

Politecnico di Torino

Porto Institutional Repository

[Article] On the asymptotic formula for Goldbach numbers in short intervals

Original Citation:

Bazzanella D., Languasco A. (2000). *On the asymptotic formula for Goldbach numbers in short intervals.* In: STUDIA SCIENTIARUM MATHEMATICARUM HUNGARICA, vol. 36 n. 1-2, pp. 185-199. - ISSN 0081-6906

Availability:

This version is available at : http://porto.polito.it/1397857/ since: March 2009

Publisher:

Akadémiai Kiadó

Published version:

DOI:10.1556/SScMath.36.2000.1-2.14

Terms of use:

This article is made available under terms and conditions applicable to Open Access Policy Article ("Public - All rights reserved"), as described at http://porto.polito.it/terms_and_conditions.html

Porto, the institutional repository of the Politecnico di Torino, is provided by the University Library and the IT-Services. The aim is to enable open access to all the world. Please share with us how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

(Article begins on next page)

ON THE ASYMPTOTIC FORMULA FOR GOLDBACH NUMBERS IN SHORT INTERVALS

by

D. BAZZANELLA and A. LANGUASCO*

This is the authors' post-print version of an article published on Stud. Sci. Math. Hung. 36 (2000) n.1-2, 185–199, DOI:10.1556/SScMath.36.2000.1-2.14.

1. Introduction

Define a Goldbach number (G-number) to be an even number which can be written as a sum of two primes. In the following we denote by N a sufficiently large integer and let $L = \log N$. Let further

$$R(k) = \sum_{\substack{N < m \le 2N}} \sum_{\substack{N < l \le 2N \\ m+l=k}} \Lambda(l)\Lambda(m)$$

be the weighted counting function of G-numbers,

$$\mathfrak{S}(k) = \begin{cases} 2 \prod_{p>2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2}\right) \prod_{\substack{p|k \ p>2}} \left(\frac{p-1}{p-2}\right) & \text{if } k \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

be the singular series of Goldbach's problem and

$$m(k) = \sum_{N < m \le 2N} \sum_{\substack{N < l \le 2N \\ m + l = k}} 1.$$

We recall that a well-known conjecture states that as $k \to \infty$

$$R(k) \sim m(k)\mathfrak{S}(k).$$
 (1)

In this paper we study the asymptotic formula for the average of R(k) over short intervals of type [n, n+H). In the extreme case H=1, Chudakov [1], van der Corput [2] and Estermann [4] proved that, as $N \to \infty$, (1) holds for all $k \in [1, N]$ but

^{*} Research supported by a postdoctoral grant from the University of Genova.

¹This version does not contain journal formatting and may contain minor changes with respect to the published version. The final publication is available at http://dx.doi.org/10.1556/SScMath.36.2000.1-2.14. The present version is accessible on PORTO, the Open Access Repository of Politecnico di Torino (http://porto.polito.it), in compliance with the Publisher's copyright policy as reported in the SHERPA-ROMEO website: http://www.sherpa.ac.uk/romeo/issn/0081-6906/

 $O(NL^{-A})$ exceptions, for every A > 0. Moreover, the same techniques prove, for $H \leq L^D$ and $N \to \infty$, that

$$\sum_{k \in [n, n+H)} R(k) \sim \sum_{k \in [n, n+H)} m(k)\mathfrak{S}(k) \tag{2}$$

holds for all $n \in (\frac{5}{2}N, \frac{7}{2}N]$ but $O(NL^{-A})$ exceptions, for every A, D > 0. We recall that Montgomery-Vaughan [12] improved Chudakov-van der Corput-Estermann's result proving that there exists a (small) constant $\delta > 0$ such that $|E(N)| \ll N^{1-\delta}$, where $E(N) = E \cap [1, N]$ and E is the exceptional set for Goldbach's problem. Montgomery-Vaughan's technique intrinsically does not give any information about the asymptotic formula for R(k).

On the other hand, using the circle method and Ingham-Huxley's zero density estimate, Perelli [14] proved that (2) holds as $n \to \infty$ uniformly for $H \ge n^{1/6+\varepsilon}$. Our aim here is to show, using the circle method, that the asymptotic formula (2) holds for almost all $n \in (\frac{5}{2}N, \frac{7}{2}N]$, uniformly for $L^D \le H \le N^{1/6+\varepsilon}$, for all D > 0. Our result is

Theorem. Let $D, \varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary constants and $L^D \leq H \leq N^{1/6+\varepsilon}$. Then, as $N \to \infty$, (2) holds for all $n \in (\frac{5}{2}N, \frac{7}{2}N]$ but $O(NL^{42+\varepsilon}H^{-2})$ exceptions.

In fact, following the proof of the Theorem, it is easy to see that we have $O(NL^{f(\theta)}H^{-2})$ exceptions, where

$$H = N^{\theta}$$
 and $f(\theta) = \frac{24 - 18\theta}{1 - 3\theta} + \varepsilon$.

A direct computation shows that $f(\theta)$ is an increasing function and hence the exponent 42 in the log-factor of the Theorem follows taking $\theta = 1/6 + \varepsilon$.

We observe that our result, for $\theta = 1/6 + \varepsilon$, proves only that the number of exceptions for (2) is $O(N^{2/3-\varepsilon})$ while, from Perelli's [14] result, we know that there are no exceptions.

We recall that Mikawa, see Lemma 4 of [10], proved a slightly weaker, in the log-factor, result without using the circle method. We finally recall that, under the assumption of the Riemann Hypothesis (RH), (2) holds uniformly for $H \ge \infty(\log^2 n)$, where $f = \infty(g)$ means g = o(f), and that, assuming further the Montgomery pair correlation conjecture, (2) holds uniformly for $H \ge \infty(\log n)$.

Acknowledgments. We wish to thank Prof. A.Perelli for some useful discussions.

2. Outline of the method

Let

$$Q = \frac{H}{L^{\varepsilon}}, \ T = \frac{N}{Q}L^{2+\varepsilon}$$
 and $K_H(n) = \sum_{k \in [n, n+H)} e(-k\alpha),$

where $e(x) = \exp(2\pi ix)$. Let further $\beta + i\gamma$ denote the generic non-trivial zero of $\zeta(s)$,

$$S(\alpha) = \sum_{N < m \le 2N} \Lambda(m) e(m\alpha), \ T(\alpha) = \sum_{N < m \le 2N} e(m\alpha),$$

$$T_{\rho}(\alpha) = \sum_{N < m \le 2N} a_{\rho}(m)e(m\alpha), \ a_{\rho}(m) = \int_{m}^{m+1} t^{\rho-1}dt.$$

Given an interval $I = [a, b] \subset [1/2, 1]$ we define

$$\Sigma_b(\alpha) = \sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \le T \\ \beta \in I}} T_\rho(\alpha), \quad \Sigma_g(\alpha) = \sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \le T \\ \beta \notin I}} T_\rho(\alpha) + \sum_{|\gamma| > T} T_\rho(\alpha) + R(\alpha)$$

where $R(\alpha)$ is defined by difference in the approximation

$$S(\alpha) = T(\alpha) - \Sigma_g(\alpha) - \Sigma_b(\alpha). \tag{3}$$

Subdivide now $\left(-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right)$ into $O(\log Q)$ subintervals of the following form

$$A_0 = (-\frac{1}{Q}, \frac{1}{Q}), A_j = (-\frac{1}{2^j}, -\frac{1}{2^{j+1}}] \cup [\frac{1}{2^{j+1}}, \frac{1}{2^j})$$

for $j \in [1, K]$, where $K = [\log Q / \log 2]$. Hence we have

$$\sum_{k \in [n, n+H)} R(k) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} S(\alpha)^2 K_H(\alpha) d\alpha = \int_{-1/Q}^{1/Q} S(\alpha)^2 K_H(\alpha) d\alpha + \sum_{j=1}^K \int_{A_j} S(\alpha)^2 K_H(\alpha) d\alpha = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2,$$

$$(4)$$

say. We will prove that

$$\Sigma_1 = \sum_{k \in [n, n+H)} m(k)\mathfrak{S}(k) + \int_{-1/Q}^{1/Q} \Sigma_b(\alpha)^2 K_H(\alpha) d\alpha + o(HN), \tag{5}$$

$$\sum_{\frac{5}{2}N < n < \frac{7}{2}N} \left| \int_{-1/Q}^{1/Q} \Sigma_b(\alpha)^2 K_H(\alpha) d\alpha \right|^2 \ll N^3 L^{f(\theta)}, \tag{6}$$

$$\Sigma_2 = o(HN). \tag{7}$$

We will need also that

$$\sum_{k \in [n, n+H)} m(k)\mathfrak{S}(k) \gg HN \tag{8}$$

which can be obtained immediately using $\mathfrak{S}(2k) \gg 1$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrarily small, our Theorem follows at once from (4)-(8).

3. Preliminary Lemmas

In the following we will need two auxiliary lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let $N(\sigma, T)$ be the number of zeros $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ of the Riemann zeta-function such that $|\gamma| \leq T$ and $\beta \geq \sigma$, and let $I \subset [1/2, 1]$ be an interval. Then

$$\int_{N}^{2N} |\sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \le T \\ \beta \in I}} x^{\rho} \frac{(1 + Q/x)^{\rho} - 1}{\rho}|^{2} dx \ll Q^{2} L^{4} \max_{\sigma \in I} N^{2\sigma - 1} N(\sigma, \frac{N}{Q}).$$

The proof of Lemma 1 is standard. It can be obtained using, e.g., Saffari-Vaughan's [15] technique and hence we omit it.

Lemma 2. We have, for $|\gamma| \ll N$ and N sufficiently large, that

$$T_{\rho}(\alpha) \ll N^{\beta} |\gamma|^{-1/2}.$$

Proof. We follow the line of Perelli [13] and hence we give only a brief sketch of the proof. Since

$$a_{\rho}(m) = \int_{m}^{m+1} t^{\rho-1} dt = \frac{m^{\rho}}{\rho} ((1 + \frac{1}{m})^{\rho} - 1),$$

and, for P sufficiently large but fixed,

$$(1+\frac{1}{m})^{\rho}-1=\sum_{j=1}^{P}\frac{\rho(\rho-1)\cdots(\rho-j+1)}{j!}\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)^{j}+O(N^{-11}),$$

we can write

$$T_{\rho}(\alpha) = T_{\rho,1}(\alpha) + \sum_{j=2}^{P} \frac{(\rho - 1)(\rho - 2) \cdots (\rho - j + 1)}{j!} T_{\rho,j}(\alpha) + O(N^{\beta - 10}), \qquad (9)$$

where

$$T_{\rho,j}(\alpha) = \sum_{N < m \le 2N} m^{\rho-j} e(m\alpha).$$

From Abel's inequality we have

$$|T_{\rho,j}(\alpha)| \ll N^{\beta-j} \max_{N \le y \le 2N} |\sum_{N \le m \le y} e^{2\pi i f_{\rho}(\alpha)}|,$$

where $f_{\rho}(\alpha) = \frac{\gamma}{2\pi} \log n + \alpha n$. We can assume that the maximum is attained at Y = 2N, and so, using van der Corput's second derivative method, see Theorem 2.2 of Graham-Kolesnik [5], we get

$$T_{\rho,j}(\alpha) \ll N^{\beta-j+1} |\gamma|^{-1/2}.$$
 (10)

Lemma 2 now follows inserting (10) in (9).

4. Estimation of Σ_2

Letting $S(\alpha) = T(\alpha) + R_1(\alpha)$, where $R_1(\alpha)$ is defined by difference, and using

$$K_H(\alpha) \ll \min(H, \frac{1}{|\alpha|})$$
 for every $\alpha \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}],$ (11)

$$\Sigma_{2} \ll \sum_{j=1}^{K} \left(\int_{A_{j}} |T(\alpha)|^{2} |K_{H}(\alpha)| d\alpha + \int_{A_{j}} |R_{1}(\alpha)|^{2} |K_{H}(\alpha)| d\alpha \right)$$

$$\ll \sum_{j=1}^{K} 2^{j} \left(\int_{A_{j}} |T(\alpha)|^{2} d\alpha + \int_{A_{j}} |R_{1}(\alpha)|^{2} d\alpha \right) = \Sigma_{2,1} + \Sigma_{2,2},$$
(12)

$$T(\alpha) \ll \min(N, \frac{1}{|\alpha|})$$
 for every $\alpha \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}],$ (13)

$$\Sigma_{2,1} \ll \sum_{j=1}^{K} 4^j \ll 4^K \ll Q^2 = o(HN).$$
 (14)

By Gallagher's lemma, see, e.g., Lemma 1.9 of Montgomery [11], and the Brun-Titchmarsh theorem we get

$$\Sigma_{2,2} \ll \sum_{j=1}^{K} 2^{j} \int_{-2^{-j}}^{2^{-j}} |\sum_{N < m \le 2N} (\Lambda(m) - 1) e(m\alpha)|^{2} d\alpha \ll \sum_{j=1}^{K} 2^{-j} (J(N, 2^{j}) + L^{2} 2^{3j}), \tag{15}$$

where J(N,h) is the Selberg integral. Inserting the estimate $J(N,h) \ll h^2 N + h N L$ for all $h \ge 1$, see the Lemma in Languasco [7], in (15) we have

$$\Sigma_{2,2} \ll \sum_{j=1}^{K} 2^{-j} \left(2^{3j} L^2 + 2^{2j} N + 2^{j} N L \right) \ll L^2 Q^2 + NQ + NL \log Q = o(HN).$$
(16)

Hence, inserting (14) and (16) in (12), we finally have that (7) holds.

5. Estimation of Σ_1

Inserting the identity

$$S(\alpha)^2 = \left(2S(\alpha)T(\alpha) - T(\alpha)^2\right) - \Sigma_g(\alpha)^2 - 2T(\alpha)\Sigma_g(\alpha) + 2S(\alpha)\Sigma_g(\alpha) + \Sigma_b(\alpha)^2$$
into the definition of Σ_1 , we obtain

$$\Sigma_{1} = \Sigma_{1,1} - \Sigma_{1,2} - \Sigma_{1,3} + \Sigma_{1,4} + \int_{-1/Q}^{1/Q} \Sigma_{b}(\alpha)^{2} K_{H}(\alpha) d\alpha, \tag{17}$$

$$\Sigma_{1,1} = \int_{-1/Q}^{1/Q} (2S(\alpha)T(\alpha) - T(\alpha)^2) K_H(\alpha) d\alpha,$$

$$\Sigma_{1,2} = \int_{-1/Q}^{1/Q} \Sigma_g(\alpha)^2 K_H(\alpha) d\alpha,$$

$$\Sigma_{1,3} = \int_{-1/Q}^{1/Q} 2T(\alpha) \Sigma_g(\alpha) K_H(\alpha) d\alpha$$
and

$$\Sigma_{1,4} = \int_{-1/Q}^{1/Q} 2S(\alpha) \Sigma_g(\alpha) K_H(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

In this section we will prove

$$\Sigma_{1,1} = \sum_{k \in [n,n+H)} m(k)\mathfrak{S}(k) + o(HN)$$
(18)

$$\Sigma_{1,2} = o(HN),\tag{19}$$

while the estimation of the mean-square of $\int_{-1/Q}^{1/Q} \Sigma_b(\alpha)^2 K_H(\alpha) d\alpha$ will be performed in the next section.

Assuming that (19) holds, the contribution of $\Sigma_{1,3}$ and $\Sigma_{1,4}$ can be estimated using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and

$$\int_{-1/Q}^{1/Q} |S(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll N,\tag{20}$$

which can be proved using the same argument in the proof of Corollary 3 of Languasco-Perelli [9]. We obtain

$$\Sigma_{1,3} = o(HN)$$
 and $\Sigma_{1,4} = o(HN)$. (21)

Hence, by (17)-(19) and (21), we have that (5) holds. Now we proceed to evaluate $\Sigma_{1,1}$ and $\Sigma_{1,2}$.

> Contribution of $\Sigma_{1,1}$ Squaring out we obtain

$$\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} T(\alpha)^2 K_H(\alpha) d\alpha = \sum_{k \in [n, n+H)} m(k)$$

and hence, using (11) and (13), we get

$$\int_{-1/Q}^{1/Q} T(\alpha)^2 K_H(\alpha) d\alpha = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} T(\alpha)^2 K_H(\alpha) d\alpha + O(Q^2) = \sum_{k \in [n, n+H)} m(k) + o(HN). \tag{22}$$

Using the Prime Number Theorem, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and arguing analogously, we can write

$$\int_{-1/Q}^{1/Q} S(\alpha)T(\alpha)K_H(\alpha)d\alpha = \sum_{k \in [n,n+H)} m'(k) + o(HN), \tag{23}$$

$$m'(k) = \sum_{N < m \le 2N} \Lambda(m) \sum_{\substack{N < h \le 2N \\ m+h=k}} 1.$$

Again by the Prime Number Theorem, we get

$$\sum_{k \in [n, n+H)} m(k) = \sum_{k \in [n, n+H)} m'(k) + o(HN)$$
 (24)

and hence, by (22)-(24), we have

$$\Sigma_{1,1} = \sum_{k \in [n,n+H)} m(k) + o(HN). \tag{25}$$

Using the Theorem of Languasco [8] and by partial summation, it is easy to prove

$$\sum_{k \in [n, n+H)} m(k) = \sum_{k \in [n, n+H)} m(k)\mathfrak{S}(k) + o(HN) \quad \text{for} \quad H \ge L^{2/3+\varepsilon}. \tag{26}$$

Now (18) follows from (25) and (26).

Contribution of $\Sigma_{1,2}$

$$\Sigma_g(\alpha)^2 \ll |\sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \le T \\ \beta \notin I}} T_\rho(\alpha)|^2 + |\sum_{|\gamma| > T} T_\rho(\alpha)|^2 + |R(\alpha)|^2,$$

we have

$$\Sigma_{1,2} \ll A_1 + A_2 + A_3,\tag{27}$$

$$A_1 = \int_{-1/Q}^{1/Q} |\sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \le T\\\beta \not\in I}} T_{\rho}(\alpha)|^2 |K_H(\alpha)| d\alpha,$$

$$A_2 = \int_{-1/Q}^{1/Q} |\sum_{|\alpha| > T} T_{\rho}(\alpha)|^2 |K_H(\alpha)| d\alpha$$

$$A_3 = \int_{-1/Q}^{1/Q} |R(\alpha)|^2 |K_H(\alpha)| d\alpha.$$

Using (11) and Gallagher's lemma, we obtain

$$A_{1} \ll \frac{H}{Q^{2}} \Big(\int_{N}^{2N} |\sum_{x < m < x + Q} \sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \le T \\ \beta \notin I}} a_{\rho}(m)|^{2} dx + \int_{N-Q}^{N} |\sum_{N < m < x + Q} \sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \le T \\ \beta \notin I}} a_{\rho}(m)|^{2} dx + \int_{N-Q}^{2N} |\sum_{N < m \le 2N} \sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \le T \\ \beta \notin I}} a_{\rho}(m)|^{2} dx \Big) = A_{1,1} + A_{1,2} + A_{1,3},$$

$$(28)$$

say. Interchanging summation and integration in $A_{1,1}$, we get

$$A_{1,1} \ll \frac{H}{Q^2} \int_{N}^{2N} \left| \int_{[x]+1}^{[x+Q]} \sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \le T\\ \beta \notin I}} t^{\rho-1} dt \right|^2 dx$$

$$\ll \frac{H}{Q^2} \int_{N}^{2N} \left| \left(\int_{x}^{x+Q} - \int_{x}^{[x]+1} - \int_{[x+Q]}^{x+Q} \right) \sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \le T\\ \beta \notin I}} t^{\rho-1} dt \right|^2 dx.$$
(29)

To bound the contribution of the integral on [x, [x] + 1] in (29), we argue as follows. Interchanging summation and integration, we get

$$\int_{N}^{2N} \Big| \int_{x}^{[x]+1} \sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \le T \\ \beta \not\in I}} t^{\rho-1} dt \Big|^{2} dx \ll \sum_{N < n \le 2N} \int_{n}^{n+1} \Big| \sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \le T \\ \beta \not\in I}} x^{\rho} \frac{((n+1)/x)^{\rho} - 1}{\rho} \Big|^{2} dx$$

and then, using $\frac{((n+1)/x)^{\rho}-1}{\rho} \ll \min(\frac{1}{N}, \frac{1}{|\gamma|})$, we have

$$\int_{N}^{2N} \left| \int_{x}^{[x]+1} \sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \le T \\ \beta \notin I}} t^{\rho-1} dt \right|^{2} dx \ll L^{4} \max_{\sigma \notin I} N^{2\sigma-1} N(\sigma, \frac{N}{Q}). \tag{30}$$

To estimate the integral on [[x+Q], x+Q] in (29) we proceed analogously and hence we get

$$\int_{N}^{2N} \left| \int_{[x+Q]}^{x+Q} \sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \le T \\ \beta \notin I}} t^{\rho-1} dt \right|^{2} dx \ll L^{4} \max_{\sigma \notin I} N^{2\sigma-1} N(\sigma, \frac{N}{Q}). \tag{31}$$

Now we treat the integral on [x, x + Q] in (29). Proceeding as above we obtain

$$\int_{N}^{2N} \left| \int_{x}^{x+Q} \sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \le T \\ \beta \notin I}} t^{\rho-1} dt \right|^{2} dx \ll \int_{N}^{2N} \left| \sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \le T \\ \beta \notin I}} x^{\rho} \frac{(1+Q/x)^{\rho}-1}{\rho} \right|^{2} dx
\ll Q^{2} L^{4} \max_{\sigma \notin I} N^{2\sigma-1} N(\sigma, \frac{N}{Q}),$$
(32)

where the last inequality follows by Lemma 1. Choosing, in the definition of the interval I,

$$a = \frac{1+3\theta}{2} - l\frac{\log L}{L}$$
 and $b = \frac{5-3\theta}{6} + k\frac{\log L}{L}$, (33)

where $l > \frac{27(1-\theta)}{2(1-3\theta)}$ and k is a sufficiently large constant, we have, using Ingham-Huxley's density estimate, see, e.g., Ivić [6], and (29)-(33), that

$$A_{1,1} \ll HL^4 \max_{\sigma \notin I} N^{2\sigma - 1} N(\sigma, \frac{N}{Q}) = o(HN).$$
 (34)

Interchanging summation and integration in $A_{1,2}$, we get

$$A_{1,2} \ll \frac{H}{Q^2} \int_{N-Q}^{N} \left| \sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \le T \\ \beta \notin I}} x^{\rho} c_{\rho,Q} \right|^2 dx,$$

where $c_{\rho,Q} = ((\frac{[x+Q]}{x})^{\rho} - (\frac{N}{x})^{\rho})/\rho$. Splitting the summation according to $|\gamma| \leq N/Q$ and $N/Q \leq |\gamma| \leq T$ and using $c_{\rho,Q} \ll \min(\frac{Q}{N}, \frac{1}{|\gamma|})$, we obtain

$$A_{1,2} \ll \frac{H}{Q^2} \left(\frac{Q^2}{N^2} \int_{N-Q}^N \left| \sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \le N/Q \\ \beta \notin I}} x^{\beta} \right|^2 dx + \int_{N-Q}^N \left| \sum_{\substack{N/Q \le |\gamma| \le T \\ \beta \notin I}} \frac{x^{\beta}}{|\gamma|} \right|^2 dx \right)$$

$$\ll HQL^4 \max_{\sigma \notin I} N^{2\sigma - 2} N(\sigma, \frac{N}{Q})^2.$$

Using Ingham-Huxley's density estimate, we see that the maximum is attained at $\sigma = 1/2$ and hence we can write

$$A_{1,2} \ll HQL^4N^{-1}(\frac{N}{Q})^2L^2 = \frac{HNL^6}{Q} = o(HN).$$
 (35)

 $A_{1,3}$ can be bounded following the lines of the estimation of $A_{1,2}$. We have

$$A_{1,3} = o(HN). (36)$$

Inserting (34) and (35)-(36) in (28) we obtain

$$A_1 = o(HN). (37)$$

Now we proceed to estimate A_2 . By (11) we get

$$A_2 \ll H \int_{-1/Q}^{1/Q} |\sum_{N < m \le 2N} \sum_{|\alpha| > T} a_{\rho}(m) e(m\alpha)|^2 d\alpha.$$
 (38)

Using (38), Gallagher's lemma and the explicit formula for $\psi(x)$, see equations (9)-(10) in ch. 17 of Davenport [3], we have

$$A_2 \ll \frac{H}{Q^2} \int_{N-Q}^{2N} \frac{N^2 L^4}{T^2} dx \ll \frac{HN^3}{Q^2 T^2} L^4 = o(HN).$$
 (39)

To bound A_3 we use (11), Gallagher's lemma and the explicit formula for $\psi(x)$, see equation (1) in ch. 17 of Davenport [3]. Hence

$$A_{3} \ll \frac{H}{Q^{2}} \int_{N-Q}^{2N} |\sum_{\substack{x < m < x + Q \\ N < m \le 2N}} (\Lambda(m) - 1 + \sum_{\rho} a_{\rho}(m))|^{2} dx$$

$$\ll \frac{H}{Q^{2}} \int_{N-Q}^{2N} L^{4} dx \ll \frac{HNL^{4}}{Q^{2}} = o(HN).$$
(40)

Now (19) follows inserting (37) and (39)-(40) in (27).

6. Mean-square estimate of $\Sigma_b(\alpha)^2$

Squaring out and using the definition of $\Sigma_b(\alpha)$, we get

$$\sum_{\substack{\frac{5}{2}N < n \leq \frac{7}{2}N}} \left| \int_{-1/Q}^{1/Q} \Sigma_{b}(\alpha)^{2} K_{H}(\alpha) d\alpha \right|^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\frac{5}{2}N < n \leq \frac{7}{2}N}} \int_{-1/Q}^{1/Q} (\sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \leq T\\ \beta \in I}} T_{\rho}(\alpha))^{2} K_{H}(\alpha) d\alpha \int_{-1/Q}^{1/Q} (\sum_{\substack{|\gamma'| \leq T\\ \beta' \in I}} T_{\overline{\rho'}}(\delta))^{2} \overline{K_{H}}(\delta) d\delta$$

$$\ll \int_{-1/Q}^{1/Q} \left| \sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \leq T\\ \beta \in I}} T_{\rho}(\alpha) \right|^{2} \int_{-1/Q}^{1/Q} \left| \sum_{\substack{|\gamma'| \leq T\\ \beta' \in I}} T_{\overline{\rho'}}(\delta) \right|^{2} \left| \sum_{\substack{\frac{5}{2}N < n \leq \frac{7}{2}N}} K_{H}(\alpha) \overline{K_{H}}(\delta) \right| d\delta d\alpha = \Sigma_{3},$$

say. Since $K_H(\alpha) = \frac{\sin \pi H \alpha}{\sin \pi \alpha} e(\frac{1-H}{2}\alpha) e(-n\alpha)$, we have

$$\Sigma_3 \ll H^2 \int_{-1/Q}^{1/Q} |\sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \le T\\\beta \in I}} T_{\rho}(\alpha)|^2 \Big(\int_{-1/Q}^{1/Q} |\sum_{\substack{|\gamma'| \le T\\\beta' \in I}} T_{\overline{\rho}'}(\delta)|^2 K_N(\alpha - \delta) d\delta \Big) d\alpha, \tag{42}$$

where
$$K_N(t) = \sum_{\frac{5}{2}N < n \le \frac{7}{2}N} e(-nt) \ll \min(N, \frac{1}{|t|}).$$

Using the latest estimate and (42), we obtain

$$\Sigma_{3} \ll H^{2}N \int_{-1/Q}^{1/Q} |\sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \leq T\\\beta \in I}} T_{\rho}(\alpha)|^{2} \Big(\int_{(-\frac{1}{Q}, \frac{1}{Q}) \cap (\alpha - \frac{1}{N}, \alpha + \frac{1}{N})} |\sum_{\substack{|\gamma'| \leq T\\\beta' \in I}} T_{\overline{\rho}'}(\delta)|^{2} d\delta \Big) d\alpha
+ H^{2} \int_{-1/Q}^{1/Q} |\sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \leq T\\\beta \in I}} T_{\rho}(\alpha)|^{2} \Big(\int_{(-\frac{1}{Q}, \frac{1}{Q}) \setminus (\alpha - \frac{1}{N}, \alpha + \frac{1}{N})} |\sum_{\substack{|\gamma'| \leq T\\\beta' \in I}} T_{\overline{\rho}'}(\delta)|^{2} \frac{1}{|\alpha - \delta|} d\delta \Big) d\alpha \tag{43}$$

$$= \Sigma_{3,1} + \Sigma_{3,2},$$

say. Using (3) and arguing as in section 6, we get

$$\int_{-1/Q}^{1/Q} |\sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \le T\\\beta \in I}} T_{\rho}(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll \int_{-1/Q}^{1/Q} |S(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha + O(N) \ll N, \tag{44}$$

where the latest inequality follows from (20). Now, inserting (44) in $\Sigma_{3,1}$, we have

$$\Sigma_{3,1} \ll H^2 N^2 \Big(\max_{\alpha \in (-1/Q, 1/Q)} \int_{(-\frac{1}{Q}, \frac{1}{Q}) \cap (\alpha - \frac{1}{N}, \alpha + \frac{1}{N})} | \sum_{\substack{|\gamma'| \le T \\ \beta' \in I}} T_{\overline{\rho}'}(\delta) |^2 d\delta \Big)$$

$$\ll H^2 N \Big(\max_{\delta \in (-1/Q, 1/Q)} | \sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \le T \\ \beta \in I}} T_{\rho}() |^2 \Big). \tag{45}$$

To bound $\Sigma_{3,2}$, we argue as for $\Sigma_{3,1}$ and we can prove that the bound in (45) holds, with an extra L factor, for $\Sigma_{3,2}$ too. Finally, by (41), (43), (45) and the above remark, we obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{\frac{5}{2}N \le n \le \frac{7}{2}N}} \left| \int_{-1/Q}^{1/Q} \left(\sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \le T\\\beta \in I}} T_{\rho}(\alpha) \right)^{2} K_{H}(\alpha) d\alpha \right|^{2} \ll H^{2} N L\left(\max_{\delta \in (-1/Q, 1/Q)} \left| \sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \le T\\\beta \in I}} T_{\rho}(\delta) \right|^{2} \right). \tag{46}$$

Using Lemma 2 and a standard argument to bound sums over zeros of $\zeta(s)$, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{|\gamma| \leq T\\\beta \in I}} T_{\rho}(\delta) \ll L^{2} \Big(\max_{\substack{\sigma \in I\\\sigma < 7/9}} N^{\sigma} \max_{|t| \leq T} N(\sigma, t) |t|^{-1/2} + \max_{\substack{\sigma \in I\\\sigma \geq 7/9}} N^{\sigma} \max_{|t| \leq T} N(\sigma, t) |t|^{-1/2} \Big)
\ll L^{2} \Big(\max_{\substack{\sigma \in I\\\sigma < 7/9}} N^{\sigma} N(\sigma, T) T^{-1/2} + \max_{\substack{\sigma \in I\\\sigma \geq 7/9}} N^{\sigma} \Big).$$
(47)

By Ingham-Huxley's density estimate, we have that the first maximum is attained at $\sigma = a$ and the second at $\sigma = b$. Hence, by (46) and (47), we see that (6) holds.

References

- [1] N.Chudakov On Goldbach's problem Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 17 (1937), 331–334.
- [2] J.G.van der Corput Sur l'hypothésé de Goldbach Proc. Akad. Wet Amsterdam 41 (1938), 76–80.
- [3] H.Davenport Multiplicative Number Theory 2-nd ed., Springer Verlag 1980.
- [4] T.Estermann On Goldbach's problem: Proof that almost all even positive integers are sums of two primes Proc. Lon. Math. Soc. (2) 44 (1937), 307–314.
- [5] S.W.Graham, G.Kolesnik Van de Corput's method of Exponential Sums Cambridge U.P. 1991.
- [6] A.Ivić The Riemann Zeta-function J.Wiley 1985.
- [7] A.Languasco A note on primes and Goldbach numbers in short intervals Acta Math. Hungar. **79** (1998), 191–206.
- [8] A.Languasco A singular series average and Goldbach numbers in short intervals
 Acta Arith. 83 (1998), 171–179.
- [9] A.Languasco, A.Perelli On Linnik's theorem on Goldbach numbers in short intervals and related problems Ann. Inst. Fourier 44 (1994), 307–322.
- [10] H.Mikawa On the intervals between consecutive numbers that are sums of two primes Tsukuba J. Math. 17 (1993), 443–453.
- [11] H.L.Montgomery Topics in Multiplicative Number Theory Springer L.N. 227, 1971.
- [12] H.L.Montgomery, R.C.Vaughan The exceptional set in Goldbach's problem Acta Arith. 27 (1975), 353–370.
- [13] A.Perelli Local problems with primes, I J. reine angew. Math. **401** (1989), 209–220.

12 ON THE ASYMPTOTIC FORMULA FOR GOLDBACH NUMBERS IN SHORT INTERVALS

- [14] A.Perelli Goldbach numbers represented by polynomials Rev. Mat. Iberoamer. **12** (1996), 477–490.
- [15] B.Saffari, R.C.Vaughan On the fractional parts of x/n and related sequences II Ann. Inst. Fourier 27 (1977), 1–30.

Danilo Bazzanella Dipartimento di Matematica Politecnico di Torino Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24 10129 Torino, Italy

e-mail : bazzanella@polito.it

Alessandro Languasco Dipartimento di Matematica Pura e Applicata Università di Padova Via Belzoni 7 35131 Padova, Italy e-mail : languasco@math.unipd.it