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Automatic modal identification of cable-supported bridges instrumented with a long-term monitoring system
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ABSTRACT

An automatic modal identification program is developed for continuous extraction of modal parameters of three cable-supported bridges in Hong Kong which are instrumented with a long-term monitoring system. The program employs the Complex Modal Indication Function (CMIF) algorithm to identify modal properties from continuous ambient vibration measurements in an on-line manner. By using the LabVIEW graphical programming language, the software realizes the algorithm in Virtual Instrument (VI) style. The applicability and implementation issues of the developed software are demonstrated by using one-year measurement data acquired from 67 channels of accelerometers deployed on the cable-stayed Ting Kau Bridge. With the continuously identified results, normal variability of modal vectors caused by varying environmental and operational conditions is observed. Such observation is very helpful for selection of appropriate measured modal vectors for structural health monitoring applications.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Real-time or near real-time damage monitoring of civil infrastructure systems when subjected to natural or man-made disasters has widespread societal implications. Not only does this give infrastructure owners/managers knowledge of what and where damage may have occurred, but also whether immediate evacuation of the occupants/contents is necessary. For three key bridges in Hong Kong, a sophisticated on-structure instrumentation system consisting of over 800 sensors has been permanently installed for continuous on-line structural monitoring [1, 2]. The large volume of collected data from a continuous monitoring system involving hundreds of sensors makes manual probing almost impossible. Especially, traditional human analysis leads to the breakdown of real-time or near real-time monitoring. Automatic and on-line data processing and analysis is therefore imperative for real-time or near real-time structural health monitoring.

This paper describes the development of an automatic modal identification program and its application to the cable-stayed Ting Kau Bridge. Software that can automatically identify modal properties from continuous ambient vibration measurement is developed in the LabVIEW environment. The Complex Modal Indication Function (CMIF) algorithm is encoded into the software for modal parameter extraction, which is realized in Virtual Instrument (VI) style with the aid of a visualization program. The developed software is then applied for continuous modal parameter identification, at one-hour intervals, of the cable-stayed Ting Kau Bridge by using one-year measurement data from 45 accelerometers (a total of 67 channels) permanently installed on the bridge. From the point of view of structural health monitoring, it is extremely important to discriminate abnormal changes in modal features caused by structural damage from normal changes due to varying environmental and operational conditions [3-12], so that the normal changes will not raise a false positive alarm in health monitoring. It has been accepted that monitoring of structures for at least one complete cycle of in-service/operating environment to include the whole range of environmental conditions is in need before reliably implementing damage identification methods. With a series of modal parameters identified by using the developed software, the environmental variability of modal vectors of the Ting Kau Bridge is observed. From this observation, stationary and non-stationary modes with respect to environmental effects are classified and only the former will be used in damage detection applications. This observation also provides information on appropriate sensor installation locations. Only the results regarding modal vectors are shown in this paper, and the observation on environmental variability of modal frequencies is presented in the companion paper [13].
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2. CMIF ALGORITHM AND ITS IMPLEMENTATION

The CMIF algorithm, which was originally proposed for identifying the order of system equation in the frequency domain and the modal parameters from frequency response functions (FRFs) [14, 15], is adapted here to identify modal parameters of large scale bridges with output-only measurements and spatially distributed accelerometers. For a bridge structure with acceleration signals as observation variables, its state-space model can be represented as

\[
\dot{x}(t) = A_c x(t) + B_c u(t) \\
y(t) = C_c x(t) + D_c u(t)
\]  

(1)

where \( x \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1} \) is an \( n \)-dimensional state vector; \( y \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1} \) is an \( m \)-dimensional output or measurement; \( u \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times 1} \) is a \( r \)-dimensional control input or excitation. \( A_c \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \), \( B_c \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r} \), \( C_c \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \) and \( D_c \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times r} \) are the transition matrix, input coefficient matrix, output coefficient matrix and transfer matrix of the system, respectively.

If the singular value decomposition of the transition matrix is 

\[
\Psi \Lambda = \Psi A_c \Psi^{-1},
\]

Eq. (1) can be written as

\[
\dot{x}_m(t) = A_c x_m(t) + E_c^T u(t) \\
y(t) = V_c x_m(t) + D_c u(t)
\]  

(2)

where \( E_c^T = \Psi^{-1} B_c \), \( V_c = C_c \Psi \), and the diagonal elements of \( A_c \) (i.e. the singular values of \( A_c \)) are

\[
\lambda_k = -\xi_k \omega_k + j \omega_k \sqrt{1 - \xi_k^2}
\]  

(3)

in which \( \omega_k \) and \( \xi_k \) are the modal frequency and damping ratio of the \( k \)th mode.

If the excitation is assumed to be a zero-mean white noise vector satisfying

\[
E[u(t)] = 0, \quad R_u(\tau) = E[u(t + \tau)u^T(t)] = R_u \delta(\tau)
\]  

(4)

then the power spectral density matrix of the input can be expressed as

\[
S_u(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R_u(t)e^{-is\tau}d\tau = R_u
\]  

(5)

and the power spectral density matrix of the output is obtained as

\[
S_y(s) = H_c(s)R_uH_c^T(s^*)
\]  

(6)

where \( H_c(s) \) is the transfer function of the system, which is obtained by the Laplace transform of Eq. (1) as

\[
H_c(s) = \frac{Y(s)}{u(s)} = C_c(sI - A_c)^{-1}B_c + D_c
\]  

(7)

where \( Y(s) \) and \( u(s) \) are the Laplace transforms of the output and input, respectively. By expressing the transfer function in pole-residue form, we have

\[
H_c(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{s^2}{s^2 - \lambda_k^2} \{v_{ck} \}^T \{l_{ck} \}
\]  

(8)

where \( \{v_{ck}\} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1} \) is the \( k \)th modal vector; \( \{l_{ck}\} \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times 1} \) is the \( k \)th modal participation factor vector; \( \lambda_k \) is the system pole value of the \( k \)th mode. Upon the substitution of Eq. (8) in Eq. (6), we obtain

\[
S_y(s) = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{s^2}{s^2 - \lambda_k^2} \{v_{ck} \}^T \{l_{ck} \} \right\} R_u \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{(s^*)^2}{(s^*)^2 - \lambda_k^2} \{l_{ck} \} \right\} \{v_{ck} \}^T
\]  

(9)

It is seen from Eq. (9) that \( S_y(s) \) reaches its extreme when

\[
s = -\xi_k \omega_k + j \omega_k \sqrt{1 - \xi_k^2}
\]

In the case of weak damping, the value of \( S_y(s) \) mainly depends on the \( k \)th mode. By neglecting the effects from other modes, we have

\[
S_y(j\omega_k) = \frac{\{v_{ck} \}^T \{l_{ck} \} R_u \{v_{ck} \}^T}{(\xi_k \omega_k)^2} = \{v_{ck} \}^T \alpha_k \{v_{ck} \}^T
\]  

(10)
where the complex scalar quantity $\alpha_k$ is given by

$$\alpha_k = \frac{\{u_{ck}\}^T R_u \{u_{ck}\}}{(\tilde{z}_k \omega_k)^2}$$

Taking the singular value decomposition of $S_y(s)$ at $s = j\omega_k$ yields

$$S_y(j\omega_k) = U(j\omega_k) \Sigma(j\omega_k) [U^*(j\omega_k)]^T$$

where $U(j\omega_k) = [u_1 \, u_2 \, \cdots \, u_m]$ and $u_i$ is the column vector of $U(j\omega_k)$; $\Sigma(j\omega_k) = \text{diag}\{s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_m\}$ and $s_i$ is the singular value ordered in descending sort. The matrix $\Sigma(j\omega_k)$ is herein referred to as the complex mode indication function (CMIF).

It is known from Eqs. (10) and (12) that if the value of $S(j\omega)|_{\omega=\omega_k}$ is dominated by one mode, then only the first singular value $s_1$ out of $\{s_1, s_2, \cdots, s_m\}$ will reach its maximum. More generally, if the value of $S(j\omega)|_{\omega=\omega_k}$ is dominated by $i$ modes, there will be $i$ singular values which reach their local maxima when $\omega$ approaches $\omega_k$. By setting the remaining $(m-i)$ singular values as zero, the rank of the diagonal matrix $\Sigma(j\omega_k)$ will be equal to number of dominant modes at $\omega = \omega_k$, and consequently, the column vector $u_i$ corresponding to the nonzero singular value $s_i$ in $U(j\omega_k)$ will represent the modal shape of the $i$th mode. The modal frequencies and modal shapes are thus identified. Because the singular value decomposition process has resulted in the spectral density function for each decomposed single-degree-of-freedom system, the corresponding modal damping can be readily estimated.

The above algorithm has been encoded as a program by using the LabVIEW graphical programming language. With the aid of a visualization program, the automatic modal parameter identification is performed in Virtual Instrument (VI) style. Typical user interfaces of the developed software are shown below. Figure 1 illustrates the time history of response records and the response spectrum diagrams. Figure 2 shows the extracted complex mode indication functions and the identified modal shape.

![Figure 1: Time-domain response and power spectral density](image1.png)

![Figure 2: Illustration of CMIF and modal shape](image2.png)

### 3. APPLICATION TO TING KA U BRIDGE

The Ting Kau Bridge, as shown in Figure 3, is a three-tower cable-stayed bridge with two main spans of 448 m and 475 m respectively, and two side spans of 127 m each [16]. A sophisticated long-term monitoring system consisting of over 230 sensors has been installed on the bridge immediately after completion of its construction [1, 2]. The sensors include accelerometers, strain gauges, displacement transducers, anemometers, temperature sensors, weigh-in-motion sensors, and recently deployed global positioning system (GPS) [17]. 24 uni-axial accelerometers, 20 bi-axial accelerometers and 1 tri-axial accelerometer (totally 67 accelerometer channels) are permanently deployed at the deck of the two main spans and the two side spans, the longitudinal stabilizing cables, the top of the three towers, and the base of central tower to monitor seismic excitation and dynamic response of the bridge. One-year continuously acquired acceleration data from all these 67 channels, covering one full cycle of in-service/operating conditions, are used herein for modal parameter identification and environmental variability observation.
Table 1 gives the accelerometer installation information. Notation of the sensor locations (numbering of bridge cross-sections) refers to the references [1, 2]. The category ‘Cable-TK’ implies the sensors installed on the longitudinal stabilizing cables in the Ting Kau main span, and ‘Cable-TY’ indicates the sensors installed on the longitudinal stabilizing cables in the Tsing Yi main span. The indices ‘X’, ‘Y’ and ‘Z’ denote the longitudinal, lateral and vertical directions, respectively. The accelerometers on the cables were positioned in vertical and lateral directions. The accelerometers on the deck were also installed in vertical direction (at both deck edges) and lateral direction (at central girder). For the bridge towers, the accelerometers were mainly deployed in longitudinal (along the bridge axis) and lateral (sway) directions except for one accelerometer channel being oriented in vertical direction for seismic excitation measurement at the base of central tower. All signals from the 67 accelerometer channels were acquired with a sampling rate of 25.6 Hz through 24-hour continuous monitoring per day. One-year data have been used for this investigation and modal analysis was conducted using the developed software at one-hour intervals. Figures 4 and 5 show the identified CMIFs and mode shapes for a typical vertical mode and a typical torsional mode of the bridge. Only the vertical modal vectors of measurement points at two edges of the deck are plotted in the figures. They are obtained by using one-hour response records during 4:00 to 5:00 pm of 1 March 1999.

Table 1. Information of accelerometers installed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ch ID</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Ch ID</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Ch ID</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Ch ID</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Ch ID</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BGFC1Z</td>
<td>11718</td>
<td>BGKC1Z</td>
<td>11718</td>
<td>SGBE1Z</td>
<td>11429</td>
<td>SGBW2Y</td>
<td>11429</td>
<td>BGCT1X</td>
<td>11505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGFC1Y</td>
<td>11718</td>
<td>BGKC1Y</td>
<td>11718</td>
<td>SGBW1Z</td>
<td>11429</td>
<td>SGDW2Y</td>
<td>11580</td>
<td>BGCT1Y</td>
<td>11505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGFC2Z</td>
<td>11718</td>
<td>BGKC2Z</td>
<td>11718</td>
<td>SGDE1Z</td>
<td>11580</td>
<td>SGEW2Y</td>
<td>11588</td>
<td>BGHT1X</td>
<td>11953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGFC2Y</td>
<td>11718</td>
<td>BGKC2Y</td>
<td>11718</td>
<td>SGDW1Z</td>
<td>11580</td>
<td>SGGW2Y</td>
<td>11823</td>
<td>BGHT1Y</td>
<td>11953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGFC3Z</td>
<td>11718</td>
<td>BGKC3Z</td>
<td>11718</td>
<td>SGEE1Z</td>
<td>11688</td>
<td>SGJW2Y</td>
<td>12082</td>
<td>BGHT2X</td>
<td>11953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGFC3Y</td>
<td>11718</td>
<td>BGKC3Y</td>
<td>11718</td>
<td>SGEW1Z</td>
<td>11688</td>
<td>SGLW2Y</td>
<td>12217</td>
<td>BGHT2Y</td>
<td>11953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGFC4Z</td>
<td>11718</td>
<td>BGKC4Z</td>
<td>11718</td>
<td>SGEE1Z</td>
<td>11823</td>
<td>SGMW2Y</td>
<td>12352</td>
<td>TGHT1X</td>
<td>11953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGFC4Y</td>
<td>11718</td>
<td>BGKC4Y</td>
<td>11718</td>
<td>SGGW1Z</td>
<td>11823</td>
<td>SGGW2Y</td>
<td>12503</td>
<td>TGHT1Y</td>
<td>11953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGFC5Z</td>
<td>11718</td>
<td>BGKC5Z</td>
<td>11718</td>
<td>SGJE1Z</td>
<td>12082</td>
<td>TGHT1Z</td>
<td>11953</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGFC5Y</td>
<td>11718</td>
<td>BGKC5Y</td>
<td>11718</td>
<td>SGJW1Z</td>
<td>12082</td>
<td>BGMT1X</td>
<td>12428</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGFC6Z</td>
<td>11718</td>
<td>BGKC6Z</td>
<td>11718</td>
<td>SGLE1Z</td>
<td>12217</td>
<td>BGMT1Y</td>
<td>12428</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGFC6Y</td>
<td>11718</td>
<td>BGKC6Y</td>
<td>11718</td>
<td>SGLW1Z</td>
<td>12217</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGFC7Z</td>
<td>11718</td>
<td>BGKC7Z</td>
<td>11718</td>
<td>SGME1Z</td>
<td>12352</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGFC7Y</td>
<td>11718</td>
<td>BGKC7Y</td>
<td>11718</td>
<td>SGMW1Z</td>
<td>12352</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGFC8Z</td>
<td>11718</td>
<td>BGKC8Z</td>
<td>11718</td>
<td>SGOE1Z</td>
<td>12503</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BGFC8Y</td>
<td>11718</td>
<td>BGKC8Y</td>
<td>11718</td>
<td>SGOW1Z</td>
<td>12503</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figures 6 to 10 show the modal identification results for some low-frequency global modes. In each of the figures, the left upper graph shows the deck vertical modal components at western and eastern edges and the deck lateral modal components; the left lower graph plots the natural frequencies identified at different times for an identical mode; and the right graph illustrates the lateral (sway) motions of the cables, towers and deck. It is found that the first mode of the Ting Kau Bridge is a predominantly vertical mode with its natural frequency $f = 0.165$ Hz, which is less than the first modal frequency (0.199 Hz) of the world’s longest cable-stayed Tatara Bridge [18]. It implies that the Ting Kau Bridge is one of the most flexible cable-stayed bridges in the world. The bridge has the first predominantly torsional mode at the natural frequency $f = 0.228$ Hz and the first predominantly lateral mode at the natural frequency $f = 0.262$ Hz. Due to the extremely long longitudinal stabilizing cables (up to 465 m), slender monoleg towers and separated deck system, the bridge exhibits strong modal coupling and interaction. For example, the first predominantly torsional mode is coupled with lateral modal components as shown in Figure 7, and the first predominantly lateral mode incorporates torsional modal components as shown in Figure 8. Significant modal interaction between the deck, towers and cables is observed. In the first predominantly vertical mode, for instance, besides both the deck and central tower participating greatly in the modal motion, the longitudinal stabilizing cables vibrate with very large amplitude.
Figure 6: Measured modal vectors and natural frequencies of the 1st mode

Figure 7: Measured modal vectors and natural frequencies of the 2nd mode

Figure 8: Measured modal vectors and natural frequencies of the 3rd mode
4. ENVIRONMENTAL VARIABILITY OF MODAL VECTORS

Following the vibration-based structural health monitoring approach, damage in a structure is identified from changes in selected modal features extracted from the vibration measurements. However, most civil structures are directly exposed to the environment and are thus subjected to variations in temperature, humidity, wind, traffic, insolation as well as other influences. These varying environmental and operational conditions also cause changes in modal features which may mask subtler structural changes caused by damage. Environmental variability in modal parameters must be considered before reliable use of modal-based damage detection methods. A thorough understanding of this variability is necessary so that changes in modal features resulting from damage can be discriminated from changes resulting from such variability. Considerable research efforts have been devoted to investigating the variability of modal frequencies caused by in-service environmental and operational conditions [3-12], but no study addressing the environmental variability of modal vectors was reported. Because the modal vector information is necessary for locating structural damage, the environmental variability of modal vectors is observed in the present study.

Figures 11 to 15 show 100 samples of measured modal vectors corresponding to the modes shown in Figures 6 to 10. For clarity only the modal vectors of the measurement points at the deck are plotted. The 100 samples cover the measurements in February, March, July, August, October and December 1999. For each sample, one-hour acceleration data are used to identify a set of modal frequencies and modal vectors by means of the developed software.
Figure 11: Environmental variability of modal vectors for the 1st mode

Figure 12: Environmental variability of modal vectors for the 2nd mode

Figure 13: Environmental variability of modal vectors for the 3rd mode
It is observed from Figures 11 to 15 that the environmental variability has different levels for different modes. The modal vectors for the 1st and 5th modes exhibit very good stationarity for all the measurement points under varying environmental and operational conditions. It is therefore concluded that using modal information of these two modes will provide most reliable damage identification results. For the 2nd and 3rd modes, the modal vectors at some locations are found to be fairly stationary but the others exhibit noticeable non-stationarity. The environmental variability level of modal vectors for the 6th mode is considerably large. Because changes in modal parameters caused by structural damage are usually insignificant, such environmental variability level may be greater than the modal parameter variation caused by structural damage. Therefore, these measured modal parameters can be used for damage detection without false identification only after the non-stationarity of the modal parameters is quantified and the changes caused by environmental variations are discriminated from those caused by structural damage. In summary, an observation of environmental variability of modal vectors can select the most appropriate modes used in vibration-based damage identification and determine the sensor positions which are tolerant of environmental variability.

5. CONCLUSIONS

An automatic modal identification program employing the Complex Modal Indication Function (CMIF) algorithm has been developed for modal parameter extraction of the Ting Kau Bridge from continuously acquired ambient vibration response signals. The program accomplishes the data analysis in Virtual Instrument style. With one-year measurement
data from 45 accelerometers (a total of 67 channels) which are permanently installed on the bridge as part of a long-term monitoring system, modal parameters of the Ting Kau Bridge under a full cycle of in-service/operating conditions are identified and the variability of modal vectors due to environmental variations is observed. The modal analysis results show that the Ting Kau Bridge exhibits strong modal coupling with simultaneous modal components in the three dimensions and significant modal interaction among the deck, towers and cables. Observation on the environmental variability of modal vectors indicates that the variability level is different for different modes. Using modal information of the modes with small environmental variability can provide reliable structural damage identification. For the modes with large environmental variability, the non-stationarity of the modal parameters must be quantified before modal-based damage detection methods can be reliably applied to monitor real structures. A one-year full-cycle observation of the environmental variations can provide useful information on selecting appropriate modes for damage identification and determining sensor positions with high tolerance of environmental variability. Based on the statistical analysis of observed environmental variations, it is possible to discriminate the changes of modal parameters due to environmental factors from those caused by structural damage.
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