AE23B-0326

The Kinematic and Microphysical Control of Storm Integrated Lightning Flash Extent

Lawrence D. Carey[1], William J. Koshak[2], Harold S. Peterson[3], Elise V. Schultz[1], Retha Matthee[1], Christopher J. Schultz[1,2], Walter A. Petersen[4], Lamont Bain[1]

[1] University of Alabama in Huntsville, Department of Atmospheric Science, Huntsville, AL
[2] Earth Science Office, NASA, Marshall Space Flight Center (MSFC), Huntsville, AL
[3] Universities Space Research Association (USRA), Huntsville, AL
[4] NASA GSFC/Wallops Flight Facility, Wallops Island, VA

Objective

To investigate the kinematic and microphysical control of lightning properties, particularly those that may govern the production of nitrogen oxides (NO_x) in thunderstorms, such as flash rate, type (intracloud [IC] vs. cloud-to-ground [CG]) and extent.

Data and Methodology

- NASA MSFC Lightning Nitrogen Oxides Model (LNOM) is applied to North Alabama Lightning Mapping Array (NALMA) and Vaisala National Lightning Detection Network™ (NLDN) observations following ordinary convective cells through their lifecycle.
- LNOM provides estimates of flash type, channel length distributions, lightning segment altitude distributions (SADs) and lightning NO_x production profiles (Koshak et al. 2012).



- LNOM lightning characteristics are compared to the evolution of updraft and precipitation properties inferred from dual-Doppler (DD) and polarimetric radar analyses of UAHuntsville Advanced Radar for Meteorological and Operational Research (ARMOR, C-band, polarimetric) and KHTX (S-band, Doppler).



Radars:
Multi-Doppler (30°)
ARMOR: 100 km
KHTX/Hytop: 100 km

NALMA:
NALMA sensors,
150, 250 km range rings
NA-LMA Altitude errors (m)

Center location of LNOM analysis cylinders shown at 1602, 1633, and 1657 UTC. Note storm on edge of DD lobes at 1602 UTC.

3 April 2007: Ordinary Convective Cell

- LNOM is applied in a Lagrangian sense (i.e., storm following) to well isolated thunderstorm cell on 3 April 2007 over Northern Alabama. Pulse severe (1" hail) at 1637 UTC.

LNOM Analysis Cylinders (LAC's), ARMOR Reflectivity (4 km), NALMA Flash Origins

ZOOM



Time Evolution of Flash Properties

All Flashes

Note decrease in flash extent from 1616 to 1627 UTC even as flash rate remains elevated.



Cloud Flashes

Cloud flashes dominate rate and extent of all flashes. Flash rate controlled, although extent per flash is factor at times.



Ground Flashes

Peak in CG flash rate and extent at 1610-1616 UTC associated with descent of precipitation volume. Muted CG peak at 1634-1642 with hail descent.



5-Panel LMA: 1600-1700 UTC | 5-Panel LMA: 1609-1635 UTC



Note variation in vertical extent of flashes over time (≈ 1615 to 1630 UTC). Note likely artifact around 1645.

Select Individual Flash and Radar Structure



Time-Height Cross Sections



Storm Integrated SAD and NO_x over 1-Hour Lifecycle

SAD

Cloud flashes dominate SAD aloft (>6 km) because of greater cloud flash numbers and differences in vertical extent by type.



NO_x production

Ground flashes dominate NO_x production < 6 km height. For 6-8 km, comparable because NO_x per CG flash >> NO_x per IC flash compensates for CG SAD << IC SAD. Cloud flash NO_x production dominates > 8 km.



Summary and Discussion

- LNOM successfully run in Lagrangian mode fo isolated, ordinary (pulse severe) thunderstorm
  - Vertical SAD and NO_x production similar to long term
- Like flash rate, flash extent is generally correla with production of precipitation ice and updraf < -5°C (when measured well by Doppler networ
  - Descent of precipitation ice mass (graupel and small h associated with peak in CG rate and extent (1610-1616
  - Similar descent of hail core associated with lower CG rates and extent, especially at low levels (1634-1642 U
- Updraft volume, precipitation type and process (coalescence-freezing) at T < -5°C modulated fl (and charging) vertical extent.
  - Lofting of supercooled drops to -10°C and colder com even when > 5 m s^{-1} updrafts less widespread (e.g., 16 UTC). Z_{DR} columns were typically lightning minimums
  - Large reflectivity gradient at heights above -10°C (limi vertical extent of precip. ice) resulted in narrow (yet ac charging and lightning zones at later times (e.g., 1627
  - 1" hail reported at 1637 UTC with relatively suppresse activity. Efficient wet growth of frozen drops?