



Politecnico di Torino

## Porto Institutional Repository

[Article] A characterization of varieties whose universal cover is a bounded symmetric domain without ball factors

*Original Citation:*

Di Scala, A.J.;Catanese, F. (2014). *A characterization of varieties whose universal cover is a bounded symmetric domain without ball factors*. In: [ADVANCES IN MATHEMATICS](#), vol. 257, pp. 567-580. - ISSN 0001-8708

*Availability:*

This version is available at : <http://porto.polito.it/2535725/> since: December 2015

*Publisher:*

Elsevier

*Published version:*

DOI:[10.1016/j.aim.2014.02.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2014.02.030)

*Terms of use:*

This article is made available under terms and conditions applicable to Open Access Policy Article ("Public - All rights reserved") , as described at [http://porto.polito.it/terms\\_and\\_conditions.html](http://porto.polito.it/terms_and_conditions.html)

Porto, the institutional repository of the Politecnico di Torino, is provided by the University Library and the IT-Services. The aim is to enable open access to all the world. Please [share with us](#) how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

(Article begins on next page)

Post print (i.e. final draft post-refereeing) version of an article published on *Advances in Mathematics*, Volume 257, 2014, 567–580 doi: 10.1016/j.aim.2014.02.030 .

Beyond the journal formatting, please note that there could be minor changes from this document to the final published version. The final published version is accessible from here:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2014.02.030>

This document has made accessible through PORTO, the Open Access Repository of Politecnico di Torino (<http://porto.polito.it>), in compliance with the Publisher’s copyright policy as reported in the SHERPA-ROMEO website:

<http://www.sherpa.ac.uk/romeo/issn/0001-8708/>

## A CHARACTERIZATION OF VARIETIES WHOSE UNIVERSAL COVER IS A BOUNDED SYMMETRIC DOMAIN WITHOUT BALL FACTORS

FABRIZIO CATANESE - ANTONIO J. DI SCALA

**ABSTRACT.** We give two characterizations of varieties whose universal cover is a bounded symmetric domain without ball factors in terms of the existence of a holomorphic endomorphism  $\sigma$  of the tensor product  $T \otimes T^\vee$  of the tangent bundle  $T$  with the cotangent bundle  $T^\vee$ . To such a curvature type tensor  $\sigma$  one associates the first Mok characteristic cone  $\mathcal{CS}$ , obtained by projecting on  $T$  the intersection of  $\ker(\sigma)$  with the space of rank 1 tensors. The simpler characterization requires that the projective scheme associated to  $\mathcal{CS}$  be a finite union of projective varieties of given dimensions and codimensions in their linear spans which must be skew and generate.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A central problem in the theory of complex manifolds is the one of determining the compact complex manifolds  $X$  whose universal covering  $\tilde{X}$  is biholomorphic to a bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ .

A first important restriction is given by theorems by Siegel and Kodaira ([Kod54], [Sie73]) extending to several variables a result of Poincaré, and asserting that necessarily such a manifold  $X$  is projective and has ample canonical divisor  $K_X$ .

A restriction on  $\Omega$  is given by another theorem of Siegel ([Sie48], cf. also [Koba58]) asserting that  $\Omega$  must be holomorphically convex.

---

*Date:* March 26, 2014.

The present work took place in the realm of the DFG Forschergruppe 790 “Classification of algebraic surfaces and compact complex manifolds”. The visits of the second author to Bayreuth were supported by the DFG FOR 790. The second author was also partially supported by Politecnico di Torino “Intervento a favore dei Giovani Ricercatori 2009”.

AMS CLASSIFICATION: 32Q30, 32N05, 32M15, 32Q20, 32J25, 14C30, 14G35.

The question concerning which domains occur was partly answered by Borel ([Bore63]) who showed that, given a bounded symmetric domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ , there exists a properly discontinuous group  $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(\Omega)$  which acts freely on  $\Omega$  and is cocompact (i.e., is such that  $X =: \Omega/\Gamma$  is a compact complex manifold with universal cover  $\cong \Omega$ ).

We consider the following question: given a bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ , how can we tell when a projective manifold  $X$  with ample canonical divisor  $K_X$  has  $\Omega$  as universal covering ?

The question was solved by Yau ([Yau77]) in the case of a ball, using the theorem of Aubin and Yau (see [Yau78], [Aub78]) asserting the existence of Kähler Einstein metrics for varieties with ample canonical bundle. The existence of such metrics, joint to some deep knowledge of the differential geometry of bounded symmetric domains, allows to obtain more general results.

Together with Franciosi ([CaFr09]) we took up the question for the case of a polydisk, and a fully satisfactory answer was found in [CaDS12] for the special case where the bounded symmetric domain has all factors of tube type, i.e., the domain is biholomorphic, via the Cayley transform, to some **tube domain**

$$\Omega = V + i\mathfrak{C},$$

where  $V$  is a real vector space and  $\mathfrak{C} \subset V$  is an open self dual cone containing no lines.

The main results in the tube case are as follows:

**Theorem 1.1.** ([CaDS12]) *Let  $X$  be a compact complex manifold of dimension  $n$  with  $K_X$  ample.*

*Then the following two conditions (1) and (1'), resp. (2) and (2') are equivalent:*

- (1)  *$X$  admits a slope zero tensor  $0 \neq \psi \in H^0(S^{mn}(\Omega_X^1)(-mK_X))$ , (for some positive integer  $m$ );*
- (1')  *$X \cong \Omega/\Gamma$ , where  $\Omega$  is a bounded symmetric domain of tube type and  $\Gamma$  is a cocompact discrete subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\Omega)$  acting freely.*
- (2)  *$X$  admits a semi special tensor  $0 \neq \phi \in H^0(S^n(\Omega_X^1)(-K_X) \otimes \eta)$ , where  $\eta$  is a 2-torsion invertible sheaf, such that there is a point  $p \in X$  for which the corresponding hypersurface  $F_p =: \{\phi_p = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}(TX_p)$  is reduced*
- (2') *The universal cover of  $X$  is a polydisk.*

*Moreover, the degrees and the multiplicities of the irreducible factors of the polynomial  $\psi_p$  determine uniquely the universal covering  $\tilde{X} = \Omega$ .*

The main purpose of the present paper is to extend these results to the more general case of locally symmetric varieties  $X$  whose universal cover is a bounded symmetric domain without irreducible factors which are isomorphic to a ball of dimension at least two.

In the case where there are no ball factors, we get the following result, using the concept of an algebraic curvature type tensor  $\sigma$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $X$  be a compact complex manifold of dimension  $n$  with  $K_X$  ample.*

*Then the universal covering  $\tilde{X}$  is a bounded symmetric domain without factors isomorphic to higher dimensional balls if and only if there is a holomorphic tensor  $\sigma \in H^0(\text{End}(T_X \otimes T_X^\vee))$  enjoying the following properties:*

1) *there is a point  $p \in X$ , and a splitting of the tangent space  $T = T_{X,p}$*

$$T = T'_1 \oplus \dots \oplus T'_m$$

*such that the first Mok characteristic cone  $\mathcal{CS}$  of  $\sigma$  is  $\neq T$  and moreover  $\mathcal{CS}$  splits into  $m$  irreducible components  $\mathcal{CS}'(j)$  with*

2)  $\mathcal{CS}'(j) = T'_1 \times \dots \times \mathcal{CS}'_j \times \dots \times T'_m$

3)  $\mathcal{CS}'_j \subset T'_j$  *is the cone over a smooth non-degenerate (that is, the cone  $\mathcal{CS}'_j$  spans the vector space  $T'_j$ ) projective variety  $\mathcal{S}'_j$  unless  $\mathcal{CS}'_j = 0$  and  $\dim(T'_j) = 1$ .*

*Moreover, we can recover the universal covering of  $\tilde{X}$  from the sequence of pairs  $(\dim(\mathcal{CS}'_j), \dim(T'_j))$ .*

As we shall recall later, the first Mok characteristic cone  $\mathcal{CS} \subset T_X$  is defined as the (closure of the) projection on the first factor of the intersection of  $\ker(\sigma)$  with the cone of rank 1 tensors:

$$\ker(\sigma) \cap \{t \otimes t^\vee \in (T_X \otimes T_X^\vee)\}.$$

The above result can be simplified if we restrict to locally symmetric varieties  $X$  whose universal covering  $\tilde{X}$  is a bounded symmetric domain without factors of rank one.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $X$  be a compact complex manifold of dimension  $n$  with  $K_X$  ample.*

*Then the universal covering  $\tilde{X}$  is a bounded symmetric domain without factors of rank one if and only if there is  $p \in X$  such that, setting  $T = T_{X,p}$ ,*

A) *there is a holomorphic tensor  $\sigma \in H^0(\text{End}(T_X \otimes T_X^\vee))$  such that the first Mok characteristic variety  $\mathcal{S}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}(T)$  is  $\neq \mathbb{P}(T)$  and moreover  $\mathcal{S}^1$  is the disjoint union of smooth projective varieties  $\mathcal{S}'_j$  whose projective spans are projectively independent and generate  $\mathbb{P}(T)$ .*

*In other words, iff*

A1) *there is a point  $p \in X$  and a splitting of the tangent space  $T = T_{X,p}$*

$$T = T'_1 \oplus \dots \oplus T'_m$$

*such that the first Mok characteristic cone  $\mathcal{CS}$  of  $\sigma$  is  $\neq T$  and moreover  $\mathcal{CS}$  splits into  $m$  irreducible components  $\mathcal{CS}'(j)$  with*

A2)  $\mathcal{CS}'(j) \subset T'_j$  and  $\mathcal{CS}'(j)$  generates  $T'_j$

A3) the projective variety  $\mathcal{S}'_j := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{CS}'_j)$  is smooth (and non-degenerate, as required in A2)).

Moreover, we can recover the universal covering of  $\tilde{X}$  from the sequence of pairs  $(\dim(\mathcal{CS}'_j), \dim(T'_j))$ .

The above characterizations are important in order to obtain a more precise formulation of a result of Kazhdan ([Kazh70]).

**Corollary 1.4.** *Assume that  $X$  is a projective manifold with  $K_X$  ample, and that the universal covering  $\tilde{X}$  is a bounded symmetric domain without irreducible factors which are higher dimensional balls.*

*Let  $\tau \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$  be an automorphism of  $\mathbb{C}$ .*

*Then the conjugate variety  $X^\tau$  has universal covering  $\tilde{X}^\tau \cong \tilde{X}$ .*

It is worthwhile observing that balls of dimension higher than one are taken care of, once one allows a finite unramified covering, by the Yau inequality for summands of the tangent bundle; hence one can combine the present results with those of [Yau93] and [ViZu07], and obtain full results for the general case where  $\tilde{X}$  is any bounded symmetric domain.

A couple of words about the strategy of the proof:

- (1) knowing that  $K_X$  is ample, we have a Kähler Einstein metric  $h$ , and we consider the Levi-Civita connection
- (2) parallel transport defines then the restricted holonomy group  $H$  (the connected component of the identity in the holonomy group)
- (3) by the theorems of De Rham and Berger (see [Ber53] and also [Olm05]), the universal covering  $\tilde{X}$  of  $X$  splits as a product  $\tilde{X} = D_1 \times D_2$  where
- (4)  $D_1$  is a bounded symmetric domain without factors of ball type and  $D_2$  is the product of the irreducible factors of dimension  $\geq 2$  for which the holonomy group is the unitary group;
- (5) we observe that by the Bochner principle ([Koba80]) the tensor  $\sigma$  is parallel, hence if we restrict the tensor at any point  $p \in X$  we observe that  $\sigma_p$  is  $H$ -invariant.
- (6) We decompose the holonomy group as a product and accordingly the vector space  $T$ , the tangent space to  $X$  at  $p$ .
- (7) We use elementary representation theory to derive some restrictions which the tensor  $\sigma$  must satisfy (this is done in section 3)
- (8) we associate to any such tensor  $\sigma$  its first Mok characteristic variety (see section 2), having in mind two standard possibilities constructed using the algebraic curvature tensors of irreducible bounded domains defined by Kobayashi and Ochiai in [KobOchi81].

- (9) the rest of the proof (sections 4 and 5) is projective geometry, using Mok's description ([Mok02], [Mok89]) of the orbits on  $T_i \otimes T_i^\vee$  of the complexified holonomy group of an irreducible bounded domain.

## 2. ALGEBRAIC CURVATURE-TYPE TENSORS AND THEIR FIRST MOK CHARACTERISTIC VARIETIES

In this section we consider the following situation. We are given a direct sum

$$T = T_1 \oplus \dots \oplus T_k$$

of irreducible representations  $T_i$  of a group  $H_i$  (the unusual notation is due to the fact that  $T$  in the application shall be the tangent space to a projective manifold at one point, and  $H = H_1 \times \dots \times H_k$  shall be the restricted holonomy group).

**Definition 2.1.** 1) *An algebraic curvature-type tensor is a nonzero element*

$$\sigma \in \text{End}(T \otimes T^\vee).$$

2) *Its first Mok characteristic cone  $\mathcal{CS} \subset T$  is defined as the projection on the first factor of the intersection of  $\ker(\sigma)$  with the set of rank 1 tensors, plus the origin:*

$$\mathcal{CS} := \{t \in T \mid \exists t^\vee \in T^\vee \setminus \{0\}, (t \otimes t^\vee) \in \ker(\sigma)\}.$$

3) *Its first Mok characteristic variety is the subset  $\mathcal{S} := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{CS}) \subset \mathbb{P}(T)$ .*

4) *More generally, for each integer  $h$ , consider*

$$\{A \in T \otimes T^\vee \mid A \in \ker(\sigma), \text{Rank}(A) \leq h\},$$

*and consider the algebraic cone which is its projection on the first factor*

$$\mathcal{CS}^h := \{t \in T \mid \exists A \in \ker(\sigma), \text{Rank}(A) \leq h, \exists t' \in T : t = At'\},$$

*and define then  $\mathcal{S}^h := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{CS}^h) \subset \mathbb{P}(T)$  to be the **h-th Mok characteristic variety**.*

5) *We define then the **full characteristic sequence** as the sequence*

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}^1 \subset \mathcal{S}^2 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{S}^{k-1} \subset \mathcal{S}^k = \mathbb{P}(T).$$

**Remark 2.2.** (1) *In the case where  $\sigma$  is the curvature tensor of an irreducible symmetric bounded domain  $\mathcal{D}$ , Mok ([Mok02]) proved that the difference sets  $\mathcal{S}^h \setminus \mathcal{S}^{h-1}$  are exactly all the orbits of the parabolic subgroup  $P$  associated to the compact dual  $\mathcal{D}^\vee = G/P$ . In particular, the algebraic cone  $\mathcal{CS}^h$  is irreducible and  $H_i$ -invariant.*

- (2) More generally, if  $\mathcal{S}$  is an  $H_i$ -invariant algebraic cone, and  $H_i$  is the holonomy group of an irreducible symmetric bounded domain, then necessarily  $\mathcal{S}$  is irreducible and indeed equal to one of the  $\mathcal{S}^h$ .
- (3) In the case instead where  $H_i$  acts as the full unitary group on  $T_i$ , then any  $H_i$ -invariant algebraic cone in  $T_i$  is trivial, that is, either equal to  $T_i$  or just equal to  $\{0\}$ , where  $0 \in T_i$  is the origin.

**Lemma 2.3.** *If  $\sigma$  is the curvature tensor of an irreducible symmetric bounded domain  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}^h$  is smooth if and only if  $h = 1$ .*

*Proof.* That  $\mathcal{S}^1$  is smooth follows from the above remark, since  $\mathcal{S}^1$  is a single orbit.

Conversely, observe that we have a sequence of inclusions for the Mok characteristic varieties:

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}^1 \subset \mathcal{S}^2 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{S}^{k-1} \subset \mathcal{S}^k = \mathbb{P}(T).$$

Let then  $P \in \mathcal{S}^1$ , and let  $G$  be the stabilizer of  $P$ . The tangent space  $V$  of  $\mathbb{P}(T)$  at  $P$  is a  $G$ -representation, and the Zariski tangent spaces to  $\mathcal{S}^h$  yield a flag of  $G$ -invariant subspaces of  $V$ ,

$$0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots \subset V.$$

Our assertion follows then from the claim that  $V_1$  and  $V$  are the unique invariant subspaces; since then, for  $k > h > 1$ , we have  $V_h \neq V_1 \Rightarrow V_j = V$  therefore  $\mathcal{S}^h$  is singular at every point of  $\mathcal{S}^1$ .

Let us prove the claim.

The first characteristic variety  $\mathcal{S}^1$  is homogeneous for the compact subgroup  $K$  which stabilizes the origin of the bounded domain  $\mathcal{D}$ . The stabilizer  $K_P$ , as proven in Theorem 2.3 and Proposition 2.4 at page 5 of [CoDS09], acts irreducibly on the normal space to  $\mathcal{S}^1$  at  $P$ . Therefore  $V$  splits as  $V_1 \oplus N_P$ , where  $N_P$  is  $K_P$ -irreducible. Now,  $G$  contains  $K_P$ , hence if  $W$  is  $G$ -invariant and strictly contains  $V_1$ , then  $W$  is also  $K_P$ -invariant and  $W = V$ . □

One geometric situation we have particularly in mind is the one where

$$(**) \sigma = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \sigma_i \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^k (\text{End}(T_i \otimes T_i^\vee)) \subset \text{End}(T \otimes T^\vee).$$

In this case it is clear that

$$\ker(\sigma) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \ker(\sigma_i) \bigoplus (\bigoplus_{i \neq j} (T_i \otimes T_j^\vee)),$$

and if we intersect the Kernel of  $\sigma$  with the set of rank 1 tensors, we obtain

$$\ker(\sigma) \cap \{t \otimes t^\vee\} = \{t \otimes t^\vee \mid t = \sum_{i=1}^k t_i, t^\vee = \sum_{j=1}^k t_j^\vee, \forall i (t_i \otimes t_i^\vee) \in \ker(\sigma_i)\}.$$

Defining now

$$\mathcal{CS}_i := \{t_i \in T_i \mid \exists t_i^\vee \in T_i^\vee \setminus \{0\}, (t_i \otimes t_i^\vee) \in \ker(\sigma_i)\},$$

and similarly  $\mathcal{S}_i := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{CS}_i) \subset \mathbb{P}(T_i)$  we see therefore that under hypothesis (\*\*) we have an inclusion  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathcal{CS}_i \subset \mathcal{CS}$ .

But indeed, since

$$\mathcal{CS} = \{t = \sum_j t_j \mid \exists t^\vee \neq 0, t_i \otimes t_i^\vee \in \ker(\sigma_i) \forall i\} = \{t = \sum_j t_j \mid \exists t_i^\vee \neq 0, t_i \otimes t_i^\vee \in \ker(\sigma_i)\},$$

we have that

$$\mathcal{CS} = \bigcup_{i=1}^k T_1 \oplus T_2 \cdots \oplus T_{i-1} \oplus \mathcal{CS}_i \oplus T_{i+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus T_k.$$

The above formula yields a decomposition of the Zariski closed projective set  $\mathcal{S}$  as the union of the Zariski closed projective sets

$$\mathcal{S}(i) := \mathbb{P}(T_1 \oplus T_2 \cdots \oplus T_{i-1} \oplus \mathcal{CS}_i \oplus T_{i+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus T_k).$$

The latter sets are the join of the linear subspace

$$\mathbb{P}(T_i) := \mathbb{P}(T_1 \oplus T_2 \cdots \oplus T_{i-1} \oplus T_{i+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus T_k)$$

with  $\mathcal{S}_i$ .

**Remark 2.4.** The next question is: when is the above an irredundant decomposition?

It is a necessary condition that each  $\mathcal{CS}_i \neq T_i$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{S}_i \neq \mathbb{P}(T_i)$ ), otherwise  $\mathcal{CS}(i) = T$ .

This condition is also sufficient. In fact, the irreducible components of  $\mathcal{S}(i)$  are the joins of  $\mathbb{P}(T_i)$  with the irreducible components of  $\mathcal{S}_i$ , hence for each component of  $\mathcal{S}(i)$  the projection onto  $\mathbb{P}(T_j)$  is surjective whenever  $j \neq i$ : therefore this component cannot be contained in any  $\mathcal{S}(j)$  when  $j \neq i$ .

**Remark 2.5.** At the other extreme, if  $\sigma_i = Id_{T_i}$ , or  $\sigma_i$  is invertible, then  $\ker(\sigma_i) = 0$ , hence in this case  $\mathcal{S}(i) = \mathbb{P}(T_i)$ .

More generally, it can happen that  $\mathcal{S}(i)$  is a linear subspace, iff  $\mathcal{CS}_i$  is a linear subspace. In the sequel, we shall assume that each  $\sigma_i$  is  $H_i$ -invariant: hence  $\mathcal{CS}_i$  shall be an invariant subspace of  $T_i$ : by the irreducibility of  $T_i$ , the only possibility is either that  $\mathcal{CS}_i = 0$ , or that  $\mathcal{CS}_i = T_i$ .

We can avoid both possibilities by requiring (the second case should only occur for factors  $T_i$  of dimension = 1)

- (1)  $\mathcal{S} \neq \mathbb{P}(T)$
- (2) if  $\mathcal{S}$  has a component  $\mathcal{S}^0$  which is a linear subspace, then  $\mathcal{S}^0$  must be a hyperplane.



## 3. HOLONOMY INVARIANT CURVATURE-TYPE TENSORS

In this section we continue our consideration of a curvature-type tensor  $\sigma$  assuming that it is invariant by the natural action of the group  $H = H_1 \times \cdots \times H_k$ .

We can naturally write  $\sigma$  as a direct sum  $\sigma = \bigoplus_{(i,j),(h,k)} \sigma_{(i,j),(h,k)}$ ,

$$\sigma_{(i,j),(h,k)} : T_i \otimes T_j^\vee \rightarrow T_h \otimes T_k^\vee.$$

**Lemma 3.1.**  $\sigma_{(i,j),(h,k)} = 0$  if  $i \neq h$  or  $j \neq k$ , while for  $i \neq j$

$$\sigma_{(i,j)} := \sigma_{(i,j),(i,j)} : T_i \otimes T_j^\vee \rightarrow T_i \otimes T_j^\vee$$

is a multiple of the identity.

*Proof.* The second assertion is a consequence of Schur's lemma once we show that  $T_i \otimes T_j^\vee$  is, for  $i \neq j$ , an irreducible representation of the compact group  $H_i \times H_j$ .

We use moreover that  $T_i$  is an irreducible representation of  $H_i$ .  $H_i$  being compact, if  $\chi_i$  is the character of the representation  $T_i$ , and  $d\mu_i$  is the Haar measure of  $H_i$ , we have that irreducibility is equivalent to

$$\int_{H_i} \chi_i \overline{\chi_i} d\mu_i = 1$$

Since the character  $\chi_{i,j}$  of  $T_i \otimes T_j^\vee$  on  $H_i \times H_j$  is

$$\chi_{i,j}(x, y) := \chi_i(x) \overline{\chi_j(y)}$$

and

$$\int_{H_i \times H_j} |\chi_{i,j}(x, y)|^2 d\mu_{i,j} = [\text{by Fubini}] = \int_{H_i} \chi_i \overline{\chi_i} d\mu_i \cdot \int_{H_j} \overline{\chi_j} \chi_j d\mu_j = 1$$

we conclude that  $T_i \otimes T_j^\vee$  is, for  $i \neq j$ , an irreducible representation of  $H_i \times H_j$ .

For the first assertion, assume now that  $i \neq h$  and let

$$\sigma' := \sigma_{(i,j),(h,k)} : T_i \otimes T_j^\vee \rightarrow T_h \otimes T_k^\vee.$$

Since  $\sigma$  is  $H$ -invariant,  $\sigma'$  is  $H_i \times H_h$ -invariant. By what we have seen  $\text{Hom}(T_i, T_h) \cong T_h \otimes T_i^\vee$  is an irreducible nontrivial representation of  $H_i \times H_h$ , hence there are no  $H_i \times H_h$  invariant homomorphisms in  $\text{Hom}(T_i, T_h)$ . A fortiori  $\sigma' = 0$ .

A completely analogous argument yields  $\sigma' = 0$  if  $j \neq k$ . □

Using the previous lemma we consider the first characteristic variety in the case where  $\sigma$  is  $H$ -invariant.

In this case it is clear that

$$\ker(\sigma) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \ker(\sigma_i) \bigoplus (\bigoplus_{i \neq j, \sigma_{i,j}=0} (T_i \otimes T_j^\vee)),$$

and if we intersect the Kernel of  $\sigma$  with the set of rank 1 tensors, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \ker(\sigma) \cap \{t \otimes t^\vee\} = \\ & = \{t \otimes t^\vee \mid t = \sum_{i=1}^k t_i, t^\vee = \sum_{j=1}^k t_j^\vee, \forall i (t_i \otimes t_i^\vee) \in \ker(\sigma_i), t_i \otimes t_j^\vee = 0 \forall i \neq j \text{ s.t. } \sigma_{i,j} \neq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Its projection on the first factor is the set

$$\mathcal{CS} = \cup_j \mathcal{CS}(j) := \cup_j \{t = \sum_{i=1}^k t_i \in T \mid \exists t_j^\vee \in T_j^\vee \setminus \{0\}, (t_j \otimes t_j^\vee) \in \ker(\sigma_j), t_i = 0 \forall i \neq j \text{ s.t. } \sigma_{i,j} \neq 0\},$$

and we have a corresponding set  $\mathcal{S}(j) := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{CS}(j)) \subset \mathbb{P}(T)$ .

We can also write

$$\mathcal{CS}(j) = \hat{T}_{1,j} \oplus \hat{T}_{2,j} \cdots \oplus \hat{T}_{j-1,j} \oplus \mathcal{CS}_j \oplus \hat{T}_{j+1,j} \oplus \cdots \oplus \hat{T}_{k,j},$$

where  $\hat{T}_{i,j} = T_i$  if  $\sigma_{i,j} = 0$ ,  $\hat{T}_{i,j} = 0$  if  $\sigma_{i,j} \neq 0$ . In other words, if one forgets about the ordering,

$$\mathcal{CS}(j) = \mathcal{CS}_j \bigoplus (\bigoplus_{\sigma_{i,j}=0} T_i).$$

Our first remark is that, in case where there exists a  $\sigma_{i,j} \neq 0$  with  $i \neq j$ , then necessarily  $\mathcal{S}(j)$  is contained in a hyperplane.

Our second observation is that however in this case the decomposition  $\mathcal{CS} = \cup_j \mathcal{CS}(j)$  does not need to be irredundant, as one sees already in the case  $k = 2$ ,  $\sigma_{1,2} \neq 0$ ,  $\sigma_{2,1} = 0$ .

We end this section with an important, even if trivial, remark.

**Remark 3.2.** If  $V$  is an  $H$ -invariant linear subspace of  $T$ , then there is a subset  $\mathcal{I} \subset \{1, \dots, k\}$  such that  $V = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}} T_i$ .

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

One implication follows right away from section 3, since we may take  $\sigma := \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \sigma_i$ , letting  $\sigma_i$  be the algebraic curvature tensor of a bounded symmetric domain of rank greater than one (cf. [KobOchi81], lemma 2.9), and the identity of  $T_i \otimes T_i^\vee$  for the factors of dimension equal to one. Then

$$\mathcal{CS} = \cup_{i=1}^k (T_1 \oplus T_2 \cdots \oplus T_{i-1} \oplus \mathcal{CS}_i \oplus T_{i+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus T_k).$$

The converse implication follows since  $K_X$  is ample, hence we may consider the Kähler-Einstein metric of  $X$ , for which the tensor  $\sigma$  is parallel, as proven by Kobayashi in ([Koba80]) (since it is a tensor of covariant type two and contravariant type also two).

Hence the (irredundant) irreducible decomposition

$$\mathcal{CS} = \cup_{j=1}^m \mathcal{CS}'(j) = \cup_{j=1}^m (T'_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{CS}'_j \times \cdots \times T'_m)$$

is invariant under the holonomy group  $H$ .

Observe that, since  $K_X$  is ample, all the irreducible holonomy factors  $H_i$  are either equal to  $U(T_i)$ , or  $H_i$  acts on  $T_i$  as the holonomy of a bounded symmetric domain. This implies that if  $\mathcal{CS}_j \subset T_j$  is a proper  $H_j$ -invariant subset, then  $\mathcal{CS}_j$  is (see, remark 4, and also

[Mok02], and also [Mok89], page 252) a Mok characteristic variety and  $T_j$  is a bounded symmetric domain factor.

The holonomy invariance implies, as shown in section 4, that there is another (possibly redundant) irreducible (by what we have just observed) decomposition

$$\mathcal{CS} = \cup_{i=1}^k \mathcal{CS}(i) = \cup_{i=1}^k (\mathcal{CS}_i \bigoplus (\bigoplus_{\sigma_j, i=0} T_j)).$$

It follows immediately that  $k \geq m$ .

On the other hand, by our assumption and by Lemma 7.1, the linear subspace

$$\tilde{T}'_j := (T'_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus T'_{j-1} \oplus T'_{j+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus T'_m)$$

is the maximal vector subspace  $V$  such that  $V + \mathcal{CS}'(j) \subset \mathcal{CS}'(j)$ , hence these linear subspaces are holonomy invariant, in particular their mutual intersections are holonomy invariant.

We conclude that each subspace  $T'_j$  is holonomy invariant. By Remark 3.2 each  $T'_j$  is a sum of a certain number of  $T_i$ 's.

Comparing the two decompositions, it follows that each  $\mathcal{CS}'(j)$  equals some  $\mathcal{CS}(i)$ , and the hypothesis that the linear span of  $\mathcal{CS}'(j)$  equals  $T$  implies that

$$\mathcal{CS}'(j) = \mathcal{CS}(i) = \mathcal{CS}_i \bigoplus (\bigoplus_{j \neq i} T_j) =: \mathcal{CS}_i \oplus \tilde{T}_i.$$

Once more, by Lemma 7.1  $\tilde{T}'_j$  is the maximal linear subspace  $V$  such that  $V + \mathcal{CS}'(j) \subset \mathcal{CS}'(j)$ , and the above equality show that this subspace contains  $\tilde{T}_i$ . Since all the subspaces  $T'_j$  yield a direct sum, and are holonomy invariant, it follows that  $T'_j = T_i$ , and  $\mathcal{CS}'_j = \mathcal{CS}_i$ .

Therefore we finally obtain that  $m = k$  and that, when  $\mathcal{CS}'_j = \mathcal{CS}_i \neq 0$ , then  $\mathcal{S}'_j = \mathcal{S}_i$  is a smooth projective variety.

Since the only smooth characteristic variety is the first Mok characteristic variety (as shown in Lemma 2.3), it follows that the cones  $\mathcal{CS}_i$  are just the origin when  $\dim(T_i) = 1$ , or they are the cones over the first Mok characteristic variety.

To finish the proof, we must only show the following claim

**Claim** The dimension and codimension of the first Mok characteristic variety determines the irreducible bounded symmetric domain  $\mathcal{D}$  of rank  $\geq 2$ .

*Proof.* This follows from the following table.

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be an irreducible Hermitian symmetric space of rank  $> 1$ .

The following table follows from Mok's enumeration of the characteristic variety  $\mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{D})$ , see Mok's Book [Mok89], page 250.

$\mathcal{D}$	$\dim(\mathcal{D})$	$\dim(\mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{D}))$
$I_{p,q}$	$pq$	$p + q - 2$
$II_n$	$\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$	$2(n-2)$
$III_n$	$\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$	$n-1$
$IV_n$	$n$	$n-2$
$V$	16	10
$VI$	27	16

Let  $\eta : \mathcal{IHSS} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  be defined as

$$\eta(\mathcal{D}) := (\dim(\mathcal{D}), \dim(\mathcal{S}^1(\mathcal{D})))$$

**Fact:**  $\eta$  is injective.

*Proof.* The proof is obtained by direct inspection of the above table. Indeed, it is not difficult to check that the pairs (27, 16) and (16, 10) comes just from the domains of  $VI$  and  $V$  respectively. To show that the pair coming from domains  $IV_n$  come just from the domains of type  $IV_n$  it is necessary to recall the following isomorphisms:

$$IV_3 \cong III_2, IV_6 \cong II_4, IV_4 \cong I_{2,2}$$

□

## 5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

In one direction, if  $X$  is locally symmetric without factors of rank 1, consider the tensor  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma_i$  is the curvature tensor for all  $i$ , and  $\sigma_{i,j}$  is the identity on  $T_i \otimes T_j^\vee \forall i \neq j$ .

We saw then in section 4 that

$$\mathcal{CS}(j) = \mathcal{CS}_j \bigoplus (0)$$

and then  $\mathcal{S}(j) \subset \mathbb{P}(T_j)$  is the first Mok characteristic variety, which is smooth, hence A1), A2) and A3) hold.

Conversely, all the components  $\mathcal{CS}(j)$  of the cone  $\mathcal{CS}$  contain no nontrivial vector subspace, since the cone over a projective variety is singular unless the variety is a linear subspace. Hence, by the observations made in section 4 it follows that the holonomy invariant tensor  $\sigma$  is such that all the components  $\sigma_{i,j}$  are a nonzero multiple of the identity on  $T_i \otimes T_j^\vee \forall i \neq j$ .

Then  $\mathcal{CS}(j) = \mathcal{CS}_j \bigoplus (0)$  and the projective variety  $\mathcal{S}_j$  is smooth and holonomy invariant, therefore we conclude as for theorem 1.2 that  $\mathcal{S}_j$  is the first Mok characteristic variety, and that we recover the universal cover from the variety  $\mathcal{S}$ .

## 6. PROOF OF THE KAZHDAN'S TYPE COROLLARY

Consider the conjugate variety  $X^\tau$ : since  $K_X$  is ample we may assume that  $X$  is projectively embedded by  $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X))$ .

$\tau$  carries  $X$  to  $X^\tau$  and  $K_X$  to  $K_{X^\tau}$ , hence also  $X^\tau$  has ample canonical divisor.

Moreover,  $\tau$  carries the algebraic curvature type tensor  $\sigma$  to a similar tensor  $\sigma^\tau$ . The equations of the Mok characteristic varieties are defined over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , hence we obtain that  $\tau$  transforms each variety  $\mathcal{S}^i(X, \sigma)$  into  $\mathcal{S}^i(X^\tau, \sigma^\tau)$ , in particular respecting their dimension and codimension.

We conclude then immediately by the last assertion of our main theorems that the universal covering of  $X^\tau$  is  $\tilde{X}$ . □

## 7. ELEMENTARY LEMMAS

We collect here, for the readers' benefit, some trivial but important observations.

**Lemma 7.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{P}(V) = \mathbb{P}^n$  be a non-degenerate projective variety,  $\mathcal{S} \neq \mathbb{P}(V)$ , and consider the join  $Z := \mathcal{S} * \mathbb{P}(W) \subset \mathbb{P}(V \oplus W) = \mathbb{P}^{n+m}$ . Then  $Z$  is smooth  $\Leftrightarrow W = 0$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  is smooth.*

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be the homogeneous ideal of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}$  is non-degenerate, each  $0 \neq f \in I$  has degree  $\geq 2$ , and moreover  $I$  contains some non zero polynomial (since  $\mathcal{S} \neq \mathbb{P}(V)$ ).

We shall show that  $\mathbb{P}(W) \subset \text{Sing}(Z)$ , observing that  $\mathbb{P}(W) \neq \emptyset$  unless  $W = 0$ , which is exactly which we have to prove.

Observe that

$$Z = \{(v, w) \mid f(v) = 0, \forall f \in I\}.$$

Hence

$$\text{Sing}(Z) = \{(v, w) \mid \frac{\partial f}{\partial v_j}(v) = 0, \forall f \in I\}.$$

Since however  $\deg(f) \geq 2$ ,  $\forall f \in I, f \neq 0$ ,  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial v_j}(v)$  vanishes for  $v = 0$ , hence  $\mathbb{P}(W) = \{(0, w) \mid w \in W\} \subset \text{Sing}(Z)$ . □

In the next lemma we use our standard notation, introduced in section 2.

**Lemma 7.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{CS} \subset T_i$  be an  $H_i$ -invariant algebraic cone.*

*Then there is no nontrivial linear subspace  $V_i$  such that  $V_i + \mathcal{CS} \subset \mathcal{CS}$ , unless  $\mathcal{CS} = T_i$ .*

*Proof.* If  $V_i$  is nontrivial, then  $W_i := \{v \mid v + \mathcal{CS} \subset \mathcal{CS}\}$  is a non trivial linear subspace, which is  $H_i$  invariant. But  $T_i$  is an irreducible representation, hence if  $W_i \neq \{0\}$ , then  $\mathcal{CS} = T_i$ .

□

**Acknowledgement:**

We would like to heartily thank Ngaiming Mok for drawing our attention to the reference [KobOchi81] and putting us on the right track for the generalization of the results of [CaDS12].

Thanks also to Daniel Mckenzie for pointing out that our first proof of Theorem 1.2 was erroneously written.

## REFERENCES

- [Aub78] AUBIN, T.: *Équations du type Monge-Ampère sur les variétés kählériennes compactes*. Bull. Sci. Math. (2) 102 (1978), no. 1, 63-95.
- [Ber53] BERGER, M.: *Sur les groupes d'holonomie homogène des variétés à connexion affine et des variétés riemanniennes*. Bull. Soc. Math. France 83 (1953), 279-330
- [Bog78] BOGOMOLOV, F. A. : *Holomorphic tensors and vector bundles on projective manifolds*. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 42 (1978), no. 6, 1227 –1287, 1439.
- [Bore63] BOREL, A.: *Compact Clifford-Klein forms of symmetric spaces*, Topology 2 1963 111 - 122.
- [CaFr09] CATANESE, F. AND FRANCIOSI, M.: *On varieties whose universal cover is a product of curves*. With an appendix by Antonio J. Di Scala. Contemp. Math., 496, Interactions of classical and numerical algebraic geometry, 157-179, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2009).
- [CaDS12] CATANESE, F. AND DI SCALA, A. J.: *A characterization of varieties whose universal cover is the polydisk or a tube domain*, Math. Annalen, **394**, (2012) doi:10.1007/s00208-012-0841-x.
- [CoDS09] CONSOLE, SERGIO AND DI SCALA, A. J. .: *Parallel submanifolds of complex projective space and their normal holonomy*, Math. Z. 261 (2009), no. 1, 1–11.
- [Helga78] HELGASON, S.: *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*. Pure and Applied Mathematics, 80. Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, (1978) xv+628 pp.
- [Kazh70] KAZHDAN D.: *Arithmetic varieties and their fields of quasi-definition.*, Actes du Congrès International des Mathématiciens (Nice, 1970), Tome 2, pp. 321 –325. Gauthier-Villars, Paris, (1971).
- [Koba58] KOBAYASHI, S.: *Geometry of bounded domains*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 92 (1959) 267-290.
- [Koba80] KOBAYASHI, S.: *First Chern class and holomorphic tensor fields*. Nagoya Math. J. 77 (1980), 5 - 11.
- [KobNom63] KOBAYASHI, S. AND NOMIZU, K.: *Foundations of differential geometry. Vol I*. Interscience Publishers, a division of John Wiley & Sons, New York-Lond on (1963) xi+329 pp.
- [KobOchi81] KOBAYASHI, S. AND OCHIAI, T.: *Holomorphic structures modeled after compact hermitian symmetric spaces*. in 'Manifolds and Lie groups', Hano et al. ed., 'Papers in honor of Yozo Matsushima', Progr. Math. 14, Birkhäuser, Basel (1981), 207–221.
- [Kod54] KODAIRA, K.: *On Kähler varieties of restricted type (an intrinsic characterization of algebraic varieties)*. Ann. of Math. (2) 60, (1954). 28-48.

- [Mok89] MOK, N. : *Metric rigidity theorems on Hermitian locally symmetric manifolds*. Series in Pure Mathematics, 6. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., Teaneck, NJ, (1989) xiv+278 pp.
- [Mok02] MOK, N. : *Characterization of certain holomorphic geodesic cycles on quotients of bounded symmetric domains in terms of tangent subspaces*. *Compositio Math.* 132 (2002), no. 3, 289-309.
- [Olm05] OLMOS, C.: *A geometric proof of the Berger holonomy theorem*, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 161 (2005), no. 1, 579-588.
- [Sie48] SIEGEL, C.L.: *Analytic Functions of Several Complex Variables*. Notes by P. T. Bateman. Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, N.J., (1950) ii+200 pp.
- [Sie73] SIEGEL, C.L.: *Topics in complex function theory. Vol. III: Abelian functions and modular functions of several variables*. Translated from the original German by E. Gottschling and M. Tretkoff. Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 25. Wiley-Interscience [A Division of John Wiley & Sons, Inc.], New York-London-Sydney (1973).
- [ViZu07] VIEHWEG, E. AND ZUO, K.: *Arakelov inequalities and the uniformization of certain rigid Shimura varieties.*, *J. Differential Geom.* 77 (2007), no. 2, 291– 352.
- [Yau77] YAU, S.-T: *Calabi's conjecture and some new results in algebraic geometry*. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 74 (1977), no. 5, 1798–1799.
- [Yau78] YAU, S.-T: *On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation. I.* *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 31 (1978), no. 3, 339 –411.
- [Yau93] YAU, S.-T: *A splitting theorem and an algebraic geometric characterization of locally Hermitian symmetric spaces.*, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* 1 (1993), no. 3-4, 473 – 486.

### Authors ' Addresses:

Fabrizio Catanese,  
 Lehrstuhl Mathematik VIII, Mathematisches Institut der  
 Universität Bayreuth  
 NW II, Universitätsstr. 30, 95447 Bayreuth, Germany.  
 email: fabrizio.catanese@uni-bayreuth.de

Antonio J. Di Scala,  
 Dipartimento di Scienze Matematiche “G.L. Lagrange”,  
 Politecnico di Torino,  
 Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Torino, Italy.  
 email: antonio.discala@polito.it