National Aeronautics and Space Administration



LDCM Operational Land Imager and continuity missior Thermal Infrared Sensor Performance **Brian Markham** NASA Cal/Val Scientist James R. Irons, Philip W. Dabney, Kurtis J. Thome++ Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD **Representing NASA/USGS/BATC Instrument and Calibration Teams** August 23, 2011 SPIE **Earth Observing** Systems XVI NASA GSFC / USGS EROS www.nasa.gov www.usgs.gov

Cal/Val Personnel

►NASA SCIENCE/IMAGE ASSESSMENT

- Brian Markham (Lead)
 - ➢Ed Kaita /SSAI
 - ➢Raviv Levy /SSAI
 - ➢Julia Barsi /SSAI
 - Lawrence Ong /SSAI
 - Robert Barnes /SAIC
 - >Matt Montanaro /Sigma Space
- Phil Dabney (Instrument Scientist)
- Jeff Pedelty (BATC on-site rep)

>USGS SCIENCE/IMAGE ASSESSMENT

- Ron Hayes/SGT (Lead) _
- Ron Morfitt /SGT (Technical Lead) ➢Esad Micijevic /SGT
 - Pat Scaramuzza /SGT
 - Kelly Vanderwerff /SGT
- James Storey /SGT (Geometry Lead) Mike Choate /SGT ➢Don Moe/SGT

➢BATC OLI CALIBRATION/SYSTEMS

- Ed Knight (Lead Systems)
- Brent Canova (Lead)
 - ≻Geir Kvaran
 - Kenton Lee
 - ➢ Eric Donley
 - Brian Donley

➢GSFC TIRS CALIBRATION

- Dennis Reuter (instrument scientist)
- Kurtis Thome (Lead)
 - ➢Brian Wenny
 - Allan Lunsford
 - Matt Montanaro
 - ➤Tesfaye Zelalem
 - ➢Ramsey Smith

UNIVERSITY AFFILIATES

- Dennis Helder (SDSU)
- John Schott (RIT)
 - Orlando/Nina Raqueno
 - Mike Gartley
 - Aaron Gerace

Landsat and LDCM Spectral and Spatial Requirements

	Landsat-5/7 TM/ETM+ Bands (µm)			LDCM Band Requirements (µm)			
ETM+				30 m Coastal/Aerosol	0.433 - 0.453	Band 1	
	Band 1	30 m Blue	0.450 - 0.515	30 m Blue	0.450 - 0.515	Band 2	
	Band 2	30 m Green	0.525 - 0.605	30 m Green	0.525 - 0.600	Band 3	OLI
	Band 3	30 m Red	0.630 - 0.690	30 m Red	0.630 - 0.680	Band 4	
	Band 4	30 m Near-IR	0.775 - 0.900	30 m Near-IR	0.845 - 0.885	Band 5	
	Band 5	30 m SWIR-1	1.550 - 1.750	30 m SWIR-1	1.560 - 1.660	Band 6	
	Band 6	60/120m* LWIR	10.40 - 12.50	120 m LWIR-1	10.30 - 11.30	Band 10	TIDO
				120 m LWIR-2	11.50 - 12.50	Band 11	IIRS
	Band 7	30 m SWIR-2	2.090 - 2.350	30 m SWIR-2	2.100 - 2.300	Band 7	
	Band 8**	15 m Pan	0.520 - 0.900	15 m Pan	0.500 - 0.680	Band 8	OLI
				30 m Cirrus	1.360 - 1.390	Band 9	

Operational Land Imager (OLI)

Key instrument requirements

 Cross-track FOV
 S/C altitude
 To5 km
 Geodetic accuracy*
 Absolute
 65 m
 Relative
 25 m
 Geometric accuracy**
 Absolute
 12 m

Band Name	CW (nm)	Bandwidth (nm)	GSD (m)	SNR
Coastal/ Aerosol	443	20	30	130
Blue	482	65	30	130
Green	562	75	30	100
Red	655	50	30	90
NIR	865	40	30	90
SWIR 1	1610	100	30	100
SWIR 2	2200	200	30	100
PAN	590	180	15	80
Cirrus	1375	30	30	50



SPIE Earth Observing Systems XVI NASA GSFC / USGS EROS

*No terrain compensation **w/ terrain compensation

Visible/NIR

Instrument Complete

SWIR

4

Operational Land Imager (OLI)

- Pushbroom VIS/SWIR sensor
- Four-mirror telescope with front aperture stop
- FPA consisting of 14 sensor chip assemblies, passively cooled
- On-board calibration with both lamps and full aperture diffusers





OLI Spectral and Polarization Performance

Spectral Performance

 Measured at instrument level for sampling of detectors from each focal plane module

>Meets all requirements

 Out-of-Band Response measured at focal plane module level for all detectors

➢ typically below 10^{−4}

- Polarization Sensitivity
 - Measured at instrument level
 - Below 2%



OLI Radiometric Performance

≻SNR

- SNR significantly exceeds requirements and heritage
- Calibration
 - Absolute uncertainty ~4%
 - Extensive round robin for validation
 - Transfer-to-Orbit uncertainties included
 - Stability over 60 seconds (2 standard scenes)
 - ≻<0.02% 2σ
 - Stability over 16 days (time between Solar Diffuser Cals)
 - ><0.54% 2₅ for all but Cirrus Band which is <1.19%</p>

>Uniformity

- Typically better than 0.5%
 - A few detectors and FPM boundaries may exceed this



OLI Spatial Performance

- Spatial Performance
 - Want sharp edges for change detection
 - Measured spatial response has:
 - Steep slope (exceeding reqts)
 - Low extended edge (good half edge extent)
 - ➢No ripple/overshoot
- Geolocation
 - Want good pointing knowledge, again for change detection
 - Performance depends on both instrument and spacecraft; final measurements made during initial on-orbit checkout
 - Pre-launch instrument measurements mapped line of sight of all detectors to reference pixel/boresight to ~1/10th of a pixel
 - On target to have absolute geometric accuracy of <1/2 pixel



OLI Stray Light



- Meets requirements
- Consistent with modeled performance



Stray Light Ninjas

Thermal Infrared Sensor (TIRS)

- •Quantum well infrared photodetector (QWIP) focal plane array (built at GSFC), at 43K
- •2-Channel IR spectral imager
 - •10.8 μm and 12 μm
 - •Split window atmospheric correction
- •Two full aperture calibration sources
 - Onboard blackbody
 - Space view
- Calibration every 34 minutes
 Scene select mirror selects between calibration sources, nadir
- •185 km ground swath (15° FOV)
- •100 meter resolution
- •TIRS delivery December 2011
- •3.25 year life, Class C instrument
- •TVAC testing (full instrument) started



TIRS Overview



TIRS Relative Spectral Response Average: based on component level measurements



TIRS Relative Spectral Responses All Detectors – based on component measurements



Relative Response of All Detectors in a Science Row



Spectral Uniformity Impact 300K Surface Target : 12.0 µm band



TIRS Calibration Images





TIRS Preliminary Radiometric Performance



Radiometric Responsivity Variation with 12.0 µm Band

Radiance vs. Linearized, Bkgd-subtracted DN for IRSM temperatures of: [200 K, 220 K, 240 K, 260 K, 290 K, 310 K, 330 K, 360 K]



Summary

≻OLI

- Instrument complete currently investigating heater controller anomaly
- SNR performance substantially exceeds requirements
- Absolute calibration meets requirements
- Relative (detector to detector) calibration meets requirements with possible exception of a few FPM boundaries and a few detectors
- Spatial response meets requirements

SPIE Earth Observing Systems XVI NASA GSFC / USGS EROS

≻TIRS

- Instrument now in primary thermal vacuum performance testing
- SNR performance expected to substantially exceed requirements
- Absolute calibration expected to exceed requirements
- Relative (detector-to-detector) calibration expected to meet requirements