New Large Signal Model of AlGaAs P-HEMT and GaAs MESFET Under Optical Illumination
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As an extension of previous works in the optical-microwave interaction field, this paper shows the results of the research on large signal dynamic behaviour (Pulsed I/V curves) of AlGaAs P-HEMT (pseudomorphic high electron mobility transistor) devices, in the overall I/V plane, when the incident optical input power is changed. A complete bias and optical power dependence of the large signal model for a P-HEMT, is determined from experimental scattering parameters, DC and pulsed measurements. All derivatives of the model shown here are continuous for a realistic description of circuit distortion and intermodulation. The model is also valid for GaAs MESFET.

Experimental results show very good agreement with theoretical analysis.

INTRODUCTION

The increasing use of microwave frequencies in communication systems, coupled with the ability to integrate microwave and optical components into a single wafer, usually denominated OMMIC (Optical Microwave Monolithic Integrated Circuit), have stimulated the interest in the development of microwave opto-electronic systems. GaAs MESFET devices are one of the most commonly active devices used in microwave circuit design and, many authors have developed accurate MESFET models including the optical effects. As far as we know, there is not an AlGaAs P-HEMT model that takes into account these effects, and this is the main reason because our group have taken interest on the development of the new electro-optical HEMT model reported in this paper. Furthermore, this model is valid for GaAs MESFET with no changes. A complete non-linear device model must take into account the different phenomena involved in the non-linear behaviour of the transistor. The large signal behaviour is governed by the dynamic pulsed I/V characteristics, which depend on the quiescent bias point. The results of the study presented here give a complete model of the optical large signal behaviour of HEMT devices, along with the knowledge of the optical laws for the most dependent parameters, including the non linear current sources Ids and Igs, and the capacitances Cgs and Cds.

It is well known that when an AlGaAs HEMT is illuminated by a laser at fixed wavelength, absorption effects take place at the gate-drain and gate-source inter-electrode spaces, and free carrier photo-excitation is induced at the active area level. In fact, AlGaAs P-HEMTs, as GaAs MESFETs, exhibit both photoconductive and photovoltaic effects. This means that the static DC curves, as well as the small signal equivalent circuit parameters, change when optical energy is absorbed by the device.

OPTICAL LARGE SIGNAL DYNAMIC PROPERTIES

Our group (2-4) has shown the importance of non-linear characterisation of transistors from pulsed measurements. DC curves allow the knowledge of the DC and temperature behaviour of the quiescent operating points in RF operation, and the pulsed measurements take into account the transistor self-heating that change the internal parameters such as electron mobility, ionisation breakdown and Schottky barrier characteristics, even though low frequency dispersion, mainly on the transconductance and output conductance associated with deep level traps and surface state, greatly affects the dynamic behaviour of the transistor. The equivalent circuit used, show in Fig. 1(a), is a rather general one and is applicable to AlGaAs PHEMT and GaAs MESFET devices. This work uses the MESFET equivalent circuit reported by us (1) for illuminated devices, with several modifications on the expression of the Ids current source, as well as for the non linear Igs source in order to take into account the optical dependence. The equation for the dynamic current Ids, is modified according to Allemando and Bonnaire (5) in order to guarantee the continuity of the derivatives. Therefore, the Ids equation is given by:

\[ I_{ds} = I_{ds0} \cdot \left\{ \alpha \cdot \left( V_{ds} - V_t \right) + \log \left[ 2 \cdot \cosh \left( \gamma \cdot \left( V_{ds} - V_t \right) \right) \right] \right\}^{(E \cdot K \cdot V_{ds})} \]

\[ \cdot \left( 1 + S \cdot V_{ds} \right) \cdot \tanh \left( \frac{S \cdot V_{ds}}{1 - K \cdot V_{ds}} \right) + C \cdot V_{ds} \]

with: \[ V_t = V_{ds} + \gamma \cdot V_{ds} \]

where Vgs and Vdi are the internal instantaneous voltages, \( \gamma \), E, S, K, a and b are constants, and Ids, Vt0, Ss, Ke, and C are the optical power (PL) dependent parameters in order to fit the pulsed I/V dynamic...
behaviour with the optical power applied to the device, as follows:

\[ C = C_1 \cdot PL \]  

(2)

\[ Ke = Ke_0 + Ke_1 \cdot PL \]  

(3)

\[ Ss = Ss_0 + Ss_1 \cdot PL^{Ss_2} \]  

(4)

\[ Vt_0 = Vt_0 + Vt_0 \cdot \frac{PL^{Vt_0}}{PL} \]  

(5)

\[ Idss = Idss_0 + Idss_1 \cdot PL^{Idss_2} + Idss_3 \cdot PL \]  

(6)

where these new set of parameters, \( C_1, Ke_0, Ke_1, Ss_0, \) \( Ss_1, Ss_2, Vt_0, Vt_1, Vt_2, Vt_3, Idss_0, Idss_1, Idss_2 \) and \( Idss_3 \) are functions of the quiescent bias point. The new expression is also valid for GaAs MESFET devices, making the parameters \( a=b \) and \( C=0 \) only, so this new approach is valid for MESFET and HEMT devices. The non-linear capacitances \( Cgd \) and \( Cgs \) are modelled based on the model of Scheimberg and Chrisholm (6) according to that reported in (4), giving by the following expressions:

\[ C_{gd} = C_{gd0} \cdot \left[ 1 + C_f \cdot \tanh \left( S_g \cdot \left[ \frac{V_{GD}}{D_c} - \tanh \left( D_k \cdot V_{GS} \right) \right] \right) \right] + m \cdot PL \]  

(7)

\[ C_{gs} = C_{gs0} \cdot \left[ 1 + C_j \cdot \tanh \left( S_g \cdot \left[ \frac{V_{GS}}{D_c} - \tanh \left( D_k \cdot V_{GD} \right) \right] \right) \right] \]  

(8)

Figure 2 shows the measured and modelised static and dynamic I/V curves for a D02AH process 4x30 µm (4 finger by 30 microns gate-width) Philips AlGaAs P-HEMT device. Both curves, static and dynamic, also show the excellent fit of the model reported in this paper, and they are measured under 10mW of laser illumination power. It can be observed, as could be expected from physical considerations, that there is noticeable change in the drain slopes, along with the normal increment of the drain current. A new expression to describe the non-linear current source \( Igs \), has been used. This new equation is the sum of two terms: one is the typical Schottky expression valid for the non-illuminated device and the second takes into account the current induced by the optical power:

\[ Igs = Igs_{PL=0} + Igs_{HL} = \]

\[ = Igs_0 \left( e^{Ig_{g1} \cdot V_{g1}} - 1 \right) + g_4 \cdot e^{Ig_{g2} \cdot V_{g2}} \cdot \frac{1 + g_3 \cdot e^{Ig_{g3} \cdot V_{g3}}}{1 + g_5 \cdot e^{Ig_{g4} \cdot V_{g4}}} \]  

(9)

where \( g_2 \) and \( g_3 \) only depend of the device size (gate length) and do not vary with the optical power, but \( g_4 \), \( g_5 \) vary polynomial and exponential variations with the applied optical (PL) in accordance with the following expressions.

\[ g_1 = g_{11} \cdot PL + g_{12} \cdot PL^2 + g_{13} \cdot PL^3 \]  

(10)

\[ g_4 = g_{40} + g_{41} \cdot PL^{g_{42}} \]  

(11)

\[ g_5 = g_{50} + g_{51} \cdot PL^{g_{52}} \]  

(12)

Furthermore, we assumed that the \( Igd \) current source follows a linear expression.

\[ I_{gd} = g_{gd} \cdot V_{gdo} \]  

(13)

where \( g_{gd} \) is the output conductance extracted from the small-signal model. The output capacitance \( Cds \) is assumed linear. This expression concludes the explanation of all non-linearities of this new large signal model.

Parasitic elements are extracted from multibias scattering measurements using conventional techniques reported by Dambrine et al. (7), under the assumption that they do not vary with bias point and optical power applied (PL). Figures 3 and 4 show a comparison between modelled and measured scattering parameters for a PL=2mW. The simulation has been performed using the model presented in this paper and the ADS simulator from Agilent Technologies.
An exhaustive investigation on the dynamic properties of AlGaAs PHFET devices under optical illumination has been performed. The main dependencies and the way of integrating this behaviour into any classical analytical equation for the drain and gate currents has been shown. The model presented here is also valid for GaAs MESFET devices. Numerical simulations show good experimental agreement. This electro-optical model provides much greater accuracy and flexibility than previous models in both linear and saturation regions as a function of Vgs, Vgd and PL. The capacitor fitting parameters are not involved in the simulation of any other device non-linearities, thus allowing independent simulation of static and dynamic behaviour and high execution speed. This allows the new expressions to be easily used in designs containing electro-optical devices such as optical switching as well as oscillators with optical tuning or optical injection tuning (8) with a higher degree of confidence.
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Table 1
Parameters of the complete model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cgs Parameters</th>
<th>C_{g0} = 0.0326</th>
<th>S_{g0} = 0.7818</th>
<th>D_{g0} = 0.9599</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C_{g1} = -0.0046</td>
<td>C_{g2} = -0.0013</td>
<td>C_{g3} = 4.2904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C_{g0} = 1.4136</td>
<td>C_{g1} = 9.4644 \cdot 10^7</td>
<td>C_{g2} = 1.5826 \cdot 10^{-7}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D_{g0} = 1.0645</td>
<td>D_{g1} = 0.0123</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Cgd Parameters | C_{gd0} = 0.0145  | m = -0.3167 \cdot 10^{-3} |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters of Ids</th>
<th>\gamma = -0.0689</th>
<th>E = 1.7999</th>
<th>S_I = 3.8200</th>
<th>K_{g} = -1.3173</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a = 15.8857</td>
<td>b = 4.5598</td>
<td>C_{1} = 0.0310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K_{e0} = -0.2988</td>
<td>K_{e1} = 0.0011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S_{s0} = 0.1241</td>
<td>S_{s1} = -0.0209</td>
<td>S_{s2} = 0.2299</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_{t00} = -0.4782</td>
<td>V_{t01} = -0.0192</td>
<td>V_{t02} = 0.0271</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_{t03} = -0.0028</td>
<td>V_{t04} = -0.0192</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idss_{0} = 1.9238</td>
<td>Idss_{1} = 0.1518</td>
<td>Idss_{2} = 0.1785</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idss_{3} = 0.0055</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters of Igs</th>
<th>I_{gs0} = 1.0504 \cdot 10^{-21}</th>
<th>I_{gs1} = 65.5714 \cdot 10^{-14}</th>
<th>g_{s1} = 1.0853</th>
<th>g_{s} = 0.4025</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>g_{11} = -2.45 \cdot 10^{-9}</td>
<td>g_{12} = -5.84 \cdot 10^{-7}</td>
<td>g_{13} = 4.42 \cdot 10^{-9}</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>g_{20} = 0.1387</td>
<td>g_{21} = -1.8603</td>
<td>g_{22} = -0.5945</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>g_{30} = 0.5554</td>
<td>g_{31} = 10.1393</td>
<td>g_{32} = -3.8497</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parasitic Elements</th>
<th>R_{g} = 6.60 \Omega</th>
<th>R_{d} = 6.10 \Omega</th>
<th>R_{s} = 7.10 \Omega</th>
<th>C_{pp} = 10.20 \text{fF}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L_{g} = 0.035nH</td>
<td>L_{d} = 0.011nH</td>
<td>L_{s} = 0 nH</td>
<td>C_{pg} = 9.00 \text{fF}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>