

# Politecnico di Torino

# Porto Institutional Repository

[Other] Relationships between tensile and fracture mechanics properties and fatigue properties of large plastic mold steel

Original Citation:

Firrao D., Matteis P., Scavino G., Ubertalli G., Ienco M.G., Pinasco M.R., Stagno E., Gerosa R., Rivolta B., Silvestri A., Silva G., Ghidini A. (2006). *Relationships between tensile and fracture mechanics properties and fatigue properties of large plastic mold steel.*.

Availability:

This version is available at : http://porto.polito.it/1667886/ since: January 2008

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions applicable to Open Access Policy Article ("Public - All rights reserved"), as described at <a href="http://porto.polito.it/terms\_and\_conditions.html">http://porto.polito.it/terms\_and\_conditions.html</a>

Porto, the institutional repository of the Politecnico di Torino, is provided by the University Library and the IT-Services. The aim is to enable open access to all the world. Please share with us how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

(Article begins on next page)

**Relationships between Tensile and Fracture Mechanics Properties and Fatigue Properties of Large Plastic Mold Steel** 

D. Firrao<sup>1</sup>, P. Matteis<sup>1</sup>, G. Scavino<sup>1</sup>, G. Ubertalli<sup>1</sup>, M. G. lenco<sup>2</sup>, M. R. Pinasco<sup>2</sup>, E. Stagno<sup>2</sup>, R. Gerosa<sup>3</sup>, B. Rivolta<sup>3</sup>, A. Silvestri<sup>3</sup>, G. Silva<sup>3</sup>, A. Ghidini<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Politecnico di Torino <sup>2</sup>Università di Genova <sup>3</sup>Politecnico di Milano <sup>4</sup>Lucchini Sidermeccanica

# **Overall views of a bumper mould.**



# Summary

- Production cycle and critical issues of large plastic moulds
- Sampling pattern and re-heat-treatments
- As-received microstructures
- Mechanical properties and fatigue behaviour of as-received and re-heat-treated steel
- Fracture surfaces
- Conclusions

## Plastic molds machined from 1x1x2 m forged and pre-hardened steel blooms

# **Applications**

>automotive components (bumpers, dashboards, ...)

## **Stresses**

#### ➤ applied stresses:

injection pressure thermal gradients notch effects wear by reinforced resins flow fatigue (millions of pieces)

stresses raised by:

cracks (improper weld bed depositions), abnormal operations (incomplete extraction).

Experience-based design, no usual defect-allowance calculation procedure
Reported macroscopically brittle in-service failures

different microstructures expected at increasing depths after quench
any microstructure could be found at mold face

# Usual Production cycle (I)

> Steel composition		С	Cr	Mn	Ni	Мо	Si	S	Р
	1 2738	0.35	1.8	1.3	0.9	0.15	0.2		
	40CrMnNiMo8-6-4	-	-	-	-	-	-	<0.03	<0.03
		0.45	2.1	1.6	1.2	0.25	0.4		
	Examined bloom	0.42	2.0	1.5	1.1	0.21	0,37	0.002	0.006

## Steel mill operations

ingot casting (ESR refining is not possible) forging to 1x1 m sections dehydrogenization oil quenching tempering (one or more stages)

**Usual Production cycle (II)** 

# Commercial warehouse operations removal of rough and decarburized surfaces (up to 10-20 mm) sawing to requested dimensions

# > Mold machining shop operations

chip-removal and/or electrical-discharge machining to the mold shape grinding with or without polishing in selected areas local surface treatments

eventual corrections using weld bed depositions

## Usual Production cycle (cont.)

#### Forging

comparable ingot and bloom sectionsome repeated forging steps



>total reduction ratio much lower than in rolling (and not comparable)

Heat treatil	ng in air Step	Temperature	Duration
	hydrogen removal		a few days
	austenitizing	840-880°C	1-2 days
	oil quench	-	-
	tempering to 330-300 HB (one or more stages)	550-600°C	1-2 days (each stage)

## Experimental (I): sampling of the original bloom



#### Experimental (II): sampling pattern & re-heat-treatments



Re-heat-treatments: 860°C <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>h / N<sub>2</sub> or air / 590°C 3h / 550°C 3h

#### As-received microstructures vs. depth (Nital etch)



#### Hardness, tensile and fracture toughness tests



#### Charpy-V tests & transition curves

# **Transition curves**

# 175 °C tests



As received steel

### Rotating bending fatigue tests – 4.2 Mcycles endurance limit

test n.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Χ	0
[MPa]																	
500								Χ				Χ				2	0
490							0		Χ		0		Χ			2	2
480						0				0				Χ		1	2
470			Χ		0										0	1	2
460		0		0												0	2
450	0															0	1

#### Staircase method (example below: core as-received specimens)

		Stress [MPa]								
<b>Survival</b>	As-re	ceived	<b>Re-heat-treated</b>							
<b>Probability</b>	<b>Core</b> (~560 mm)	Surface (~140 mm)	<b>Core</b> (~560 mm)	Surface (~140 mm)						
10%	518	581	638	706						
90%	469	537	577	694						
50%	493_19	559_17	608 24	700 5						
	25% increase									

## Fractography (I): Charpy-V test - brittle areas (as received specs.)



40 mm depth intergranular



667 mm depth quasi-cleavage & ductile areas

# Fractography (II): K<sub>lc</sub> tests – as received specs.



## Fractography (III): K<sub>lc</sub> tests – re-heat-treated specs.

Fatigue **Brittle** precrack propagation

### Fractography (IV): fatigue tests – fatigue areas

**As-received** 

Surface (~140 mm) Core (~560 mm)



**Re-heat-treated** 

## Fractography (V): fatigue tests – overload areas



Re-heat-treated (originally ~560 mm) intergranular (partially ductile)

## Fractography (VI): remarks

#### Macroscopically brittle (overload) fracture mechanisms

- Charpy-V, K<sub>Ic</sub> and fatigue test specimens with similar microstructures show similar microscopic fracture mechanisms.
- Core and intermediate depth as-received microstructures show cleavage or quasi-cleavage fracture with some ductile areas.
- Both as-received (low depth) and re-heat-treated tempered martensite microstructures show mainly intergranular fracture.

#### Toughness of tempered martensite microstructures

- Only the re-heat-treated samples show ductile regions at the crack tip of the K<sub>lc</sub> specs. (and thus higher toughness).
- Differences in the tempered martensite carbide distribution, not observable by the O.M., must be supposed.

# Conclusions (I)

- Mixed microstructures occur throughout the examined bloom.
- ☆ The bloom fracture toughness is exceptionally low (about 40 MPa√m) for a Q&T steel, considering the achieved UTS.
- The plain-strain fracture prevalently occurs by decohesion, coherently with the fact that, at room temperature, this steel is in its brittle temperature range.
- The low toughness must be attributed to the microstructures caused by the heat treatment, and in turn to the large dimensions of the blooms and of the moulds.
- The much higher toughness of the re-heat-treated samples must be attributed to microstructural differences on a sub-micron scale.

# **Conclusions (II)**

- The rotating bending fatigue endurance limits scale with the tensile strength, rather than with the fracture toughness.
- The endurance limits of the re-heat-treated samples is 25% higher, keeping the differences due to the original location.
- The low fracture toughness is a critical property; the lower fatigue endurance limit allows for a critical crack to develop more rapidly than in a fully Q&T condition.

**Relationships between Tensile and Fracture Mechanics Properties and Fatigue Properties of Large Plastic Mold Steel** 

D. Firrao<sup>1</sup>, P. Matteis<sup>1</sup>, G. Scavino<sup>1</sup>, G. Ubertalli<sup>1</sup>, M. G. lenco<sup>2</sup>, M. R. Pinasco<sup>2</sup>, E. Stagno<sup>2</sup>, R. Gerosa<sup>3</sup>, B. Rivolta<sup>3</sup>, A. Silvestri<sup>3</sup>, G. Silva<sup>3</sup>, A. Ghidini<sup>4</sup>

<sup>3</sup>Politecnico di Milano

<sup>1</sup>Politecnico di Torino <sup>2</sup>Università di Genova <sup>4</sup>Lucchini Sidermeccanica

# Thank you for your attention!