Structural, Physical, and Compositional Analysis of Lunar Simulants and Regolith. K. Street, P. Greenberg, J. Gaier, NASA Glenn Research Center, 21000 Brookpark Road, Cleveland, OH 44135, Kenneth.W.Street@nasa.gov.

Introduction: Relative to the prior manned Apollo and unmanned robotic missions, planned Lunar initiatives are comparatively complex and longer in duration. Individual crew rotations are envisioned to span several months, and various surface systems must function in the Lunar environment for periods of years [1]. As a consequence, an increased understanding of the surface environment is required to engineer and test the associated materials, components, and systems necessary to sustain human habitation and surface operations.

One such environmental factor is the fine fraction of surface regolith, generally referred to as Dust. The problematic nature of this material is widely discussed, appearing early on in the crew logs of Apollo astronauts [2]. Subsequent analyses have described both the details and mechanisms of degradation resulting from dust deposition and interactions [3]. Anticipating the need for a variety of dust resistant technologies, both NASA and the private sector have initiated a number of programs aimed at meeting this objective. These efforts span a host of considerations, ranging from basic properties of materials, to complex mechanical, thermal, fluidic, and optical systems. Also being addressed are the fundamental aspects of the Lunar environment that influence the charging, transport, and deposition of fine particulates.

By definition, these initiatives must also consider the intrinsic properties of the dust itself. This includes physical attributes (e.g. size and shape distributions), as well as structure and composition. The bulk of existing knowledge obtained from Lunar returned samples pertains to the larger, super-micron fraction. Many properties are observed to correlate with particle size, so the extensibility of these measured properties to the smaller dust fraction remains largely unresolved.

Given the relatively small quantities of actual Lunar samples, the collective demands for testing the performance of flight components and systems must be largely accommodated through the use of regolith simulants. A number of simulant materials were derived in support of the Apollo program, and remain useful in many aspects. However, the increased demands of longer, more complex missions require simulants of higher fidelity. In turn, this situation drives the need for a more thorough characterization of Lunar regolith itself, particular for the smallest size fractions where many properties remain largely outstanding.

The effort described here concerns the analysis of existing simulant materials, with application to Lunar return samples. The interplay between these analyses

fulfills the objective of ascertaining the critical properties of regolith itself, and the parallel objective of developing suitable stimulant materials for a variety of engineering applications. Presented here are measurements of the basic physical attributes, i.e. particle size distributions and general shape factors. Also discussed are structural and chemical properties, as determined through a variety of techniques, such as optical microscopy, SEM and TEM microscopy, Mossbauer Specdiffraction, troscopy, X-ray Raman spectroscopy, inductively coupled argon plasma emission spectroscopy and energy dispersive X-ray fluorescence mapping. A comparative description of currently available stimulant materials is discussed, with implications for more detailed analyses, as well as the requirements for continued refinement of methods for simulant production.

## References

[1] http://www.nasa.gov/pdf/55583main\_vision\_space\_exploration.pdf. [2] Apollo 17 Technical Crew Debriefing, MSC07631 (1973). [3] Gaier, J. R. (2007), The effects of lunar dust on EVA systems during the Apollo Missions, NASA/TM-2005-213610/REV1.

## Wednesday, October 29, 2008 POSTER SESSION 7:30 p.m. Pavilion

Bart G. D. Colaprete A.

Characterizing the LCROSS Impact Site [#4113]

Joy K. H. Crawford I. A. Kellett B. J. Grande M. C1XS Science Team *The Scientific Case for the Chandrayaan-1 X-Ray Spectrometer* [#**4014**]

Heldmann J. L. Colaprete A. Wooden D. Asphaug E. Schultz P. Plesko C. S. Ong L. Korycansky D. Galal K. Briggs G.

<u>Lunar Crater Observation and Sensing Satellite (LCROSS) Mission: Opportunities for Observations of the Impact Plumes from Ground-based and Space-based Telescopes</u> [#4051]

Ennico K. Colaprete A. Heldmann J. Kojima G. Lynch D. Shirley M. Wooden D. <u>Lunar Crater Observation and Sensing Satellite (LCROSS) Science Payload Ground Development,</u> <u>Test, and Calibration</u> [#4055]

Foing B. H. Koschny D. Grieger B. Josset J.-L. Beauvivre S. Grande M. Crawford I. Swinyard B. Huovelin J. Alha L. Keller H. U. Mall U. Nathues A. Malkki A. Noci G. Sodnik Z. Kellett B. Pinet P. Chevrel S. Cerroni P. de Sanctis M. C. Barucci M. A. Erard S. Despan D. Muinonen K. Naranen J. Shevchenko V. Shkuratov Y. Ellouzi M. Peters S. Bexkens F. Borst A. Odum C. Boche-Sauvan L. Monaghan E. Wills D. Almeida M. Frew D. Volp J. Heather D. McMannamon P. Camino O. Racca G.

SMART-1 Results and Lessons Learned for Preparing Future Exploration [#4099]

Despan D. Erard S. Barucci A. Josset J.-L. Beauvivre S. Chevrel S. Pinet P. Koschny D. Almeida M. Grieger B. Foing B. H. AMIE Team

High Resolution Maps of the Moon Surface with AMIE/SMART-1 [#4039]

Monje O. Wheeler R. M. Jones S. Mitchell C. A. Design of Root Modules for a Lunar Salad Machine [#4071]

McGovern J. A. Blewett D. T. Patterson G. W. Lopez N. R. Simulating Lunar Landing Site Illumination with Synthetic DEMs [#4094]

Klaus K.

Linkage Between Future Combat Systems and Human Exploration of Planetary Surfaces [#4107]

Street K. Greenberg P. Gaier J.

Structural, Physical, and Compositional Analysis of Lunar Simulants and Regolith. [#4114]

Sellar R. G. Farmer J. D. Robinson M. S.

Multispectral Hand Lens and Field Microscope [#4075]

Mengaldo G. Moro V. Rossettini L. Bandera A. Maggi F. *Moon Orbiter, Propulsion Issues* [#4028]

Quinn L. P.

Excavation of Habitat Trench by a Single Precision Kinetic Bombardment [#4040]

Ouinn L. P.

Orbiting "Earth-Safe" Atomic Explosives for Defense Against Asteroids [#4026]

Irwin S. A. Durrance S. T. Buhler C. R. Calle C. I.

Method to Investigate the Charging Characteristics of Lunar Dust Particles [#4108]

Kawamoto H. Inoue H. Abe Y.

Electromagnetic Cleaner of Lunar Dust Adhered to Spacesuit [#4004]

Long J. M. Lane J. E. Metzger P. T.

A Modification and Analysis of Lagrangian Trajectory Modeling and Granular Dynamics of Lunar Dust Particles [#4058]

Rieber R. R. Seibert M. A.

<u>Two Problems, One Solution — Microwave Sintering of Lunar Dust</u> [#4111]

Brown I. I. Garrison D. H. Jones J. A. Allen C. C. Sanders G. Sarkisova S. A. McKay D. S. *The Development and Perspectives of Bio-ISRU* [#4048]

Schuerger A. C. Smith D. J.

The Moon's Surface May be a Self-sterilizing Environment for Terrestrial Microorganisms [#4029]

Losiak A. Kohout T. O'Sulllivan K. Thaisen K. Weider S. Kring D.

Establishing a Precise Absolute Chronology of the Moon — A Need for Robotic Missions [#4072]

Kohout T. O'Sullivan K. Losiak A. Kring D. Thaisen K. Weider S.

Robotic and Human Exploration of the Schrödinger Basin [#4078]

O'Sullivan K. Kohout T. Losiak A. Kring D. Thaisen K. Weider S.

Schrödinger Basin: A Geologically Diverse Landing Area [#4081]

Peters S. T. M. Monaghan M. P. Foing B. H.

Future Robotic Study of Lunar Basins: Goals for Geochemistry and Geophysics [#4080]

Borst A. M. Bexkens F. Foing B. H.

Geological and Geochemical Study of South Pole-Aitken Basin and Future Sample Return Missions [#4116]

Clark P. E. Lewis R. Millar P. S. Yeh P. S. Lorenz J. Feng S. Powell W. Beaman B.

Choi M. Cooper L. Leshin L.

Enabling Minimal Mass Science Packages for Lunar Surface Studies [#4086]

Weinberg J. D. Neal C. R. Delory G. T.

Global Lunar Geophysical and Exosperic Science Network [#4125]

Thaisen K. G. Losiak A. Kohout T. O'Sullivan K. Weider S. Kring D.

Geographic Information Systems: An Enabling Tool for Lunar Exploration [#4098]

Rizzo M. Hall B. C. Pérez M. K.

A Multi-Purpose Analysis and Logistics Device for Lunar Exploration [#4077]

Kissi-Ameyaw J. Monaghan E. P. Foing B. H.

Astronomy from the Moon: Possible Science Investigations and Precursors [#4102]

Kroening K. Sollitt L. S. Segura T. Spittler C.

Secondary Payload Architecture for Lunar Comm Relay Satellites [#4119]

White W.

A Proposal for "The [Insert Sponsor Here] L2 Cup" [#4106]

Guest A. N. Hofstetter W. K. Cunio P. M. McLinko R. Grosse E. Hoffman J. A. Living on the Lunar Surface — A Minimalist Approach [#4123]

Lee P. Abercromby A. Braham S. Deans M. Fong T. Glass B. Hoffman S. McKay C. P. Wilkinson N.

<u>Terrestrial Analogs for Lunar Science and Exploration: A Systematic Approach</u> [#4126]

Hou X. Y. Liu L. Zhang W.

On Station Keeping of Spacecrafts with Solar Sail Around the Earth-Moon Collinear Libration Points [#4012]