Aerodynamics and Debris Transport for the Space Shuttle Launch Vehicle

Stuart Rogers

Applied Modeling and Simulation Branch NASA Advanced Supercomputing Division NASA Ames Research Center



UTIAS I.I. Glass Memorial Lecture May 2012



Acknowledgements

The accomplishments described herein is the work of many talented people, including:

NASA Ames Engineers: Michael Aftosmis Scott Murman William Chan Robert Meakin Edward Tejnil

NASA JSC Engineers: Reynaldo Gomez Darby Vicker Phil Stuart Jim Greathouse

NAS Supercomputing Facility Ames wind-tunnels and ballistic range





NASA Advanced Supercomputer Facility

Provides massive computing power to all of NASA Pleiades: 112,896 cores 7th biggest computer in the world (Nov 2011) Columbia: 4608 cores Formerly 2nd biggest computer in the world Over 1.3 Tflops total compute capability





Timeline of NAS, Ames CFD, and Space Shuttle Applications





STS-107: Loss of Columbia

- Columbia and crew were lost on Feb 1st, 2003
- CAIB testing showed how a 1.7 lbm piece of foam traveling over 770 ft/sec could damage RCC wing leading edge
- Simulations performed at Ames were integral to the accident investigation and subsequent return-toflight efforts





Support for STS-107 Accident Investigation



 Significant improvements to fidelity of Overflow CFD model of SSLV

 Steady-state simulations of many points along trajectory of STS-107

"The aerodynamic loads on the bipod ramps as calculated by the CFD results were well within the design certification limits, and were a small fraction of the design limits at the debris-release conditions at MET=81.7 seconds"

Time-accurate 6-DOF simulations of SSLV and bipod-ramp foam debris using Cart3D



Geometry Details







Bipod Ramp

Control Surface and nozzle deflections

Cart3D 6-DOF Simulations, Mach=2.46







Return To Flight

Overflow solutions of ascent Analyze aero loads on External Tank design changes Provide CFD flow-fields for debris analysis Correlation of 3% Wind-Tunnel tests Debris Transport Analysis Develop next generation of debris analysis software Develop aerodynamic models for debris

CFD Analysis of SSLV Ascent



- Over 400 Overflow solutions run for Return-to-Flight
- New grids generated for each ascent condition
 - 2 hours on 32 Itanium-2 CPUs
 - 30 to 50 million grid points each
- Average of ~1000 Itanium-2 CPU hrs / solution
 - ~20 hours of wallclock time running on 64 Itanium-2 CPUs
 - Never converges to a steady-state: aft end of ET, attachment hardware, plumes, etc
 - Typically run for ~10,000 iterations



IA-700 Wind Tunnel Tests ARC 9x7 Unitary, AEDC 16T



Bi-pod Ramp Removal



Courd System (6,000)



RTF Solutions Addition of Ice/Frost Ramps

Mach = 1.55Alpha = -3.5 degBeta = -0.4 degMET = 61 secAlt = 39,600 ft

Cp 1.50 1.25 1.00 0.75 0.50 0.25

Wind Tunnel Test Comparisons - External Tank - Phi = 203.75°

CFD - SA conditions: $M_{m} = 1.550$, $\alpha = 0.00^{\circ}$, $\beta = 0.00^{\circ}$, Reynolds # = 2.50 x10⁶/ft, IB elevan = 10.00°, OB elevan = -2.00°

IA700A PSP conditions: $M_{m} = 1.550$, $\alpha = 0.00^{\circ}$, $\beta = 0.00^{\circ}$, Reynolds # = 2.50 x10⁶/t, IB elevon = 10.00^{\circ}, OB elevon = -2.00°

IA700B PSP conditions: $M_{\perp} = 1.550$, $\alpha = 0.00^{\circ}$, $\beta = 0.00^{\circ}$, Reynolds # = 2.50 x10⁶/ft, IB elevon = 10.00^{\circ}, OB elevon = -2.00°

IA700A conditions: M_m = 1.550, α = 0.03°, β = 0.00°, Reynolds # = 2.50 x10⁶/t, IB elevon = 10.00°, OB elevon = -2.00°, Run = 890, Point = 6, LOX Roll = 15° IA700B conditions: M_m = 1.550, α = -0.33°, β = -0.27°, Reynolds # = 2.50 x10⁶/t, IB elevon = 10.00°, OB elevon = -2.00°, Run = 212, Point = 4, LOX Roll = 0°



External Tank Redesign Assessments



Multiple ice/frost ramp redesigns Ascent & entry windows airloads Discrete airloads data book updates Venting database updates Aerothermal support

RCS Tyvek[®] covers

Bipod Ramp Removal

+Z Aerovent Modification

LO₂ feedline bracket redesigns

Modified Aft Longeron

PAL Ramp Removal



Space Shuttle Ascent Debris Analysis

Ascent Profile and Debris Velocities



40 30

20

10 0 -10 -20

-30 -40

0

20

40 60

100 120 140

80

160 180 200

YET (feet)

Debris Transport Process Overview



Debris Sources

Material properties
Installed geometry
Likely debris shapes
Failure mechanism, initial conditions

DTA Environment

 Impact location, mass, velocity, incidence angle

DTA Inputs Freestream conditions CFD-based flowfield Debris aerodynamic models

Vehicle Geometry

Element Impact Capability
Material properties
Installed geometry
Impact tolerance
Damage tolerance

Debris Transport

- Ballistic debris integration:
 - Steady-state CFD flowfield
 - Integrate motion of point-mass subject to drag force due to relative local wind vector at current location in the flowfield
 - Neglects effect of cross-range dispersions due to lift
- Debris Transport software development:
 - Developed debris-drag models using Cart3D 6DOF unsteady simulations
 - Significant improvements to debristrajectory computations
 - Wrote software for debris collision and proximity detection
 - Wrote general purpose sorting and filtering of impact data
- Millions of debris trajectories have been computed and analyzed



Velocity, ft/sec

1100

900 700

500 300

100



Debris Aerodynamics Modeling



- Debris Transport currently requires
 - Drag model : determines impact velocity
 - Cross-range model : determines impact locations
- Use Cart3D CFD methods to simulate debris released in a supersonic freestream
- Compute hundreds of 6-DOF trajectories using a Monte-Carlo approach, varying:
 - Shape
 - Material properties
 - Initial orientation
 - Initial rotation rates
- Have developed drag and cross range models for:
 - Foam divots
 - Ablator material
 - Hemisphere ice balls
 - Bellows ice
 - Umbilical ice
 - Gap fillers



Foam Drag Modeling





Foam Cross-Range Model



- Debris can generate aerodynamic "lift" in arbitrary direction during trajectory (referred to as crossrange).
- This effect is modeled in a post-processing step.
- Crossrange cone applied to zero-lift debris trajectories from ballistic code to determine possible impact points.





Foam Cross-Range Data

- Data from Monte-Carlo CFD 6-DOF trajectories used to develop crossrange cone
- Several shapes used to develop crossrange behavior
- Results can be scaled to arbitrarysized debris
- A probability can be assigned to any location within crossrange cone



Validation With Gun Development Facility (GDF) Data



There are two aspects to the validation effort:

- Validate the ability of the Cart3D code to simulate a 6-DOF foam trajectory by direct comparison against range data. (validation of CFD method)
- Validate the foam drag and cross-range models using the range data. (validation of models)

Ames Gun Development Facility





1.75" Powder Gun and Dump Tank



Sabot and Projectile



Side-View Cameras and Controllers



Test Section - Diaphragm, Lights, Light Screens, and Calibration Grids

6-DOF Method Validation Ames GDF ballistic data Distance vs Time

Mach 2.51, 6000 g's deceleration



6-DOF Method Validation Ames GDF ballistic data Pitch/Yaw vs Time





Drag Model Validation

Low oscillation trajectory - shot 2, Mach = 3.00



Drag Model Validation

Medium oscillation trajectory - shot 7, Mach = 2.81





Drag Model Validation

High oscillation trajectory - shot 6, Mach = 2.46



Cross-Range Model Validation



Cart3D 6-dof predictions bound ballistic data

- CFD (all) represents several hundred CFD trajectories generated from offset C.G. and asymmetric models
- Even mild asymmetry generates strong crossrange





f93

Additional Foam Testing

- CUBRC supersonic windtunnel foam ejection tests
- DFRC F15 flight ejection tests: 38 divots
 - 31 supersonic divots trimmed in high-drag orientation
 - 5 subsonic divots oscillated or tumbled
 - 2 divots re-contacted and broke apart
 - Deceleration matches nominal foam drag model



7.4 inch divot Mach 3.5 Q 729 psf

f90





Updated Launch Commit Criteria

Determine allowable ice-ball size on the External Tank

Debris-Transport Analysis Procedure



- Compute all possible ice-debris trajectories
 - Release from 7600 locations (blue dots)
 - 35 different masses
- Compute impact conditions
 - RCC impact kinetic energy
 - Tile damage depths
- Map all impact data back to 1562 different source zones (red-grid cells)
- In each source zone, determine largest mass which does not exceed component capability





DTA By the Numbers



33 million ice-ball trajectories computed

- 7600 release locations
- 35 masses
- 31 flight conditions
- 2 ice-ball densities
- 2 release velocities
- In million executions of the dprox code
 - 1562 subset zones
 - 35 masses
 - 31 flight conditions
 - 2 ice-ball densities
 - 3 impactor targets (tile, wing LE RCC, nosecap)
- 5 billion impacts evaluated
- 12,000 CPU hours used

Maximum Allowable Ice-Ball Diameters





List of Debris Assessments





ET PAL ramp foam ET Flange foam ET iceballs ET ice/froat ramps ET intertank foam ET feedline bellows ice ET feedline bracket ice

SRB Weather-seal SRB phenolic glass SRB Ablator material SRB viton-coated nylon SRB BSM RTV

Example: Flow-Control Valve Debris High Pressure GH2 Flow Regulator











Example: SRB Booster Separation Motor RTV









39



Day of Launch Support

- Mission Control Center, Johnson Space Center, Houston
- Debris analysis team spends the hours before the launch making sure the vehicle is ready to fly
 Final Inspection Team
 - Dozens of video cameras
 - Looking for ice, cracks in foam, and anything unusual
- My job includes being able to simulate potential debris and provide potential impact conditions
 - Execute debris analysis on NAS computers and produce data in less than an hour



Bat Debris





Space Bat





Concluding Remarks

CFD simulations of SSLV ascent have become a valuable tool for the program

Debris transport simulation has been used to quantify the debris environment during ascent

- Helped the program focus on mitigation of the most dangerous debris sources
- Make certain that the vehicle will only launch in a safe configuration

The End

N.

X 62

d'

1X