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Abstract

A new solid solution TlFe\(_{0.22}\)Al\(_{0.78}\)As\(_2\)O\(_7\) has been synthesized by a solid-state reaction. The structure of the title compound has been determined from a single-crystal X-ray diffraction and refined to final values of the reliability factors: \(R(F^2) = 0.030\) and \(wR(F^2) = 0.081\) for 1343 independent reflections with \(I > 2\sigma(I)\). It crystallizes in the triclinic space group \(P\bar{1}\), with \(a = 6.296(2)\,\text{\AA}\), \(b = 6.397(2)\,\text{\AA}\), \(c = 8.242(2)\,\text{\AA}\), \(\alpha = 96.74(2)\degree\), \(\beta = 103.78(2)\degree\), \(\gamma = 102.99(3)\degree\), \(V = 369.0(2)\,\text{\AA}^3\) and \(Z = 2\). The structure can be described as a three-dimensional framework containing (Fe/Al)O\(_6\) octahedra connected through As\(_2\)O\(_7\) groups. The metallic units and diarsenate groups share oxygen corners to form a three-dimensional framework with interconnected tunnels parallel to the \(a\), \(b\) and \(c\) directions, where Tl\(^+\) cations are located. The ionic conductivity measurements are performed on pellets of the polycrystalline powder. At 683 K, the conductivity value is \(5.23 \times 10^{-6}\,\text{S}\,\text{cm}^{-1}\) and the ionic jump activation energy is 0.656 eV. The bond valence analysis reveals that the ionic conductivity is ensured by Tl\(^+\) along the [001] direction.
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1. Introduction

Many diphosphates with the general formula A\(^{III}\)M\(^{II}\)P\(_2\)O\(_7\) have been extensively studied during the last years \([1]\). It was revealed that the phosphates showed a variety of structures composed of MO\(_6\) octahedra and P\(_2\)O\(_7\) groups. Some of these compounds have tunnel or cage structures, in which alkali ions reside and therefore are good candidates for new ionic conductors. Some of them are largely developed in catalysis \([2]\). Compared to the rich chemistry of the iron diphosphates, iron diarsenates studies are rare in the literature. Only three iron diarsenates, NaFeAs\(_2\)O\(_7\) \([3]\), AgFeAs\(_2\)O\(_7\) \([3]\) and LiFeAs\(_2\)O\(_7\) \([4]\), have been studied in single-crystal form. The aim of this work is to elucidate the crystal structure of the arsenate TlFe\(_{0.22}\)Al\(_{0.78}\)As\(_2\)O\(_7\) and to examine the relationship between the structure and the thallium ionic conductivity.

2. Experimental

Single crystals of the title compound were obtained from a mixture of Tl\(_2\)CO\(_3\), \(M(\text{NO}_3)_3\cdot9\text{H}_2\text{O}\), \(M = \text{Fe, Al}\) and H\(_3\)AsO\(_4\) with a Tl:Fe:Al:As molar ratio of 1:0.1:0.9:2. The synthesis technique consists to completely dissolve the reagents in an aqueous solution. The mixture is slowly evaporated to total dryness and then placed in an alumina crucible. The calcinations of the dry residue are performed through three steps: first at 400 °C for 3 h, second heated to 700 °C for 3 h, the molten mixture is then cooled to 650 °C/12 h and finally slowly cooled to room temperature. The obtained green crystals were separated from the excess flux by washing the product in boiling water.

The Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) measurements were performed at room temperature, on a Perkin-Elmer
FT-IR Paragon 1000 PC spectrometer over the 1400–400 cm\(^{-1}\) region, in a KBr pellet.

Impedance measurements were carried out in a Hewlett-Packard 4192-A automatic bridge monitored by a HP microcomputer. The frequency range was 5 Hz–13 MHz. Pellets of 13 mm diameter and 0.6 mm thickness were prepared by pressing the powder sample at 10 tonnes. Then the pellets were sintered at 600 °C for 24 h. Silver electrodes were painted in the two faces of the pellets with a silver paste, and then the painted pellets were heated at 300 °C/1 h. The impedance measurements were carried out at steady-state temperatures on the pellets in still air.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Structure determination

A suitable green single crystal with dimensions 0.144 × 0.114 × 0.108 mm\(^3\) was chosen for the structure determination and refinement. It was selected under a polarizing microscope and was mounted on a glass fibre. The crystal structure is determined from single-crystal X-ray diffraction data collected at room temperature using an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer with monochromated graphite MoK\(_\alpha\) radiation (\(\lambda = 0.71069 \) Å) [5]. The reflections were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects; absorption correction was obtained via a psi-scan [6] and secondary extinction correction was applied too [7].

The crystal structure was solved and refined against \(R^2\) in the space-group P-1 using the SHELX-97 [7,8] computer programs included in the WingX software package [9]. The iron, thallium and arsenic atoms were located and the remaining oxygen atoms were found from successive difference Fourier maps. Refinement of all atoms, except of aluminium led to \(R = 0.0566\) and \(wR = 0.1634\). Moreover, the M–O distances (position 2\(i\)) as well as the displacement parameters led us to consider that the (2\(i\)) position is occupied simultaneously by iron and aluminium. Besides, the semi-quantitative energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) analysis of one of the green stick-like crystals obtained as mentioned above was performed with a JEOL-JSM 5400 scanning electron microscope; it revealed the presence of Ti, As, Fe, Al and O elements. The refinement of the occupancy factors of the two metals was then performed leading to 22% and 78% for Fe and Al, respectively, and to an improvement of the agreement factors: \(R = 0.030\) and \(wR = 0.081\); the corresponding formula is thus TiFe\(_{0.22}\)Al\(_{0.78}\)As\(_2\)O\(_7\). Both bond valence model [10,11] and charge distribution analysis [12–14] show that the structure is well refined with satisfactory valences (\(V/\text{charges} (Q)\) for all atoms (Table 3).

The crystallographic data and experimental conditions for intensity measurements and refinement are reported in Table 1. The atomic coordinates, fractional occupancies and isotropic displacement parameters are listed in Table 2. The main interatomic distances are given in Table 3.

The structural figures were carried out with Diamond 2.1 supplied by Crystal Impact [15].

3.2. Structure description and discussion

The title compound is isostructural to RbAlAs\(_2\)O\(_7\) [16], KAlAs\(_2\)O\(_7\) [17] and KGaAs\(_2\)O\(_7\) [18]. The structure depicted in Fig. 1 can be described as a three-dimensional framework of [Fe\(_{0.22}\)Al\(_{0.78}\)As\(_2\)O\(_7\)]\(^{-}\) anions, which are built up from corner-sharing MO\(_6\) octahedra (\(M = \text{Fe}_{0.22}\text{Al}_{0.78}\)) and As\(_2\)O\(_7\) groups. The metallic octahedron shares its six corners with five diarsenide groups; the As\(_2\)O\(_7\) anion shares all its six corners with five octahedra; the interconnection between the polyhedra results in centrosymmetric MAs\(_2\)O\(_{11}\) units (Fig. 2). The framework can also be described as been formed from polyhedral parallel layers, as in many isoformula compounds [3,4,15–19]. It define interconnected tunnels parallel to the cell directions, where Ti\(^{+}\) cations house.

Iron and aluminium cations occupy the same (2\(i\)) crystallographic site with occupancy rates of 0.22 and 0.78, respectively. These cations are coordinated by oxygen atoms in an octahedral coordination. The effective coordination number (ECoN) [12–14] of 5.992 shows that

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crystal data</th>
<th>Data collection</th>
<th>Refinement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Empirical formula: TiFe(<em>{0.22})Al(</em>{0.78})As(_2)O(_7)</td>
<td>Diffractometer: Enraf-Nonius CAD-4</td>
<td>Refinement method: Full-matrix least-squares on (F^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system: Triclinic; Space group: P-1</td>
<td>Wavelength: (\lambda_{Mo-K} = 0.71069 ) Å; 298(2) K</td>
<td>Final (R) indices ((I &gt; 2\sigma(I))): (R = 0.030; wR = 0.081)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell dimensions: (a = 6.296(2) ) Å, (b = 6.397(2) ) Å, (c = 8.242(2) ) Å</td>
<td>Limiting indices: (-8 \leq h \leq 8; -8 \leq k \leq 8; 0 \leq l \leq 10)</td>
<td>Reflections; parameters: 1316; 108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha = 96.74(2)^\circ), (\beta = 103.78(2)^\circ), (\gamma = 102.99(3)^\circ)</td>
<td>Scan mode: psi-scan; (0.5297; 0.9987)</td>
<td>(\Delta p_{\text{max}} / \Delta p_{\text{min}}) (e(\text{Å}^{-3})): 2.278; -1.593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume: (Z = 308.98(16) ) Å(^3); (Z = 2)</td>
<td>Absorption correction: (T_{\text{min}} / T_{\text{max}})</td>
<td>Extinction coefficient: 0.010(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\rho_{\text{calc}} = 4.998 \text{ g cm}^{-3}); (5.369 \text{ g cm}^{-3})</td>
<td>Standards; frequency ((\text{min})); decay ((%)): 1200</td>
<td>Goodness of fit ((S)): 1.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2

| Summary of crystallographic data, recording conditions and structure refinement results for TiFe\(_{0.22}\)Al\(_{0.78}\)As\(_2\)O\(_7\) |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| Crystal data | Data collection |
| Empirical formula | Diffractometer: Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 |
| Crystal system: Triclinic; Space group: P-1 | Wavelength: \(\lambda_{Mo-K} = 0.71069 \) Å; 298(2) K |
| Unit cell dimensions: \(a = 6.296(2) \) Å, \(b = 6.397(2) \) Å, \(c = 8.242(2) \) Å | Limiting indices: \(-8 \leq h \leq 8; -8 \leq k \leq 8; 0 \leq l \leq 10\) |
| \(\alpha = 96.74(2)^\circ\), \(\beta = 103.78(2)^\circ\), \(\gamma = 102.99(3)^\circ\) | Scan mode: psi-scan; \(0.5297; 0.9987\) |
| Volume: \(Z = 308.98(16) \) Å\(^3\); \(Z = 2\) | Absorption correction: \(T_{\text{min}} / T_{\text{max}}\) |
| \(\rho_{\text{calc}} = 4.998 \text{ g cm}^{-3}\); \(5.369 \text{ g cm}^{-3}\) | Standards; frequency \((\text{min})\); decay \((\%)\): 1200 |
| | Extinction coefficient: 0.010(1) |
| | Goodness of fit (\(S\)): 1.14 |

The structural figures were carried out with Diamond 2.1 supplied by Crystal Impact [15].
the overall degree of distortion of the octahedron with respect to its regular counterpart is very limited. This comes from the fact that the longest distance and the smallest one differs only from 0.038 Å; furthermore, the arithmetic and weighted average distances [12–14] are two equals to 1.919 Å.

The diarsenate group As2O7 is formed by two slightly distorted As1O4 and As2O4 tetrahedra. The ECoNs are ECoN(As1) = 3.934, ECoN(As2) = 3.903; the arithmetic and weighted average distances for As1O4 and As2O4 are $\langle \text{As1-O} \rangle = 1.692$ Å and $\langle \text{As2-O} \rangle = 1.693$ Å and $\langle \text{As1-O} \rangle = 1.686$ Å and $\langle \text{As2-O} \rangle = 1.686$ Å,
respectively; the overall degree of distortion is limited. The diarsenate group has a nearly eclipsed conformation with a torsion angle O3–As1–As2–O7 = 26.97(2). The O–As–O bond angles are between 106.9(3)° and 113.3(3)° and between 102.6(2)° and 116.7(3)° for As(1) and As(2), respectively. The As1–O4–As2 angle of 119.27(2)° compares well with the corresponding angle in the literature.

There are two independent thallium positions in the unit cell. They are 4.094 Å apart along [001] direction. If we consider only Tl–O distances less than 3.5 Å, the Tl(1) and Tl(2) cations are surrounded by eight and ten oxygen atoms, with distances ranging from 2.854(5) to 3.261(5) Å (Table 4), respectively, forming irregular coordination polyhedra, as often occurs and from 2.873(6) to 3.297(5) Å (Table 4), respectively, forming irregular coordination polyhedra, as often occurs.

The stereochemical activity of the lone pair 6s² of Tl⁺ appears to be absent.

### 3.3. Infrared spectroscopy

The IR spectrum displays the typical bands of the diarsenate group whose main characteristic bands are ν₁ and ν₃ As–O–As vibrations. The peaks at 586/773 cm⁻¹ are attributed to symmetric ν₁ and asymmetric (ν₃s) modes of the As₂O₇ group, respectively (Fig. 3). The As–O stretching and O–As–O bending vibrational associated with AsO₄ tetrahedra are shown at 929, 877, 472 and 400 cm⁻¹. A comparison with data of similar compounds from the literature was used to assign the IR bands [3,20,21].

### 3.4. Ionic conductivity

Typical complex plots of imaginary part of impedance −Z’’ versus the real part Z’ at various temperatures (Z* = Z’ – jZ’’) are shown in Fig. 4 in which semicircles are observed. The centres of these semicircles are depressed below the baseline. The ionic conductivity as function of the temperature has been obtained from the values of intercept of the extrapolated high-frequency semicircles with the real axis. It should be noticed that conductivity in this arsenate is of ionic type; the electronic conductivity in oxides is due to overlapping of non-completely filled d or f orbitals of cations or to electron hopping from aliovalent ions, like Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺ assisted by an oxygen anion in between. In this case, we would exclude both mechanisms so conductivity should be totally ionic, some electronic could be present in grain boundaries, but negligible.

The conductivity variation indicates an increase of conductivity with rise in temperature with a typical Arrhenius-type behaviour having linear dependence of thermal conductivity logarithm log(σT) on inverse of temperature 10³/T (K⁻¹) (Fig. 4). This type of temperature dependence of the conductivity indicates that the electrical conduction in the material is a thermally activated process. It can be explained in accordance with the expression: σT = A₀ exp(–Eₐ/kT), where A₀ is the pre-exponential factor, Eₐ the activation energy, T the absolute temperature and k the Boltzmann constant. The conductivity value is 5.23 × 10⁻⁶ S cm⁻¹ at 683 K and the ionic jump activation energy is 0.656 eV. This material shows a weak ionic conductivity performance, as compared to similar iron arsenates [3]. This will be related to structural features and may be explained by other unfavourable factors.
3.5. Conduction pathway proposed from bond valence analysis

The concept of bond valence \([10,11]\) usually used to validate crystal structures was successfully employed to model lithium ion conductivity in \(\text{La}_{2/3}\text{Li}_x\text{TiO}_3\) \([22]\) and sodium in Nasicon conductors \([23]\) and in \(\text{Na}_2\text{M}_2(\text{BO}_3)_2\text{O}\) \((\text{M} = \text{Al}, \text{Ga})\) and \(\text{Na}_2\text{Ca}_x\text{Ga}_2(\text{BO}_3)_2\text{O}\) \((x = 0.25, 0.50)\) \([24]\). This model is applied here to the arsenate under investigation in order to predict the Tl\((1)\) and Tl\((2)\) thallium motions in the crystal bulk.

Bond valence sum \(\phi(x, y, z)\) is calculated for a grid of points \((x, y, z)\) taking into account that the starting positions for Tl\((1)\) and Tl\((2)\) are the crystallographic positions \((1b\) and \(1a\), respectively) determined by X-ray diffraction analysis and that the free motion occurred along initial migration directions such as \([100]\), \([110]\) or \([001]\) towards crystallographic sites, following the lowest bond valence sum \(\phi(x, y, z)\). Points in this valence map with the lowest \(\phi\) values correspond to stable positions; the highest values are associated with bottlenecks.

The Tl\((1)\)→Tl\((2)\) and Tl\((2)\)→Tl\((1)\) motions appear to be easier only for the initial migration direction \([001]\) (Fig. 5). Along this direction, the maximum \(\phi(d)\) values (called hereinafter Vumax) are \(\phi(d) = 1.20\) and the minimum values are \(\phi(d) = 0.85\) for Tl\(^+\) cations along the pathway from Tl\((1)\) to Tl\((2)\) and to Tl\((1)\) again. Along \(a\) and \(b\) cell directions, the ion motion seems difficult: Vumax = 1.40 for the both cations. The reasons are the dimensions of the tunnels sections for which the largest are those of the tunnels parallel to c-axis (5.27 and 6.296 Å). The conduction mechanism involves a transfer of thallium ions from their crystallographic sites to next ones \((1b\) to \(1a\) in the Tl\((1)\) conduction array). The hopping length of 4.094 Å can be compared with the distance of 4–5 Å found in idealized rhombohedral Nasicon for sodium conduction pathways \([23,25]\). Then it can be concluded that the Tl\(^+\) ion...
conductivity is mono-dimensional with one path along the $c$ direction (Figs. 6 and 7).

4. Conclusion

The crystal structure of the title compound has been elucidated by X-ray crystallography and confirmed by EDS and IR. Bond valence analysis seems to be an important tool to model ionic conduction pathways; performed on TlFe$_{0.22}$Al$_{0.78}$As$_2$O$_7$, the BVS model reveals that the thallium ionic conductivity is probably mono-dimensional, mobile along the $c$ direction.

References