

INTERNAL CHARACTERISTICS OF PHOBOS AND DEIMOS FROM SPECTRAL PROPERTIES AND DENSITY: RELATIONSHIP TO LANDFORMS AND COMPARISON WITH ASTEROIDS. S.L. Murchie¹, A.A. Fraeman², R.E. Arvidson², A.S. Rivkin¹, R.V. Morris³. ¹JHU/Applied Physics Laboratory, Laurel, MD 20723 (scott.murchie@jhuapl.edu); ²Washington University, St Louis, MO 63130; ³NASA/JSC, Houston, TX.

Introduction: Compositional interpretations of new spectral measurements of Phobos and Deimos from Mars Express/OMEGA and MRO/CRISM and density measurements from encounters by multiple spacecraft support refined estimates of the moons' porosity and internal structure. Phobos' estimated macroporosity of 12-20% is consistent with a fractured but coherent interior; Deimos' estimated macroporosity of 23-44% is more consistent with a loosely consolidated interior. These internal differences are reflected in differences in surface morphology: Phobos exhibits a globally coherent pattern of grooves, whereas Deimos has a surface dominated instead by fragmental debris. Comparison with other asteroids ≤ 110 km in diameter shows that this correspondence between landforms and inferred internal structure is part of a pervasive pattern: asteroids interpreted to have coherent interiors exhibit pervasive, organized ridge or groove systems, whereas loosely consolidated asteroids have landforms dominated by fragmental debris and/or retain craters >1.3 body radii in diameter suggesting a porous, compressible interior.

Background: Models of asteroid internal structure include gradation from unaltered, through increasing degrees of fracturing, to a "rubble pile" structure [1]. As the degree of fracturing increases, internal mechanical properties are posited to change from "coherent" to "fractured but coherent", both with sufficient mechanical continuity to support globally continuous structural patterns, through a "transitional" category possibly with structural coherence regionally within large clasts, to "loosely consolidated" with a high degree of porosity that disrupts mechanical continuity [2,3]. Porosity of a small body can be estimated by assuming a composition based on remote spectral or *in situ* measurements, by assuming density characteristics typical of meteorites of that composition measured in the laboratory, and finally by comparing meteorite density with average density derived from ground-based or spacecraft measurements [2,4]. Porosity can be divided into two types: "macroporosity" at decimeter scale and larger due to fractures and void spaces between clasts, and "microporosity" due to intergranular spaces [4]. Whereas macroporosity is thought to control internal mechanical continuity, both types of porosity provide compressibility to absorb shock due to large impacts [e.g. 5,6]. Microporosity is determined in the laboratory from the difference between meteorite bulk density and "grain density" of the solid fraction [4].

Type Example Asteroids: Porosity estimates for 433 Eros and 25143 Itokawa are least uncertain because of knowledge acquired during the NEAR and Hayabusa missions. Both have near-infrared spectra that match LL ordinary chondrites [7,8], and for Itokawa this interpretation is confirmed by returned samples [9]. Mass and volume estimates from the spacecraft rendezvous provide densities with uncertainties of 1-7% [10,11]. These data yield estimated macroporosity for Eros of 10-24%, in the category "fractured but coherent", and for Itokawa of 32-49%, in the category "loosely consolidated" (Table 1). Surface morphology is strikingly consistent with inferred internal structure: Eros exhibits parallel ridges, troughs, and grooves that suggest mechanical continuity through much of its interior [3,12], whereas Itokawa has a surface dominated by large regolith clasts consistent with a rubble pile structure.

Phobos and Deimos: New results from MRO and Mars Express allow improved estimates of these bodies' internal structures. Mass determinations [13,14] and an updated volume estimate for Phobos from Mars Express [15], plus a previous volume estimates for Deimos [16], yield density estimates of 1876 ± 20 and 1490 ± 190 kg m⁻³ for Phobos and Deimos respectively. The highest-resolution information on composition comes from hyperspectral mapping by MRO/CRISM, and disk-resolved measurements from Mars Express/OMEGA at multiple phase angles from 38°-99° that support a photometric model to correct measurements from both instruments to a laboratory geometry ($i=30^\circ$, $e=0^\circ$, $\alpha=30^\circ$) like that at which meteorite analogs are measured [17]. Both moons' reflectances are a factor of ~ 2 darker than the most highly space-weathered lunar soils, analogs for a basaltic composition that has been proposed for the moons [e.g. 18]. Both moons' spectra closely match desiccated CM carbonaceous chondrites [17], and exhibit a broad mineral absorption near 0.65 μm consistent with that observed in C- and D-type asteroids. The most plausible phases responsible for this feature (Fe-phyllsilicates, graphite) are also typical of CM chondrites [19], which have an analogous feature near 0.7 μm .

Assuming a CM composition, Phobos's estimated macroporosity is 12-20%, consistent with a "fractured but coherent" interior, and Deimos' is 23-44% (Table 1), consistent with a "loosely consolidated" interior. As with Eros and Itokawa, these structures are reflected in surface morphology. Phobos exhibits a globally coherent

ent pattern of grooves generally thought to result from deep fractures, requiring a mechanically continuous interior [3,20] (Fig. 1). In contrast, Deimos' surface lacks grooves and is dominated by mass wasting features formed in fragmental debris. Deimos' having survived formation of its south polar crater ~ 1.7 body radii in diameter (Fig. 1) [21] is consistent with a compressible interior having high total porosity. Alternatively, that crater may have created high macroporosity.

Comparison with Other Asteroids: The correspondence between landforms and inferred internal structure on Eros, Itokawa, Phobos and Deimos extends to other asteroids for which there is well-constrained density and coverage by spacecraft images. 253 Mathilde [22] and 243 Ida [23] have porosities in the "transitional" range and exhibit ridges tens of km in length that suggest a degree of structural continuity. Ida also exhibits grooves regionally. 21 Lutetia has low porosity and widespread, regionally parallel grooves [24]. From these observations, we hypothesize that regionally to globally organized ridges and grooves are a manifestation of mechanical continuity in small body interiors, whereas fragmental surfaces and (on large enough bodies) craters much larger than a body radius indicate a loosely consolidated interior. This may be tested during future encounters with small asteroids.

References: [1] Wilkison, S. et al. (2002) *Icarus*, 155, 94-103. [2] Britt, D. et al. (2003) in *Asteroids III*, W. Bottke et al., eds, Univ. of Arizona, pp. 485-499. [3]

Thomas, P. and L. Prockter (2010) in *Planetary Tectonics*, T. Watters and R. Schultz., eds, Cambridge Univ., pp. 233-263. [4] Consolmagno, G. et al. (2008) *Chemie du Erd*, 68, 1-29. [5] Veverka, J. et al. (1999) *Icarus*, 140, 3-16. [6] Housen, K. and K. Holsapple (2003) *Icarus*, 163, 102-119. [7] Izenberg, N et al. (2003) *Meteor. Planet. Sci.*, 38, 1053-1077. [8] Abe, M. et al. (2006) *Science*, 312, 1334-1338. [9] Nakamura, T. et al. (2011) *Science*, 333, 1113-1116. [10] Yeomans, D. et al. (2000) *Science*, 289, 2085-2088. [11] Fujiwara, A. et al. (2006) *Science*, 312, 1330-1334. [12] Buczkowski, D. et al. (2008) *Icarus*, 193, 39-52. [13] Andert, T. et al. (2011) *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 37, L09202. [14] Jacobson, R. (2010) *Astron. J.*, 139, 668-678. [15] Willner, K. et al. (2010) *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.*, 294, 541-546. [16] Thomas, P. (1993) *Icarus*, 105, 326-344. [17] Fraeman, A. et al. (2012) *J. Geophys. Res.*, 117, doi: 10.1029/2012JE004137. [18] Giuranna, M. et al. (2011) *Planet. Space Sci.*, 59, 1308-1325. [19] Fraeman, A. et al., this volume. [20] Thomas, P. et al. (1979) *J. Geophys. Res.*, 84, 8457-8477. [21] Thomas, P. et al. (1996) *Icarus*, 123, 536-556. [22] Thomas, P. et al. (1999) *Icarus*, 140, 17-27. [23] Sullivan, R. et al. (1996) *Icarus*, 120, 119-139. [24] Thomas, N. et al., *Planet. Space Sci.*, 66, 96-124. [25] Barucci, M. et al. (2012) *Planet. Space Sci.*, 66, 23-30. [26] Sierka, H. et al. (2011) *Science*, 334, 487-490. [27] Belton, M. et al., (1995) *Nature*, 374, 785-788. [28] Granahan, J. (2002) *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107, doi:10.1029/2001JE001759.




Fig. 1. Viking Orbiter images showing (a) Phobos' well-organized pattern of grooves [20] and (b) Deimos' surface dominated by bright streaks due to mass wasting, with a large depression in the south polar region that is thought to be a large impact crater [21].

Body	R _m , km	Assumed composition	Est. macroporosity	Est. total porosity	Coherent ridge/groove patterns?	Craters >1.3 R _m ?	Fragment-dominated surface?	Refs.
<i>Coherent to fractured but coherent</i>								
Lutetia	49	E	≤15%	≤17%	Y	N	N	25,26
Phobos	11.1	CM	17±4%	35±3%	Y	N	N	13,17,18
Eros	7.3	LL	17±7%	24±4%	Y	N	N	7,10
<i>Fractured but coherent to transitional</i>								
Mathilde	26.5	CI	18±14%	55±9%	insufficient resolution	Y	insufficient resolution	5,22
Ida	15.7	LL	20±20%	26±16%	Y (only regionally)	N	N	27,28
<i>Loosely consolidated</i>								
Deimos	6.2	CM	34±11%	48±8%	N	Y	Y	14,16-18
Itokawa	0.18	LL	41±8%	46±6%	N	N	Y	8,9,11

Table 1. Sizes, assumed compositions, estimated porosities calculated using meteorite densities reported by [4], and morphology of asteroids <110 km in diameter having well-determined densities and coverage by spacecraft images. R_m=mean radius.