Parasites & Vectors

Short report

ary of the Tanzania Health Comm

Open Access

First report of Metarhizium anisopliae IP 46 pathogenicity in adult Anopheles gambiae s.s. and An. arabiensis (Diptera; Culicidae) Ladslaus L Mnyone^{*1,2,3}, Tanya L Russell^{1,7}, Issa N Lyimo^{1,4}, Dickson W Lwetoijera^{1,6}, Matthew J Kirby^{1,2} and Christian Luz^{1,5}

Address: ¹Biomedical and Environmental Group, Ifakara Health Institute, PO Box 53, Off Mlabani Passage, Ifakara, Tanzania, ²Laboratory of Entomology, Wageningen University & Research Centre, PO Box 8031, 6700 EH, Wageningen, the Netherlands, ³Pest Management Center, Sokoine University of Agriculture, PO Box 3110, Morogoro, Tanzania, ⁴Faculty of Biomedical and Life Sciences, University of Glasgow, 120 University Place, G12 8TA, Glasgow, UK, ⁵Instituto de Patologia Tropical e Saúde Pública, Universidade Federal de Goiás, CP 131, 74001-970

Goiânia, GO, Brasil, ⁶Department of Zoology and Marine Biology, University of Dar es Salaam, PO Box 35064, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania and ⁷Vector Group, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, L3 5QA, UK

Email: Ladslaus L Mnyone* - llaurent@ihi.or.tz; Tanya L Russell - trussell@ihi.or.tz; Issa N Lyimo - ilyimo@ihi.or.tz; Dickson W Lwetoijera - dwilson@ihi.or.tz; Matthew J Kirby - mkirby@ihi.or.tz; Christian Luz - wolf@iptsp.ufg.br * Corresponding author

Published: I December 2009

Parasites & Vectors 2009, 2:59 doi:10.1186/1756-3305-2-59

This article is available from: http://www.parasitesandvectors.com/content/2/1/59

© 2009 Mnyone et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: 12 November 2009 Accepted: 1 December 2009

Abstract

The entomopathogenic fungus Metarhizium anisopliae isolate IP 46, originating from a soil sample collected in 2001 in the Cerrado of Central Brazil, was tested for its ability to reduce the survival of adult male and female Anopheles gambiae s.s. and An. arabiensis mosquitoes. A 6-h exposure to the fungus coated on test paper at a concentration of 3.3×10^6 conidia cm⁻² reduced the daily survival of both mosquito species (HR = 3.14, p < 0.001), with higher risk of dying in An. gambiae s.s relative to An. arabiensis (HR = 1.38, p < 0.001). Fungal sporulation was observed in >95% of mosquito cadavers in the treatment groups. The results indicate that M. anisopliae IP 46 has the potential to be a bio-control agent for African malaria vector species, and is a suitable candidate for further research and development.

Findings

Metarhizium anisopliae IP 46 has shown ovicidal effects against the eggs of *Aedes* spp in Brazil [1-3]. However, its pathogenicity against adult malaria vectors has never been explored. As such, we examined the effect of this strain against laboratory-reared adult *Anopheles gambiae sensu stricto* and *An. arabiensis*, with the aim to include IP 46 in the spectrum of fungal candidates available for use as biocontrol agents.

The fungus was imported as conidia from the Institute of Tropical Pathology and Public Health, Federal University of Goiás, Goiânia, Brazil (Tropical Pesticides Research Institute Import Permit No. 2471). Before conducting bioassays, the IP 46 isolate was host-passaged through laboratory-reared *An. gambiae* s.s. adults in order to maintain its virulence. Conidia were harvested from cultures grown on autoclaved rice substrate (200 g per bag) in nylon bags at 25°C and 12 h photophase, after 15 d incubation. They were then dried in silica gel at 4°C for 4 d. Preparation of stock- and working-solution concentrations formulated in Enerpar oil (Enerpar M002[®], BP South Africa Ltd) followed standard protocols [4]. Before each experiment, conidia viability (>95% germination on Sabouraud Dextrose Agar) was confirmed. 1200 µl of the working-solution was applied evenly to 15 × 25 cm proof-

ing paper using a metal bar (0.31 mm diameter; paper and applicator bar from RK Print Coat Instruments, London), giving a uniform concentration of 3.3×10^6 conidia cm⁻². The treated paper was left to dry for 12 h at $26 \pm 1^\circ$ C and $80 \pm 5\%$ RH, and then used to line the inside of plastic exposure tubes (8.2 cm diameter × 12.5 cm height). Untreated control replicates used paper treated with Enerpar oil only.

A total of 30-40 unfed 3-7 d old adult An. gambiae s.s. (colony established in 1996, Njage village, Tanzania) or An. arabiensis (colony established in 2007, Sagamaganga village, Tanzania) were introduced to the exposure tubes. Four separate bioassays were run (both sexes for each species) and three replicates were carried out for each bioassay. Mosquitoes were held in the tubes for 6 h, after which they were transferred to 9 cm³ holding cages at 26 ± 1°C and 90 ± 5% RH, and provided with 9% glucose/ water (w/v) solution. The survival and fungus infection status of mosquitoes were monitored daily for up to 28 d, following procedures described elsewhere [4]. Mosquito survival was analysed by Kaplan-Meier pair-wise comparison and Cox regression analysis, using SPSS version 16. Cox regression generated hazard ratios (HR) indicating the daily risk of dying for a mosquito in each bioassay group.

Metarhizium anisopliae IP 46 was capable of infecting males and females of both mosquito species: >95% of An. gambiae s.s. and An. arabiensis cadavers showed fungus sporulation after incubation for 5-6 d. The fungus significantly reduced the survival of all exposed mosquitoes compared to controls (*p* < 0.001, Table 1, Fig. 1); >90% of mosquitoes in the exposure groups had died by day 14 while >25% of control mosquitoes were still alive by this time. All of the control mosquitoes in all bioassays had died by day 28. For An. gambiae s.s. the daily risk of dying was over three-fold greater in exposed females (HR = 3.18, p < 0.001) and males (HR = 3.81, p < 0.001) relative to their controls. A similar trend was observed in exposed females (HR = 2.28, *p* < 0.001) and males (HR = 3.31, *p* < 0.001) of An. arabiensis. The daily risk for males was higher than for females in both species (An. gambiae s.s. HR = 1.11, p = 0.001 and An. arabiensis HR = 1.13, p =

0.004). Overall, daily risk of dying was higher for exposed *An. gambiae* than *An. arabiensis* (HR = 1.38, p < 0.001). The controls for *An. gambiae* survived relatively longer (males MST = 14 d; females MST = 16 d) than those of *An. arabiensis* (male MST = 12 d; female MST = 12 d, Table 1), but this difference was accounted for by Cox regression model which compares relative risks rather than fixed survival time values.


For effective malaria control, entomopathogenic fungi do not need to kill vector mosquitoes instantly [5]. If mosquitoes are able to reproduce and pass genes to the next generation before they are killed by an insecticide the selection pressure for the development of resistance is significantly reduced [6,7]. Here we have shown that the isolate M. anisopliae IP 46 kills females of An. gambiae s.s. and An. arabiensis on average 8-9 d after exposure. By day 14 the majority (>90%) of exposed mosquitoes had been killed. Given that the Plasmodium parasite requires approximately 9 to 14 d to infect the mosquito salivary glands, the risk of malaria transmission by fungusinfected mosquitoes is minimal [8]. Similar rates of mortality have been recorded for other entomopathogenic fungi against mosquitoes [4,9-11]. Perhaps most importantly, M. anisopliae IP 46 was effective against both An. arabiensis and An. gambiae s.s. suggesting that it could be used to target both indoor and outdoor resting anophelines. This is the first study demonstrating the susceptibility of adult An. arabiensis to Metarhizium anisopliae.

Ultimately the success of entomopathogenic fungi against malaria-carrying mosquitoes in any situation may depend on the choice of fungal isolate. This is because of the interisolate variation in virulence, spore production and persistence in relation to their ability to withstand sub-optimal environmental conditions [12-16]. The long-standing barriers that have prevented the widespread uptake of biological control agents include low virulence and shortterm residual activity. In order to overcome such barriers it is necessary to screen an array of fungal strains to identify those with the greatest potential for development. We found that the isolate *M. anisopliae* IP 46 is able to reduce the survival of adult anophelines within the same time frame as other strains, *M. anisopliae* ICIPE-30 and *B. bassi*-

Table I: Pair-wise Kaplan-Meier median survival times (MST) for adult Anopheles gambiae s.s. and An. arabiensis exposed to oilformulated M. anisopliae IP 46 (treatment) or oil only (control).

| Species | Sex | MST ± I S.E. | | χ^2 value | p value |
|------------------|--------|--------------|-----------|----------------|---------|
| | | Control | Treatment | | |
| An. gambiae s.s. | Female | 16 ± 0.51 | 9 ± 0.23 | 94.58 | <0.001 |
| | Male | 14 ± 0.76 | 8 ± 0.30 | 133.07 | <0.001 |
| An. arabiensis | Female | 12 ± 0.79 | 8 ± 0.38 | 63.04 | <0.001 |
| | Male | 12 ± 0.45 | 6 ± 0.3 | 3. 3 | <0.001 |

Anopheles gambiae s.s.



Anopheles arabiensis




Figure I

Survival of adult female and male a) Anopheles gambiae s.s. and b) An. arabiensis mosquitoes after 6 h exposure to Metarhizium anisopliae IP 46 conidia. *ana* IMI 391510 [4,10,17]. We anticipate that our findings will encourage research into other strains and further investigation and development of IP 46.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: LLM TLR CL. Performed the experiments: LLM DWL INL. Analyzed the data: LLM TLR MJK INL. Wrote the paper: LLM MJK. Reviewed the paper: CL TLR.

Acknowledgements

We wish to acknowledge Monika Mpingwa, Kassian Mbina, Ally Daraja, Paulina Kasanga and Emannuel Simfukwe for rearing mosquitoes and providing technical assistance.

References

- Luz C, Tai MHH, Santos AH, Silva HHG: Impact of moisture on survival of Aedes aegypti eggs and ovicidal activity of Metarhizium anisopliae under laboratory conditions. Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz 2008, 103:214-215.
- Albernaz DAS, Tai MHH, Luz C: Enhanced ovicidal activity of an oil formulation of the fungus Metarhizium anisopliae on the mosquito Aedes aegypti. Medical and Veterinary Entomology 2009, 23:141-147.
- Santos AH, Tai MH, Rocha LF, Silva HH, Luz C: Dependence of Metarhizium anisopliae on high humidity for ovicidal activity on Aedes aegypti. Biological Control 2009, 50:37-42.
- Blanford S, Chan BHK, Jenkins N, Sim D, Turner RJ, Read AF, Thomas MB: Fungal pathogen reduces potential for malaria transmission. Science 2005, 308:1638-1641.
- Hancock PA, Thomas MB, Godfray HC: An age-structured model to evaluate the potential of novel malaria-control interventions: a case study of fungal biopesticide sprays. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London 2008:1-10.
- Thomas MB, Read AF: Can fungal biopesticides control malaria? Nature Reviews Microbiology 2007, 5:377-383.
- Ondiaka S, Bukhari T, Farenhorst M, Takken W, Knols BG: Effects of fungal infection on the host-seeking behaviour and fecundity of the malaria mosquito Anopheles gambiae Giles. Proceedings of Netherlands Entomological Society Meeting 2008, 9:121-128.
- Talman AM, Domarle O, McKenzie FE, Ariey F, Robert V: Gametocytogenesis: the puberty of Plasmodium falciparum. Malaria Journal 2004, 3:24-38.
- Farenhorst M, Farina D, Scholte EJ, Takken W, Hunt RH, Coetzee M, Knols BGJ: African water storage pots for the delivery of the entomopathogenic fungus Metarhizium anisopliae to the malaria vectors Anopheles gambiae s.s. and Anopheles funestus. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 2008, 78:910-916.
- Stevenson JC: The use of fungi against adult malaria mosquitoes, PhD Thesis. London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London 2008.
- Scholte EJ, Ng'habi K, Kihonda J, Takken W, Paaijmans K, Abdulla S, Killeen GF, Knols BGJ: An entomopathogenic fungus for control of adult African malaria mosquitoes. Science 2005, 308:1641-1642.
- Ihara F, Toyama M, Higaki M, Mishiro K, Yaginuma K: Comparison of pathogenicities of Beauveria bassiana and Metarhizium anisopliae to chestnut pests. Applied Entomology and Zoology 2009, 44:127-132.
- De La Rosa W, Alatorre R, Barrera JF, Toriello C: Effect of Beauveria bassiana and Metarhizium anisopliae (Deuteromycetes) upon the coffee berry borer (Coleoptera: Scolytidae) under field conditions. Journal of Economic Entomology 2000, 93:1409-1414.
- 14. Sun J, Fuxa JR, Henderson G: Effects of virulence, sporulation, and temperature on Metarhizium anisopliae and Beauveria

bassiana laboratory transmission in Coptotermes formosanus. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 2003, 84:38-46.

- Bugeme DM, Knapp M, Boga HI, Wanjoya AK, Maniania NK: Influence of temperature on virulence of fungal isolates of Metarhizium anisopliae and Beauveria bassiana to the twospotted spider mite Tetranychus urticae. Mycopathologia 2009, 167:221-227.
- Ansari MA, Vestergaard S, Tirry L, Moens M: Selection of a highly virulent fungal isolate, Metarhizium anisopliae CLO 53, for controlling Hoplia philanthus. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 2004, 85:89-96.
- 17. Scholte EJ, Njiru BN, Smallegange RC, Takken W, Knols BG: Infection of malaria (Anopheles gambiae s.s.) and filariasis (Culex quinquefasciatus) vectors with the entomopathogenic fungus Metarhizium anisopliae. Malaria Journal 2003, 2:29.

