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ABSTRACT

A design of a wearable antenna for WLAN applications is presented in this paper. The antenna consists of a button shape outer cylindrical structure and an internal central via connected to a top disc at one end and the metal ground plane at the other. The overall size and shape achieved are those of a standard metal jeans button which camouflages the antenna for wearable applications. The rigidity of the structure is greater than for previous wearable button antenna developments. The proposed wearable antenna is designed for 2.4 GHz and 5 GHz with monopole type radiation patterns at each band. This allows for transmission to other worn devices on the body. An investigation into the measured permittivity of cotton denim is presented and a section on the equivalent circuit modelling of the antenna and the fabric is given.
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1.0 INTRODUCTION:

The rapid development of wireless communication standards, including Bluetooth, WIFI, UWB, and ZigBee in recent years has contributed to the convergence of wireless and wired networks. At the same time electronic systems are becoming increasingly compact, lightweight and mobile in nature.

In light of the above, much interest has been shown in wearable computing devices which are becoming a fast growing field in application-oriented research. For instance, the provision of a wide range of user information via a body worn sensor system has already been demonstrated in the WearNET system [1].

The rapid development of wearable computing systems is driving a need for suitable body antennas. Wearable antennas have been developed in the form of conducting microstrip patches of on textile fabric [2, 3]. These were linearly polarized and probe fed. Later, microstrip feed lines were used to create circular polarization as reported in [4] while providing a feed that was conformal to the body surface for comfort. Recently, the authors of this manuscript introduced a wearable button antenna [5-7] which consisted of a top loaded monopole mounted on a dielectric over a ground plane. The flexible textile fastener Velcro was used as the dielectric substrate.

In this paper we expand on the work presented in [8] which introduced a new design of a button antenna with significant enhancements to those reported in [5-7]. Additional to [8], this paper contains measured
radiation patterns for all 3 principal planes, considerably more theory for the permittivity measurement of the clothing fabric and an equivalent lumped element circuit for the antenna and its feed. The new antenna structure differs from those in [5-7] as it is dielectric filled which reduces the overall size. There is also a top loading element which is connected directly to the ground plane. With these changes, the overall size of the structure is reduced by 23% compared to [5-7] while maintaining the dual band operation and bandwidths of the original design. It should also be noted, that the objective here is to produce an antenna with a structure disguised as a button, so its final shape is predetermined to a certain extent and we are not seeking an ultra low profile design. A further benefit of the inclusion of a dielectric within the monopole is to increase its robustness which is an obvious concern for wearable technology. Additionally, the new structure may be suitable for riveting through a textile, using a similar process to a normal jeans button. The antenna is fed by a microstrip line mounted on Velcro and covers the 2.45 GHz, 5.25 GHz and 5.5 GHz bands necessary for Bluetooth and WLAN technologies. As the button antenna is to be directly mounted on clothing fabric, a study of the permittivity of cotton denim will be presented here. The antenna has been modelled as an equivalent circuit with lumped elements and the textile fabric has been accounted for. Results are given for the modelling work which have been derived from measurement.

This paper is organized as follows: section 2 introduces the new lower profile button antenna and discusses its design and operation. Section 3 contains measurements of the dielectric constants of the textiles that the antenna and the feed are likely to be mounted on, Section 4 presents an equivalent circuit of the antenna which is derived from measured scattering parameters. Finally, Section 5 concludes the paper.

2.0 REDUCED SIZE DUAL BAND BUTTON ANTENNA

Single and Dual band metal button antennas suitable for communication in the 2.4GHz and 5GHz bands were introduced in [5-7] where a methodical description of their operation is available. The principal dimensions of the new button geometry introduced here are shown in Fig. 1 and Table 1. The antenna is a small top loaded hollow cylindrical monopole of height $h_2$, with a capacitively coupled centre piece which connects to the ground plane. Note that this antenna is different to that reported in [5-7] in that the centre via pin connects the top disc to the ground plane. This makes the resulting structure physically strong and raises the possibility of riveting the antenna to clothing. The total height of the centre element is $h_1 + h_2 + h_3$. The space between the inner and outer conductors is filled with dielectric to reduce the size and improve rigidity. The antenna is fed by a microstrip line. The total visible height of the antenna is 7.5mm and the maximum diameter is 17mm. The antenna is placed on a Velcro® substrate of height $h_1$ with a flexible metal ground plane on the rear side of the material. Velcro was chosen due to its flexibility and superior
resistance to compression when compared to other clothing fabrics; however, any low permittivity textile material could be used with only a small retuning necessary.

All simulations presented in this paper were obtained from CST Microwave Studio.

It is not the subject of this paper to present a detailed design study of the antenna as details of a parametric study of a related structure were published in [6 & 7]. However, as reported in [8], to summarize the tuning procedure: the upper frequency band is primarily determined by the diameter of the lower disc, \( d_3 \), while the lower frequency is set by the upper disc diameter, \( d_4 \). The cylinder height, \( h_2 \), affects both bands. Secondary tuning is achieved by varying the cylinder diameters \( d_2 \) and \( d_5 \). Simulations were carried out initially with a Perfect Electric Conductor of 50mm \( \times \) 50mm below the antenna and then the presence of the human body was accounted for by including a three layer tissue representation, [9] beneath the ground plane. Minor retuning was necessary when the antenna was mounted on a human body. The simulated design was validated by \( S_{11} \) measurement with an Anritsu ME7808A vector network analyzer and radiation patterns were taken in a far field anechoic chamber.

The simulated and measured -10dB \( S_{11} \) values are shown in Fig.2 and Table 2 where the percentage fractional bandwidths are also given. All WLAN systems within the 2.4GHz and 5GHz ISM bands are

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antenna parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Electrical Length, ( \lambda ) (2.45GHz)</th>
<th>Electrical Length, ( \lambda ) (5.5GHz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( d_1 )</td>
<td>12.4 mm</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( d_2 )</td>
<td>7.0 mm</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( d_3 )</td>
<td>16.4 mm</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>0.271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( d_4 )</td>
<td>14.6 mm</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( d_5 )</td>
<td>2 mm</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h_1 )</td>
<td>1.8 mm</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h_2 )</td>
<td>7.4 mm</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( h_3 )</td>
<td>1.5 mm</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \varepsilon_{r1} )</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \varepsilon_{r2} )</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness of discs</td>
<td>0.7 mm</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed line width</td>
<td>7.0 mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed line ( Z_0 )</td>
<td>50Ω</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground plane</td>
<td>60 mm( \times )60mm</td>
<td>0.487(^2)</td>
<td>1.113(^2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 1: Dimensions of Dual Band Button**
matched. The radiation patterns were measured in an anechoic chamber and are given in Fig.3. The antenna was mounted on an adult male at waist height. Reasonably good omni-directionality is observed and gains of 2.65dBi at 2.45GHz, 4.6dBi at 5.25GHZ and 5.1dBi at 5.5GHz were measured. The z-axis (boresight) is normal to the user’s body which means that wireless connection may be made between the button antenna and other body worn devices.

3.0 MEASUREMENT OF PERMITTIVITY OF TEXTILES

In order to design wearable antennas, the properties of textiles at microwave frequencies must be determined. The first property to be determined is the dielectric constant. We have used a transmission method for the measurement and placed the material at the centre of a rectangular waveguide supported by expanded polystyrene foam. The scattering parameters of the structure with and without the textiles are compared to determine the dielectric constant and the loss tangent. The advantages of this method are:

- The material is supported by the foam and can be accurately placed in the waveguide.
- Since this is a comparison measurement, any mismatch or inaccuracies in the measurements are subtracted.
- The length of the material sample can be increased at will to obtain a bigger difference between the two measurements and thus increase the accuracy.
- Unlike a resonance method, the measurement can be performed over a wide band of frequencies between the cut-off frequencies of the TE_{10} and the TE_{01} modes.

The method has been used to determine the dielectric constants and loss tangents of Velcro and Denim. With reference to Fig. 4, in the transverse direction we have a waveguide with equivalent width of \lambda_g where \lambda_g is the wavelength of the propagating mode in the longitudinal direction. Thus \lambda_g represents the cut-off wavelength of the transverse guides.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Lower band</th>
<th>Upper band</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>frequency (MHz)</td>
<td>fractional bandwidth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulated on air</td>
<td>2415-2515</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured on air</td>
<td>2350-2515</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulated on body</td>
<td>2340-2520</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measured on body</td>
<td>2300-2510</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 2: Measured and simulated S_{11} bandwidths of the Button Antenna
At the interface in the transverse direction we have
\[
Y_1 = -jY_{01} \cot \left( \frac{\beta_1 (d - a)}{2} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad Y_2 = jY_{02} \tan \left( \frac{\beta_2 d}{2} \right) \quad (1)
\]

\[
\beta_1 = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda_1} \sqrt{1 - \left( \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_g} \right)^2} ,
\]

\[
\beta_2 = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda_2} \sqrt{1 - \left( \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_g} \right)^2} ,
\]

\[
\lambda_1 = \lambda_0 / \sqrt{\varepsilon_1} , \quad \lambda_2 = \lambda_0 / \sqrt{\varepsilon_2} \quad (2)
\]

and \( \lambda_g \) is the cut-off wavelength of the guide with the dielectric.

The characteristic admittances \( Y_{01} \) and \( Y_{02} \) are given by
\[
Y_{01} = \frac{1}{\eta_1} \sqrt{1 - \left( \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_g} \right)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad Y_{02} = \frac{1}{\eta_2} \sqrt{1 - \left( \frac{\lambda_{21}}{\lambda_g} \right)^2} \quad (3)
\]

where \( \eta_1 = \sqrt{\mu_0 / \varepsilon_1} \) and \( \eta_2 = \sqrt{\mu_0 / \varepsilon_2} \).

For propagation to be sustained, resonance must be achieved and we have \( Y_1 + Y_2 = 0 \) and substituting (2) and (3) we get
\[
\sqrt{k^2 \varepsilon_r - \beta^2} \tan(d \sqrt{k^2 \varepsilon_r - \beta^2 / 2}) = \frac{\sqrt{k^2 - \beta^2} \cot((a - d) \sqrt{k^2 - \beta^2 / 2})}{(a - d) \sqrt{k^2 - \beta^2 / 2}} \quad (4)
\]

where \( k \) is the propagation constant in medium 1, \( \beta = 2\pi / \lambda_g \) is the propagation constant in the guide with the dielectric and \( \varepsilon_r = \varepsilon_2 / \varepsilon_1 \). If either or both dielectric media are lossy, then \( \varepsilon_1 \) and/or \( \varepsilon_2 \) are complex and \( \beta \) in (4) is replaced by \( \gamma = \alpha + j\beta \).

For the measurement procedure: the sample is inserted in a C-band waveguide and the transmission parameters \( S_{21} \) with and without the sample are measured in amplitude and phase. The measured phase of \( S_{21} \) with and without the sample are \( \phi_1 \) and \( \phi_2 \) respectively, where \( \phi_1 > \phi_2 \) due to the dielectric. The measured phase also includes phase shift due to the length occupied by the sample, any extra length of waveguide, the waveguide to coaxial transitions and the cables connecting to the network analyser. If the
same length of waveguide and all the other components are used with and without the sample, then the
difference between the two phase shifts will give us the extra phase due to the sample.
Thus $\phi_1 = \theta_1 + \theta_e$ and $\phi_2 = \theta_2 + \theta_e$ where $\theta_e$ is the extra phase shift due the measurement components,
$\theta_1$ is the phase shift due to the dielectric sample and $\theta_2$ is the phase shift of the length of waveguide where
the sample was placed but after it has been removed. The angles $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$ are related to the guided
wavelengths by $\theta_1 = 2\pi l / \lambda_g$ and $\theta_2 = 2\pi l / \lambda_{g0}$ where $\lambda_g$ and $\lambda_{g0}$ are the guide wavelengths with and
without the sample.

From measurement of the difference $\Delta\phi$ between the phase angles $\phi_1$ and $\phi_2$ we calculate $\lambda_g$ from

$$\lambda_g = \frac{\lambda_{g0}}{1 - \Delta\phi \lambda_{g0} / 2\pi l} \quad (5)$$

The attenuation constant is calculated from the ratio of the amplitude of $S_{21}$ with and without the sample.
When both $\lambda_g$ and $\alpha$ are known, equation (4) is used to calculate $\varepsilon_r$.

Fig. 5 and Table 3 give the results for Velcro and dry denim. The small fluctuation for the permittivity of
denim at 4GHz is due to residual inaccuracies in the measurement.

4.0 EFFECT OF INCLUDING THE TExLIE MATERIALS

Next we study the effect of including the textile material parameters as measured in Section 3.0. Fig. 6
shows a comparison of the amplitude of $S_{11}$ when Denim and Velcro are included in the simulation. It is
obvious that accurate measurements of the dielectric properties of the textiles are needed and should be
included in designing and optimising the antenna. The denim material decreased the resonant frequency of
the lower band compared to Velcro by 4% and the higher band by 8%.

5.0 EQUIVALENT CIRCUIT FOR BUTTON ANTENNA
An equivalent circuit for the antenna structure is valuable when designing antennas and optimizing their performance. From the equivalent circuit the radiation resistance can be identified and the power response of the antenna can be plotted. The equivalent circuit is derived by inspecting the physical electromagnetic structure and deriving a suitable topology. The values of the circuit elements are then determined by an optimization procedure to fit the measured $S_{11}$ to that obtained from the model.

The first step in deriving the equivalent circuit is show in Fig. 7. Circuit elements representing the two parts of the structure and the coupling between them form the starting point. Next a fuller circuit is constructed with resistors added to simulate any loss in the elements and also the radiation resistance of the antenna. Transmission lines were also added since the antenna is essentially a distributed structure and a circuit consisting of only lumped elements will not be accurate enough. The full circuit is shown in Fig. 8. The next step is to run the optimisation procedure to determine the values of the elements. The results of the optimisation gave very small or negligible values for some of the elements and these were eliminated ad the final circuit is shown in Fig. 9.

The resonant circuit represents the outer radiating structure and the transmission line TL2 represents the inner non-radiating structure. The radiation resistance is represented by the 928.9$\Omega$ termination, Term2. This of course is not the resistance seen by the input terminals, as the equivalent circuit transforms this resistance to 50$\Omega$ at the two transmission frequencies. However, the power in this resistance is the transmitted power from the antenna. The microstrip feed line is represented by TL3. The circuit simulator used does not represent radiation from microstrip so a 5$\Omega$ resistor R2 was added for that purpose.

The accuracy of the modelling shown in Fig.10 for both amplitude and phase is very high although it appears in Fig.10b that there is some difference in the phase of the model and measurement. This difference is only due to presentation and if the two phases are unwrapped then the results are very close. Note the difference in the S11 curves between Figs.10a and 7 is caused by the variability of using live test subjects to mount the antennas on.

From the model, the radiated power can be plotted. Figs.11a and b show the power radiated by the radiation resistance and by the microstrip feed respectively for an available input power of 1mW. From Fig.11 it can be determined that the feed radiates out of band, but at 2.4GHz it contributes just 11% of the total radiated power. In the 5GHz band, the maximum feed contribution to radiated power is 15%. A rigorous proof of the results has not been carried out; however the results so far are consistent with measurements and expected performance. An improvement in the microstrip feed matching could increase the total antenna efficiency further.
6.0 CONCLUSION

A dielectric filled dual-band antenna with the overall dimensions of a standard jeans button. The antenna is an improvement of an original design presented in [5] as it has reduced overall size and higher durability. The structure is a dielectric filled cylindrical top loaded monopole which is capacitively coupled to a top disc which in turn is connected to the ground plane by a via pin coaxial to the outer monopole. The button antenna is resonant at both the 2.4GHz and 5.2-5.5GHz WLAN bands. A technique for measuring the permittivity of the textile substrate for frequencies spanning a waveguide band is presented and measured results for Denim and Velcro are given. An equivalent circuit representation of the antenna with lumped elements representing physical capacitances, inductances and losses in the structure was presented. Study of the model allows the radiated power of the antenna to be predicted as a function of frequency and gives insight into the radiation loss from the feeding microstrip line. Good omni-directional patterns are measured with the antenna on a human body and the gain was between 2.65-5.1 dBi within in the matched frequency bands. Finally, this antenna represents an improvement over previous button antenna designs in that the grounded via means the button antenna can be riveted through fabric as a normal jeans button would be.
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