1	Drake Antarctic Agile Meteor Radar (DrAAMER) First Results:
2	Configuration and Comparison of Mean and Tidal Wind and Gravity Wave
3	<b>Momentum Flux Measurements with SAAMER</b>
4	
5	D. C. Fritts <sup>1</sup> , D. Janches <sup>2</sup> , H. Iimura <sup>1</sup> , W. K. Hocking <sup>3</sup> , and J. V. Bageston <sup>4</sup> , and N. M. Pene <sup>4</sup>
6	
7	<sup>1</sup> NorthWest Research Associates, Colorado Research Associates division, Boulder, CO
8	<sup>2</sup> NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center, Space Weather Laboratory, Greenbelt, MD
9	<sup>3</sup> Department of Physics, University of Western Ontario, London, ONT, Canada
10	<sup>4</sup> Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais (INPE), São Jose <sup>'</sup> dos Campos, Brazil
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	Corresponding author: D. Fritts
17	
18 19	Email: <u>dave@cora.nwra.com</u> Phone: 303-415-9701, ext. 205
20	1 Hone. 505 115 9701, ext. 205
21	
22	
23	
24	Submitted to J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres
25	29 July 2011

# Abstract

27	A new-generation meteor radar was installed at the Brazilian Antarctic Comandante
28	Ferraz Base (62.1°S) in March 2010. This paper describes the motivations for the radar location,
29	its measurement capabilities, and comparisons of measured mean winds, tides, and gravity wave
30	momentum fluxes from April to June of 2010 and 2011 with those by a similar radar on Tierra
31	del Fuego (53.8°S). Motivations for the radars include the "hotspot" of small-scale gravity wave
32	activity extending from the troposphere into the mesosphere and lower thermosphere (MLT)
33	centered over the Drake Passage, the maximum of the semidiurnal tide at these latitudes, and the
34	lack of other MLT wind measurements in this latitude band.
35	Mean winds are seen to be strongly modulated at planetary wave and longer periods and
36	to exhibit strong coherence over the two radars at shorter time scales as well as systematic
37	seasonal variations. The semidiurnal tide contribute most to the large-scale winds over both
38	radars, with maximum tidal amplitudes during May and maxima at the highest altitudes varying
39	from ~20 to >70 ms <sup>-1</sup> . In contrast, the diurnal tide and various planetary waves achieve
40	maximum winds of $\sim 10$ to 20 ms <sup>-1</sup> .
41	Monthly-mean gravity wave momentum fluxes appear to reflect the occurrence of

43 significant, and opposite, meridional momentum fluxes below ~85 km. These suggest gravity
44 waves propagating away from the Drake Passage at both sites, and may indicate an important
45 source region accounting in part for this "hotspot".

49

#### 1 Introduction

50 It is now well known that the structure and variability of the mesosphere and lower 51 thermosphere (MLT) is determined to a significant degree by large- and small-scale waves 52 propagating into this region from below. Tides, planetary waves (PWs), and gravity waves 53 (GWs) exhibit significant variability with season and latitude due to seasonal variations in their 54 sources and propagation environments [e.g., Holton, 1984; Burrage et al., 1995; Vincent et al., 55 1998; Manson et al., 1999: Pancheva et al., 2002, 2004, 2009; McLandress, 2002; Fritts and 56 Alexander, 2003; Lieberman et al., 2004; Murphy et al., 2006]. GWs and tides also exhibit 57 longitudinal variability reflecting the longitudinal distributions of their forcing dynamics [e.g.,58 Tsuda et al., 2000; Hagan and Forbes, 2002, 2003; Espy et al., 2006]. Indeed, there are preferred 59 latitudes and longitudes where these various motions systematically achieve their largest 60 responses. Possibly the most dramatic responses, and potential for interactions among large- and 61 small-scale motions, occur in late fall and winter at middle to high latitudes. Semidiurnal tide 62 and PW winds maximize here [Forbes, 1995; Hagan and Forbes, 2003], and GWs exhibit strong responses at lower altitudes over specific source regions [McLandress et al., 2000; Ern et al., 63 64 2004; Wu, 2004; Jiang et al., 2006; Alexander et al., 2008a; Wu and Eckermann, 2008] that 65 clearly extend into the MLT in some cases. Most of these apparent GW source regions in both 66 hemispheres correlate with high terrain. Of these, the region encompassing the Andes, the Drake 67 Passage, and the Antarctic Peninsula appears to exhibit the largest responses on Earth [Jiang et 68 al., 2002; Preusse et al., 2002, 2006; Wu and Jiang, 2002; Eckermann et al., 2006; Wu et al., 69 2006; Alexander et al., 2008b; Hertzog et al., 2008]. Indeed, this region has yielded a number of 70 examples of strong interactions among these various motions, despite limited observational 71 capabilities until recently [Smith et al., 2009; Beldon and Mitchell, 2010; Fritts et al., 2010a, b].

72 The large amplitudes anticipated for the various tidal, PW, and GW motions in the Drake 73 Passage "hotspot", and our expectation for strong interactions among these various motions, 74 were the motivations for placing two new-generation meteor radars in the northern and southern 75 portions of this natural laboratory for MLT dynamics studies. The Southern Argentina Agile 76 MEteor Radar (SAAMER) was installed at Rio Grande on Tierra del Fuego (53.8°S, 67.8°W) in 77 May 2008, and a nearly identical system, the Drake Antarctic Agile MEteor Radar (DrAAMER), 78 was installed at the Brazilian Antarctic Comandante Ferraz Base (62.1°S, 58.7°W) in March 79 2010. The two radars were specifically designed to measure both the large-scale (mean, tidal, 80 and PW) motion fields with high precision and the vertical fluxes of horizontal momentum by 81 GWs, the latter of which previously has only been possible with significantly larger and more 82 expensive radars and lidars. SAAMER capabilities for mean, tidal, and PW wind measurements 83 were demonstrated by Fritts et al. [2010a, 2011a, hereafter F10a and F11a]. The potential for 84 GW momentum flux measurements was evaluated and first employed using SAAMER by Fritts 85 et al. [2010b, hereafter F10b].

86 An additional capability that we hope will be demonstrated with these radars with further 87 analysis is the potential to measure GW-tidal and GW-PW interactions and their modulation of 88 GW variances and momentum fluxes. Such interactions have been observed in limited MLT 89 observations [Fritts and Vincent, 1987; Wang and Fritts, 1991; Thayaparan et al., 1995; Isler 90 and Fritts, 1996; Manson et al., 1998; Murphy and Vincent, 1998; Preusse et al., 2001; Espy et 91 al., 2004; Beldon and Mitchell, 2010] and in numerical models of these dynamics [Holton, 1984; 92 Miyahara 1985; Miyahara et al., 1986; Forbes et al., 1991; Lu and Fritts, 1993; Eckermann and 93 Marks, 1996; Meyer, 1999; Ortland and Alexander, 2006; Liu et al., 2008]. They have yet to be 94 fully quantified, understood, and adequately parameterized in large-scale models, however

95 [McLandress and Ward, 1994; McLandress, 1998, 2002; Hagan et al., 1999; Fritts and

*Alexander*, 2003], hence such measurements where these interaction dynamics are particularly
strong would prove valuable in constraining such efforts.

98 Our purposes in this paper are to describe the DrAAMER radar system and compare its 99 measurement capabilities for large- and small-scale MLT dynamics with those of SAAMER for 100 April, May, and June of its first two years of operation. The radar configuration, the spatial and 101 temporal variations of meteor detections observed from Ferraz, and our data analysis methods 102 are described in Section 2. DrAAMER mean and tidal winds during April, May, and June of 103 2010 and 2011 are described and compared with those measured by SAAMER from 2009 to 104 2011 and with the Global-Scale Wave Model, version 2009 [GSWM-09, see Zhang et al., 2010a, 105 b] in Sections 3 and 4. Section 5 compares monthly-mean GW momentum fluxes estimated by 106 DrAAMER and SAAMER during April, May, and June 2010 and 2011. A discussion of these 107 results and our summary and conclusions are provided in Sections 6 and 7. A separate 108 assessment by Fritts et al. [2011b] of DrAAMER GW momentum flux measurement capabilities 109 compared to SAAMER and three other meteor radars explores the potential for similar 110 measurements with traditional meteor radars.

111

2

#### DrAAMER System Description and Data Analysis

112

## a. System description

Like SAAMER [*F10a, F10b*], DrAAMER was specifically configured to enable highresolution definition of the large-scale wind field and potential sensitivity to GW momentum fluxes employing a generalization of the dual-beam technique first employed by *Vincent and Reid* [1983] and extended to multiple-beam studies by *VanZandt et al.* [1990] and *Fritts et al.* [1990]. Definition of both the large-scale motion field at high resolution and GW momentum

118	fluxes requires high meteor count rates at sufficiently small off-zenith angles to allow vertical
119	motions due to GWs to make significant contributions to the inferred radial velocities. As with
120	SAAMER, this was accomplished through significantly higher peak power than employed by
121	typical meteor radars and a transmitting array that directs the majority of radar power into eight
122	beams at $45^{\circ}$ azimuth increments with peak power at $\sim 35^{\circ}$ off zenith. This results in a majority of
123	meteor detections at off-zenith angles between 15 and 50°. The SAAMER and DrAAMER
124	antenna patterns, daily counts, and altitude distributions of accepted meteors are illustrated for
125	one day in the upper panel and for April, May, and June 2011 in the lower panels of Figure 1.
126	All-sky unambiguous meteor detections achieving a threshold accuracy (~50% of the totals)
127	average ~19,800 and ~8,500 per day at SAAMER and DrAAMER, respectively.
128	DrAAMER radar parameters and measurement capabilities include:
129	1) a radar frequency of 36.9 MHz and bandwidths ranging from 35 to 125 kHz,
130	2) a peak transmitter power of 30 kW,
131	3) a transmitter antenna composed of eight three-element crossed Yagis in a circle of
132	diameter 24.4 m having opposite phasing of every other Yagi (normal mode),
133	4) five receiver channels to reduce meteor position ambiguities [Jones et al., 1998],
134	5) a transmit/receive (T/R) switch allowing both tropospheric measurements and use of the
135	transmitter antenna as a sixth receiver,
136	6) a transmitter phasing option that allows power to be directed vertically,
137	7) various pulse-coding, pulse repetition frequency (PRF), and integration options, and

138 8) sufficient power and beam definition flexibility to perform enhanced meteoroid radiant,
139 population size, and "head echo" studies normally possible only with high-power, large140 aperture (HPLA) radars.

Since commissioning, DrAAMER has employed a 2-bit code, a PRF of 1730 Hz, integration
over four samples, and meteor sampling at altitudes from 70 to 110 km.

143

Date analysis

b.

144 Mean and tidal winds are obtained from hourly-mean zonal and meridional winds in 3-145 km altitude bins from  $\sim$ 78 to 99 km employing radial velocities at off-zenith angles between 15 146 and  $50^{\circ}$ . These estimates include ~50 and 100 meteors/hr near 90 km on average over 147 DrAAMER and SAAMER, respectively, and a minimum of 5 meteors/hr is required for a valid 148 horizontal wind estimate at the higher and lower altitudes. Daily-mean zonal and meridional 149 winds and diurnal and semidiurnal tide amplitudes are determined employing a continuous "S-150 transform" [Stockwell et al., 1996] Gaussian wavelet analysis applied to the hourly-mean winds. 151 Monthly-mean winds and tidal amplitudes are computed for 2010 and 2011 from daily means for 152 which a minimum of 12 hourly-mean wind estimates are available, with missing daily means interpolated from 3<sup>rd</sup>-order spline fits. We present daily-mean winds and tides over DrAAMER 153 154 only for 2011, however, due to five ~3 to 10-day intervals during May and June 2010 for which 155 no data were obtained. Zonal and meridional wind spectra spanning the 3-month analysis period 156 during 2011 are computed from the hourly-mean winds at 90 km.

157 Monthly GW momentum fluxes are estimated using the method of *Hocking* [2005] 158 following removal of mean and tidal winds derived from "S-transform" fits to the hourly-mean 159 winds. S-transform means and tidal amplitudes employed for these assessments allow more 160 complete removal of varying mean and tidal motions in the presence of data gaps, as seen in the

161	DrAAMER data in Figure 1. A three-point triangular smoothing is used to reduce estimation
162	uncertainties. Such monthly momentum flux assessments were evaluated extensively by F10b
163	and found to yield reasonable estimates for a wide range of test fields employing SAAMER.
164	3 Mean Winds and Spectra
165	We present here daily-mean and monthly-mean winds, and their S-transforms, over
166	DrAAMER and SAAMER to illustrate their similarities and differences on daily-to-inter-annual
167	time scales. Daily-mean winds over SAAMER are displayed for April, May, and June of 2009,
168	2010, and 2011 (top to bottom) in Figure 2. Daily-mean winds over DrAAMER for April, May,
169	and June 2011 are shown in the upper panels of Figure 3. Corresponding S-transforms of the
170	daily-mean winds over SAAMER for the three years are shown in the upper and lower left three
171	panels of Figure 4, with those over DrAAMER for 2011 shown in the right panels. Monthly-
172	mean winds over the two radars for April, May, and June (top to bottom) of 2010 and 2011 are
173	shown in Figure 5. Zonal and meridional wind and tidal amplitude components are shown at left
174	and right, respectively, in Figures 2, 3, and 5, and at top and bottom in Figure 4. Spectra of the
175	hourly-mean zonal and meridional winds during April to June 2011 over DrAAMER centered at
176	88.5 km are shown for periods from 2 hr to $\sim$ 40 days in the upper and lower panels of Figure 6,
177	respectively.

178Daily-mean winds over SAAMER during 2009, 2010, and 2011 reveal similar seasonal179trends each year, with weak westward or eastward mean winds during April and increasingly180eastward mean winds arising during May and June at all altitudes. Westward maxima approach181 $\sim 20 \text{ ms}^{-1}$  in April, while eastward maxima reaching  $\sim 50 \text{ ms}^{-1}$  become much more prevalent in182May and June of each year. Meridional wind maxima vary from  $\sim -30$  to 30 ms $^{-1}$  throughout183these three months each year. PWs and longer-period oscillations ranging from periods of  $\sim 2$  to

184 40 days or longer are seen to occur each year and to contribute to the maximum zonal and 185 meridional winds throughout each interval. As described by F11a, the PWs observed over 186 SAAMER having periods from ~8 to 20 days exhibit significant temporal variability and a range 187 of phase relationships among the wind components, suggesting strong transience and interactions 188 among the various PW and tidal motions. In particular, inspection of Figure 2 indicates that the 189 broader eastward wind maxima appear to correlate most strongly with southward meridional 190 winds each year (see the eastward maxima centered in mid May 2009, mid-to-late May and early 191 and late June of 2010, and mid May and late June 2011). Mean winds over DrAAMER during 192 2011 (Figure 3, top) closely resemble those over SAAMER throughout these three months, 193 indicating that the spatial scales of the mean winds and the PW and longer-period oscillations are 194 significantly greater than the separation between the two radars.

195 Longer-period oscillations were not addressed by F11a, but were seen to occur in the S-196 transforms of the mean winds in Figure 3 of that study. S-transforms of the mean winds 197 displayed in Figure 4 exhibit the relative contributions of PWs and longer-period oscillations to 198 the zonal and meridional winds throughout each season over SAAMER and for 2011 over 199 DrAAMER. Over SAAMER, significant modulations of the mean winds occur at periods from 200  $\sim$ 5 to 40 days, with the most prevalent oscillations of  $\sim$ 5 to 10-day periods throughout 2009 and 201 2010 and primarily during June of 2011, ~15 to 20-day periods from April into May 2009, from 202 late April into June 2010, and during May and June 2011, and ~30 to 45-day periods contributing 203 throughout 2009 and primarily during June of 2010 and 2011, respectively. SAAMER and 204 DrAAMER mean wind S-transforms for 2011 exhibit some similarities, but also clear 205 differences, at  $\sim 5$  to 15-day periods throughout, with very strong correlations in their temporal 206 variability largely in late May and June at periods of ~20 days and longer.

208blue, respectively) are compared for 2010 and 2011 (solid and dashed, respectively) in Figure 5.209Monthly-mean zonal winds in April are weak and eastward in all cases (~10 ms <sup>-1</sup> or less), despite210the sporadic negative excursions seen to accompany PW and longer-period oscillations in211Figures 2 and 3. Mean zonal winds increase by ~5 to 10 ms <sup>-1</sup> from April to May, with somewhat212larger increases in 2010 and over SAAMER compared to DrAAMER. Mean zonal winds213increase again by ~5 to 10 ms <sup>-1</sup> from May to June over SAAMER, with the larger increase at214middle and higher altitudes. DrAAMER mean zonal winds, however, increase very little from215May to June, with the largest changes below ~90 km in 2011.216Monthly-mean meridional winds during both 2010 and 2011 in all three months remain217between ~ -5 and 5 ms <sup>-1</sup> , except over SAAMER, and over DrAAMER in May 2011, at the higher218altitudes. Note that monthly-mean winds are not displayed over DrAAMER above 96 km for219several months due to a lack of sufficient meteor detections to satisfy our measurement220constraints. While mean meridional winds over both radars during June 2010 below ~90 km are221equatorward rather than poleward (as expected to result from GW driving of the residual222circulation and implying subsidence in the winter polar mesosphere), there are several factors224typically extends to higher altitudes, with a weaker (poleward, as seen) residual circulation at225toring of the Mi.T over the Drake Passage "hotspot" [see <i>F10b</i> ] that will be discussed further226forcring	207	Monthly-mean zonal and meridional winds over DrAAMER and SAAMER (red and
210the sporadic negative excursions seen to accompany PW and longer-period oscillations in211Figures 2 and 3. Mean zonal winds increase by $\sim$ 5 to 10 ms <sup>-1</sup> from April to May, with somewhat212larger increases in 2010 and over SAAMER compared to DrAAMER. Mean zonal winds213increase again by $\sim$ 5 to 10 ms <sup>-1</sup> from May to June over SAAMER, with the larger increases at214middle and higher altitudes. DrAAMER mean zonal winds, however, increase very little from215May to June, with the largest changes below $\sim$ 90 km in 2011.216Monthly-mean meridional winds during both 2010 and 2011 in all three months remain217between $\sim$ -5 and 5 ms <sup>-1</sup> , except over SAAMER, and over DrAAMER in May 2011, at the higher218altitudes. Note that monthly-mean winds are not displayed over DrAAMER above 96 km for219several months due to a lack of sufficient meteor detections to satisfy our measurement220constraints. While mean meridional winds over both radars during June 2010 below $\sim$ 90 km are221circulation and implying subsidence in the winter polar mesosphere), there are several factors223that may account for this behavior. As noted by <i>F10b</i> , the winter jet in June over SAAMER224typically extends to higher altitudes, with a weaker (poleward, as seen) residual circulation at225even higher altitudes, than seen at other sites. This response may be linked to unusual GW226forcing of the MLT over the Drake Passage "hotspot" [see <i>F10b</i> ] that will be discussed further227below. There is also considerable variability imposed by PWs and longer-period oscillations that228m	208	blue, respectively) are compared for 2010 and 2011 (solid and dashed, respectively) in Figure 5.
211Figures 2 and 3. Mean zonal winds increase by ~5 to 10 ms <sup>-1</sup> from April to May, with somewhat212larger increases in 2010 and over SAAMER compared to DrAAMER. Mean zonal winds213increase again by ~5 to 10 ms <sup>-1</sup> from May to June over SAAMER, with the larger increases at214middle and higher altitudes. DrAAMER mean zonal winds, however, increase very little from215May to June, with the largest changes below ~90 km in 2011.216Monthly-mean meridional winds during both 2010 and 2011 in all three months remain217between ~ -5 and 5 ms <sup>-1</sup> , except over SAAMER, and over DrAAMER in May 2011, at the higher218altitudes. Note that monthly-mean winds are not displayed over DrAAMER above 96 km for219several months due to a lack of sufficient meteor detections to satisfy our measurement220constraints. While mean meridional winds over both radars during June 2010 below ~90 km are221equatorward rather than poleward (as expected to result from GW driving of the residual222circulation and implying subsidence in the winter polar mesosphere), there are several factors223that may account for this behavior. As noted by <i>F10b</i> , the winter jet in June over SAAMER224typically extends to higher altitudes, with a weaker (poleward, as seen) residual circulation at225even higher altitudes, than seen at other sites. This response may be linked to unusual GW226forcing of the MLT over the Drake Passage "hotspot" [see <i>F10b</i> ] that will be discussed further227below. There is also considerable variability imposed by PWs and longer-period oscillations that228may influen	209	Monthly-mean zonal winds in April are weak and eastward in all cases (~10 ms <sup>-1</sup> or less), despite
212larger increases in 2010 and over SAAMER compared to DrAAMER. Mean zonal winds213increase again by $\sim$ 5 to 10 ms <sup>-1</sup> from May to June over SAAMER, with the larger increases at214middle and higher altitudes. DrAAMER mean zonal winds, however, increase very little from215May to June, with the largest changes below $\sim$ 90 km in 2011.216Monthly-mean meridional winds during both 2010 and 2011 in all three months remain217between $\sim$ -5 and 5 ms <sup>-1</sup> , except over SAAMER, and over DrAAMER in May 2011, at the higher218altitudes. Note that monthly-mean winds are not displayed over DrAAMER above 96 km for219several months due to a lack of sufficient meteor detections to satisfy our measurement220constraints. While mean meridional winds over both radars during June 2010 below ~90 km are221equatorward rather than poleward (as expected to result from GW driving of the residual222circulation and implying subsidence in the winter polar mesosphere), there are several factors223that may account for this behavior. As noted by <i>F10b</i> , the winter jet in June over SAAMER224typically extends to higher altitudes, with a weaker (poleward, as seen) residual circulation at225even higher altitudes, than seen at other sites. This response may be linked to unusual GW226forcing of the MLT over the Drake Passage "hotspot" [see <i>F10b</i> ] that will be discussed further227below. There is also considerable variability imposed by PWs and longer-period oscillations that228may influence estimates of monthly-mean meridional motions at the level of the variations seen	210	the sporadic negative excursions seen to accompany PW and longer-period oscillations in
213increase again by ~5 to 10 ms <sup>-1</sup> from May to June over SAAMER, with the larger increases at214middle and higher altitudes. DrAAMER mean zonal winds, however, increase very little from215May to June, with the largest changes below ~90 km in 2011.216Monthly-mean meridional winds during both 2010 and 2011 in all three months remain217between ~ -5 and 5 ms <sup>-1</sup> , except over SAAMER, and over DrAAMER in May 2011, at the higher218altitudes. Note that monthly-mean winds are not displayed over DrAAMER above 96 km for219several months due to a lack of sufficient meteor detections to satisfy our measurement220constraints. While mean meridional winds over both radars during June 2010 below ~90 km are221equatorward rather than poleward (as expected to result from GW driving of the residual222circulation and implying subsidence in the winter polar mesosphere), there are several factors223that may account for this behavior. As noted by <i>F10b</i> , the winter jet in June over SAAMER224typically extends to higher altitudes, with a weaker (poleward, as seen) residual circulation at225even higher altitudes, than seen at other sites. This response may be linked to unusual GW226forcing of the MLT over the Drake Passage "hotspot" [see <i>F10b</i> ] that will be discussed further227below. There is also considerable variability imposed by PWs and longer-period oscillations that228may influence estimates of monthly-mean meridional motions at the level of the variations seen	211	Figures 2 and 3. Mean zonal winds increase by $\sim$ 5 to 10 ms <sup>-1</sup> from April to May, with somewhat
214middle and higher altitudes. DrAAMER mean zonal winds, however, increase very little from215May to June, with the largest changes below ~90 km in 2011.216Monthly-mean meridional winds during both 2010 and 2011 in all three months remain217between ~ -5 and 5 ms <sup>-1</sup> , except over SAAMER, and over DrAAMER in May 2011, at the higher218altitudes. Note that monthly-mean winds are not displayed over DrAAMER above 96 km for219several months due to a lack of sufficient meteor detections to satisfy our measurement220constraints. While mean meridional winds over both radars during June 2010 below ~90 km are221equatorward rather than poleward (as expected to result from GW driving of the residual222circulation and implying subsidence in the winter polar mesosphere), there are several factors223that may account for this behavior. As noted by <i>F10b</i> , the winter jet in June over SAAMER224typically extends to higher altitudes, with a weaker (poleward, as seen) residual circulation at225even higher altitudes, than seen at other sites. This response may be linked to unusual GW226forcing of the MLT over the Drake Passage "hotspot" [see <i>F10b</i> ] that will be discussed further227below. There is also considerable variability imposed by PWs and longer-period oscillations that228may influence estimates of monthly-mean meridional motions at the level of the variations seen	212	larger increases in 2010 and over SAAMER compared to DrAAMER. Mean zonal winds
215May to June, with the largest changes below ~90 km in 2011.216Monthly-mean meridional winds during both 2010 and 2011 in all three months remain217between ~ -5 and 5 ms <sup>-1</sup> , except over SAAMER, and over DrAAMER in May 2011, at the higher218altitudes. Note that monthly-mean winds are not displayed over DrAAMER above 96 km for219several months due to a lack of sufficient meteor detections to satisfy our measurement220constraints. While mean meridional winds over both radars during June 2010 below ~90 km are221equatorward rather than poleward (as expected to result from GW driving of the residual222circulation and implying subsidence in the winter polar mesosphere), there are several factors223that may account for this behavior. As noted by <i>F10b</i> , the winter jet in June over SAAMER224typically extends to higher altitudes, with a weaker (poleward, as seen) residual circulation at225even higher altitudes, than seen at other sites. This response may be linked to unusual GW226forcing of the MLT over the Drake Passage "hotspot" [see <i>F10b</i> ] that will be discussed further227below. There is also considerable variability imposed by PWs and longer-period oscillations that228may influence estimates of monthly-mean meridional motions at the level of the variations seen	213	increase again by ~5 to 10 ms <sup>-1</sup> from May to June over SAAMER, with the larger increases at
Monthly-mean meridional winds during both 2010 and 2011 in all three months remain between $\sim$ -5 and 5 ms <sup>-1</sup> , except over SAAMER, and over DrAAMER in May 2011, at the higher altitudes. Note that monthly-mean winds are not displayed over DrAAMER above 96 km for several months due to a lack of sufficient meteor detections to satisfy our measurement constraints. While mean meridional winds over both radars during June 2010 below ~90 km are equatorward rather than poleward (as expected to result from GW driving of the residual circulation and implying subsidence in the winter polar mesosphere), there are several factors that may account for this behavior. As noted by <i>F10b</i> , the winter jet in June over SAAMER typically extends to higher altitudes, with a weaker (poleward, as seen) residual circulation at even higher altitudes, than seen at other sites. This response may be linked to unusual GW forcing of the MLT over the Drake Passage "hotspot" [see <i>F10b</i> ] that will be discussed further below. There is also considerable variability imposed by PWs and longer-period oscillations that may influence estimates of monthly-mean meridional motions at the level of the variations seen	214	middle and higher altitudes. DrAAMER mean zonal winds, however, increase very little from
between ~ -5 and 5 ms <sup>-1</sup> , except over SAAMER, and over DrAAMER in May 2011, at the higher altitudes. Note that monthly-mean winds are not displayed over DrAAMER above 96 km for several months due to a lack of sufficient meteor detections to satisfy our measurement constraints. While mean meridional winds over both radars during June 2010 below ~90 km are equatorward rather than poleward (as expected to result from GW driving of the residual circulation and implying subsidence in the winter polar mesosphere), there are several factors that may account for this behavior. As noted by <i>F10b</i> , the winter jet in June over SAAMER typically extends to higher altitudes, with a weaker (poleward, as seen) residual circulation at even higher altitudes, than seen at other sites. This response may be linked to unusual GW forcing of the MLT over the Drake Passage "hotspot" [see <i>F10b</i> ] that will be discussed further below. There is also considerable variability imposed by PWs and longer-period oscillations that may influence estimates of monthly-mean meridional motions at the level of the variations seen	215	May to June, with the largest changes below ~90 km in 2011.
218altitudes. Note that monthly-mean winds are not displayed over DrAAMER above 96 km for219several months due to a lack of sufficient meteor detections to satisfy our measurement220constraints. While mean meridional winds over both radars during June 2010 below ~90 km are221equatorward rather than poleward (as expected to result from GW driving of the residual222circulation and implying subsidence in the winter polar mesosphere), there are several factors23that may account for this behavior. As noted by <i>F10b</i> , the winter jet in June over SAAMER24typically extends to higher altitudes, with a weaker (poleward, as seen) residual circulation at225even higher altitudes, than seen at other sites. This response may be linked to unusual GW226forcing of the MLT over the Drake Passage "hotspot" [see <i>F10b</i> ] that will be discussed further227below. There is also considerable variability imposed by PWs and longer-period oscillations that228may influence estimates of monthly-mean meridional motions at the level of the variations seen	216	Monthly-mean meridional winds during both 2010 and 2011 in all three months remain
<ul> <li>several months due to a lack of sufficient meteor detections to satisfy our measurement</li> <li>constraints. While mean meridional winds over both radars during June 2010 below ~90 km are</li> <li>equatorward rather than poleward (as expected to result from GW driving of the residual</li> <li>circulation and implying subsidence in the winter polar mesosphere), there are several factors</li> <li>that may account for this behavior. As noted by <i>F10b</i>, the winter jet in June over SAAMER</li> <li>typically extends to higher altitudes, with a weaker (poleward, as seen) residual circulation at</li> <li>even higher altitudes, than seen at other sites. This response may be linked to unusual GW</li> <li>forcing of the MLT over the Drake Passage "hotspot" [see <i>F10b</i>] that will be discussed further</li> <li>below. There is also considerable variability imposed by PWs and longer-period oscillations that</li> <li>may influence estimates of monthly-mean meridional motions at the level of the variations seen</li> </ul>	217	between ~ -5 and 5 ms <sup>-1</sup> , except over SAAMER, and over DrAAMER in May 2011, at the higher
<ul> <li>constraints. While mean meridional winds over both radars during June 2010 below ~90 km are</li> <li>equatorward rather than poleward (as expected to result from GW driving of the residual</li> <li>circulation and implying subsidence in the winter polar mesosphere), there are several factors</li> <li>that may account for this behavior. As noted by <i>F10b</i>, the winter jet in June over SAAMER</li> <li>typically extends to higher altitudes, with a weaker (poleward, as seen) residual circulation at</li> <li>even higher altitudes, than seen at other sites. This response may be linked to unusual GW</li> <li>forcing of the MLT over the Drake Passage "hotspot" [see <i>F10b</i>] that will be discussed further</li> <li>below. There is also considerable variability imposed by PWs and longer-period oscillations that</li> <li>may influence estimates of monthly-mean meridional motions at the level of the variations seen</li> </ul>	218	altitudes. Note that monthly-mean winds are not displayed over DrAAMER above 96 km for
<ul> <li>equatorward rather than poleward (as expected to result from GW driving of the residual</li> <li>circulation and implying subsidence in the winter polar mesosphere), there are several factors</li> <li>that may account for this behavior. As noted by <i>F10b</i>, the winter jet in June over SAAMER</li> <li>typically extends to higher altitudes, with a weaker (poleward, as seen) residual circulation at</li> <li>even higher altitudes, than seen at other sites. This response may be linked to unusual GW</li> <li>forcing of the MLT over the Drake Passage "hotspot" [see <i>F10b</i>] that will be discussed further</li> <li>below. There is also considerable variability imposed by PWs and longer-period oscillations that</li> <li>may influence estimates of monthly-mean meridional motions at the level of the variations seen</li> </ul>	219	several months due to a lack of sufficient meteor detections to satisfy our measurement
circulation and implying subsidence in the winter polar mesosphere), there are several factors that may account for this behavior. As noted by <i>F10b</i> , the winter jet in June over SAAMER typically extends to higher altitudes, with a weaker (poleward, as seen) residual circulation at even higher altitudes, than seen at other sites. This response may be linked to unusual GW forcing of the MLT over the Drake Passage "hotspot" [see <i>F10b</i> ] that will be discussed further below. There is also considerable variability imposed by PWs and longer-period oscillations that may influence estimates of monthly-mean meridional motions at the level of the variations seen	220	constraints. While mean meridional winds over both radars during June 2010 below $\sim$ 90 km are
<ul> <li>that may account for this behavior. As noted by <i>F10b</i>, the winter jet in June over SAAMER</li> <li>typically extends to higher altitudes, with a weaker (poleward, as seen) residual circulation at</li> <li>even higher altitudes, than seen at other sites. This response may be linked to unusual GW</li> <li>forcing of the MLT over the Drake Passage "hotspot" [see <i>F10b</i>] that will be discussed further</li> <li>below. There is also considerable variability imposed by PWs and longer-period oscillations that</li> <li>may influence estimates of monthly-mean meridional motions at the level of the variations seen</li> </ul>	221	equatorward rather than poleward (as expected to result from GW driving of the residual
<ul> <li>typically extends to higher altitudes, with a weaker (poleward, as seen) residual circulation at</li> <li>even higher altitudes, than seen at other sites. This response may be linked to unusual GW</li> <li>forcing of the MLT over the Drake Passage "hotspot" [see <i>F10b</i>] that will be discussed further</li> <li>below. There is also considerable variability imposed by PWs and longer-period oscillations that</li> <li>may influence estimates of monthly-mean meridional motions at the level of the variations seen</li> </ul>	222	circulation and implying subsidence in the winter polar mesosphere), there are several factors
<ul> <li>even higher altitudes, than seen at other sites. This response may be linked to unusual GW</li> <li>forcing of the MLT over the Drake Passage "hotspot" [see <i>F10b</i>] that will be discussed further</li> <li>below. There is also considerable variability imposed by PWs and longer-period oscillations that</li> <li>may influence estimates of monthly-mean meridional motions at the level of the variations seen</li> </ul>	223	that may account for this behavior. As noted by F10b, the winter jet in June over SAAMER
<ul> <li>forcing of the MLT over the Drake Passage "hotspot" [see <i>F10b</i>] that will be discussed further</li> <li>below. There is also considerable variability imposed by PWs and longer-period oscillations that</li> <li>may influence estimates of monthly-mean meridional motions at the level of the variations seen</li> </ul>	224	typically extends to higher altitudes, with a weaker (poleward, as seen) residual circulation at
<ul> <li>below. There is also considerable variability imposed by PWs and longer-period oscillations that</li> <li>may influence estimates of monthly-mean meridional motions at the level of the variations seen</li> </ul>	225	even higher altitudes, than seen at other sites. This response may be linked to unusual GW
may influence estimates of monthly-mean meridional motions at the level of the variations seen	226	forcing of the MLT over the Drake Passage "hotspot" [see F10b] that will be discussed further
	227	below. There is also considerable variability imposed by PWs and longer-period oscillations that
to occur in Figure 5.	228	may influence estimates of monthly-mean meridional motions at the level of the variations seen
	229	to occur in Figure 5.

230 Spectra of hourly-mean zonal and meridional velocities centered at 88.5 km over 231 DrAAMER spanning periods from 2 hr to ~40 days are shown in the upper and lower panels of 232 Figure 6, respectively. These spectra closely resemble those obtained over SAAMER by F10a, 233 with maximum power in the semidiurnal tide, a clear but weaker diurnal peak, and distinct 234 terdiurnal peaks. Also seen is an apparent continuum of GW motions at periods shorter than the 235 inertial period at the DrAAMER latitude (~13.6 hr), a sharper apparent decrease in spectral 236 power near the inertial period than seen over SAAMER, and evidence of PW variance 237 enhancements at longer periods than the diurnal tide, with comparable variances in the zonal and 238 meridional components at periods from  $\sim 1$  to 10 days and somewhat larger zonal variances at 239 longer periods. We note also that GW variances are likely greater than implied by these spectra 240 because the hourly fits to the meteor winds from which the spectra were computed do not capture 241 GW structures having significant horizontal phase variations across the central radar beams 242 extending to  $50^{\circ}$  off-zenith, corresponding to a ~140-km horizontal averaging at 90 km altitude.

243 4 Tidal Winds

a. Diurnal tide

Time-height cross sections of daily diurnal tide zonal and meridional wind amplitudes over DrAAMER during April, May, and June 2011 are displayed in the second panels of Figure 3 (at left and right, respectively). Monthly-mean diurnal tide amplitudes and phases over DrAAMER and SAAMER (red and blue, respectively) are shown together for April, May, and June (top to bottom) in Figure 7. Zonal and meridional components are shown at left and right, and results for 2010 and 2011 are shown with solid and dashed lines, respectively. Black lines in each panel are predictions by the GSWM-09 model.

252 Clearly seen in the daily cross sections in Figure 3 are amplitudes and temporal 253 variability similar to those reported earlier over SAAMER by F10a. These panels indicate relatively small amplitudes in general, with maxima approaching  $\sim 15 \text{ ms}^{-1}$  intermittently 254 255 occurring primarily at the highest altitudes. Monthly-mean amplitudes and phases for 256 DrAAMER and SAAMER shown together in Figure 7 reveal close agreement between the two radars and between 2010 and 2011, except where amplitudes are small,  $\sim 2 \text{ ms}^{-1}$  or smaller. These 257 258 differences are confined to the lower altitudes over SAAMER and the higher altitudes over 259 DrAAMER during June of 2010.

260 Comparing our observations with GSWM-09 predictions at 57°S, 65°W (approximately 261 midway between SAAMER ands DrAAMER), we see that amplitude predictions agree very 262 well, in general, with measurements at both radars, with slight under-estimates of measured 263 amplitudes at ~95 km and above during April and other predictions within the standard 264 deviations of individual monthly estimates at lower altitudes during April and at most altitudes 265 during May and June. Phase predictions by GSWM-09 agree well with measurements over 266 DrAAMER during April in both years up to ~95 km, but exhibit phase delays of several hours at 267 middle and higher altitudes compared to SAAMER measurements in both years. GSWM-09 268 phase predictions are likewise delayed relative to measurements during May and June by 269 typically ~5-10 hr, with better agreement occurring at lower altitudes.

270

#### b. Semidiurnal tide

Time-height cross sections of daily semidiurnal tide zonal and meridional amplitudes over DrAAMER during April, May, and June 2011 are displayed in the lower panels of Figure 3 (at left and right, respectively). Similar data for 2010 are not shown due to five ~3 to 10-day data gaps that preclude a complete analysis of the short-term variability of these motions. Time-height

275 cross sections of daily semidiurnal tide zonal and meridional amplitudes (at left and right, 276 respectively) over SAAMER during April, May, and June of 2009, 2010, and 2011 (top to 277 bottom) are displayed in Figure 8 for comparison with measurements over DrAAMER. Because 278 of the high correlation between temporal variations of the semidiurnal tides over SAAMER and 279 DrAAMER during 2011, these fields illustrate the intra- and inter-annual variability of the 280 semidiurnal tides over both radars spanning the maximum response occurring in May of each 281 year [see F10a]. S-transforms of the daily semidiurnal tide amplitudes over SAAMER for each 282 vear are shown in the upper and lower left three panels of Figure 9, with those over DrAAMER 283 for 2011 shown in the right panels. Monthly-mean semidiurnal tide amplitudes and phases over 284 DrAAMER and SAAMER (red and blue, respectively) are shown together for April, May, and 285 June (top to bottom) in Figure 10. As for the diurnal tide in Figure 7, zonal and meridional 286 components are shown at left and right, and results for 2010 and 2011 are shown with solid and 287 dashed lines, respectively. Black lines in each panel are predictions by the GSWM-09 model. 288 The daily amplitude cross sections in Figure 8 exhibit broad maxima extending from 289 ~mid April to mid June each year, meridional amplitudes typically exceeding zonal amplitudes, 290 and significant intra- and inter-annual variability in these responses. For example, meridional amplitudes exceeding 60 ms<sup>-1</sup> extend from ~mid April to mid June in 2009, but are largely 291 292 confined to ~mid April to mid May in 2010 and 2011. Notable, however, is the very high 293 correlation between the daily semidiurnal responses over SAAMER and DrAAMER during 2011 294 displayed in the lower panels of Figures 3 and 8. In all cases, maximum responses occur at the highest altitudes and approach amplitudes of  $\sim$ 70 ms<sup>-1</sup>. Also seen on examination of Figures 2 295 296 and 8 is a tendency for semidiurnal tide amplitudes to be significantly anti-correlated with the 297 corresponding mean winds (zonal and meridional tide amplitude maxima appear correlated with

298 more westward or southward mean winds, respectively). This tendency is seen both for shorter-299 duration maxima in the zonal component and for more extended intervals in the meridional 300 component, with the latter more conspicuous in the cross sections.

301 Temporal variability of semidiurnal tide amplitudes discussed in connection with Figures 302 3 and 8 is quantified with S-transforms of these data in Figure 9. Comparing the tidal results in 303 Figure 9 with the mean wind S-transforms in Figure 4, we see much more significant correlations 304 between tidal components exhibiting specific periodicities from ~5 to 20 days, as expected given 305 the shorter intrinsic time scales of the tides compared to PWs. As described by F10a, tidal 306 amplitudes exhibit significant variability at expected PW periods as well as longer-period 307 oscillations, and the dominant periodicities are typically seen to occur nearly simultaneously in 308 both tidal components. This is also seen to be the case over the three months during which the 309 semidiurnal tide achieves it maximum amplitudes throughout the year. Examples of strong 310 correlations at specific periodicities include

311 1) the two maxima at  $\sim 10$  to 12 and 20 days in early April 2009,

312 2) the maxima at  $\sim$ 7 to 8 days in mid to late May and June 2009,

- 313 3) the  $\sim 10$  to 12 day maxima in late April 2010,
- 314 4) the maxima at  $\sim$ 12 to 15 days throughout June 2010, and
- 315 5) the multiple maxima at ~8 to 15 days in late May and early June 2011 apparently
  316 following longer periods at earlier times.

317 Clear correlations between tides over SAAMER and DrAAMER during 2011 are seen at periods

318 of ~5 to 8 days in April and early May and from ~8 to 20 days in late May and June. Each of the

319 maxima suggest significant tidal modulation by, or interactions with, the corresponding PW.

What is not seen in Figure 9 is evidence of strong tidal amplitude modulation when these amplitudes are largest. Note, for example, that *the peaks in the S-transforms of semidiurnal tide amplitudes shown in Figure 9 occur in all cases where tidal amplitudes are small*. The lack of maxima in the S-transforms of tidal amplitudes implies a lack of variability, not small tidal amplitudes. Instead, S-transform peaks accompany growth or decay of tidal amplitudes, and suggest that PW interactions may play key roles at these times.

326 Monthly-mean semidiurnal tide amplitudes and phases over DrAAMER and SAAMER 327 shown together in Figure 10 reveal close agreement between the two radars each year and 328 between 2010 and 2011, in most cases. Amplitudes typically increase by factors of  $\sim 3$  from 78 to 329 99 km in April and May of each year, with a cessation of growth with altitude above ~90 km 330 during June of each year. Amplitude profiles are nearly identical for both radars and both years 331 in April, while phases agree between radars, but differ by  $\sim 5$  hr between 2010 and 2011. 332 Amplitudes in May and June agree better in the two years over each radar, but are  $\sim 20$  to 50% 333 larger over SAAMER than over DrAAMER each year. Unlike phases in April, those in May and 334 June are in close agreement for both radars and years, except at the lowest altitudes during May 335 where amplitudes are very small. The distinct seasonal maximum over SAAMER in May noted 336 by F10a is seen to also occur over DrAAMER, though with a slightly weaker and lower 337 maximum amplitude in each component.

Comparing our semidiurnal tide observations with GSWM-09 predictions at 57°S, 65°W (as above), we see that amplitude predictions agree very well during April below ~90 km, with observed amplitudes larger by ~30 to 50% at higher altitudes. In contrast, GSWM-09 predictions are typically ~3 to 5 times smaller than observed amplitudes during May and June. They are also smaller than the GSWM-09 predictions in April, while observed amplitudes are larger, except

during June at the highest altitude. GSWM-09 predictions of semidiurnal tide phases differ
dramatically from our observations during April and May, being more nearly in anti-phase than
in-phase at both sites, despite the significant phase differences between years during April.
GSWM-09 predictions are, however, in reasonable agreement with measurements at both radars
during June up to ~90 km, above which they diverge and lead observations by ~4 hr at 99 km.

348

5

## **GW Momentum Fluxes**

Monthly-mean GW momentum fluxes over the two radars are displayed in Figure 11. The various panels show zonal and meridional fluxes (left and right) over DrAAMER and SAAMER (red and black) for April, May, and June (top to bottom) of 2010 and 2011 (solid and dashed lines), respectively. Momentum fluxes are shown from 79.5 to 91.5 km, as we have less confidence in momentum flux estimates where meteor counts are small and at the highest altitudes because large semidiurnal tides may introduce significant uncertainties.

355 Monthly-mean momentum fluxes displayed in Figure 11 exhibit considerable consistency 356 between 2010 and 2011 at altitudes of 91.5 km and below, within the expected uncertainties of these measurements of  $\sim 10 \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-2}$  assessed by *F10b*. Zonal momentum fluxes are all close to zero 357 358 over the 79.5 to 91.5-km altitude range, with estimates for both radars at most times spread 359 nearly symmetrically about zero, again within the expected uncertainties. Meridional momentum 360 fluxes, in contrast, exhibit consistency between 2010 and 2011 and apparently systematic 361 variations from April to June of each year. Meridional momentum fluxes over SAAMER increase from relatively small values of  $\sim 10$  to 20 m<sup>2</sup>s<sup>-2</sup> at 79.5 km in these months each year in 362 altitude and with time, with mean values for 2010 and 2011 of  $\sim$ 20, 30, and 40 m<sup>2</sup>s<sup>-2</sup> at 91.5 km. 363 Similar increases are seen to occur over DrAAMER at the higher altitudes (reaching  $\sim 50 \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-2}$  at 364

365 91.5 km in June), but with momentum fluxes at the lowest altitudes decreasing from near zero in 366 April to  $\sim -20 \text{ m}^2 \text{s}^{-2}$  in June.

367 Zonal momentum fluxes shown in Figure 11 are too close to zero and without clear 368 trends (within our expected uncertainties) to provide useful guidance on the potential GW 369 sources and filtering processes influencing zonal GW propagation at these altitudes. Meridional 370 momentum fluxes, however, are sufficiently non-zero to provide some useful guidance. At lower 371 altitudes, increasingly negative momentum fluxes over DrAAMER from April to June suggest 372 either 1) increasing sources of GWs propagating to the south below ~85 km and/or 2) more 373 favorable filtering conditions enabling increasing southward propagation with time. At the higher 374 altitudes, meridional momentum fluxes over DrAAMER and SAAMER increase northward with 375 time, suggesting a prevalence of GWs propagating northward at ~85 km and above over both 376 sites. Taken together, these results suggest increasing sources of GWs propagating meridionally 377 over the Drake Passage during April, May, and June of each year, with other dynamics somehow 378 removing the southward-propagating GWs from the spectrum at ~85 km and above or enhancing 379 the GWs propagating northward at these altitudes.

- 380 6 Discussion
- **a.** Mean winds

Mean winds observed over DrAAMER and SAAMER during April to June of 2010 and 2011 discussed above are generally consistent with our previous measurements over SAAMER [*F10a, F11a*] and with other assessments of inter-hemispheric mean winds at high latitudes [*Avery et al.*, 1989; *Portnyagin et al.*, 2004, 2006; *Dowdy et al.*, 2007]. In particular, monthlymean zonal winds approaching winter are more strongly eastward over DrAAMER and SAAMER at higher altitudes than at comparable northern latitudes. Monthly-mean meridional

winds are near zero or weakly poleward throughout our current observations, except over both radars during June 2010, where weakly positive winds ( $< 3 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ ) were observed below ~90 km. These inter-annual fluctuations are nevertheless within the range of variability imposed by PW and longer-period modulations of these monthly means over both radars. The systematicallystronger monthly-mean zonal winds at these locations, however, appear to be a consequence of the unique large-scale dynamics accompanying the Drake Passage "hotspot" of enhanced GW activity discussed at length by *F10a* and *F10b* and references cited above.

395 **b.** Diurnal tide

396 Diurnal tide assessments in the present study have addressed only comparisons between 397 sites and inter-annual comparisons during April to June of 2010 and 2011. We can nevertheless 398 report on improvements in comparisons of current amplitude measurements with the newer 399 GSWM-09 model compared to GSWM-02 predictions. Our previous analysis by F10a compared 400 SAAMER measurements with GSWM-02 results and found GSWM-02 to systematically over-401 estimate diurnal tide amplitudes over SAAMER. However, current diurnal tide amplitude 402 estimates over DrAAMER and SAAMER are in excellent agreement with GSWM-09 predictions 403 at all but the highest altitudes (95 km and above), due to reductions in the GSWM-09 amplitudes 404 relative to GSWM-02. Measured diurnal tide phases during April to June do not agree as well as 405 the GSWM-02 predictions, however, with systematic delays of predicted wind maxima relative 406 to our radar observations at both sites even at lower altitudes where previous comparisons with 407 SAAMER were very good (see Figure 7 and *F10a*, Figure 9].

408 c. Semidiurnal tide

Semidiurnal tide assessments in the present analysis are largely consistent with our
previous study employing SAAMER [*F10a*] in which annual maxima of monthly-mean

semidiurnal tide amplitudes were found to occur in May of each year. Significant inter-annual variability is also apparent, however, as the monthly-mean diurnal amplitudes in the present study over SAAMER are seen to vary from somewhat larger than during 2008 and 2009 to significantly smaller (compare Figure 10 with *F10a*, Figure 11). Specifically, amplitudes at 99 km during April 2010 and 2011 are ~10 ms<sup>-1</sup> smaller than previous years, amplitudes at 99 km during May are comparable to previous years, while those during June are ~ 20 and 10 ms<sup>-1</sup> smaller than reported by *F10a* in 2010 and 2011, respectively.

418 Monthly-mean semidiurnal tide amplitudes are systematically smaller over DrAAMER 419 than over SAAMER during April, May, and June 2010 and 2011, with differences of ~5 to 20 420 ms<sup>-1</sup>, though the vertical profiles and phase structures are in close agreement each year. They 421 appear to be similar, however, to those seen in the study of GW-tidal interactions employing the 422 meteor radar at Rothera (67.6°S, 68.1°W) by *Beldon and Mitchell* [2010], from which we 423 inferred May 2007 monthly-mean zonal and meridional amplitudes at ~97 km from composite-424 day winds to be ~45 and 35 ms<sup>-1</sup> (see their Figure 7), respectively.

Also noted in our examination of semidiurnal tide variability were 1) tendencies for amplitudes to achieve maxima during times for which zonal and meridional winds were minimum (more westward and southward, respectively) and 2) tendencies for the major modulations of the semidiurnal tide at times at which amplitudes were relatively small.

429

## c. GW momentum fluxes

GW momentum fluxes reported here span April, May, and June 2010 and 2011 over
DrAAMER and SAAMER, both of which are within the Drake Passage "hotspot" of GW
activity seen during Austral winter to host the strongest stratospheric GW temperature variances
observed at any site on Earth [*Jiang et al.*, 2002, 2006; *Eckermann et al.*, 2006]. *F10b* observed

434 GW momentum fluxes in the MLT over SAAMER during November 2008 and March 2009 that 435 exhibited anti-correlations with mean zonal winds that were consistent with observations at other 436 sites ranging from middle to high latitudes, including the MF radar in Australia [Vincent and 437 Reid, 1983; Reid and Vincent, 1986; Fritts and Vincent, 1987], the former Poker Flat radar in 438 Alaska [Fritts and Yuan, 1989; Wang and Fritts, 1990, 1991], and the MU radar in Japan [Tsuda 439 et al., 1990]. During Austral winter (June 2008 and 2009 and September 2008), however, zonal 440 momentum fluxes over SAAMER reported by F10b were more nearly correlated with the mean 441 zonal wind, and meridional momentum fluxes were likewise large and positive, suggesting GW 442 propagation eastward and northward away from the Drake Passage at these times. 443 F10b attributed the November 2008 and March 2009 anti-correlations of momentum 444 fluxes and mean zonal winds to the same GW filtering dynamics believed to account for these 445 correlations at other sites. The unusual relations between momentum fluxes and mean winds 446 (including the larger sustained eastward winds during Austral winter extending to higher 447 altitudes than seen at other sites, with corresponding poleward mean meridional winds occurring 448 at higher altitudes, see F11a) were attributed instead to the unique (but poorly understood) 449 dynamics of the Drake Passage "hotspot" and indications of a spectrum of GW sources including 450 jet streams with high eastward winds that might account for eastward GW phase speeds 451 extending into the MLT.

452 Our present DrAAMER and SAAMER observations are generally consistent with this 453 same picture of the MLT GW and mean flow dynamics. June 2010 and 2011 zonal momentum 454 fluxes are near zero at both sites, thus smaller than estimated over SAAMER in June 2008 or 455 2009, but comparable to those in March 2009. Mean zonal winds over SAAMER in June 2010 456 and 2011, however, are somewhat larger than seen over SAAMER in June 2008 and 2009 (by

~10 ms<sup>-1</sup>). If filtering arguments are relevant to these dynamics, we would expect that stronger
eastward winds should contribute to the dissipation of GWs propagating eastward, thus reducing
eastward momentum fluxes relative to westward momentum fluxes and causing the net zonal
momentum flux to decrease, as seen in our current observations.

461 If a strong polar night jet at lower altitudes over the Drake Passage contributes GWs 462 having both significant eastward phase speeds and a range of propagation directions (as we 463 expect from spatially-localized jet stream forcing), then we should also expect that these GWs 464 will contribute to net northward propagation (and positive meridional momentum fluxes) over 465 SAAMER and net southward propagation (and negative meridional momentum fluxes) over 466 DrAAMER at higher altitudes, apart from additional filtering effects. Such a source would seem 467 to provide an explanation for the positive momentum fluxes over SAAMER and the negative 468 momentum fluxes over DrAAMER below ~85 km. At present, however, we have no explanation 469 for why GW momentum fluxes should be positive over the two sites at the northern and southern 470 sides of the Drake passage above  $\sim$ 85 km, although auroral heating is one possible source.

471 As noted by F10b, large GW momentum fluxes accompanying tidal modulation [Fritts 472 and Vincent, 1987; Wang and Fritts, 1991; Espy et al., 2004], arising from specific sources [Espy 473 et al., 2006; Smith et al., 2009], or having no identified cause [Fritts et al., 2002] readily occur in 474 the MLT. Momentum fluxes accompanying such events can significantly exceed the mean 475 values reported at various sites, occasionally by one or two decades. So it is not surprising that 476 large monthly mean GW momentum fluxes can also occur in regions of demonstrated strong 477 local GW sources, as appears to be the case over DrAAMER and SAAMER, especially during 478 austral winter when multiple strong sources are expected to occur. What remains to be explained 479 are the dynamics that lead to the large mean momentum fluxes observed over the Drake Passage

480 "hotspot" and their implications for the local and global structure and variability of the MLT in 481 this region.

482 **Summary and Conclusions** 7

483 We described in this paper a new meteor radar, the Drake Antarctic Agile MEteor Radar 484 (DrAAMER) located at Ferraz Station on King George Island (62.1°S, 58.7°W), which, like its 485 predecessor SAAMER located at Rio Grande on Tierra del Fuego (53.8°S, 67.8°W), was 486 designed to enable high resolution wind measurements for assessments of mean, PW, and tidal 487 wind fields, a capability for assessing GW momentum fluxes, and advanced meteor studies. 488

DrAAMER was installed and became operational in March 2010.

489 To evaluate DrAAMER performance and begin to characterize the large- and smaller-490 scale dynamics of the Drake Passage "hotspot" more fully, we also described the mean and tidal 491 wind fields and GW momentum flux estimates over DrAAMER during April, May, and June of 492 2010 and 2011, performed comparisons with correlative measurements by SAAMER, and 493 compared tidal wind measurements at both sites with the newer GSWM-09 model.

494 Daily-mean zonal and meridional winds were found to agree closely between the two 495 sites during April, May, and June 2011 for which correlative data were available. They were also 496 found to exhibit similar structure and variability as seen during 2008 and 2009 over SAAMER. 497 S-transforms of these winds revealed dominant periodicities at both sites corresponding to 498 various PW and longer-period oscillations. Primary responses were seen at ~5 to 15 days 499 throughout the 3-month data set,  $\sim 20$  to 30 days at the beginning and end of the data set, and  $\sim 30$ 500 to 45 days in the latter portion of the data set. These various responses were somewhat correlated 501 between sites and somewhat stronger in the zonal wind over SAAMER. There was also 502 variability, however, suggesting a delayed response at one site relative to the other or a

503 significant response in one wind component that had a small or no response in the other 504 component. Both the daily-mean wind cross sections and their S-transforms for SAAMER 505 revealed significant inter-annual variability in the 3-month interval examined each year. 506 Monthly-mean winds were seen to agree closely between sites, with maximum differences of  $\sim 5 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ . Differences are expected in both the zonal and meridional mean winds at 507 508 high latitudes, as the zonal jet must decrease towards the pole, and the mean meridional 509 circulation is determined by latitudinally-varying GW forcing of the MLT. However, other 510 factors also appear to contribute to the differences observed in our study. These include 1) the 511 large PW and longer-period oscillations seen in the daily-mean winds, 2) the lack of exact 512 phasing of these various modulations at the two sites, and 3) data gaps, especially for DrAAMER 513 during 2010, which presumably cause incomplete averaging over the various oscillations 514 influencing each monthly mean.

515 Tides, especially the semidiurnal tide, are seen to make dominant contributions to the large-scale wind fields. The diurnal tide contributes winds typically of  $\sim 5 \text{ ms}^{-1}$  at most altitudes, 516 with maxima approaching  $\sim 10 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ , except at the highest altitudes where daily amplitudes may 517 518 be twice as large. Semidiurnal tide zonal and meridional winds, in contrast, contribute very 519 significantly to the motion field over both radars, with monthly-mean amplitudes typically approaching  $\sim 20$  to 50 ms<sup>-1</sup> at the highest altitudes, with maxima of  $\sim 65$  ms<sup>-1</sup> in the meridional 520 component over SAAMER in June and daily amplitudes exceeding  $\sim 70 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ . Semidiurnal tide 521 522 amplitudes are typically ~20 to 50% larger over SAAMER than over DrAAMER each year. 523 They also tend to be anti-correlated with eastward and northward winds and to exhibit the 524 greatest variability at various PW periods when amplitudes are small.

525 Our limited analysis also suggests little inter-annual variability of monthly-mean 526 semidiurnal tide amplitudes over SAAMER during April and May and over DrAAMER during 527 April and June of 2010 and 2011, with greater inter-annual variability over DrAAMER during 528 May and at lower altitudes, and over SAAMER during June. Semidiurnal phases compare 529 closely between the two sites in both components each year, but exhibit greater inter-annual 530 variability in April than in May or June (phase differences of ~2 to 3 hr in April and ~1 hr or less 531 in May and June). Phase progression is downward and relatively uniform in altitude, implying 532 upward propagation and a vertical wavelength of ~60 to 80 km. Semidiurnal tide amplitudes are 533 systematically larger than predictions by the GSWM-09 model, with the largest discrepancies 534 occurring in May and June. Significant phase discrepancies are also observed, being more nearly 535 in anti-phase during April and May, but in-phase below ~90 km in June, with departures 536 increasing at higher altitudes implying a shorter predicted vertical wavelength than observed. 537 Our analysis of GW momentum fluxes during April, May, and June 2010 and 2011 538 revealed significant consistency between sites and years, as well as with the general findings by 539 F10b over SAAMER in 2008 and 2009, but significant departures from mean wind and 540 momentum flux correlations widely reported elsewhere and also seen over SAAMER except in 541 Austral winter. These differences were attributed to the expected unique (but poorly understood) 542 dynamics of the Drake Passage "hotspot" and indications of a spectrum of GW sources including 543 jet streams with high eastward winds that might account for eastward GW phase speeds 544 extending into the MLT and the stronger eastward mean winds in this region than seen at 545 comparable northern latitudes. Our inferred GW momentum fluxes exhibited zonal mean values 546 near zero (within our estimation uncertainties), suggesting a balance between eastward and 547 westward GW momentum transport that is consistent with the lack of significant zonal mean

548 wind variations with altitude. Significant differences were seen in the mean meridional

549 momentum fluxes over SAAMER and DrAAMER, with negative and positive fluxes,

respectively, below ~85 km approaching Austral winter, and positive fluxes over both radars at

551 higher altitudes. The momentum flux variations at lower altitudes were considered to be

552 consistent with possible jet stream sources primarily over the Drake Passage, with dominant GW

553 propagation northward and southward over SAAMER and DrAAMER, respectively.

We have no explanation at present for the increasing positive meridional momentum fluxes at higher altitudes, and the corresponding implied equatorward GW propagation. Auroral GW generation is one possibility, but there are no measurements of momentum fluxes in polar winter at these altitudes (even the Poker Flat radar was unable to make measurements in winter above ~85 km), nor are there modeling studies that predict GW responses to auroral forcing. Thus, an explanation will need to await further quantification of these dynamics.

560

561 Acknowledgments The acquisition and installation of DrAAMER, and the research described in 562 this paper, were performed under NSF grant OPP-0839084. We are especially grateful to the 563 Secretaria for the Interministerial Commission of Sea Resources (SECIRM) and the Brazilian 564 Antarctic Program (PROANTAR) for their support of this research and visits to Ferraz Station 565 required by project personnel to install and service DrAAMER. The authors are also very 566 grateful for the valuable support of personnel at Estacion Astronomica Rio Grande (EARG) for 567 their assistance with the operations and maintenance of SAAMER. Finally, we are indebted to 568 MARDOC and Genesis Software for working with us to devise a radar configuration that met 569 our measurement objectives. We also acknowledge use of the CEDAR database and the GSWM-570 09 website at NCAR for GSWM-09 results employed for our comparisons.

#### 571 8 References

- 572 Alexander, M. J., J. Gille, J., C. Cavanaugh, et al., 2008a: Global estimates of gravity wave
- 573 momentum flux from High Resolution Dynamics Limb Sounder observations, J. Geophys.
- 574 Res., 113, D15S18, doi:10.1029/2007JD008807.
- 575 Alexander, M. J., Teitelbaum, H., Eckermann, S. D., Gille, J., Barnett, J., and Barnet, C., 2008b:
- 576 High-resolution satellite observations of mountain waves, B. Am. Meteor. Soc., 89, 151–152.
- 577 Avery, S. K., R. A. Vincent, A. Phillips, A. H. Manson, and G. J. Fraser, 1989: High-latitude
- tidal behavior in the mesosphere and lower thermosphere, *J. Atmos. Terres. Phys.*, 51 (7/8),
  595-608.
- Beldon, C. L., and N. J. Mitchell, 2010: Gravity wave-tidal interactions in the mesosphere and
  lower thermosphere over Rothera, Antarctica (68°S, 68°W), J. Geophys. Res., 115, D18101,
  doi:10.1029/2009JD013617.
- Burrage, M. D., M. E. Hagan, W. R. Skinner, D. L. Wu, and P. B. Hays, 1995: Long•term
  variability in the solar diurnal tide observed by HRDI and simulated by the GSWM,
  Geophys. Res. Lett., 22(19), 2641–2644.
- 586 Dowdy, A. J., R. A. Vincent, M. Tsutsumi, K. Igarashi, Y. Murayama, W. Singer, and D. J.
- 587 Murphy, 2007: Polar mesosphere and lower thermosphere dynamics: 1. Mean wind and
- 588 gravity wave climatologies, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D17104, doi:10.1029/2006JD008126.
- 589 Eckermann, S. D., and C. J. Marks, 1996: An Idealized Ray Model of Gravity Wave-Tidal
- 590 Interactions, J. Geophys. Res., 101(D16), 96JD01660, 21,195-21,212.
- 591 Eckermann, S. D., D. L. Wu, J. D. Doyle, J. F., Burris, T. J. McGee, C. A. Hostetler, L. Coy, B.
- 592 N. Stephens, J. P. McCormack, and T. F. Hogan, 2006: Imaging gravity waves in lower
- 593 stratospheric AMSU-A radiances, Par t 2: Validation case study, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6,

594 <u>3343–3362</u>.

595 Ern, M., P. Preusse, M. J. Alexander, and C. D. Warner, 2004: Absolute values of gravity wave
596 momentum flux derived from satellite data, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D20103,

597 doi:10.1029/2004JD004752, 2004.

- 598 Espy, P. J., R. E. Hibbins, G. R. Swenson, J. Tang, M. J. Taylor, D. M. Riggin, and D. C. Fritts,
- 2006: Regional variations of mesospheric gravity wave momentum fluxes over Antarctica,
  Ann. Geophys., 24, SRef-ID: 1432-0576/ag/2006-24-81, 81-88.
- Espy, P. J., G. O. L. Jones, G. R. Swenson, J. Tang and M. J. Taylor, 2004: Tidal modulation of
- the gravity-wave momentum flux in the Antarctic mesosphere, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31,
- 603 L11111, doi:10.1029/2004JGLO19624.
- 604 Forbes, J. M., 1995: Tidal and planetary waves, The upper mesosphere and lower thermosphere:
- a review of experiment and theory, R. M. Johnson and T. L. Killeen, Eds., AGU Monograph.
- Forbes, J. M., and J. Gu, and S. Miyahara, 1991: On the interactions between gravity waves and
- 607 the diurnal propagating tide. Planet. Space Sci., 39, 1249-1257.
- Fritts, D. C., and M. J. Alexander, 2003: Gravity dynamics and effects in the middle
  atmosphere, Rev. Geophys., 41, doi:10.1029/2001RG000106.
- 610 Fritts, D. C., H. Iimura, R. Lieberman, D. Janches, and W. Singer, 2011a: A Conjugate Study of
- 611 Mean Winds and Planetary Waves Employing Enhanced Meteor Radars at Rio Grande,
- 612 Argentina (53.8oS) and Juliusruh, Germany (54.6oN), J. Geophys. Res., submitted.
- 613 Fritts, D. C., D. Janches, and W. K. Hocking, 2011b: Assessment of gravity wave momentum
- 614 flux measurement capabilities by meteor radars having different transmitter power and
- 615 antenna configurations, J. Geophys. Res., to be submitted.

- 616 Fritts, D. C., D. Janches, H. Iimura, W. K. Hocking, N. J. Mitchell, B. Fuller, B. Vandepeer, J.
- 617 Hormaechea, C. Brunini, and H. Levato, 2010a: Southern Argentina agile meteor radar
- 618 (SAAMER): System design and initial measurements of large-scale winds and tides, J.
- 619 Geophys. Res., 115, D18112, doi:10.1029/2010JD013850.
- 620 Fritts, D. C., D. Janches, and W. K. Hocking, 2010b: Southern Argentina agile meteor radar
- 621 (SAAMER): Initial assessment of gravity wave momentum fluxes, J. Geophys. Res., 115,
  622 D19123, doi:10.1029/2010JD013891.
- 623 Fritts, D. C., T. Tsuda, T. E. VanZandt, S. A. Smith, T. Sato, S. Fukao, and S. Kato, 1990:
- Studies of velocity fluctuations in the lower atmosphere using the MU radar: II. Momentum
  fluxes and energy densities. J. Atmos. Sci., 47, 51-66.
- 626 Fritts, D. C., S. A. Vadas, and Y. Yamada, 2002: An estimate of strong local gravity wave body
- 627 forcing based on OH airglow and meteor radar observations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 29 (10),
- 628 10.1029/2001GL013753.
- Fritts, D. C., and R. A. Vincent, 1987: Mesospheric momentum flux studies at Adelaide,
  Australia: Observations and a gravity wave/tidal interaction model, J. Atmos. Sci., 44, 605631 619.
- Fritts, D. C., and L. Yuan, 1989: Measurement of momentum fluxes near the summer
  mesopause at Poker Flat, Alaska, J. Atmos. Sci., 46, 2569-2579.
- Hagan, M. E., M. D. Burrage, J. M. Forbes, J. Hackney, W. J. Randel, and X. Zhang, 1999:
- 635 GSWM-98: Results for migrating solar tides, J. Geophys. Res., 104(A4), 6813-68-27.
- Hagan, M. E. and J. M. Forbes, 2002: Migrating and nonmigrating diurnal tides in the middle
- and upper atmosphere excited by tropospheric latent heat release, J. Geophys. Res.,
- 638 107(D24), 4754, doi: 10.1029/2001JD001236.

- Hagan, M. E., and J. M. Forbes, 2003: Migrating and nonmigrating semidiurnal tides in the
  upper atmosphere excited by tropospheric latent heat release, J. Geophys. Res., 108(A2),
  1062, doi:10.1029/2002JA009466.
- 642 Hertzog, A., G. Boccara, R. A. Vincent, F. Vial, and P. Cocquerez, 2008: Estimation of gravity
- 643 wave momentum flux and phase speeds from quasi-Lagrangian stratospheric balloon flights.
- Part II: Results from the Vorcore campaign in Antarctica, J. Atmos. Sci., 65, 3056–3070.
- Holton, J. R., 1984: The generation of mesospheric planetary waves by zonally asymmetric
  gravity wave breaking. J. Atmos. Sci., 41, 3427-3430.
- 647 Isler, J.R., and D. C. Fritts, 1996. Gravity wave variability and interactions with lower-frequency
- 648 motions in the mesosphere and lower thermosphere over Hawaii, J. Atmos. Sci., 53, 37-48.
- Jiang, J. H., S. D. Eckermann, D. L. Wu, D. Y. Wang, 2006: Inter-annual variation of gravity
- waves in the Arctic and Antarctic winter middle atmosphere, Adv. Space Res., 37, 2418-2423.
- Jiang, J. H., D. L. Wu, and S. D. Eckermann, 2002: Upper Atmosphere Research Satellite
- (UARS) MLS observation of mountain waves over the Andes, J. Geophys. Res., 107, D20,
  8273, doi:10.1029/2002JD002091.
- Jones, J., A.R. Webster, and W.K. Hocking, 1998: An improved interferometer design for use
  with meteor radars, Radio Sci., 33, 55-65.
- Lieberman, R. S., J. Oberheide, M. E. Hagan, E. E. Remsberg, and L. L. Gordley, 2004:
- 658 Variability of diurnal tides and planetary waves during November 1978–May 1979, J.
- 659 Atmos.Solar-Terres. Phys., 66(6-9), 517-528, doi:10.1016/j.jastp.2004.01.006.
- 660 Liu, X., J. Xu, H.-L. Liu, and R. Ma, 2008: Nonlinear interactions between gravity waves with
- different wavelengths and diurnal tide, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D08112,

- 662 doi:10.1029/2007JD009136.
- 663 Lu, W., and D. C. Fritts, 1993: Spectral estimates of gravity wave energy and momentum fluxes, 664 III: Gravity wave-Tidal interactions. J. Atmos. Sci., 50, 3714-3727.
- 665 Manson, A.H., C.E. Meek, and G. E. Hall, 1998. Correlations of gravity waves and tides in the 666 mesosphere over Saskatoon. J. Atmos. Solar-Terres. Phys., 60, 1089–1107.
- 667 Manson, A. H. C. Meek, M. Hagan, C. Hall, W. Hocking, J. MacDougall, S. Franke, D. Riggin,
- 668 D. Fritts, R. Vincent, and M. Burrage, 1999: Seasonal variations of the semi-diurnal and
- 669 diurnal tides in the MLT: multi-year MF radar observations from 2 to 70°N, and the GSWM
- 670 tidal model, J. Atmos. Solar-Terres. Phys., 61(11), 809-828, doi:10.1016/S1364-
- 671 6826(99)00045-0.
- 672 McLandress, C., 1998: On the importance of gravity waves in the middle atmosphere and their 673
- parameterization in general circulation models, J. Atmos. Solar-Terr. Phys., 60, 1357-1383.
- 674 McLandress, C., 2002: The seasonal variation of the propagating diurnal tide in the mesosphere
- 675 and lower thermosphere. Part I: The role of gravity waves and planetary waves. J. Atmos. 676 Sci, 59:5, 907-922.
- 677 McLandress, C., M. J. Alexander and D. L. Wu, 2000: Microwave Limb Sounder observations 678 of gravity waves in the stratosphere: A climatology and interpretation, J. Geophys. Res., 679 105(D9), 11,947-11,967.
- 680 McLandress, C., and W. E. Ward, 1994: Tidal/gravity wave interactions and their influence on 681 the large-scale dynamics of the middle atmosphere: Model results, J. Geophys. Res., 99, 682 8139-8156.
- 683 Meyer, C. K., 1999: Gravity wave interactions with the diurnal propagating tide. J. Geophys. 684 Res., 104, 4223-4239.

- Miyahara, S., 1985: Suppression of stationary planetary waves by internal gravity waves in the
  mesosphere. J. Atmos. Sci., 42, 100-107.
- Miyahara, S., Y. Hayashi, and J.D. Mahlman, 1986: Interactions between gravity waves and
  planetary-scale flow simulated by the GFDL "SKYHI" general circulation model. J. Atmos.
  Sci., 43, 1844-1861.
- 690 Murphy, D. J., J. M. Forbes, R. L. Walterscheid, M. E. Hagan, S. K. Avery, T. Aso, G. J. Fraser,
- D. C. Fritts, M. J. Jarvis, A. J. McDonald, D. M. Riggin, M. Tsutsumi, and R. A. Vincent,
- 692 2006: A climatology of tides in the Antarctic mesosphere and lower thermosphere, J.
  693 Geophys. Res., 111, D23104, doi:10.1029/2005JD006803.
- Murphy, D. J., and R. A. Vincent, 1998: Mesospheric momentum fluxes over Adelaide during
  the 2-day wave: Results and interpretation, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 28,627-28,636.
- 696 Pancheva, D., N. J. Mitchell, M. E. Hagan, A. H. Mansons, C. E. Meek, Yi Luo, Ch. Jacobi, D.
- 697 Kürschner, R. R. Clark, W. K. Hocking, J. MacDougall, G. O. L. Jones, R. A. Vincent, I. M.
- 698 Reid, W. Singer, K. Igarashi, G. I. Fraser, T. Nakamura, T. Tsuda, Yu. Portnyagin, E.
- 699 Merzlyakov, A. N. Fahrutdinova, A. M. Stepanov, L. M. G. Poole, S. B. Malinga, B. L.
- 700 Kashcheyev, A. N. Oleynikov, D. M. Riggin, 2002: Global-scale tidal structure in the
- 701 mesosphere and lower-thermosphere during the PSMOS campaign of June-August 1999 and
- comparisons with the global-scale wave model, J. Atmos. Solar-Terres. Phys., 64, 1011-
- 703 1035.
- Pancheva, D., N. J. Mitchell, A. H. Manson, et al., 2004: Variability of the quasi-2-day wave
- observed in the MLT region during the PSMOS campaign of June–August 1999, J. Atmos.
- 706 Solar-Terres. Phys., 66, doi:10.1016/j.jastp.2004.01.008, 539-565.

- 707 Pancheva, D., P. Mukhtarov, and B. Andonov, 2009: Global structure, seasonal and interannual
- variability of the migrating semidiurnal tide seen in the SABER/TIMED temperatures (2002-
- 709 2007), Ann. Geophys, 27, 687-703.
- 710 Portnyagin, Yu. I., E. G. Merzlyakov, T. V. Solov'eva, N.A. Makarov, 2006: Interhemispheric
- 711 distinctions in wind-regime parameters in the polar mesosphere-lower thermosphere,
- 712 Izvestiya, Atmos. Oceanic Phys., 42(1), 93-104.
- Portnyagin, Yu., T. Solovjova, E. Merzlyakov, et al., 2004: Mesosphere/lower thermosphere
  prevailing wind model, Adv. Space Res., 34, 1755-1762.
- 715 Preusse, P., A. Dornbrack, S. D. Eckermann, M. Riese, B. Schaeler, J. T. Bacmeister, D.
- 716 Broutman, and K. U. Grossmann, 2002: Space based measurements of stratospheric
- 717 mountain waves by CRISTA, 1. Sensitivity, analysis method and a case study, J. Geophys.
- 718 Res., 107, 8178, doi:10.1029/2001JD000699.
- 719 Preusse, P., S.D. Eckermann, J. Oberheide, ,M.E. Hagan, and D. Offermann, 2001: Modulation
- of gravity waves by tides as seen in CRISTA temperatures, Adv. Space Res., 27 (10), 1773-
- 721 1778.
- 722 Preusse, P., M. Ern, S. D. Eckermann, C. D. Warner, R. H. Picard, P. Knieling, M. Krebsbach, J.
- 723 M. Russell III, M. G. Mlynczak, C. J. Mertens, and M. Riese, 2006: Tropopause to
- mesopause gravity waves in August: Measurement and modeling, J. Atmos. Sol.-Terr. Phys.,
- 725 68, 1730–1751.
- 726 Smith, S., J. Baumgardner, and M. Mendillo, 2009: Evidence of mesospheric gravity-waves
- generated by orographic forcing in the troposphere, J. Geophys. Res., **36**, L08807,
- 728 doi:10.1029/2008GL036936.
- 729 Stockwell, R. G., L. Mansinha, and R. Lowe, 1996: Localization of the complex spectrum: The S

- transform, IEEE Trans. Signal Process., 44(4), 998 1001.
- 731 Thayaparan, T., W.K. Hocking, and J. MacDougall, 1995. Observational evidence of
- tidal/gravity wave interactions using the UWO 2MHz radar, Geophys. Res. Lett., 22, 373-
- 733 376.
- Tsuda, T., Y. Murayama, M. Yamamoto, S. Kato, and S. Fukao, 1990: Seasonal variation of
- momentum flux in the mesosphere observed with the MU radar, Geophys. Res. Lett., 17(6),
  725–728, doi:10.1029/GL017i006p00725.
- Tsuda, T., M. Nishida, C. Rocken, and R. H. Ware, 2000: A global morphology of gravity wave
  activity in the stratosphere revealed by the GPS occultation data GPS/MET, J. Geophys.
  Res., 105, 7257–7273.
- VanZandt, T. E., S. A. Smith, T. Tsuda, D. C. Fritts, T. Sato, S. Fukao, and S. Kato, 1990:
  Studies of velocity fluctuations in the lower atmosphere using the MU radar. Part I:
  Azimuthal anisotropy, J. Atmos. Sci., 47(1), 39-50.
- Vincent, R. A., S. Kovalam, D. C. Fritts, and J. R. Isler, 1998: Long-term MF radar observations
  of solar tides in the low-latitude mesosphere: Interannual variability and comparisons with
- 745 the GSWM, J. Geophys. Res., 103(D8), 8667–8683.
- Vincent, R.A., and I. M. Reid, 1983. HF Doppler measurements of mesospheric momentum
  fluxes. Journal of Atmospheric Science40, 1321–1333.
- Wang, D.-Y., and D. C. Fritts, 1990: Mesospheric momentum fluxes observed by the MST radar
  at Poker Flat, Alaska, J. Atmos. Sci., 47, 1511-1521.
- Wang, D.-Y., and D. C. Fritts, 1991: Evidence of gravity wave-tidal interaction observed near
- the summer mesopause at Poker Flat, Alaska, J. Atmos. Sci.,48, 572-583.

- Wu, D. L., 2004: Mesoscale gravity wave variances from AMSU-A radiances, Geophys. Res.
  Lett., 31, doi:10.1029/2004GL019562.
- 754 Wu., D. L., and S. D. Eckermann, 2008: Global Gravity Wave Variances from Aura MLS:
- 755 Characteristics and Interpretation, J. Atmos. Sci., 65, 3695-3718, DOI:
- 756 10.1175/2008JAS2489.1.
- 757 Wu, D. L., and J. H. Jiang, 2002: MLS observations of atmospheric gravity waves over
- 758 Antarctica, J. Geophys. Res., 107, NO. D24, 4773, doi:10.1029/2002JD002390.
- 759 Wu, D. L., P. Preusse, S. D. Eckermann, J. H. Jiang, M. de la Torre Juarez, L. Coy, D. Y. Wang,
- 760 2006: Remote sounding of atmospheric gravity waves with satellite limb and nadir
- 761 techniques, Adv. Space Res., 37, 2269-2277.
- 762 Zhang, X.,J. M. Forbes, and M. E. Hagan, 2010a: Longitudinal variation of tides in the MLT
- region: 1. Tides driven by tropospheric net radiative heating, J. Geophys. Res. (Space
- 764 Physics), 115, 6316, doi:10.1029/2009JA014897.
- 765 Zhang, X.,J. M. Forbes, and M. E. Hagan, 2010b: Longitudinal variation of tides in the MLT
- region: 2. Relative effectis of solar radiative and latent heating, J. Geophys. Res. (Space
- 767 Physics), 115, 6317, doi:10.1029/2009JA014898.
- 768
- 769
- 770
- 771
- 772
- 773
- 774

#### 775 Figure captions

Figure 1. (top) Unambiguous meteors detected by SAAMER (on Tierra del Fuego) and

777 DrAAMER (on King George Island) for one day showing the radar beam sensitivity. (bottom,

1778 left) Daily unambiguous meteor counts over SAAMER/TdF during 2011 (solid) and

779 DrAAMER/KGI (dashed), and (bottom, right) meteor altitude distributions over each radar.

780 Figure 2. (left) Zonal and (right) meridional daily-mean winds over SAAMER for April, May,

and June of (top) 2009, (middle) 2010, and (bottom) 2011. Note the difference color scales in

each column.

783 Figure 3. (left) Zonal and (right) meridional (top) daily-mean winds, (middle) diurnal tide

amplitudes, and (bottom) semidiurnal tide amplitudes over DrAAMER for April, May, and June

of 2011. Note the difference color scales in each row.

Figure 4. S-transforms of (top) zonal and (bottom) meridional daily-mean winds for April, May,

and June (left to right) of 2009, 2010, and 2011, respectively, over SAAMER and for 2011 over

788 DrAAMER. Amplitudes at each frequency are shown with the color bars at right in each case.

789 Figure 5. Monthly-mean (left) zonal and (right) meridional winds for (top) April, (middle) May,

and (bottom) June of (solid lines) 2010 and (dashed lines) 2011 over (red) DrAAMER and (blue)

791 SAAMER. Standard deviations are shown with horizontal lines in each case.

Figure 6. Power spectra of hourly (top) zonal and (bottom) meridional winds during April, May,

and June 2011 over DrAAMER. Tidal peaks are indicated, and periods are shown from 2 hr to

794 ~40 days.

**Figure 7.** Diurnal tide (column 1) zonal and (column 3) meridional amplitudes and (column 2)

zonal and (column 4) meridional phases for (top) April, (middle) May, and (bottom) June of

- 797 (solid lines) 2010 and (dashed lines) 2011 over (red) DrAAMER and (blue) SAAMER. Standard
- deviations are shown with horizontal lines in each case. Black lines show GSWM-09 predictions.
- 799 **Figure 8.** As in Figure 2, but for semidiurnal tide amplitudes.
- 800 **Figure 9.** As in Figure 4, but for semidiurnal tide amplitudes.
- 801 **Figure 10.** As in Figure 7, but for the semidiurnal tide.
- 802 Figure 11. Monthly-mean (left) zonal and (right) meridional GW momentum fluxes for (top)
- April, (middle) May, and (bottom) June of (solid lines) 2010 and (dashed lines) 2011 over (red)
- 804 DrAAMER and (blue) SAAMER.
- 805







































