The MSFC Collaborative Engineering Process for Preliminary Design and Concept Definition Studies

Jack Mulqueen¹ Marshall Space Flight Center, MSFC, Alabama 35812

David Jones² Marshall Space Flight Center, MSFC, Alabama 35812

Randy Hopkins³ SAIC/Marshall Space Flight Center, MSFC, Alabama 35812

This paper describes a collaborative engineering process developed by the Marshall Space Flight Center's Advanced Concepts Office for performing rapid preliminary design and mission concept definition studies for potential future NASA missions. The process has been developed and demonstrated for a broad range of mission studies including human space exploration missions, space transportation system studies and in-space science missions. The paper will describe the design team structure and specialized analytical tools that have been developed to enable a unique rapid design process. The collaborative engineering process consists of integrated analysis approach for mission definition, vehicle definition and system engineering. The relevance of the collaborative process elements to the standard NASA NPR 7120.1 system engineering process will be demonstrated. The study definition process flow for each study discipline will be will be outlined beginning with the study planning process, followed by definition of ground rules and assumptions, definition of study trades, mission analysis and subsystem analyses leading to a standardized set of mission concept study products. The flexibility of the collaborative engineering design process to accommodate a wide range of study objectives from technology definition and requirements definition to preliminary design studies will be addressed. The paper will also describe the applicability of the collaborative engineering process to include an integrated systems analysis approach for evaluating the functional requirements of evolving system technologies and capabilities needed to meet the needs of future NASA programs.

I. MSFC Advanced Concepts Office Team Structure

The Marshall Space Flight Center (MSFC) Advanced Concepts Office (ACO) utilizes a unique collaborative engineering approach for conducting mission architecture and concept definition studies in support of pre-phase A and phase A project formulation of potential future NASA missions. The Advanced Concepts office uses a permanently co-located team of specialized multi-discipline design engineers and a streamlined systems-based design process to perform a broad range of technical studies. The MSFC Advanced Concepts Office design team conducts Earth-to-orbit launch vehicle systems studies, robotic and science mission studies and human space exploration studies. The multi-discipline design team provides a unique capability to perform integrated systems analysis, mission analysis, system architecture assessments and subsystem design analysis for concept definition studies. The team structure of the MSFC Advanced Concepts Office is shown in Figure 1. Each team is composed of design analysts representing the design disciplines listed for each team.

¹ Aerospace Engineer, MSFC Advanced Concepts Office/ED04

² Aerospace Engineer, MSFC Advanced Concepts Office/ED04

³ Aerospace Engineer, MSFC Advanced Concepts Office/ED04

Advanced Concepts Office

Advanced Concepts Office Management

eam:

Earth-to-Orbit Transportation Team:	Space Systems Te
Systems Analysis	System Analysis
Systems Engineering	Systems Engineering
Mission & Trajectory Analysis	Configuration, Payloads
Configuration & Design	Mission Analysis
Structures	Propulsion
Propulsion	Avionics & GN&C
Launch Vehicle Weights & Sizing	Power
	Thermal
	Structures
	Mass Summary
	Planetary Surface Systems
	Space Architecture
	Human Factors
	Graphics/Animation

Figure 1. Advanced Concepts Office Team Structure

II. Collaborative Engineering Tools

The Advanced Concepts Office uses a wide array of computer design analysis tools. The use of industry standard computer tools allows high fidelity engineering analysis based on widely accepted modeling techniques and capabilities. In many studies it is possible to incorporate higher fidelity analyses such as finite element thermal and structural modeling into conceptual pre-phase studies. This capability allows consideration of subsystem trades and design analysis that would otherwise be beyond the scope of pre-phase A conceptual studies. Due to the specialized nature of many Advanced Concepts studies, many additional in-house developed tools have been created to perform spacecraft performance analysis and subsystem design analysis. These specialized tools allow efficient analysis for preliminary design trades and concept definition. The Earth-to-orbit analysis tools developed by the Advanced Concepts Office allow extremely efficient analysis of launch vehicle design options, including multiple liquid and solid propulsion systems, stage configurations and payload carrying options. Also among the unique capabilities possessed by the Advanced Concepts office is the ability to perform broad parametric sizing and performance analysis of space transportation system architectures. The capability to perform integrated analysis of Earth-to-orbit launch vehicle and in-space transportation architectures provides a unique optimization capability. The mission analysis tools and capabilities to perform Earth-to-orbit and in-space trajectory analysis and orbital analysis provide a significant capability that is integral to the comprehensive analysis of mission architectures. The primary engineering analysis and design tools used by the Advanced Concepts Office are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Auvanced Concepts Office Design 10015		
Design Tool	Function	
POST – Program for Optimizing Space Trajectories	Launch vehicle trajectory and performance analysis	
LVA – Launch Vehicle Analysis	Launch vehicle structural analysis	
INTROS – Integrated Rocket Sizing Program	Launch vehicle sizing and performance analysis	
Copernicus, MIDAS, MaNE,	In-Space trajectory analysis	
Thermal Desktop and in-house thermal analysis tools	Spacecraft thermal analysis	
FEMAP w/NX NASTRAN, Hypersizer	Spacecraft structural analysis	
In-house power system tools	Solar array sizing and spacecraft power system analysis	
In-house attitude control system tool	GN&C subsystem sizing	
In-house propulsion system tool	Propulsion system analysis	
COPA – Computerized Optimum Performance Analysis	In-space stage sizing and performance analysis	
Transportation Architecture Analysis	Parametric transportation system analysis	
ProEngineer	Vehicle design and configuration	
3D Studio	Animation development	
vDOT	Design process and data management	

Table 1. Advanced Concepts Office Design Tools

III. Collaborative Systems Based Design Process

The collaborative design process used by the Advanced Concepts Office has evolved over many years of conducting a wide range of conceptual design studies in support of virtually every NASA initiative and program over the past several decades. The process has been modified to adapt to changes in NASA emphasis from technology development to science missions to major flight system programs. The collaborative design process is based on a comprehensive systems based approach that is valid across the broad spectrum of NASA programs and conceptual analysis. The foundation of the collaborative design process is sequential systems analysis process that begins with mission and system functional analyses to identify the top level mission objectives and requirements. The design process consists of three iterative processes for mission definition, vehicle definition and system engineering. The Advanced Concepts Office collaborative design process is illustrated in Figure 2. The mission definition process includes analysis tasks to define the mission profile and identify the range of mission options that comprise the mission trade space. Based on the mission requirements and mission trade space, initial spacecraft vehicle options and design ground rules and assumptions are defined. This process is often iterative in nature, as mission requirements are derived from analysis of the mission and vehicle trade space. The results of the mission definition iterations contribute to the definition of the mission concept.

The vehicle definition process is closely linked to the mission definition process. The vehicle definition is based on requirements derived from the mission analysis which becomes the basis for vehicle performance and subsystem design assumptions. The initial vehicle design ground rules and assumptions not only shape the vehicle options and subsystem trades, but may eventually serve as the initial vehicle and subsystem requirements. The subsequent analysis of subsystem design trades and subsystem design analysis contribute to the definition of the vehicle concept.

In parallel with the mission and vehicle definition processes, system engineering processes are conducted to the extent possible for pre-phase A and conceptual design studies. These initial system engineering activities are compliant with the to the established NASA project formulation guidelines as specified in NASA Procedural Requirements document NPR 7120.1. The system engineering products developed at this early stage of program maturity often contribute to the specified system engineering products required for the Mission Concept Review (MCR) program key decision point (KDP) as listed in Table 2. The initial system engineering activities consist of initial concept of operations and requirements definition. In addition initial system risk identification is often a valuable component of the system analysis. The system engineering analyses, integrated with the mission and vehicle concepts contribute to the overall definition of the system concept.

Collaborative Design Process





Figure 2. Systems Based Collaborative Design Process

Table 2. Systems Based Collaborative Design Process		
MCR Criteria (NPR 7123.1A)	Pre-Phase A Study Products	
Mission Goals and Objectives	Mission Concept Definition	
Preliminary Requirements	Mission Requirements Inputs Spacecraft Requirements Inputs	
Evaluation of Alternative Concepts	Trade Study Results Alternative Configuration Evaluation	
Concept of Operations	Mission Analysis Results Design Reference Mission Summary	
De-Scope Options	De-Scope Options Summary	
Risk Assessment and Mitigation Strategies	Project Risk Analysis Inputs	
Technical Plans for Next Phase	Draft Phase A Technical Plan Inputs	
Measures of Effectiveness	Mission and Vehicle trade studies	
Life Cycle Support Strategies	Project Cost and Schedule Inputs	
Technically Feasible Mission Concept	Mission Analysis Results Preliminary Mission Architecture	
Credible Cost & Schedule Estimates	Cost and Schedule Inputs	
Evaluation of Existing Assets	Trade Study Results Preliminary Mission Architecture	

IV. mDot Design Process

The process used by the Advanced Concepts Office is based on an iterative process which includes all mission and vehicle design disciplines working in a coordinated effort to converge to the desired analysis result or design solution. In most cases the iterations are based on reaching a converged system mass estimate. For this reason, the design process is called the mDot Design Process. Figure 3 shows the basic steps and information flow of the mDot process. The key to the efficiency of this process is the collaboration between all of the design disciplines. The process is based on iterative analysis and transmission of analysis results to all related design disciplines so dependencies and interactions between subsystems can be addressed within each design iteration. Figure 3 shows a simplified representation of the design process. Many of the interactions and feedback loops between design disciplines are not shown. Basically, the design process begins after initial study planning is completed. The study planning phase consists of gathering information from the study customer and defining the mission concept. The initial mission and vehicle trade space is also defined during the study planning process. Once the planning is complete, the design process is initiated with the definition of design ground rules and assumptions. Usually a joint design session is held in which each design discipline defines initial ground rules and assumptions. The initial ground rules and assumptions are documented and serve as the starting point for the design iteration. The ground rules and assumptions are revisited each iteration because in many cases they must be adjusted as the study progresses. The mission analysis tasks are closely related to the ground rules and assumptions since the mission profile, trajectory, orbit analysis and mission delta-velocity budget are all crucial to beginning many of the subsystem analyses. In many cases, an initial vehicle configuration is defined as a starting point for the subsystem design trades. Once there is sufficient information available to begin the vehicle subsystem analysis, the actual design iterations can begin. Within each design iteration data is passed between subsystem designers in a highly interactive fashion. Efficient communication, data management and documentation of design results are crucial to maintain the efficiency of the design process. In addition, each discipline maintains a keen awareness of the interactions and dependencies between subsystems. This allows overall system optimization of design results.



Mdot Design Process

Figure 3. mDot Collaborative Design Process

5

American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics

The objective of the design iteration process is to converge on the design concept for each subsystem. Mission analysis is performed each iteration to evaluate the vehicle performance, including propulsion system propellant requirements. As the design iterations progress, the convergence of the design solution is usually measured by the change in vehicle dry mass and propellant mass. When the iterations are complete the study results are documented. In many studies additional system engineering activities augment the vehicle concept definition. As stated earlier, the system engineering tasks may include requirements analysis, risk identification or development of other system engineering products. The Advanced Concepts has developed a standard study documentation process to compile the study results and present them to customers and stakeholders.

V. Integrated Systems Analysis

The collaborative design process can be applied to a wide range of study types and different levels of systems analysis. While the collaborative design processes are well suited for vehicle concept definition studies, the same process provides a framework for evaluating system interactions and dependencies at a much higher level as well. The systems based approach has been used to define and evaluate space transportation architectures, evaluate mission infrastructure options and assess technology needs. The systems analysis approach is illustrated in Figure 4. The approach represents a sequential process for defining and evaluating future NASA programs and mission options. The process begins with broad mission and system functional assessments. Based on the mission requirements, the mission architecture options and elements can be defined. The architecture assessment usually consists of trade studies and evaluation of mission options, spacecraft transportation options and definition of mission payloads. Assessment of future NASA programs often also includes evaluation of emerging technology capabilities and development needs. The integrated system based approach and collaborative engineering process developed by the MSFC Advanced Concepts Office provides a unique capability for evaluating evolving NASA program and missions in a highly effective and efficient manner.






Figure 4. Systems Based Analysis Process