

University of Southern Queensland



Measurements of evaporation during sprinkler irrigation

A dissertation submitted by

Md. Jasim Uddin

B. Sc. (Agril. Engg.)

M.S. (Irrigation and Water Management)

For the Award of

Doctor of Philosophy

2012

DEDICATED TO MY LATE PARENTS

**MR. HAZIR ALI
MRS. ASTHORI ALI**

**MD. JASIM UDDIN
TOOWOOMBA, QLD 4350
AUSTRALIA**

JANUARY 2012

ABSTRACT

The availability of water for irrigated agriculture is a concerning issue in the world especially in arid and semi-arid regions. Irrigators are facing a number of challenges, including reductions in the availability of water and increased competition with industrial and domestic uses. Irrigated agriculture is the largest water consumer all over the world as well as in Australia, and there is pressure to increase water use efficiency. Efficient utilization of water can improve the crop production per unit of water applied and can contribute to water conservation. Among the irrigation systems, sprinkler irrigation is one of the most popular methods for achieving high application efficiencies. However, the irrigators are still less interested to adopt this system due to the lack of accurate information regarding the losses in sprinkler irrigation often citing high evaporation losses along with high cost of operation.

Evaporation losses during sprinkler irrigation are still a vital issue to the irrigation community all over the world. Previous experimental results have shown that they may vary from 0 to 45% of the applied water and that a large proportion of the loss is droplet evaporation in the atmosphere. However, recent theoretical studies reported that the total losses should not be much more than a few percent. They also suggested a negligible (less than 1%) amount of droplet evaporation compared to the major canopy evaporation component. Due to the limitations of the existing methodology and technique these theoretical results could not be verified by field experiments in real crops. Accurate estimates of the losses are important to determine the strategies for the optimal design and management of sprinkler irrigation systems as well as irrigation scheduling considering the application efficiency of the system. It is also important to provide the accurate information regarding the evaporation losses which can significantly help farmers to choose a suitable irrigation system. The relatively recent development of the eddy covariance (ECV) technique has

provided the opportunity to overcome the limitations of the existing methods to measure the evaporation during sprinkler irrigation. Therefore, this work aimed to measure the additional evaporation that occurs during sprinkler irrigation adopting eddy covariance technique and to separate its components in conjunction with additional measurements. In this regard, three research objectives were identified including: (i) use the eddy covariance (ECV) technique to measure the total evapotranspiration (ET) during sprinkler irrigation; (ii) partition the total evaporation into its major components during irrigation and subsequent periods; and (iii) to demonstrate how the ECV-sap flow data can aid in management of sprinkler irrigation.

The ECV system consists of a fast-response three dimensional sonic anemometer coupled with open path infrared gas analyser. Sap flow was measured using six dynamometer sap flow sensors each with a digital interface, a hub and a data logger. Additional measurements include net radiation using a four component net radiometer, soil heat flux (G) using heat flux plates temperature and relative humidity using two temperature and relative humidity probes and the crop canopy temperature using an infrared thermometer. A fixed sprinkler irrigation system was installed in a way to make a circle of 50 m with an area of 0.2 ha for all trials. Experimental measurements were conducted over a range of surfaces from bare soil to different stages of crop throughout the period 2010-11 at the agricultural experimental station at University of Southern Queensland, Toowoomba, Australia.

Preliminary measurements were conducted over the grass and bare soil to evaluate the capability of the ECV technique to measure the total ET during sprinkler irrigation. The preliminary measurements showed that the ECV technique successfully measured the increased ET during irrigation and the decreasing rate of ET during post irrigation drying period. Using the nondimensional (ET_{ecadj}/ET_{ref}) values of ET, the average additional evaporation over the grass was estimated as 32%. The decreasing trend of ET over the time post irrigation was an indication of

evaporation of the intercepted water from the grass surface. However, in case of bare soil the actual ET pre irrigation was well below the reference ET and increased to equal to the reference ET during the irrigation. In terms of nondimensional ET about 27% additional evaporation occurred over the bare soil during irrigation due to the increased soil moisture. Similar values of nondimensional ET post and pre irrigation illustrates that there was no intercepted water to evaporate on the surface in the case of bare soil.

Accordingly, a series of experimental trials were conducted over cotton at various growth stages, introducing the eddy covariance-sap flow method to measure the total evapotranspiration and sap flow. Nondimensionalisation of these measurements using ET_{ref} gave idealized curves of the total evapotranspiration and sap flow for each stage, i.e pre-, during and post-irrigation, which permits calculation of the additional evaporation caused by irrigation and suppression of transpiration. These calculations showed that the greatest effect of overhead sprinkler on water losses was the significant increase of ET as mostly canopy evaporation and reduction in sap flow due to the wetness of the canopy. The amount of additional evaporation varied significantly with crop canopy condition and climatic factors especially advection.

The significant increase of total ET from partial canopy to full canopy indicates that canopy evaporation was higher in full canopy due to the greater area of canopy surface in full crop canopy condition and hence greater interception capacity. This result supported the conclusion that canopy evaporation was the dominant component in sprinkler irrigation. Higher rate of ET measured during irrigation in advective conditions, indicates that advective conditions can increase the additional evaporation in sprinkler irrigation substantially on the basis of climatic conditions especially wind speed. The additional evaporation due to irrigation using impact sprinklers varied from 37% at partial canopy condition to 80% at full canopy condition. Advective conditions increased this additional amount by 12% to 20% depending on the climatic conditions.

It was shown how the total canopy evaporation can be subdivided into its different components including additional evaporation during irrigation and canopy interception capacity using idealized nondimensional ET and sap flow. However, the droplet evaporation could not be separated due to the limitations of the instruments used under this study.

It was also shown how the ECV-sap flow data can be used to predict the magnitude of additional evaporation for different regions in various operating and climatic conditions. This can aid the irrigators to predict the additional evaporation in sprinkler irrigation at any region for different time, climatic and operating conditions.

The results showed that canopy evaporation including droplet evaporation during irrigation is the dominant component (about 80%) in additional evaporation followed by the canopy interception (about 20%). The average amount of additional evaporation would be about 6% of applied water under normal conditions and about 8% in advective conditions in major cotton growing areas in Australia. It means that irrigators in those places may need to apply 6-8% of additional water.

CERTIFICATE OF DISSERTATION

I certify that the ideas, experimental work, results, analyses and conclusions reported in this dissertation are entirely my own effort, except where otherwise acknowledged. I also certify that the work is original and has not been previously submitted for any other award, except where otherwise acknowledged.

Endorsement:

Md Jasim Uddin, Candidate

Date

Professor Rod Smith, Principal Supervisor

Date

Associate Prof. Nigel Hancock, Associate Supervisor

Date

Dr. Joseph Foley, Associate Supervisor

Date

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I wish to acknowledge the expert guidance I received from my principal supervisor, Professor Rod Smith. I am very grateful to Rod for the time and effort he has invested in helping me to achieve this goal and for being an inspiration at every step of the way. His invaluable technical advice is always highly cherished. I equally thank my associate supervisor, Associate Professor Nigel Hancock, for his support and encouragement of excellence in all phases of my research particularly his expertise on micrometeorology.

I would like to thank Dr. Joseph Foley, for his help, support and encouragement during the period of my research particularly his help and support regarding the establishment of crop and irrigation system at the university's experimental research station. I wish to extend my sincere appreciation to Dr. Jochen Eberheard for his valuable help time to time regarding the instrumentation.

I would like to thanks those provided valuable assistance and inspiration throughout the study. I am deeply thankful to the University of Southern Queensland (USQ) for providing the major scholarship and to the Faculty of Engineering and Surveying (FoES) for additional financial support. I am also grateful to the National Centre for Engineering in Agriculture (NCEA) for providing research funds and support to accomplish this research work. I would like to thank all lovely staff of FoES and NCEA for their support and encouragement.

Words are lacking to express my humble obligation to my affectionate parents in law for their moral and spiritual inspiration who always wish my great success and pray for me. Thanks also to my brothers and sisters for their unrivalled love, moral support and sincere prayers.

I have a deep appreciation and regard for the kindness, affection and support of wife Ishrat Jahan whose encouragement, continuous support and inspiration made it possible to accomplish this research work. I would thank my loving friend for her patience while enduring significant absence of my time over the period. I thank my beloved daughter, Juwairiyah Ibtisam for her great sacrifice and patience and allow me to spend more time in research especially during my busy writing time.

I would like to dedicate this thesis to my late parents who taught me more in life than anyone else and who would be thrilled beyond words to see their son submit this dissertation for PhD degree.

ABBREVIATION

ABS	Australian Bureau of Statistics
AE	Available energy
Agplot	Agricultural Experimental Station
AI	Advection index
BR	Bowen Ratio
CNF	Cumulative normalized contribution
DOY	Day of year
EBR	Energy balance ratio
EC	Electrical conductivity
ECV	Eddy covariance
EF	Model efficiency
ET	Evapotranspiration
ET _{ec}	Unadjusted actual evapotranspiration measured by eddy covariance
ET _{ecadj}	Adjusted actual evapotranspiration measured by eddy covariance
ET _{ref}	Reference evapotranspiration
FoES	Faculty of Engineering and Surveying
F	Sap flow
GM	Genetically modified
HB	Heat balance
HPV	Heat pulse velocity
IRGA	Infrared Gas Analyzer
Irri	During irrigation period
MBE	Mean bias error
NCEA	National Centre for Engineering in Agriculture
NSW	New South Wales
Post	After irrigation
Pre	Pre/Before irrigation
RH	Relative humidity
R _{et}	Nondimensional number of evapotranspiration
R _f	Nondimensional number of sap flow
RMSE	Root mean square error
T	Transpiration
T _a	Air temperature
T _c	Canopy temperature
λE	Latent heat flux
λE _{adj}	Adjusted latent heat flux
USQ	University of Southern Queensland
VPD	Vapour pressure deficit
WDEL	Wind drift and evaporation losses
WS	Wind speed

PUBLICATIONS ARISING FROM THIS RESEARCH

Uddin, J., Smith, R., Nigel, H., & Foley, J. (2010). Droplet evaporation losses during sprinkler irrigation: an overview. *One Water Many Future, Australian Irrigation Conference and Exhibition*, Sydney, 8-10 June.

Uddin, J., Smith, R., Nigel, H., & Foley, J. (2010). Evaporation losses during sprinkler irrigation measured by eddy covariance technique. *One Water Many Future, Australian Irrigation Conference and Exhibition*, Sydney, 8-10 June.

Uddin, J., Smith, R., Nigel, H., & Foley, J. (2011). Evaluation of sap flow sensors to measure the transpiration rate of the plants during sprinkler irrigation. *Paper presented at the conference Society for Engineering in Agriculture (SEAg)*, Gold Coast, Australia, 29-30 September.

Uddin, J., Smith, R., Nigel, H., & Foley, J. (2011). Eddy covariance measurements of the total evaporation during sprinkler irrigation-Preliminary results. *Paper presented at the conference Society for Engineering in Agriculture (SEAg)*, Gold Coast, Australia, 29-30 September.\

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT.....	i
CERTIFICATE OF DISSERTATION	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vii
ABBREVIATION	ix
PUBLICATIONS ARISING FROM THIS RESEARCH.....	xi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xxi
LIST OF TABLES.....	xxvii
Chapter 1: Introduction.....	1
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Research aim and hypothesis.....	5
1.3 Structure of dissertation.....	7
Chapter 2: Literature Review	9
2.1 Introduction.....	9
2.2 Overview of evaporation losses during sprinkler irrigation	10
2.2.1 Evaporation losses and its components	10
2.2.2 Magnitude of the losses	12
2.2.3 Physical processes of the evaporation losses in sprinkler irrigation.....	15
2.2.4 Factors affecting evaporation losses	16
2.2.4.1 Equipment-related factors	16
2.2.4.2 Climatic factors	18
2.2.5 Impacts of sprinkler irrigation	20

2.2.5.1 Rate of evapotranspiration (ET).....	20
2.2.5.2 Rate of transpiration.....	21
2.2.5.3 Modification of microclimate	21
2.3 Studies on evaporation losses during sprinkler irrigation – 1. Experimental	22
2.3.1 Irrigation measurement via ‘catch-cans’	22
2.3.2 Irrigation measurement via electrical conductivity	24
2.3.3 Irrigation measurement using other techniques.....	26
2.3.4 Experimental studies – Summary and conclusion.....	26
2.4 Studies on evaporation losses during sprinkler irrigation – 2. Model-based.....	27
2.4.1 Modelling approaches	27
2.4.2 Statistical models.....	27
2.4.3 Overview of physical-mathematical models	29
2.4.4 Droplet trajectory models	29
2.4.5 Plant-environment energy balance models.....	33
2.4.6 Combination trajectory/environment models	34
2.4.7 Model-based studies – Summary and conclusion.....	36
2.5 Evaluation of the methods to estimate evapotranspiration (ET) for partitioning.....	37
2.5.1 Empirical and semi-empirical methods	38
2.5.2 Mass transfer methods	39
2.5.3 Hydrological/water balance methods	39
2.5.4. Micrometeorological methods – Bowen Ratio Energy Balance method	40
2.5.5. Micrometeorological methods – Eddy covariance (ECV) method.....	43
2.5.6. Micrometeorological methods – Penman-based methods	45
2.5.7 Conclusions.....	46
2.6 Eddy covariance system	47
2.6.1 Theory.....	47

2.6.2 Errors and corrections.....	49
2.6.2.1 Despiking and low pass filtering.....	50
2.6.2.2 Lag removal	51
2.6.2.3 Determining appropriate averaging period	51
2.6.2.4 Coordinate rotation.....	52
2.6.2.5 Conversion of fluctuations of sonic temperature into actual temperature.....	53
2.6.2.6 Frequency response corrections	53
2.6.3 Footprint analysis for ECV measurements	55
2.7 Atmospheric stability analysis	57
2.8 Energy balance over a crop/soil surface	58
2.8.1 Radiation at the earth surface	59
2.8.1.1 Extraterrestrial radiation.....	60
2.8.1.2 Solar (‘shortwave’) radiation	60
2.8.1.3 Terrestrial (‘longwave’) radiation	61
2.8.1.4 Net radiation.....	61
2.8.2 Latent heat flux (λE)	62
2.8.3 Sensible heat flux (H)	62
2.8.4 Soil heat flux (G)	62
2.8.5 Advected energy	64
2.8.6 Biomass storage heat flux	66
2.9 Canopy interception and evaporation.....	67
2.10 Transpiration	69
2.10.1 Introduction.....	69
2.10.2 Theory of heat balance method.....	72
2.11 Conclusions and summary	75
Chapter 3: Methodology.....	79

3.1 Introduction.....	79
3.2 Study site	80
3.3 Climate and weather	81
3.4 Crop establishment and agronomic practices	82
3.5 Irrigation system and instruments layout	83
3.6 Measurements.....	87
3.6.1 Energy flux measurement	87
3.6.2 Sap flow measurements	90
3.7 Data processing analysis and corrections.....	92
3.8 Data quality assessment.....	93
3.9 Calculation of sensible and latent heat flux.....	95
3.11 Nondimensionalisation of ET and sap flow	96
3.12 Calculation of reference evapotranspiration	97
Chapter 4: Preliminary measurements over bare soil and grass	99
4.1 Introduction.....	99
4.2 Methodology	99
4.3 Evaporation over grass	101
4.4 Evaporation over bare soil	106
4.5 Conclusions.....	110
Chapter 5: Measurements of total evaporation during sprinkler irrigation of cotton – Results and discussion	111
5.1 Introduction.....	111

5.2 Effect of sprinkler irrigation on evapotranspiration	112
5.2.1 General trend of evapotranspiration	112
5.2.2 Inspection of evapotranspiration flux magnitudes.....	114
5.2.3 Overview of nondimensionalised ET results	117
5.2.4 Additional evaporation during irrigation at 50% crop canopy	122
5.2.5 Additional evaporation during irrigation at 75% crop canopy	125
5.2.6 Additional evaporation during irrigation at full canopy	129
5.2.7 Idealized ET curves	135
5.3 Effect of irrigation on sap flow	137
5.3.1 General characteristics of sap flow	137
5.3.2 Reduction of sap flow during irrigation.....	144
5.3.3 Idealized curves for sap flow	147
5.4 Effect of sprinkler parameters on ET and sap flow.....	148
5.5 Uncertainty in measurement.....	152
5.6 Effect of irrigation on microclimate	153
5.7 Conclusions.....	157
Chapter 6: Irrigation implications of experimental results	161
6.1. Introduction.....	161
6.2 Total canopy evaporation and its components	162
6.3 Idealized model.....	166
6.4 Validation of model	167
6.5 Climate and irrigation case study data.....	170
6.6 Total canopy evaporation	174
6.7 Additional evaporation.....	175
6.8 Canopy interception capacity	176

6.9 Partition of total additional evaporation	177
6.10 Conclusions	178
Chapter 7: Conclusions and recommendations	181
7.1 Summary	181
7.2 Evaluation of eddy covariance technique to measure the total ET	183
7.3 Measurements of total evaporation during sprinkler irrigation	184
7.4 Implications of experimental results	185
7.5 Recommendations for future research.....	186
List of references	189
Appendix A: Eddy covariance footprint analysis	213
A.1 Introduction.....	213
A.2 Theory of footprint analysis	213
A.3 Methodology	215
A.4 Results and discussion.....	216
A.4.1 With assumed crop data.....	216
A.4.2 With measured crop data	218
A.5 Conclusions.....	220
Appendix B: Data processing and quality assessment	221
B.1 Introduction	221
B.2 Data quality assessment methodology	221
B. 3 Results and discussion	222
B.3.1 Data quality assessment	222
B. 3.2 Evaluation of evapotranspiration (ET) measurements.....	225

B.3.3 Effect of nondimensionalisation of ET	227
B.4 Conclusions	229
Appendix C: Evaluation of sap flow sensors	231
C.1 Introduction	231
C.2 Materials and methods	231
C.2.1 Theory of heat balance method	231
C.2.2 Sap flow and transpiration measurements	232
C.2.3 Calibration of the lysimeter	234
C.3 Results and discussion	235
C.3.1 Diurnal pattern of sap flow and transpiration	235
C.3.2 Effect of wetting on sap flow and transpiration	237
C.3.3 Effect of climatic variables on sap flow and transpiration	242
C.4 Conclusions	242
Appendix D: Regression analysis to model pre-, during- and post-irrigation phases	245
D.1 Introduction	245
D.2 Materials and methods	245
D.3 Results and discussion	247
D.4 Conclusions	253
Appendix E: Nondimensionalised ET curves on different days over grass	255
Appendix F: Average of reference evapotranspiration ET_{ref} at pre, during and post irrigation period during 19 February – 7 May 2011	256
Appendix G: Average of actual ET (ET_{ecadj}) at pre, during and post irrigation period during 19 February – 7 May 2011	257
Appendix H: Average of ET_{ecadj}/ET_{ref} at pre, during and post irrigation period during 19 February – 7 May 2011	258

Appendix I: Average of actual sap flow (F) at pre, during and post irrigation period during 25 March – 17 April 2011 **259**

Appendix J: Average of F/ET_{ref} at pre, during and post irrigation period during 25 March – 7 May 2011 (Data were average considering pre for 1 hr irrigation, 3 hrs and 1 hr post irrigation period) **260**

Appendix K: Meteorological data recorded during the experiment: average air temperature (T_a), relative humidity (RH), wind speed (WS), vapour pressure deficit (VPD), adjusted latent heat flux (λE_{adj}), adjusted sensible heat flux (H_{adj}), actual net radiation (R_{nact}), soil heat flux, canopy temperature (T_c), Bowen ratio (BR) and advection index (AI)..... **261**

Appendix L: Nondimensionalised ET curves for individual trials **266**

Appendix M: Day time (8 AM-17 PM) average daily climatic data of different locations of cotton growing areas for January 2009..... **271**

Appendix N: Matlab Code for footprint analysis..... **272**

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: Structure of dissertation	7
Figure 2.1: Diagram of the components of water flow through soil-plant-atmosphere system (source : Norman & Campbell 1983).....	11
Figure 2.2: Measuring the volume of water in a catch-can using measuring cylinder (source: Smith, Cotton CRC Water Team)	23
Figure 2. 3: Measured and predicted value of evapotranspiration during sprinkler irrigation for (a) impact sprinkler (b) spray nozzle (source: Thompson et al. 1997).....	35
Figure 2.4: Classical view of the structure of eddies in the atmospheric boundary layer over a uniform vegetated surface (source: Hancock 2008)	47
Figure 2.5: Eddies at one imaginary point imaginary eddies at measurements height of the ECV station (source: Burba & Anderson n.d.).....	48
Figure 2.6: Stem gauge schematics with two differentially wired thermocouples where channel AH measures difference in temperature A-H _a and channel BH measures the difference in temperature B-H _b (source: Dynagage Sap Flow Sensor User Manual, 2005, Dynamax Inc.).....	72
Figure 3.1: Map of the study site.....	80
Figure 3.2: Long term monthly average data of Toowoomba Airport near to study site (1998-2010) (source: Bureau of Meteorology, Australian Government).....	81
Figure 3.3: Schematic layout of the experimental site (not to scale)	82
Figure 3.4: Crops at early and mature stage at the experimental field	83
Figure 3.5: Sprinkler layout to form a 50 m irrigated circle with the ECV system at the centre along and other instrument in different locations. TR1 and TR2 represent the position of the measurement of temperature and relative humidity at upwind and downwind position, respectively and AWS represents the position of automatic weather station.	84

Figure 3.6: The author measuring nozzle pressure during irrigation	85
Figure 3.7: Installed ECV and sap flow system at the centre of the field for continuous measurements.....	89
Figure 3.8: Sonic anemometer, infrared gas analyser (IRGA), four- component net radiometer, temperature, relative humidity probe and infrared thermometer installed at the experimental site	90
Figure 3.9: Sap flow system installed in the field	91
Figure 3.10: Effect of irrigation on surface temperature and albedo on 15 April 2011	98
Figure 4.1: Measurement of evapotranspiration over (a) grass and (b) bare soil	100
Figure 4.2: Reference evapotranspiration (ET_{ref}), actual evapotranspiration (ET_{ecadj}) and net radiation (R_n) on different days	102
Figure 4.3: Nondimensionalised ET for grass surface	104
Figure 4.4: Soil evaporation under the grass surface	104
Figure 4.5: Comparison of relative humidity (RH) for three trial days	106
Figure 4.6: Comparison of reference and actual ET before, during and post irrigation for the trials over bare soil.....	107
Figure 4.7: Nondimensionalised ET for bare soil	108
Figure 4.8: Comparison of soil evaporation on different days.....	109
Figure 5.1: Reference evapotranspiration ET_{ref} , actual evapotranspiration ET_{ecadj} and net radiation R_n on representative days for different combinations of irrigation trials. In each the periods of irrigation are shown shaded in pink. (a) unirrigated; (b) 30 mins irrigation and 30 mins interval; (c) 30 mins irrigation and 60 mins interval; (d) 60 mins irrigation and 60 mins interval; (e) 120 mins irrigation; and (f) 180 mins irrigation	113
Figure 5.2: Temperature and relative humidity 25 m upwind and 25 m downwind of the ECV measurement site at the irrigated plot measured on DOY 60 and 105.....	116

Figure 5.3: Nondimensionalised ET curves for the combination of 30 mins irrigation and 30 mins interval at the initial stage (50% cover) of crop	118
Figure 5.4: Nondimensionalised ET curves for the combination of 30 mins and 60 mins interval at 75% crop canopy coverage	119
Figure 5.5: Nondimensionalised ET curves for 60 mins irrigation trial at full crop canopy condition	120
Figure 5.6: Nondimensionalised ET curves for 120 mins irrigation trial at full crop canopy condition (with the pink bar indicating approximate alignment of Pre/Post ET results)	120
Figure 5.7: Nondimensional curves for 180 mins irrigation trial at full crop canopy condition (with the pink bar indicating approximate alignment of Pre/Post ET results)	121
Figure 5.8: Comparison of additional evaporation at 50% crop canopy condition.....	123
Figure 5.9: The comparison of additional evaporation and wind speed during irrigation	127
Figure 5.10: Additional evaporation for partial (75%) crop canopy condition on individual days	128
Figure 5.11: Comparison of reference and actual ET during the pre irrigation period.....	131
Figure 5.12: Components of energy fluxes under advective condition (DOY 104, 14 April 2011).....	132
Figure 5.13: Additional evaporation at advective and nonadvective condition at full crop canopy coverage	134
Figure 5.14: Idealized curves of evapotranspiration (ET) for sprinkler irrigation during (a) nonadvective and (b) advective condition.....	135
Figure 5.15: Schematic representation of the different phases of irrigation in terms of dimensionless ET	136
Figure 5.16: Sap flow F , reference evapotranspiration ET_{ref} and actual evapotranspiration ET_{ecadj} on selected days for different trials. Periods of irrigation are shown shaded. (a) non-irrigated day; (b) 30 mins irrigation trails; (c) 120 mins irrigation trials; and (d) 180 mins irrigation trials	139
Figure 5.17: Nondimensionalised curves of sap flow F for (a) 120 mins and b) 180 mins irrigation trials	141

Figure 5.18: Nondimensionalised curves of sap flow F for 30 mins irrigation trials (with the pink bar indicating approximate alignment of Pre/Post F results).....	142
Figure 5.19: Nondimensionalised curves of sap flow F for 60 mins irrigation trials.....	142
Figure 5.20: Nondimensionalised curves of sap flow (F) for long irrigation trials (a) 120 mins (b) 180 mins (with the pink bar indicating approximate alignment of Pre/Post F results).....	143
Figure 5.21: Comparison of sap flow in an average of three irrigated ' F_{irri} ' and three non-irrigated ' $F_{nonirri}$ ' plants on a clear day (15 April 2011; DOY 105)	146
Figure 5.22: Idealized curves of sap flow for different phases of irrigation (with the pink bar indicating approximate alignment of Pre/Post F results)	147
Figure 5.23: Nondimensionalised ET for three types of nozzle (with the pink bar indicating approximate alignment of Pre/Post F results) (a) large (3.2 mm) impact (b) spray and (c) small (2.5 mm) impact.....	149
Figure 5.24: Additional evaporation for different types of sprinkler	150
Figure 5.25: Comparison of sap flow for three types of nozzle under experiments VII, VIII, IX and X (Table 3.1, section 3.5).....	151
Figure 5.26: Air temperature, RH and VPD upwind and downwind of the plot on a clear day (DOY 105, 15 April 2011).....	154
Figure 5.27: Air temperature, RH, VPD upwind and within the plot on a clear day (DOY 114, 24 April 2011)	154
Figure 5.28: Air and canopy temperature during the irrigation trials (DOY 105, 15 April 2011).....	155
Figure 5.29: Comparison of evaporative demand (reference ET) upwind and within the crop (a) pre- (b) during (c) post-irrigation condition on a clear day 15 April 2011 (DOY 105).....	156
Figure 6.1: Reference ET (ET_{ref}), actual ET (ET_{ecadj}) and sap flow (F) in different types of sprinklers on different days (a) DOY 103 and (b) DOY 114	163
Figure 6.2: Nondimensionalised evapotranspiration (ET) and sap flow (F) for different types of nozzles	164

Figure 6.3: Idealized model for ET and sap flow (F) in (a) nonadvective and (b) advective conditions	167
Figure 6.4: Comparison of measured and predicted evapotranspiration and sap flow	168
Figure 6.5: Comparison of measured and predicted actual evapotranspiration and sap flow in nonadvective condition (a) evapotranspiration (b) sap flow.....	169
Figure 6.6: Comparison of measured and predicted actual evapotranspiration and sap flow in advective condition (a) evapotranspiration (b) sap flow.....	170
Figure 6.7: Prediction of actual ET and sap flow at different locations of Queensland.....	172
Figure 6.8: Prediction of actual ET and sap flow at different locations of New South Wales.....	173
Figure A.1: Footprints of ECV daytime measurements with different wind speed at measurement height 2 m	217
Figure A.2: Representative footprints of ECV measurements for different stages of crop on different days at different heights and wind speeds	219
Figure B.1: Energy balance closure on an irrigated day (DOY 60, 1 Mar 2011)	223
Figure B.2: Energy balance closure on a nonirrigated day (DOY 57, 26 February 2011)	223
Figure B.3: Measured $\frac{\sigma U z}{U_*}$ for all the days as a function of $(z-d)/L$ during the period 28 February – 1 March 2011	224
Figure B.4 : Comparison of measured evapotranspiration before adjustment (ET_{ec}) and after adjustment (ET_{ecadj}) with reference ET	226
Figure B.5: Comparison of EC-based ET and reference ET before and after adjustment.....	227
Figure B.6 : Measured net radiation R_n and evapotranspiration using ECV technique ET_{ecadj} on a cloudy day (DOY 50, 19 February 2011)	228

Figure B.7: Average value of evapotranspiration (ET_{ecadj}) for 3 irrigation trials on the same day (DOY 50, 19 February 2011)	228
Figure B.8: Nondimensionalised ET at different period on 19 February 2011 (DOY 50)	229
Figure C.1: Pot plants with installed sap flow gauge placed on mini lysimeter.....	234
Figure C.2: Diurnal pattern of (hourly values) of sap flow (F) and transpiration (T).....	236
Figure C.3: Effect of irrigation on sap flow on 15 September 2010 (DOY 258).....	238
Figure C.4: Effect of irrigation on sap flow on 17 September 2010 (DOY 260) for 30 mins irrigation	239
Figure C.5: The response of sap flow due to irrigation at different heights of plant on 27 September 2010 (DOY 270)	239
Figure C.6: Sap flow at two heights in the same plant.....	240
Figure C.7: The rate of sap flow and water loss following wetting of the plant.....	241
Figure C.8: Water loss during post wetting period.....	241
Figure C.9: Effect of climatic variables on sap flow and transpiration.....	242
Figure D.1: Schematic representation of the different phases of irrigation in terms of nondimensionalised values	247
Figure D.2: Regression analysis for pre-irrigation period.....	248
Figure D.3: Regression analysis for irrigation period	249
Figure D.4: Regression analysis for first phase of post irrigation period.....	250
Figure D.5: Regression analysis for second phase of post irrigation period.....	251

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Review of published evaporation studies regarding droplet evaporation losses	13
Table 2.2: Components of evaporation losses for different types sprinkler devices (Yonts et al. 2007). The values within the bracket are (% of total losses)	15
Table 2.3: Empirical equations used for wind drift and evaporation losses (WDEL) estimation. The independent variables are: Specific heat of water (C_p , J kg ⁻¹ °C ⁻¹), Latent heat of vapourization (kJ kg ⁻¹) (nozzle diameter (D_{nozzle} , mm), vapour pressure deficit ($e_s - e_a$, kPa), operating pressure (P , kPa), wind speed (W & U , m s ⁻¹), evapotranspiration (ET_0 , mm day ⁻¹), air temperature (T_a , °C), and water temperature (T_w , °C).....	28
Table 2.4: (Thom 1975) sets out typical magnitudes of energy budget in W m ⁻² for each component for thriving plant community of moderate height (about 1m) in cloudless, summer conditions in middle latitudes at four times of day.....	59
Table 3.1: General characteristic of the experiments	86
Table 4.1: Additional evaporation during sprinkler irrigation over the grass	105
Table 4.2: Additional evaporation during sprinkler irrigation over the bare soil	109
Table 5.1: Averaged meteorological data recorded during five days in which irrigation trials were conducted in different combinations: average air temperature (T_a), relative humidity (RH), wind speed (WS), vapour pressure deficit (VPD), adjusted latent heat flux (λE_{adj}), adjusted sensible heat flux (H_{adj}), actual net radiation (R_n), and soil heat flux (G).....	115
Table 5.2: Average values of ET_{ecadj}/ET_{ref} at pre-, during- and post-irrigation periods for partial (50%) crop canopy condition during 19 February – 01 March 2011	122

Table 5.3: Computation of the Todd et al. (2000) Advection Index AI from meteorological data recorded during the period 19 Feb – 1 March 2011	124
Table 5.4: Average values of actual evapotranspiration (ET_{ecadj}) in the pre, during and post irrigation periods for partial (50%) crop canopy condition during 19 February –1 March 2011	125
Table 5.5: Average values of ET_{ecadj}/ET_{ref} and the Todd et al. (2000) Advection Index AI pre-, during- and post-irrigation during 16 March - 6 April 2011.....	126
Table 5.6: Average values of actual ET (ET_{ecadj}) pre, during and post irrigation for partial (75%) crop canopy condition during 16 March - 6 April 2011.....	129
Table 5.7: Average values of ET_{ecadj}/ET_{ref} pre, during and post irrigation at full crop canopy condition during 7-17 April 2011	130
Table 5.8: Meteorological data recorded during the period 7 – 17 April 2011.....	131
Table 5.9: Best fitting regression equations of nondimensional ET for different phases of irrigation under advective and nonadvective conditions (where R_{et} is the nondimensional ET and t is the time).....	137
Table 5.10: Average values of F/ET_{ref} pre-, during- and post-irrigation during 25 March – 13 April 2011	145
Table 5.11: Average values of actual sap flow F pre-, during- and post-irrigation during 25 March – 17 April 2011.....	145
Table 5.12: Best fitting regression equations of sap flow for different phases of irrigation (where R_f is the nondimensionalised value of sap flow and t is time)	148
Table 5.13: Additional evaporation (nondimensionalised) for different types of sprinkler at full crop canopy condition.....	150
Table 5.14: Uncertainty in evapotranspiration and sap flow measurements.....	152
Table 6.1: Details of the weather station of the selected areas.....	171
Table 6.2: Day time (8:00 AM – 17:00 PM) average value of weather variables on 15 January 2009	174

Table 6.3: Total canopy evaporation (sum of part C, D, E & F in figures) for different locations (the value within the bracket represents the percentage of applied water)..... 175

Table 6.4: Additional evaporation during irrigation (part C in Figures) in different locations (the value within the bracket represents the percentage of applied water) 176

Table 6.5: Canopy interception capacity of cotton (sum of part E & F in figures) calculated for different locations 177

Table 6.6: Components of total additional water evaporation during irrigation and subsequent (drying) period for low angle types impact sprinkler for 30 mm of applied water..... 178

Table B.1: Comparison of 5 min averaged EC-based ET and FAO Penman-Monteith based ET 226

Table D.1: The regression equations and statistical analysis for nondimensionalised ET at different irrigation phases..... 251

Table D.2: The regression equations and statistical analysis for nondimensionalised F at different phases 252

Table D.3: Best fitted regression equations of nondimensionalised evapotranspiration ET for different period of irrigation at nonadvective condition (where R_{et} is the nondimensional ET and t is the time)..... 253

Table D.4: Best fitted regression equations of nondimensionalised evapotranspiration ET for different period of irrigation at advective condition (where R_{et} is the nondimensional ET and t is the time) 253

Table D.5: Regression equations of nondimensionalised sapflow F for different period of irrigation (where R_f is the nondimensional F and t is the time)..... 254