QUT Digital Repository:
http://eprints.qut.edu.au/

# Conventional and Controlled Rate Thermal analysis of nesquehonite Mg(HCO$_3$)(OH).2(H$_2$O)

Veronika Vágvölgyi, [a] Matthew Hales, [b] Ray L. Frost, [b] Ashley Locke, [b] János Kristóf, [a] Erzsébet Horváth [c]

*[a] Department of Analytical Chemistry, University of Pannonia, H8201 Veszprém, PO Box 158, Hungary*

*[b] Inorganic Materials Research Program, School of Physical and Chemical Sciences, Queensland University of Technology, 2 George Street, GPO Box 2434, Brisbane, Queensland 4001, Australia*

*[c] Department of Environmental Engineering and Chemical Technology, University of Pannonia, H8201 Veszprém, PO Box 158, Hungary*

## Abstract

The understanding of the thermal stability of magnesium carbonates and the relative metastability of hydrous carbonates including hydromagnesite, artinite, nesquehonite, barringtonite and lansfordite is extremely important to the sequestration process for the removal of atmospheric CO$_2$. The conventional thermal analysis of synthetic nesquehonite proves that dehydration takes place in two steps at 157, 179°C and decarbonation at 416°C and 487°C. Controlled rate thermal analysis shows the first dehydration step is isothermal and the second quasi-isothermal at 108 and 145°C. In the CRTA experiment carbon dioxide is evolved at 376°C. CRTA technology offers better resolution and a more detailed interpretation of the decomposition processes of magnesium carbonates such as nesquehonite via approaching equilibrium conditions of decomposition through the elimination of the slow transfer of heat to the sample as a controlling parameter on the process of decomposition. Constant-rate decomposition processes of non-isothermal nature reveal partial collapse of the nesquehonite structure

**Keywords:** hydromagnesite, lansfordite, nesquehonite, magnesite, thermal analysis, CRTA, thermogravimetry

**Introduction**

The thermoanalytical studies of basic carbonates such as nesquehonite and lansfordite and hydroxy-carbonates such as hydrozincite and hydromagnesite are not new, the first reported studies were in 1910 [1-9]. A similar lack of recent studies is true of minerals such as hydroxyzincite and hydromagnesite [1-3, 5, 10-14]. There is a need to undertake a systematic study using the latest technology of carbonate and hydroxyl-carbonate minerals using thermo-analytical techniques including conventional and controlled rate thermal analysis. Very few thermo-analytical and spectroscopic studies of the hydroxy carbonates have been forthcoming and what studies that are available are not new. Few Raman studies of any note are available [15, 16]. To the best of the authors knowledge few recent thermo-analytical studies of hydromagnesite have been undertaken [1, 4], although differential thermal analysis of some related minerals has been published [12] .The decomposition of aurichalcite, hydrozincite and hydromagnesite may result in the formation of metal oxides or a mixture of metal oxides such as CuO and ZnO. Both these oxides may function as catalysts and photo-catalysts. The thermal activation of aurichalcite results in the formation of the oxide mixture, mixed at the molecular level and not at the particle level.

Recently thermogravimetric analysis has been applied to some complex mineral systems [17-41] and it is considered that TG-MS analysis may also be applicable to many carbonate minerals [37, 42-46]. The hydration-carbonation or hydration-and-carbonation reaction path in the $MgO$—$CO_2$—$H_2O$ system at ambient temperature and atmospheric $CO_2$ is of practical significance from the standpoint of carbon balance and the removal of green house gases from the atmosphere. A better understanding of the global masses of Mg and $CO_2$ and the thermal stability of the hydrated carbonates of magnesium will provide a practical understanding for carbon dioxide removal. From a practical point of view, the exact knowledge of the reaction path in $MgO$—$CO_2$—$H_2O$ system is of great significance to the performance of $Mg(OH)_2$ and related minerals for green house gas removal. The reaction path involving carbonation of brucite ($Mg(OH)_2$) is particularly complex, as Mg has a strong tendency to form a series of metastable hydrous carbonates. These metastable hydrous carbonates include hydromagnesite ($Mg_5(CO_3)_4(OH)_2.4H_2O$, or $Mg_4(CO_3)_3(OH)_2.3H_2O$), artinite ($Mg_2CO_3(OH)_2.3H_2O$), nesquehonite ($MgCO_3.3H_2O$), and lansfordite ($MgCO_3.5H_2O$). The free energy of formation for these hydroxy and hydrous carbonates differs and their formation will depend on the partial pressure of $CO_2$.

In this work we report the synthesis of one of these hydrous carbonate minerals namely nesquehonite and the thermal stability using conventional and controlled rate thermal analysis (CRTA) of synthetic nesquehonite.

**Experimental**

*Synthesis of* **nesquehonite**

The literature contains a number of methods for the synthesis of single phase nesquehonite and hydromagnesite. Various authors [6, 47-50] have discussed the effects of temperature and partial pressure of carbon dioxide $pCO_2$ on the stability of

the synthetic products. Synthetic nesquehonite used in this study was synthesised by the wet chemical method which involved selective precipitation of each mineral by varying the carbonate buffering solution. A thermal stability study conducted by Lanas et al. [14] discussed the inherent difficulty associated with studying the MgO-CaO-$H_2O$-$CO_2$ system as there are multiple complex minerals which can form during the dolomitization process.

It was found that nesquehonite was preferentially precipitated from solution when equimolar amounts (0.5M) $Mg(NO_3)_2$ and 0. $HCO_3^-$ solutions were mixed drop wise over a period of 10 minutes at controlled reaction temperature of 45$^o$C. The precipitate was then transferred to a centrifuge to be spun down. At this point it is interesting to note that the precipitate dissolved forming a clear solution. The liquor was left in the centrifuge tube for a week and a new precipitate was found to have formed producing large crystals. It is hypothesised that the initial precipitate was in fact $Mg(HCO_3)_2$ although subsequent experiments failed to trap the initial precipitate for analysis. The following reactions are envisaged:

$Mg(NO_3)_2 + 2NaHCO_3 + H_2O \rightarrow MgHCO_3 + 2NaNO_3$
$MgHCO_3 + 2H_2O \rightarrow MgCO_3.3H_2O + CO_2$

The synthesised mineral was characterised for phase specificity using XRD, and chemical composition by EDX methods

***Thermal Analysis***

*Conventional experiment*

Thermal decomposition of nesquehonite was carried out in a Derivatograph PC type thermoanalytical equipment (Hungarian Optical Works, Budapest, Hungary) capable of recording the thermogravimetric (TG), derivative thermogravimetric (DTG) and differential thermal analysis (DTA) curves simultaneously. The sample was heated in a ceramic crucible in static air atmosphere at a rate of 5°C min$^{-1}$. In order to determine the temperature at which evolved gases were liberated, a second experiment was undertaken using a second instrument. Thermal decomposition of the nesquehonite was also carried out using a TA® Instruments incorporated high-resolution thermogravimetric analyzer (series Q500) in a flowing nitrogen atmosphere (60 cm$^3$ min$^{-1}$). Approximately 35 mg of sample underwent thermal analysis, with a heating rate of 5°C min$^{-1}$, and high resolution, to 1000°C. With the heating program of the instrument the furnace temperature was regulated precisely to provide a uniform rate of decomposition in the main decomposition stage. The TGA instrument was coupled to a Balzers (Pfeiffer) mass spectrometer for gas analysis. Only water vapour, carbon dioxide and oxygen were analyzed.

*Controlled Rate Thermal analysis experiment*

Thermal decomposition of nesquehonite under CRTA conditions was carried out in the Derivatograph. The samples were heated in static air in an open ceramic crucible at a pre-set, constant decomposition rate of 0.10 mg min$^{-1}$. (Below this threshold value the samples were heated under conventional conditions at a uniform

rate of 1°C min$^{-1}$). With the quasi-isothermal, quasi-isobaric heating program of the instrument the furnace temperature was regulated precisely to provide a uniform rate of decomposition in the main decomposition stage.


**Results and discussion**

*Conventional thermal analysis of nesquehonite*

The conventional thermal analysis of synthetic nesquehonite is shown in Figure 1. The results of the thermal decomposition are reported in Table 1. Nesquehonite has the simple formula ($MgCO_3.3H_2O$). According to the webmineral data base the formula may also be written as $Mg(HCO_3)(OH)\cdot2(H_2O)$ (see http://webmineral.com/data/Nesquehonite.shtml). In figure 1, two dehydration steps are observed at 157 and 179°C. The mass losses at these temperatures are 19.3 and 16.1%. This is a total mass loss due to dehydration of 35.4%. By using the formula $Mg(HCO_3)(OH).2(H_2O)$ the total mass loss due to dehydration is 39.13%. The difference of 3.7% $H_2O$ between the total theoretical and experimental values can be due to the possible departure from the ideal stoichiometry.


In the DTA patterns two endotherms are observed at 165 and 195°C. According to our calculations as shown in the appendix, two moles of water are lost in two steps. Thus the dehydration steps may be written as
$Mg(HCO_3)(OH).2(H_2O) \rightarrow Mg(HCO_3)(OH).(H_2O) + H_2O$ at 157°C
and $Mg(HCO_3)(OH).(H_2O) \rightarrow Mg(HCO_3)(OH) + H_2O$ at 179°C
According to the DTA patterns of Beck [1] (page 995 of this reference), water of crystallisation is lost in two steps. The reaction begins at 140°C and is complete by 300°C. The dehydration steps as reported in Table 1 is in close agreement with the values of Beck [1].


A DTG peak is observed at 416°C. This peak is attributed to the loss of the third molecule of water according to the reaction:
$Mg(HCO_3)(OH) \rightarrow MgCO_3 + H_2O$
The process begins at 307°C and is complete by 400°C. An endothermic peak in the DTA plot at 425°C is also observed. The theoretical mass loss due to $CO_2$ evolution is 31.88%. The observed mass loss at 487 and 510°C is 14.5 and 5.0% making a total of 19.5% which is less than the theoretical value. The assumption has been made that each of the thermal decomposition steps is solely due to dehydration or loss of $CO_2$. In fact this may not be the case and some $CO_2$ may be evolved when dehydration occurs. The ion current curves (Figure 3) show $CO_2$ is lost over a temperature range from 350 to 550°C. It should be noted that the ion current curves were obtained using different thermal analysis equipment.


Decarbonation of the dehydrated mineral takes place in three steps at 416, 487 and 510°C as shown by the DTG curve. The observed mass loss (31.5%) is in perfect agreement with the theoretical figure of 31.9%.
$MgCO_3 \rightarrow MgO + CO_2$
In the DTA pattern three endotherms can be observed corresponding to the DTG peaks. Dissimilarly to the statement of Davis more than 100 years ago reporting that a

third water was lost with $CO_2$ [51] no water was identified by mass spectrometry in the 350-550°C range.

Beck reported a second endothermic step at 425°C in the DTA patterns which is in good agreement with our results from the DTG pattern.
A sharp DTG peak at 487°C is observed which is attributed to the loss of carbonate from the thermally decomposing nesquehonite according to the reaction:
$$MgCO_3 \rightarrow MgO + CO_2$$
In the DTA patterns an endotherm at 485°C is observed. Our results differ at this point from the work of Beck [1] who reported that decarbonation took place at 480°C reaching a doublet at 535 and 585°C and is completed by 620°C. An additional DTG peak is observed at 510°C. Ion current curves for evolved gases indicate that this peak is attributable to oxygen loss (Figure 2). Davis in a reference more than 100 years old reported that using synthetic nesquehonite that the third molecule of water was lost with $CO_2$ [51] . A hydrous calcium substituted magnesium carbonate from Spanish playa lake sediments was shown to have a formula of $((Mg_{0.92},Ca_{0.08})CO_3.3H_2O)$ [52]. This mineral is close to the formula of nesquehonite. The authors reported the DTA and TG patterns for this mineral. Two endotherms were found at 455 and 630°C but endotherms at ~160°C and ~ 380°C may be observed. No DTG peaks were reported by the authors; however steps in the TG curves may be observed at ~180, 405 and 500°C [52]. It is also interesting that minerals such as nesquehonite were used in antiquity [53].

*Controlled Rate thermal analysis of nesquehonite*

The controlled rate thermal analysis of nesquehonite is shown in Figure 3. The decomposition of nesquehonite under CRTA conditions are reported in Table 2. Figure 3 shows the TG and DTG curves obtained under CRTA conditions together with the change in temperature with experiment time. Two separate dehydration stages are observed at 108 and 145°C. The first step indicates an isothermal while the second one a quasi-isothermal process. The decomposition of the carbonate takes place in an isothermal process at 376°C. A small mass loss step between 400 and 450°C resulting in the liberation of 3.4% $CO_2$ can be due to the decomposition of a more crystalline (therefore thermally more stable) part of the carbonate mineral. The observed mass loss of 33.7% is in good agreement with the theoretical value (31.9%).

*Sequestration of green house gases*

In order to understand the potential sequestration of green house gases through reaction with magnesium minerals it is important to have fundamental knowledge of the reactions of $Mg(OH)_2$ and MgO with $CO_2$. The following reaction is envisaged:
$$MgO + CO_2 \rightarrow MgCO_3 \text{ and}$$
$$MgO + CO_2 + H_2O \rightarrow Mg(OH)(HCO_3).2H_2O \text{ (nesquehonite)}$$

It is important to understand the stability of such minerals both in terms of temperature and partial pressure of the $CO_2$ and in the presence of other green house gases. The hydration-carbonation or hydration-and-carbonation reaction path in the $CO_2$—MgO—$H_2O$ system at ambient temperature and atmospheric $CO_2$ is of practical significance from the standpoint of carbon balance and the removal of green house gases from the atmosphere. A better understanding of the global masses of Mg

and $CO_2$ and the thermal stability of the hydrated carbonates of magnesium provide a practical understanding for carbon dioxide removal. From an experimental point of view, the exact knowledge of the reaction path in $MgO$—$CO_2$—$H_2O$ system is of great significance to the performance of $Mg(OH)_2$ and related minerals for green house gas removal. The reaction path involving carbonation of brucite ($Mg(OH)_2$) is particularly complex, as Mg has a strong tendency to form a series of metastable hydrous carbonates. These metastable hydrous carbonates include hydromagnesite ($Mg_5(CO_3)_4(OH)_2.4H_2O$, or $Mg_4(CO_3)_3(OH)_2.3H_2O$), artinite ($Mg_2CO_3(OH)_2.3H_2O$), nesquehonite ($MgCO_3.3H_2O$), and lansfordite ($MgCO_3.5H_2O$). The free energy of formation for these hydroxy and hydrous carbonates differs and their formation will depend on the partial pressure of $CO_2$. In this research we have presented some concepts with respect to the thermal stability of nesquehonite, a mineral which will at least potentially be formed upon the reaction of MgO and $CO_2$.

**Conclusions**

The hydration-carbonation or hydration-and-carbonation reaction path in the $MgOCO_2H_2O$ system at ambient temperature and atmospheric $CO_2$ is of environmental significance from the standpoint of carbon balance and the removal of green house gases from the atmosphere. The understanding of the thermal stability of the carbonates of magnesium and the relative metastability of hydrous carbonates including hydromagnesite ($Mg_5(CO_3)_4(OH)_2.4H_2O$, or $Mg_4(CO_3)_3(OH)_2.3H_2O$), artinite ($Mg_2CO_3(OH)_2.3H_2O$), nesquehonite ($MgCO_3.3H_2O$), and lansfordite ($MgCO_3.5H_2O$) is extremely important to the sequestration process for the removal of atmospheric $CO_2$.

CRTA technology offers better resolution and a more detailed interpretation of the decomposition processes of magnesium carbonate minerals via approaching equilibrium conditions of decomposition through the elimination of the slow transfer of heat to the sample as a controlling parameter on the process of decomposition. Constant-rate decomposition processes of non-isothermal nature reveal partial collapse of the nesquehonite structure, since in this case a higher energy (higher temperature) is needed to drive out gaseous decomposition products through a decreasing space at a constant, pre-set rate. The CRTA technology offers a mechanism for the study of the thermal decomposition of minerals such as nesquehonite. With this technique the temperatures of decomposition can be standardized thereby providing the opportunity of comparison for the thermal behaviour of various minerals.

**Acknowledgements**

**Appendix**

**<u>Calculation of water content for nesquehonite:</u>**


<u>**Calculation of water content for nesquehonite:**</u>

Composition: $MgCO_3 * xH_2O$

Removing water up to 282°C: 16.2 mg that is 0.899 mmol

Remaining dehydrated mineral up to 282°C: 47.34 mg that is 0.561 mmol

Molar mass of dehydrated mineral: 84.31 g/mol

Calculation of x:

1 mol dehydrated mineral – x mol $H_2O$

0.561 mol dehydrated mineral – 0.899 mol $H_2O$


x = 1.6 ~ 2 mol


Formula: **$MgCO_3 * 2H_2O$**

    1. step: 0.814 mol

    2. step: 1.186 mol

## References

[1]     C.W. Beck, American Mineralogist 35 (1950) 985-1013.
[2]     G. Cocco, Periodico di Mineralogia 20 (1951) 92-115.
[3]     W.E. Ford, W.A. Bradley, American Journal of Science 42 (1916) 59-62.
[4]     V.P. Ivanova, Zapiski Vserossiiskogo Mineralogicheskogo Obshchestva 90 (1961) 50-90.
[5]     J.L. Jambor, Canadian Mineralogist 8 (1964) 92-108.
[6]     G. Cesaro, Bull. acad. roy. belg. (1910) 844-845.
[7]     R. Ballo, L. Jugovics, Foldtani Kozlony 45 (1915) 221-233;286-292.
[8]     A.E. Mitchell, Journal of the Chemical Society, Transactions 123 (1923) 1887-1904.
[9]     G.R. Levi, Annali di Chimica Applicata 14 (1924) 265-274.
[10]    F.L. Cuthbert, R.A. Rowland, American Mineralogist 32 (1947) 111-116.
[11]    W. Zabinski, Rocznik Polsk. Towarz. Geol. 26 (1957) 51-61.
[12]    A. Botha, C.A. Strydom, Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry 71 (2003) 987-995.
[13]    W.B. White, American Mineralogist 56 (1971) 46-53.
[14]    J. Lanas, J.I. Alvarez, Thermochimica Acta 421 (2004) 123-132.
[15]    H. Fan, K. Tao, Y. Xie, K. Wang, Yanshi Xuebao 19 (2003) 169-172.
[16]    W. Hong, S. He, S. Huang, Y. Wang, H. Hou, X. Zhu, Guangpuxue Yu Guangpu Fenxi 19 (1999) 546-549.
[17]    Y. Zhao, R.L. Frost, W.N. Martens, H.Y. Zhu, Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry 90 (2007) 755-760.
[18]    J.M. Bouzaid, R.L. Frost, W.N. Martens, Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry 89 (2007) 511-519.
[19]    R.L. Frost, A.W. Musumeci, M.O. Adebajo, W. Martens, Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry 89 (2007) 95-99.
[20]    J. Bouzaid, R.L. Frost, Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry 89 (2007) 133-135.
[21]    A.W. Musumeci, G.G. Silva, W.N. Martens, E.R. Waclawik, R.L. Frost, Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry 88 (2007) 885-891.
[22]    J.M. Bouzaid, R.L. Frost, A.W. Musumeci, W.N. Martens, J. Therm. Anal. Calorim. 86 (2006) 745-749.
[23]    R.L. Frost, J.M. Bouzaid, A.W. Musumeci, J.T. Kloprogge, W.N. Martens, J. Therm. Anal. Calorim. 86 (2006) 437-441.
[24]    R.L. Frost, A.W. Musumeci, J.T. Kloprogge, M.L. Weier, M.O. Adebajo, W. Martens, Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry 86 (2006) 205-209.
[25]    R.L. Frost, R.-A. Wills, J.T. Kloprogge, W. Martens, Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry 84 (2006) 489-496.
[26]    R.L. Frost, J. Kristof, W.N. Martens, M.L. Weier, E. Horvath, Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry 83 (2006) 675-679.
[27]    R.L. Frost, R.-A. Wills, J.T. Kloprogge, W.N. Martens, J. Therm. Anal. Calorim. 83 (2006) 213-218.
[28]    R.L. Frost, W.N. Martens, K.L. Erickson, Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry 82 (2005) 603-608.
[29]    R.L. Frost, M.L. Weier, W. Martens, Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry 82 (2005) 115-118.

[30] R.L. Frost, M.L. Weier, W. Martens, Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry 82 (2005) 373-381.

[31] R.L. Frost, W. Martens, M.O. Adebajo, Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry 81 (2005) 351-355.

[32] Y. Xi, W. Martens, H. He, R.L. Frost, Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry 81 (2005) 91-97.

[33] Y.-H. Lin, M.O. Adebajo, R.L. Frost, J.T. Kloprogge, Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry 81 (2005) 83-89.

[34] R.L. Frost, J. Kristof, M.L. Weier, W.N. Martens, E. Horvath, Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry 79 (2005) 721-725.

[35] R.L. Frost, Z. Ding, Thermochim. Acta 397 (2003) 119-128.

[36] R.L. Frost, Z. Ding, Thermochim. Acta 405 (2003) 207-218.

[37] R.L. Frost, Z. Ding, H.D. Ruan, J. Therm. Anal. Calorim. 71 (2003) 783-797.

[38] R.L. Frost, K.L. Erickson, M.L. Weier, A.R. McKinnon, P.A. Williams, P. Leverett, Thermochim. Acta 427 (2005) 167-170.

[39] R.L. Frost, D.L. Wain, R.-A. Wills, A. Musemeci, W. Martens, Thermochim. Acta 443 (2006) 56-61.

[40] R.L. Frost, M.L. Weier, Thermochim. Acta 409 (2004) 79-85.

[41] R.L. Frost, M.L. Weier, Thermochim. Acta 406 (2003) 221-232.

[42] R.L. Frost, K.L. Erickson, J. Therm. Anal. Calorim. 76 (2004) 217-225.

[43] R.L. Frost, K. Erickson, M. Weier, J. Therm. Anal. Calorim. 77 (2004) 851-861.

[44] R.L. Frost, M.L. Weier, K.L. Erickson, J. Therm. Anal. Calorim. 76 (2004) 1025-1033.

[45] R.L. Frost, M.L. Weier, J. Therm. Anal. Calorim. 75 (2004) 277-291.

[46] R.L. Frost, W. Martens, Z. Ding, J.T. Kloprogge, J. Therm. Anal. Calorim. 71 (2003) 429-438.

[47] Magnesium carbonate in the form of nesquehonite or lansfordite. (Basic Refractories Inc.). G, 1946.

[48] G. Cesaro, Bull. sci. acad. roy. Belg. (1910) 749-768.

[49] E.D. Glover, R.F. Sippel, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 31 (1967) 603-613.

[50] B. Valuziene, A. Kaminskas, K. Gasiunas, Sb. Tr. VNII Teploizolyats. i Akust. Stroit. Materialov i Izdelii (1976) 183-188.

[51] W.A. Davis, J. Soc. Chem. Ind. 25 (1906) 788.

[52] I. Queralt, R. Julia, F. Plana, J.L. Bischoff, American Mineralogist 82 (1997) 812-819.

[53] M. Barbieri, G. Calderoni, C. Cortesi, M. Fornaseri, Archaeometry 16, Pt. 2 (1974) 211-220.

*List of Tables*

*List of Figures*

**Table 1**        **Decomposition stages under conventional conditions:**

| Decomposition process | Nesquehonite Sample mass: 52.32 mg | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Temp. range (°C) | Mass loss | |
| | | mg | % |
| Dehydration | 79-174 | 10.1 | 19.3 |
| | 174-307 | 8.4 | 16.1 |
| Dehydroxylation and Decarbonation | 307-449 | 6.3 | 12.0 |
| | 449-501 | 7.6 | 14.5 |
| | 501-534 | 2.6 | 5.0 |

**Table 2**        **Decomposition stages under CRTA conditions:**

| Decomposition process | Nesquehonite Sample mass: 73.54 mg | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Temp. range (°C) | Mass loss | |
| | | mg | % |
| Isothermal dehydration | 63-129 | 10.7 | 14.5 |
| Non-isothermal dehydration | 129-282 | 15.5 | 21.1 |
| `Decarbonation and dehydration | 282-400 | 22.3 | 30.3 |
| | 400-418 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| | 418-600 | 1.9 | 2.6 |

**Figure 1**

**Figure 2**

**Figure 3**