}_2,d} = 0, \text{ since } P_{\delta,0} = 0) \):

\[
n_{\text{H}_2,\text{hydr}} = n_{\text{H}_2,\text{hydr},0} - n_{\text{H}_2,d} - (n_{\text{H}_2,t} - n_{\text{H}_2,i})
\]

(12)

The slurry is initially saturated at a pressure which is 4 to 5 bar higher than during the desorption experiment. Therefore,
the liquid will desorb the excess absorbed hydrogen until a new gas/liquid equilibrium is reached. As elucidated in the theory section, the metal hydride particles are in equilibrium with the gas phase. This also implies that the liquid has to be in equilibrium with the gas phase. Consequently, hydrogen desorption from the liquid follows the mass balance:

\[ V_T \frac{dC_{H_2}}{dt} = -k_{l,a} \left( C_{H_2,t} - C_{H_2,s} \right) \]

with at \( t = 0 \): \( C_{H_2,t} = m_{H_2} P_0 / \left( z RT \right) \) (13)

Substitutions according to Henry’s law and integration between the pressures \( P_0 \) and \( P_{H_2} \) yields:

\[ n_{H_2,t} = n_{H_2,s} = \frac{m_{H_2} V_I}{z RT} \left( P_0 - P_{H_2} \right) \left( 1 - \exp(-k_{l,a} \Delta t) \right) \] (14)

The mass-transfer coefficient during desorption \( (k_{l,a}) \) is again experimentally determined with the pure solvents. During desorption from a slurry, additional hydrogen bubbles are formed on the surface of the hydride particles, resulting in an increase of the G/L interfacial area \( a \). The value of \( k_{l,a} \) which is measured with pure solvents, is only a conservative estimate then. Almost the same procedure as during desorption from the slurry has been applied, however, no hydrogen is vented through the back pressure regulator. In this case the pressure increase in the reactor is recorded, it follows the equation:

\[ \frac{V_T}{z RT} \frac{dP_{H_2}}{dt} = k_{l,a} \left( C_{H_2,s} - \frac{m_{H_2} P_{H_2}}{z RT} \right) \text{ at } t = 0: \ P_{H_2} = P_0 \] (15)

The overall mass balance (Eq. 8) yields \( C_{H_2,t} \), substitution in Eq. 15 and integration of the differential equation gives:

\[ \ln \left( \frac{P_f - P_{H_2}}{P_f - P_0} \right) = - \left( \frac{m_{H_2} V_I}{V_T} + 1 \right) k_{l,a} \Delta t \] (16)

Plotting the left-hand term against time provides \( k_{l,a} \Delta t \left( 1 + m_{H_2} V_I / V_T \right) \) as the slope of the line.

Results

Absorption experiments

In order to determine the gas/liquid mass-transfer coefficient \( k_a \), absorption experiments with pure solvents have been carried out. This has been performed in both setups, the results are given as Arrhenius expressions:

- cyclohexane \((\text{LaNi}_5, \text{LaNi}_5,\text{Al}_{0.1})\) setup I, 2,000 rpm \( k_{a} = 32.14 \exp(-10.74 \times 10^3 / RT) \)
- cyclohexane \((\text{LaNi}_4.9,\text{Al}_{0.2})\) setup I, 1,000 rpm \( k_{a} = 34.17 \exp(-10.28 \times 10^3 / RT) \)
- ethanol \((\text{LaNi}_4)\) setup II, 800 rpm \( k_{a} = 227.88 \exp(-14.46 \times 10^3 / RT) \)

The influence of pressure on the \( k_a \) was found to be small for a pressure range of 4–16 bar, the relations as presented above have been determined at pressures between 10 and 12 bar.

Figure 3 represents a typical result of the experimentally determined variation of the pressure in the storage vessel during hydrogen absorption in a slurry. According to Eq. 6, the absorption rate is related to the slope of the curve. First the liquid is saturated (very fast process), next, the absorption rate in the metal initially increases until a constant value is reached and it decreases again at the end of the experiment. This result can be explained using the nucleation and growth model, which will be discussed in the discussion section. The constant value was taken as \( R_{abs} \) as it constitutes the largest part of the absorption process. For the \( \text{LaNi}_5,\text{Al}_{0.2} \) and \( \text{LaNi}_4 \) in cyclohexane slurries the hydrogen pressure was varied to evaluate its effect on \( R_{abs} \). The hydrogen concentration close to the hydride surface \( C_{H_2,s} \) was calculated with Eq. 3 and Figure 4 shows the results. The absorption rate depends linearly on \( C_{H_2,s} \), the slope is equal to a rate constant and the intercept with the horizontal axis appears to be equal to the equilibrium concentration \( C_{eq,a} \).

\[ R_{abs} = k_a C_{H_2,s} (C_{H_2,t} - C_{eq,a}) \text{ with } C_{eq,a} = \frac{m_{H_2} P_{eq,a}}{z RT} \] (17)

Based on these results, it was assumed that this rate equation also holds for hydrogen absorption in \( \text{LaNi}_5/\text{ethanol} \) and \( \text{LaNi}_4/\text{cyclohexane} \). Since the mass-transfer steps and the reaction step (Eqs. 1, 2 and 17) are all first-order in hydrogen, \( C_{H_2,t} \) and \( C_{H_2,s} \) can be eliminated:

\[ R_{abs} = k_{a} \frac{m_{H_2}}{z RT} (P_{H_2} - P_{eq,a}) \] (18)

The overall transfer resistance \( 1/k_{eq} \) consists of a series of three
transfer resistances. The value of \( k_{ov} \) can be calculated according to Eq. 18 using the measured \( R_{abs} \) and \( P_{H_2} \). Subsequently, Eq. 19 yields the kinetic rate constant for the absorption in the hydride, provided that the \( k_p \) and \( k_p^* \) are available.

Figures 5a and 5b illustrate the influence of the temperature on the reaction rate constants \( k_{a,s} \), divided by the volume fraction \( \epsilon_s \). The following relations were derived:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{LaNi}_5 \text{ cyclohexane} & \quad k_{a,s} / \epsilon_s = 2.59 \times 10^6 \exp\left(-27.6 \times 10^3 / RT\right) \\
\text{LaNi}_5 \text{ ethanol} & \quad k_{a,s} / \epsilon_s = 4.48 \times 10^6 \exp\left(-27.5 \times 10^3 / RT\right) \\
\text{LaNi}_{4.9}\text{Al}_{0.1} \text{ cyclohexane} & \quad k_{a,s} / \epsilon_s = 3.84 \times 10^6 \exp\left(-27.7 \times 10^3 / RT\right) \\
\text{LaNi}_{4.8}\text{Al}_{0.2} \text{ cyclohexane} & \quad k_{a,s} / \epsilon_s = 1.82 \times 10^6 \exp\left(-25.4 \times 10^3 / RT\right)
\end{align*}
\]

**Desorption experiments**

As with the absorption experiments, measurements with pure solvents have been carried out in order to determine the \( k_{i,a} \), giving the following relations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{cyclohexane (LaNi}_5, \text{ LaNi}_{4.9}\text{Al}_{0.1}) & \quad \text{setup I, 1,600 rpm} \\
\text{cyclohexane (LaNi}_{4.8}\text{Al}_{0.2}) & \quad \text{setup II, 800 rpm}
\end{align*}
\]

For the \( k_{i,a} \) of ethanol in setup II at 700 rpm the measured \( k_{a,s} \) for absorption at 800 rpm was taken as an estimate.

During hydrogen desorption from the slurry the pressure in the desorption vessel was recorded, Figure 6 gives an example of the obtained result. After a sharp increase at the start, due to desorption from the solvent, the desorption rate gradually decreases until the hydride is empty. With Eq. 12 the hydrogen capacity \( F \) has been calculated. Next, a first indication of the rate controlling mechanism was obtained by constructing a curve according to Eq. 4. However, a relatively large spread in the slope \( q \) was found (0.9–1.9), so it was not yet possible to distinguish between the different models for reaction controlled rates and phase boundary controlled rate. The zero-
order model, the first-order model and the shrinking core model have been examined in more detail by drawing the corresponding relations over a wider range for $X$. The shrinking core model fitted the experimental results best for almost the complete desorption time and for all slurries:

$$1 - (1 - X)^{1/3} = k_d t$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

At the highest temperature (343 K), the experimental results for LaNi$_5$ could be fitted with Eq. 20 for only a narrow range of the hydrogen storage capacity $F$. This has also been reported by Reilly et al. (1989) and can probably be attributed to the fact that at higher temperatures, the equilibrium between the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ phase exists in a narrow region for $F$.

It appeared that the observed rate constant $k_d'$ increases when the difference between the equilibrium desorption pressure and the reactor pressure becomes larger. According to Flanagan (1978) and Reilly et al. (1989) the driving force for this phase boundary controlled process is $\ln(P_{eq,d}/P_{H_2})$. The rate constant $k_d'$ has been divided by $\ln(P_{eq,d}/P_{H_2})$ in order to obtain the $k_d$. Consequently, the equation which describes the desorption process reads:

$$1 - (1 - X)^{1/3} = k_d \ln\left(\frac{P_{eq,d}}{P_{H_2}}\right) t$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

Figures 7a and 7b show the desorption rate constants $k_d$ as a function of temperature. The crosses denote the values for LaNi$_5$ suspended in ethanol, which appear to be practically identical to the values as measured in cyclohexane. For the hydrides suspended in cyclohexane, the following relations have been derived:

$$k_d = 13.5 \times 10^3 \exp\left(-\frac{-39.8 \times 10^3}{RT}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

**Discussion**

The hydrogen absorption rate appeared to change during an experiment, which has been demonstrated in Figure 3. Application of Eq. 6 gives the absorption rate as a function of $F/F_{max}$, this is represented by the solid line in Figure 8. The dotted line represents a hypothetical change of the reaction rate according to a three-dimensional nucleation and growth process (Rudman, 1983 and Tung et al., 1986):
The rate constant \( k_a(F) \) is a summation of three resistances: surface process, bulk diffusion and the phase transformation. In the absence of a liquid, the absorption rate is generally not limited by a surface process. When the particles are suspended in a solvent however, a large part of the surface area is covered with liquid molecules and is inactive for hydrogen absorption.

The absorption rate is initially limited by a bulk process. As soon as the nuclei growth rate has become large enough, either through nucleation and growth or by gas diffusion through the solvent, the absorption rate is governed by a surface process. When the particles are suspended in a slurry, surface adsorption was found to be the rate limiting step. (Park and Lee, 1982) have found 32 kJ/mol for a LaNi\(_5\)/n-undecane slurry and Tung et al. (1986) about 47 kJ/mol for a LaNi\(_5\)/undecane slurry. In both cases surface adsorption was found to be the rate limiting step.

The rate constants \( k_a/\epsilon_i \) for absorption in cyclohexane slurry increase when the aluminum content in the metal alloy increases. This can be attributed partly to the corresponding increase in \( \epsilon_i \), since it appears that the average particle diameters decrease at higher aluminum contents (Table 3). Holstvoogd (1988a) has reported a similar increase in the absorption activity for LaNi\(_5\)/Al\(_{0.1}\) and LaNi\(_5\), suspended in Pd5 silicon oil. Within the experimental accuracy, it can be concluded that the activation energies for hydrogen absorption in the three alloys are almost identical.

The hydrogen desorption process appeared to be limited by the phase transformation at the shrinking hydride core, as described by Eq. 21. Apparently, the hydrogen atoms are not hindered by the solvent molecules when they recombine on the surface and desorb into the gas phase. The liquid molecules on the surface are bypassed, as was already suggested by Reilly et al. (1989). During desorption no influence of the solvent is to be expected, which was confirmed by the experiments with LaNi\(_5\) in ethanol and cyclohexane. At higher aluminum contents the values of \( k_d \) decrease. The results of Reilly et al. for LaNi\(_5\) in n-undecane produce a considerably lower value for \( k_d \) for example, at 323 K:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ethanol:} & \quad k_a/\epsilon_i = 69.5 \text{ 1/s} \\
\text{cyclohexane:} & \quad k_a/\epsilon_i = 37.6 \text{ 1/s} \\
\text{n-undecane:} & \quad k_a/\epsilon_i = 21.3 \text{ 1/s} \\
\text{Pd5 silicon oil (Bayer):} & \quad k_a/\epsilon_i = 14.6 \text{ 1/s}
\end{align*}
\]

The rate constants will decrease when more nickel sites on the surface are covered with solvent molecules. The surface coverage is related to the binding strength between the nickel regions and the solvent molecules. Apparently, the larger molecules are bonded more strongly to the surface.

The present work, the activation energy for absorption was found to be about 28 kJ/mol. This value is in good agreement with values reported by others. Holstvoogd et al. (1989) have found 32 kJ/mol for a LaNi\(_5\)/Pd5 silicon oil slurry and Tung et al. (1986) about 47 kJ/mol for a LaNi\(_5\)/undecane slurry. In both cases surface adsorption was found to be the rate limiting step.

The rate constants \( k_d/\epsilon_i \) for absorption in cyclohexane slurry increase when the aluminum content in the metal alloy increases. This can be attributed partly to the corresponding increase in \( \epsilon_i \), since it appears that the average particle diameters decrease at higher aluminum contents (Table 3). Holstvoogd (1988a) has reported a similar increase in the absorption activity for LaNi\(_5\)/Al\(_{0.1}\) and LaNi\(_5\), suspended in Pd5 silicon oil. Within the experimental accuracy, it can be concluded that the activation energies for hydrogen absorption in the three alloys are almost identical.

The hydrogen desorption process appeared to be limited by the phase transformation at the shrinking hydride core, as described by Eq. 21. Apparently, the hydrogen atoms are not hindered by the solvent molecules when they recombine on the surface and desorb into the gas phase. The liquid molecules on the surface are bypassed, as was already suggested by Reilly et al. (1989). During desorption no influence of the solvent is to be expected, which was confirmed by the experiments with LaNi\(_5\) in ethanol and cyclohexane. At higher aluminum contents the values of \( k_d \) decrease. The results of Reilly et al. for LaNi\(_5\) in n-undecane produce a considerably lower value for \( k_d \) for example, at 323 K:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{present work:} & \quad k_d = 5.0 \times 10^{-2} \text{ 1/s} \\
\text{Reilly et al.:} & \quad k_d = 1.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ 1/s}
\end{align*}
\]

Also, the activation energy for LaNi\(_{5}\)Al\(_{0.1}\) is higher than for both other alloys. The distinctions between the results may be attributed to small differences in the preparation technique of
the various hydride suppliers and their respective effects on composition and homogeneity of the alloys. Moreover, the activation process can be slightly different.

For an industrial hydrogen recovery process, in which a metal hydride slurry is applied, it is desirable to achieve high absorption and desorption rates. Since desorption is not limited by mass-transfer steps in the liquid, increasing the amount of hydride will lead to a higher desorption rate. For absorption, the lowest value of the $k_a$ and the $k_{ga}$ determines the overall absorption rate. Using data as presented in the present study, it can be concluded that particularly at higher temperatures or higher hydride fractions, the $k_{ga}$ becomes low as compared to the $k_{ga}$. According to Holstvoogd et al. (1986), enhancement of the mass-transfer rate can be expected, if metal hydride particles are present in the gas/liquid mass-transfer film. In that case, the particle diameter $d_p$ should be smaller than the film thickness $\delta$, or

$$d_p < \frac{1D_{H_2}}{k_t} \quad (26)$$

The relation for enhanced absorption reads (provided that the particles near the G/L interface are not completely saturated, see Holstvoogd et al., 1986):

$$R_{abs} = k_a E (P_{H_2} - P_{eq,a}) \quad (27)$$

The enhancement factor $E$ is a function of the Hatta number, assuming a pseudo-homogeneous reaction in the slurry:

$$E = \frac{Ha}{\tanh(Ha)} \quad \text{with} \quad Ha = \frac{k_{a}a_{i}D_{H_2}}{k_t} \quad (28)$$

The specific area $a_i$ depends on the average particle diameter and the hydride fraction in the slurry $\epsilon$, according to:

$$a_i = \frac{6\epsilon \phi}{d_p} \quad \text{with} \quad \phi = \text{shape factor} = \frac{a_{i,measured}}{6\epsilon / d_p} \quad (29)$$

Equation 11 provides the measured $a_{i}$; finally, the kinetic rate constant $k_s$ can be obtained by dividing $k_{a}a_{i}$ by $a_{i}$. Combining Eqs. 28 and 29 yields:

$$Ha = \frac{6k_{e}e_{i}D_{H_2}\phi}{d_{i}k_{t}^{2}} \quad (30)$$

The experimental results of LaNi$_5$ in cyclohexane which have been used to derive the required constants are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental data</th>
<th>Derived</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_i$: 0.0113</td>
<td>$\phi$: 4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_p$: 11 $\mu$m</td>
<td>$a_i$: $29 \times 10^{3}$ m$^2$/m$^3$ (with Eq. 11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{a_i}$: 0.42 l/s</td>
<td>$k_s$: $1.48 \times 10^{-2}$ m/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{H_2}$: $9.2 \times 10^{-9}$ m$^2$/s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9 shows results at 298 K for the enhancement factor $E$ at various theoretical particle sizes and values for the $k_i$ and $\epsilon_i$. It appears that enhancement factors of about 10 can be achieved with very small particles and low mass-transfer coefficients ($d_p << \delta$ in these cases) combined with high hydride fractions. However, with industrially more realistic $k_i$ values ($5 \times 10^{-6}$-$1 \times 10^{-3}$ m/s), the enhancement factor is rather small, even if it was possible to manufacture particles of 0.1 $\mu$m. Moreover, the rate constants are lower in paraffin or silicon oils, which will be used in an industrial absorber (low vapor pressure), leading to a further reduction of the enhancement factor. This means that a reduction of the particle diameter, for example, by subsequent grinding, will have only a minor effect on the absorption rate in an industrial hydrogen absorption process.

For conditions as applied during the absorption experiments ($d_p = 11 \times 10^{-6}$ m, $\epsilon_i = 0.011$) the Hatta number is 0.08. This indicates that the hydrogen absorption has not been enhanced provided that the metal hydride particles are very well wetted by the solvent (Holstvoogd et al., 1988b). Moreover, the particle diameters are not much smaller than the film thickness $\delta$. Consequently, for conditions as applied in the present work, it can be concluded that hydrogen absorption in the metal hydride slurries can be described with a mass-transfer and reaction resistances in series model. The absorption has not been enhanced.

**Conclusions**

Hydrogen absorption in several LaNi$_{5-c}$Al, slurries can be described with a mechanism which assumes mass transfer and reaction to be in series. For the reaction with the metal a first-order rate equation was observed, $R = k_{a_i}(C_{H_2} - C_{eq,a})$. This indicates that the absorption in a slurry is limited by a surface process, most likely the chemisorption of hydrogen on the metal surface. Lower absorption rates are observed in solvents with larger molecules. Apparently, the larger molecules exhibit a stronger interaction with the metal surface, resulting in a higher surface coverage.
The increase in the rate constants at higher aluminum contents can partly be attributed to a corresponding increase in surface area.

Hydrogen desorption from a metal hydride slurry is limited by the phase transformation at the metal/metal hydride interface in the internal of the hydride particles. This process can be described with a shrinking core model: \( 1 - (1 - X)^{1/3} = k_{\text{inl}}(P_{\text{eq}}/P_a)\). Since none of the processes on the surface of the particles is rate limiting, there was no influence observed of the solvent on the desorption rate. Increasing the aluminum content produces a lower reaction rate constant.
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**Notation**

- \( A_{\text{vol}} \): surface area of the metal hydride particles, m²/kg
- \( a \): specific interfacial area, m²/m³
- \( B \): constant in JMA equation, 1/s³
- \( C \): concentration, mol/m³
- \( D \): diffusion coefficient, m²/s
- \( d_p \): particle diameter, m
- \( E \): enhancement factor
- \( E_i \): energy input, W/kg
- \( F \): hydrogen absorption capacity, number of H atoms/La atom
- \( \Delta H^\circ \): change in enthalpy at standard pressure, J/mol
- \( Ha \): Hatta number
- \( H_e \): Henry coefficient, mol/(m³·Pa)
- \( J \): molar flux, mol/m²·s
- \( k_a \): reaction rate constant for absorption, m/s
- \( k_d \): reaction rate constant for desorption, 1/s
- \( k_L \): gas liquid mass-transfer coefficient, m/s
- \( k_s \): liquid mass solid-transfer coefficient, m/s
- \( m \): solubility coefficient \( (C_{1000}/C_{1000})_q \)
- \( m \): mass, kg
- \( M \): molecular mass, kg/mol
- \( n \): number of moles, mol
- \( \nu \): stirrer speed, rpm
- \( P \): pressure, bar
- \( q \): exponent in JMA equation
- \( R \): reaction rate, mol/(m³·s)
- \( R_g \): gas constant, 8.3143, J/(mol·K)
- \( \Delta S^\circ \): change in entropy at standard pressure, J/(mol·K)
- \( Sc \): Schmidt number \( \nu/1D \)
- \( Sh \): Sherwood number \( k_d d_p/1D \)
- \( t \): time, s
- \( T \): temperature, K
- \( V \): volume, m³
- \( \chi \): fraction reacted
- \( \beta \): compressibility factor

**Greek letters**

- \( \epsilon \): volume fraction, defined as \( V_{\text{hydr}}/V_{\text{slurry}} \)
- \( \nu \): kinematic viscosity, m²/s
- \( \rho \): density, kg/m³
- \( \phi \): shape factor

**Subscripts**

- \( a, \text{abs} \): absorption
- \( d \): desorption
- \( eq \): equilibrium
- \( f \): at final time

**Superscript**

- \( i \): at the interface
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