https://ntrs.nasa.gov/search.jsp?R=20110015531 2019-08-30T17:21:36+00:00Z
American Journal of Physical Anthropology



American Journal of Physical Anthropology

## From parasite encounter to infection: multiple-scale drivers of parasite richness in a wild social primate population

Journal:	American Journal of Physical Anthropology
Manuscript ID:	AJPA-2011-00098.R1
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Research Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Benavides, Julio; CNRS-Institut des Sciences de l'Evolution, Université Montpellier II; Institute of Zoology, Zoological Society of London Huchard, Elise; German Primate Center, Department of Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology; Georg-August-University, Courant Research Centre Pettorelli, Nathalie; Institute of Zoology, Zoological Society of London King, Andrew; Royal Veterinary College, University of London, Structure and Motion Laboratory; Institute of Zoology, Zoological Society of London Brown, Molly; NASA-Goddard Space Flight Center, SSAI Archer, Colleen; University of KwaZulu-Natal, School of Biological and Conservation Sciences Appleton, Chris; University of KwaZulu-Natal, School of Biological and Conservation Sciences Raymond, Michel; CNRS-Institut des Sciences de l'Evolution, Université Montpellier II Cowlishaw, Guy; Institute of Zoology, Zoological Society of London
Key Words:	homerange use, gut parasites, physical condition, baboon, sociality
	·

# SCHOLARONE<sup>™</sup> Manuscripts

1								
2 3 4	1	Title: From parasite encounter to infection: multiple-scale drivers of parasite richness						
5 6 7	2	in a wild social primate population						
8 9	3							
10 11 12	4	Authors: Benavides JA <sup>a,b,*</sup> , Huchard E <sup>c,d*</sup> , Pettorelli N <sup>b</sup> , King AJ <sup>b,e</sup> , Brown ME <sup>f</sup> , Archer						
12 13 14	5	CE <sup>g</sup> , Appleton CC <sup>g</sup> , Raymond M <sup>a</sup> , Cowlishaw G <sup>b</sup>						
15 16 17	6	Affiliations:						
17 18 19	7	<sup>a</sup> CNRS – Institut des Sciences de l'Evolution, Université Montpellier II, Place Eugène						
20 21	8	Bataillon, CC 065, 34 095 Montpellier cedex 5, France						
22 23 24	9	<sup>b</sup> Institute of Zoology, Zoological Society of London, Regent's Park, London NW1 4RY, UK.						
25 26	10	<sup>c</sup> Department of Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology, German Primate Center, Kellnerweg						
27 28 29	11	4, 37077 Göttingen, Germany						
30 31	12	" Courant Research Centre "Evolution of Social Behavior", Georg-August-University,						
32 33 34	13	Göttingen, Germany						
35 36	14	Structure and Motion Laboratory, Royal Veterinary College, University of London,						
37 38	15	Hawkshead Lane, North Mynnins, Hattleid, Hertfordshire, AL9 7D1.						
39 40	16	<sup>f</sup> SSAI, NASA-Goddard Space Flight Center. Code 614.4, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA						
42 43	17	<sup>g</sup> School of Biological and Conservation Sciences, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban,						
44 45	18	South Africa.						
46 47	19	*These two authors contributed equally.						
49 50	20							
51 52 53	21	Corresponding author: Julio Benavides						
54 55	22	CNRS – Institut des Sciences de l'Evolution, Université Montpellier II, Place Eugène						
56 57	23	Bataillon, CC 065, 34 095 Montpellier cedex 5, France						
58 59 60	24	Telephone number : + $33(0)4$ 67 14 49 66 / Fax number: + $33(0)4$ 67 14 36 22						
	25	Email: benavidesjulio@yahoo.fr						

1		
2 3 4	26	
5 6	27	Number of text pages: 28
7 8 9	28	Number of references: 85
10 11	29	Number of graphs: 4
12 13 14	30	Number of tables: 5
15 16	31	Abbreviated title: Determinants of parasite richness in baboons
17 18	32	Key words: homerange use, gut parasites, physical condition, baboon, sociality.
19 20 21	33	Grant sponsorship:
22 23	34	This work was funded by a Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) (UK)
24 25	35	Project Grant and Advanced Fellowship awarded to GC, a NERC Studentship awarded to
20 27 28	36	AJK, a Ministère de l'Education et de la Recherche (France) Studentship awarded to EH and
29 30	37	JB, a Deutsches Forschungsgemeinschaft Research Grant (number HU 1820/1-1) awarded to
31 32 33	38	EH, and a CONICYT scholarship from the Chilean Government awarded to JB.
34 25		
35 36		
37 38		
39		
40 41		
42		
43		
44 45		
46		
47 48		
49		
50		
51 52		
53		
54		
55 56		
57		
58		
59 60		

39 Abstract

Host parasite diversity plays a fundamental role in ecological and evolutionary processes, yet the factors that drive it are still poorly understood. A variety of processes, operating across a range of spatial scales, are likely to influence both the probability of parasite encounter and subsequent infection. Here, we explored eight possible determinants of parasite richness, comprising rainfall and temperature at the population level, ranging behavior and home range productivity at the group level, and age, sex, body condition, and social rank at the individual level. We used a unique dataset describing gastrointestinal parasites in a terrestrial subtropical vertebrate (chacma baboons, Papio ursinus), comprising 662 faecal samples from 86 individuals representing all age-sex classes across two groups over two dry seasons in a desert population. Three mixed models were used to identify the most important factor at each of the three spatial scales (population, group, individual); these were then standardised and combined in a single, global, mixed model. Individual age had the strongest influence on parasite richness, in a convex relationship. Parasite richness was also higher in females and animals in poor condition, albeit at a lower order of magnitude than age. Finally, with a further halving of effect size, parasite richness was positively correlated to day range and temperature. These findings indicate that a range of factors influence host parasite richness through both encounter and infection probabilities, but that individual-level processes may be more important than those at the group or population level.

Understanding the forces driving the spread of infectious diseases in wild animal populations is becoming increasingly important. From a theoretical perspective, parasites and pathogens are thought to play a primary role in driving population dynamics and evolutionary processes (Anderson and May 1978; Tompkins 2001). In population dynamics, wildlife diseases can lead to rapid declines in threatened species (Smith et al. 2009) and pose a growing threat as a source of human zoonoses (Jones et al. 2008). In evolutionary processes, infectious diseases have long been proposed as a significant pressure in the shaping of mating and social systems (Freeland 1976), partly because frequent contact rates between mates and social partners might greatly facilitate the transmission of pathogens. 

Since most animals are infected by several parasite species, and even individually benign infections can have a cumulative pathogenic impact (McCallum 1994; McCallum and Dobson 1995), an understanding of the factors that determine the number of parasites an individual carries (i.e. host parasite richness) may be crucial to elucidating patterns of host vulnerability and the wider impacts of parasitism on host ecology and evolution (Bordes and Morand 2009). Indeed, host parasite richness has been linked to a diverse range of micro- and macro-ecological and evolutionary processes, such as adult mortality rates (Simkova et al. 2006), the population-level maintenance of polymorphisms in immune genes such as the major histocompatibility complex (mammals: Goüy de Bellocq et al. 2008; Simkova et al. 2006), and species diversification (e.g. primates: Nunn et al. 2004). Parasite richness is also becoming an increasingly important metric for understanding the impacts of anthropogenic disturbance on threatened taxa (e.g. primates: Chapman et al. 2005b; Gillespie et al. 2005; Valdespino et al. 2010).

B2 Despite its importance, we know surprisingly little about the determinants of host
B3 parasite richness. Indeed, theoretical progress in this area is constrained by the dearth of
B4 empirical research - and this is particularly true for field data - and a lack of information

necessary for modeling (Tompkins et al. 2010). Within species, a variety of forces can potentially interact with host susceptibilities to shape parasite transmission across a range of ecological scales, from populations to individuals (Tompkins et al. 2010). At the population level, seasonal environmental factors, such as increasing rainfall and temperature, are expected to increase parasite richness (Nunn and Altizer 2006), along with intrinsic factors such as population size and density, number of groups (for social species), and degree of population fragmentation (Chapman et al. 2005b; Morand and Poulin 1998; Nunn and Altizer 2006). At the group level (in socially structured populations), the group size, area and productivity of the home range, and daily travel distance might all affect parasite richness (Nunn and Altizer 2006; Vitone et al. 2004) (but see also Bordes et al. 2009; Snaith et al. 2008). Finally, at the individual level, a wide range of traits might influence parasite richness including body mass, age, social rank, reproductive state, hormone levels, immune status, and genetic constitution (for reviews, see : Nunn and Altizer 2006; Tompkins et al. 2010). However, identifying independent, contemporaneous, effects of such myriad factors across spatial scales, and assessing their relative importance, remains a substantial challenge -especially when the complexity of factors involved necessitates an integrative approach, using concurrent monitoring of individuals and their environment through a longitudinal, rather than cross-sectional, design (Tompkins et al. 2010).

### 104 [Please insert Table 1 here]

Here we investigate the relative importance of a range of factors that might influence host parasite richness. We structure our analysis to recognise the multiple spatial scales over which these factors operate (i.e. the population, group, and individual), and specify whether their mode of action is most likely to affect parasite richness through the probability of encounter with parasites or the susceptibility to infection following encounter (sensu Nunn & Altizer, 2006). Our analysis focuses on patterns of gastrointestinal parasite richness in a wild social primate population of chacma baboons (Papio ursinus). While individually-based parasite studies in wild primates are uncommon (Nunn and Altizer 2006), they are of particular interest for at least three reasons. First, wild primates are perhaps the most serious wild source of cross-species disease transmission to humans, with sometimes catastrophic consequences for public health (e.g. ebola: Leroy et al. 2004). Second, primates are a taxon of high conservation concern, with disease posing a serious threat in some populations (Chapman et al. 2005a). Finally, studying a social species will contribute to our understanding of the dynamics of parasite transmission in group-living organisms that may be especially vulnerable to infectious diseases (Altizer et al. 2003).

In Table 1, we detail the eight hypotheses tested. At the population level, our hypotheses predicted that parasite richness would increase with wet (H1) or hot (H2) conditions. At the group level, we predicted that parasite richness would be higher in more productive home ranges (H3), or in association with more extensive ranging behavior (H4). At the individual level, we predicted that parasite richness would be influenced by age (H5), sex (H6), physical condition (H7), and social rank (H8). Finally, we investigated the relative magnitude of the effects of all those factors that influence parasite richness, across spatial scales, in a single global model.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **Study system**

133 Our study was carried out on wild chacma baboons on the edge of the Namib Desert,
 134 in central Namibia, at Tsaobis Leopard Park (22°23'S 15°45'W). Tsaobis is characterized by

mountains and rocky foothills that descend to rolling gravel and alluvial plains. Vegetation is sparse, comprising grasses and herbs, shrubs and dwarf trees, although patches of aquifer-dependent woodland grow along the ephemeral Swakop River bordering Tsaobis to the north. The landscape is arid and strongly seasonal: annual rainfall is low (mean  $\pm$  SD: 123  $\pm$  77mm, n=68 years) and falls only during the austral summer, primarily between December and April. The altitudinal range is 683–1445m. Shade temperatures can approach zero on winter nights, but exceed 40°C on summer days. 

Data were collected during two field seasons (June to December 2005, May to October 2006) on two social groups. These comprised, in October 2006, 9 adult or subadult males, 16 adult females, and 32 juveniles for the larger group (Troop J) and 7 adult or subadult males, 9 females and 16 juveniles for the smaller group (Troop L). All subjects were fully habituated and individually identifiable.

## **Faecal sampling and analysis**

A total of 662 faecal samples were collected immediately after defecation from 86 individuals. The faeces were homogenized and a portion (mean  $\pm$  SEM: 0.73  $\pm$  4.10<sup>-3</sup>g) was weighed and stored in 4 ml of 10% buffered formalin solution immediately after collection, at room temperature. A mean of 8.1 samples per individual (SD=6.40, median=7, range: 1-37), and 53.4 samples per month (SD=27.8, median=61, range: 17-104), were collected through the study period. Faecal analysis was carried out using the modified formol-ether sedimentation technique (Allen and Ridley 1970), using merthiolate-formalin as a stain. Parasitic eggs, larvae, trophs, and cysts were recorded by species or morphotype, with measurements made to the nearest 0.1 mm using an ocular micrometer fitted to a compound microscope (further details on parasite indentification see Appleton et al. 1991; Appleton et al. 1986) Due to difficulties in identifying rounded-up trophozoites or pre-cystic stages within small-sized amoebae, Entamoeba hartmanni, Endolimax nana, and Dientamoeba fragilis

were pooled together into a morphotype designated as "small amoebae" (Fiennes 1972).

Similarly, Entamoeba chattoni, Entamoeba histolytica, Entamoeba dispar and Iodamoeba

buetchlii were pooled as "medium amoebae". Host parasite richness was estimated for each

faecal sample by the number of different species/morphotypes recorded. We assumed that

when species/morphotypes were present we were able to detect them, but some false

negatives may have occurred if a species was harder to detect when its intensity of infection

or reproductive output were lower.

### **Population-level environmental conditions (H1-H2)**

170 Rainfall was monitored on a daily basis. Similarly, maximal temperatures (Tmax) in 171 the shade were recorded on a daily basis and were available for 179 days (78 % of the study 172 period). Tmax varied across the study period (maximal mean  $\pm$  SD = 31.9  $\pm$  4.8, range = [20-173 41]), with maximal values during summer (December) and minimal values in winter (July) in 174 both years. Minimal and maximal daily temperatures were strongly correlated (Pearson's 175 correlations: rho= 0.71, n= 283,  $P < 2.10^{-16}$ ), so only Tmax was used here.

## Group-level range productivity and ranging behavior (H3-H4)

Group location waypoints were taken at half-hour intervals over at least 100 full-day follows for each group conducted between May and November, thus covering periods of both high and low plant productivity in the late austral summer and winter. Minimum convex polygons (Heupel et al. 2004), were constructed around these waypoints in ArcMap Version 9.3 using HawthsTools extension package (<u>http://www.spatialecology.com/htools/</u>) to provide a simple estimation of the home range boundaries over the study period. Within the home ranges, plant production was estimated using the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index

(NDVI: Pettorelli et al. 2011): a satellite-based vegetation index based on the information collected by the Satellite Pour l'Observation de la Terre-Vegetation (SPOT VGT). NDVI is derived from the red to the near-infrared reflectance ratio [NDVI = (NIR-RED)/(NIR+RED)], where NIR and RED are the amounts of near-infrared and red light, respectively, reflected by the vegetation and captured by the satellite sensor (Jensen 2006). We use a spatial resolution of  $1 \times 1$  km available at 10-day intervals in each troop's home range (J and L). The home range boundaries were also used to determine the monthly home range size for each group (J: mean $\pm$  SD= 12.3 $\pm$  6.5 km<sup>2</sup>, range = [5-27], L: 26.8  $\pm$  13.5 km<sup>2</sup>, [8-49]), while the waypoint locations were also converted into paths to measure daily travel distance for 208 days (92% of the study period) (J: mean  $\pm$  SD = 5.9  $\pm$  0.8, range = [5.2-8.0], L: 5.9  $\pm$  1.5, [2.6-7.3]). In the analyses, we use the mean daily travel distance per month.

#### **Individual traits (H5-H8)**

We investigated the influence of age, sex, body condition, and dominance rank on parasite richness. Age and condition were determined through individual inspection during troop captures: in J troop, 42 individuals (of 52) were captured in July 2005 and 55 (of 57) in October 2006, in L troop 32 individuals (of 32) were captured in October 2006. Briefly, troops were captured using individual cages baited with corn cobs and set-up at dusk. The baboons were captured at dawn, anaesthetised using tiletamine-zolazepam, and all processed within a day to be released together the following morning when fully awake. Age was estimated through dentition: tooth eruption schedules for wild baboons were used to assign age up to the eruption of the molars, while age beyond this point was estimated on the basis of molar wear (Huchard et al. 2009a). Body size was estimated by crown-rump length, measured during capture. Physical condition was measured through morphometric data. Because there is no consensus on the best way to index condition (Green 2001; Lukaski 1987), we used 

$$213 \qquad MUAF = \frac{SC}{2} - \frac{\pi S^2}{4}$$

where S = triceps skinfold thickness and C = upper-arm circumference (Gibson 2005). In order to summarize these three measures into one general index, we conducted a principal component analysis (PCA). This analysis included all data from all individuals across 2005 and 2006 for which the three indices were available (49 out of 51 individuals). The contribution of each measure to the first component (estimated through PCA square cosinus) was 0.71, 0.75 and 0.91 for MUAF, MST and body mass, respectively. The first principal component of the PCA accounted for 81 % of the total condition variation, and was then used as the body condition variable in our analyses. The mean time difference between our assessment of parasite richness (i.e. a given faecal sample) and the closest estimate of age/condition (at capture) was  $73.4 \pm 46.9$  days.

Sex was determined by visual inspection. To establish dominance ranks, agonistic and approach/avoid interactions (following Smuts 1985) were collected using *ad libitum* and focal observations across the study period (for details see: Huchard et al. 2009b). In order to control for differences in troop size, an animal's absolute rank is divided by the total number of individuals in the group – thus alpha animals have the smallest rank. Ranks were estimated for sexually mature individuals (females reach sexual maturity around 4 years of age and males around 5 years of age: e.g. Altmann and Alberts 2003).

In summary, the data available for each individual variable were as follows: 86 individuals (662 samples) for sex, 76 individuals (613 samples) for age, 73 individuals (456 samples) for body condition, and 44 individuals (298 samples) for dominance rank.

2	
3	235
4	
5	236
0 7	-00
8	237
9	231
10	220
11	230
12	220
13	239
14	• • •
15	240
10	
18	241
19	
20	242
21	
22	243
23	
24	244
25	277
26	245
28	243
20	246
30	246
31	- <i>.</i> –
32	247
33	
34	248
35	
30	249
38	
39	250
40	
41	251
42	-
43	252
44	202
45 46	253
40 47	233
48	254
49	234
50	255
51	255
52	•
53	256
54 55	
00 56	257
57	
58	258
59	
60	

## Statistical analyses

To test the influence of socio-ecological factors on individual parasite richness, we ran five

237 sets of Linear Mixed Models (LMMs) with parasite richness as the response variable. 238 Although our response variable was discrete, we used LMMs rather than Generalized Linear 239 Mixed Models (GLMMs) due to the need to incorporate temporal autocorrelation in the analysis (see below) which is so far only possible using LMMs fitted with a Gaussian 240 distriution (Pinheiro and Bates 2000). The residuals of all models were constant and normally 241 242 distributed as checked by Q-Q plots and Shapiro-Wilk normality tests (P > 0.05 in all 243 models). However, we also ran our models using GLMMs with a Poisson distribution (but 244 without the autocorrelation term) and obtained the same results. All models tested include 45 "baboon identity" nested in "troop membership" as random effects, to account for the nonindependence of multiple data collected from the same individual within a troop. Because 246 247 estimations of parasite richness can increase (in a non-linear way) with faecal sample weight 248 (Walther et al. 1995), we also controlled in each model for a potential effect of sample weight 49 by introducing it as a fixed factor at the third polynomial degree. This degree was selected 250 using an information theoretic approach: briefly, for each of the five models presented below, 251 we initially compared the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) scores of three alternative models with faecal sample weight fitted at the first, second and third order, and found that the 252 253 latter consistently performed best (i.e. presented an AIC score at least two points lower than 254 the alternative models). This third order relationship was further confirmed graphically by an 255 asymptotic curve linking parasite richness to faecal sample weight. As a final statistical 256 control, we also included the year of sample collection as a fixed effect. However, this was 257 not found to be significant in any model examined and was therefore excluded in the final set 258 of analyses, for simplicity.

The first set of analyses occurred in three successive stages, exploring the effects of the different variables at each considered scale (population, group, individual). The first model was designed to investigate the effect of a population-level factor on host parasite richness, specifically the effects of the maximum daily temperature (Tmax) averaged over the seven-day period during which the individual was sampled (Hypothesis H2; the effects of rainfall, Hypothesis H1, were tested independently due to the limited number of rainfall events: see below). We further explored if Tmax collected before the time of faecal collection predicted parasite richness better than contemporary measures by using an additional subset of lagged models for Tmax. These models included maximum daily temperature averaged across the seven-day period occurring one, two, three, four or five weeks before the sampling date, compared by AIC and the Tmax p-value. The model including Tmax averaged four weeks before sampling performed best (see Supporting Information Table S1), and was therefore used in further analyses (the global model).

The second model was designed to investigate the group-level effects of home range productivity (H3) and ranging behavior (H4.a,b) on host parasite richness. Therefore, it included home range NDVI, home range area, and daily travel distances as fixed effects. As for Tmax, we also tested the NDVI measure lagged for 10, 20 and 30 days before sampling (as NDVI data were available for 10 days intervals), but found that contemporary NDVI performed best (see Supporting Information Table S2).

The third model was designed to investigate the first three of our four individual-level effects, namely age (H5), sex (H6), and body condition (H7), on host parasite richness. These variables were all included as fixed effects in the same model. Age was introduced at the third polynomial degree to account for a potential non-linear effect, which was suggested by graphical exploration of the raw data and by a model AIC score 2 points lower than the alternative models (i.e. with first or second polynomial degrees). Crown-rump length was

#### American Journal of Physical Anthropology

additionally introduced in the model, to control for body size when investigating condition effects (Jakob et al. 1996). To investigate the effects of our fourth individual-level factor on host parasite richness, namely social rank (H8), we ran the individual-level model again for the subset of animals for whom social ranks were available (N = 44 adults), adding social rank as a fixed effect. We also included a sex\*rank interaction term to account for profound sex differences in the acquisition of rank in this species (stable and heritable ranks among females; fluctuating ranks determined by fighting ability among males).

Following our analyses of the factors determining host parasite richness at each of the three spatial scales, we ran a final model to integrate our findings and to explore the relative importance of each of these factors across scales. This global model included all the variables that were found to be significant in the single-scale models, and was run using the full sample (juveniles and adults). In order to compare the effect sizes of each variable in this global model, all variables were standardized to have a mean of zero and a standard deviation of one. In each model, we controlled for the temporal dependence of observations (i.e. temporal autocorrelation) by including a temporal correlation structure of the residuals. We compared the AIC of models having an autoregressive structure of order 1 to 7 (i.e. 1 to 7 lags of dependence between observations). In all the model sets described above, the final model with order 5 obtained the lowest AIC and was therefore selected. This was implemented using the correlation structure corARMA (Pinheiro and Bates 2000) in the nlme package of R 2.8.0 (R Development Core Team, 2003). The significance of fixed effects was evaluated using F-tests according to the principle of marginality, testing each fixed effect coefficient when all other fixed effects are present in the model. Statistical significance is reported for full models (i.e. inferences were drawn with all predictors present) throughout (Mundry and Nunn 2009; Whittingham et al. 2006). The significance of random effects was tested by performing likelihood ratio tests (following a  $\chi^2$  distribution) comparing two models differing only in the

1 2		
3 4	309	presence of this effect. In all models, the random effect "troop identity" did not significantly
5 6	310	affect individual parasite richness (Likelihood Ratio Test, $P > 0.05$ ) whereas "baboon
7 8 9	311	identity" always had a significant effect (Likelihood Ratio Test, $P < 0.001$ ).
10 11	312	
12 13	313	RESULTS
14 15 16	314	
17 18	315	A total of 11 species or morphotypes of intestinal parasites including five nematodes,
19 20 21	316	one acanthocephalan and nine protozoan, were found in the faeces of P. ursinus at Tsaobis
21 22 23	317	(Table 2). One type of nematode egg, that occurred in 6% of individuals, could not be
24 25	318	identified further (named Egg 1 hereafter). Based on species/morphotype, the median
26 27 28	319	individual parasite richness was 3.00 (range= 0-8, mean $\pm$ SD= 3.2 $\pm$ 1.3).
29 30	320	
31 32	321	[Please insert Table 2 here]
33 34 35	322	
36 37	323	Population-level environmental determinants of parasite richness (H1-H2)
38 39	324	Host parasite richness decreased across the dry season (Fig. 1). However, a peak was
40 41 42	325	observed in November 2005 (median= 4.0; mean $\pm$ SD= 3.8 $\pm$ 1.3), 10 days after the first and
43 44	326	only rain recorded in the study period (16mm, 29/10/05). During November, average
45 46 47	327	individual values of parasite richness were significantly higher than in October 2005
48 49	328	(median= 3.0, mean $\pm$ SD= 2.9 $\pm$ 1.1, Mann-Whitney test: W= 1345.5, n= 86 individuals, P =
50 51	329	0.002), supporting our hypothesis that parasite richness increases after rainfall (H1). This
52 53 54	330	difference was driven by protozoans (analyses excluding protozoans: W= 3435, n=86, $P =$
55 56	331	0.25).
57 58		

Page 15 of 40

The best temperature predictor was Tmax averaged across the fourth week preceding the sampling date (Table 3, Table S1), suggesting a lagged response of parasite richness. Thus, host parasite richness was higher following hot weather four weeks earlier (Fig. 2).

## Group-level ranging determinants of parasite richness (H3-H4)

Host parasite richness increased when groups travelled further, as predicted by hypothesis H4.b. In contrast, there was no effect of home range NDVI (H3, for either contemporary or lagged measures, Table S2) or home range area (H4.a) (Table 3).

## Individual-level trait determinants of parasite richness (H5-H8)

Across all individuals, host age, sex, and body condition (together with body size, included as a control variable for condition) influenced host parasite richness (Table 3). Host parasite richness initially increases with age (supporting H5.a), but then peaks around sexual maturity, following which it declines (supporting H5.b) (Fig. 3). The sex effect indicated that parasite richness was higher in females than in males (contrary to H6), while the condition effect suggested that animals in better condition exhibited lower parasite richness (in support of H7.b) (Table 3, Fig. 4). Among adults only, we found no evidence that dominance rank affected parasite richness (failing to support H8), while the effects of age and sex were no longer significant (P > 0.05 in each case). The age effect remained non-significant when age was included at the first or second order (instead of the third) in this last model (after sexual maturity, the relationship between age and parasite richness appears roughly linear, Fig. 3). However, adults in better condition still exhibited lower parasite richness than those in poor condition (F = 4.43, P = 0.03).

356 [Please insert Table 3 here]

1 2	
3	357
4	551
5 6	358
7 8	359
9	
10 11	360
12 13	361
14 15	362
16 17	262
18 19	303
20 21	364
22 23	365
24 25	366
26 27	367
28 29	368
30 31	
32 33	369
34 35	370
36 37	371
38 39	372
40 41	272
42	575
43 44	374
45 46	375
47 48 40	376
49 50	377
51 52	<u> </u>
53 54	378
55 56	379
57 58	380
59 60	

## Integrated global model for multiple-scale effects on parasite richness

359 The integrated model corroborated the single-scale models (Table 4). A comparison of 360 the effect sizes indicates that age had by far the strongest influence on parasite richness (effect 361 size =  $3.92 \pm 1.46$ ) followed by sample weight (effect size =  $2.75 \pm 1.03$ ). Sex and body 362 condition (together with body size) had comparable effect sizes, which were almost an order of magnitude smaller than the age effect (effect size mean  $\pm$  SD = -0.47  $\pm$  0.19 and -0.43  $\pm$ 363 364 0.13 respectively). Finally, travel distance and lagged Tmax had the smallest effects (effect 365 size =  $0.21 \pm 0.06$  and  $0.22 \pm 1.07$  respectively).

[Please insert Table 4 here]

#### DISCUSSION

371 Identifying the determinants of multiple parasite infections in wild animals is crucial 372 for both fundamental and applied, conservation-based, reasons, since they may represent 373 important drivers of both evolutionary change and population dynamics. However, there are 374 surprisingly few studies of the drivers of parasite richness in wild populations (Tompkins et 375 al. 2010), and most of these have worked at a single spatial scale. In this study, we found that 376 gut parasite richness in a wild primate population increases with higher rainfall and maximum 377 daily temperature at the population level, and with longer daily travel distances at the group 378 level, as well as showing more complex covariation with age, sex, and body condition at the individual level. These findings, and how they compare to previous studies on parasite 379 380 richness in wild populations, are summarised in Table 5. Finally, integrating our analyses

across the three scales of population, group, and individual, suggests that host age is the primary predictor of parasite richness.

#### [Please insert Table 5 here]

At the population level, we observed significant effects of rainfall and maximum daily temperature on host parasite richness, indicating an important influence of climatic conditions on parasite encounter rates. The increase in water-borne protozoan parasites associated with rainfall represents a preliminary result since it is based on only a single rainfall event. Nevertheless, it provides circumstantial evidence that precipitation can increase parasite richness on a short timescale (H1). Parasite richness also increased following a period of hot weather but with a four-week lag (H2). The mechanisms linking temperature to gastro-intestinal parasite prevalence have been extensively studied, with several species of helminths requiring a minimum temperature for development (Boag 1985), having shorter generation times at relatively high temperatures, and/or producing more intermediate stages in their life cycle when temperature increases (Pietrock and Marcogliese 2003). Protozoan taxa are similarly affected, commonly displaying higher reproductive rates at higher temperatures (Rodriguez-Zaragoza 1994). The lagged response most likely reflects the cumulative time required by the free-living stages of parasites to react to environmental variation and for the host to be exposed to, and contaminated by, the growing populations of infectious parasitic forms. 

At the group level, we found that longer daily travel distances (H4.b) but not larger home ranges (H4.a) were associated with higher host parasite richness. This supports the idea that more intensive movement patterns within a relatively stable home range, rather than variation in the home range area itself, are associated with increased parasite exposure and 

406 subsequent infection with parasites that accumulate in the environment and mature in the host 407 to produce ova (Nunn and Altizer 2006). The lack of association between home range NDVI 408 and parasite richness (H3) further suggests that group-level changes in parasite encounter 409 rates primarily result from the group's behavioral response to environmental variation rather 410 than fluctuations in the density of infectious parasite stages, i.e. the baboons encounter more 411 parasites because their groups are travelling further, not because there are more parasites to 412 encounter per unit distance travelled.

At the individual level, we found co-variation between parasite richness and age, sex and body condition. Previous research on the age-parasite richness relationship (H5) has produced inconsistent results when assuming a linear pattern (Table 5). Our finding of a non-linear relationship, positive before sexual maturity but negative afterwards, might help to explain these inconsistencies – and reflect a combined effect of both encounter and infection probabilities. In the first case, the positive part of the curve might reflect cumulative exposure to parasites if the probability of encountering new parasite species is constant over time (Nunn and Altizer 2006). This would suggest a relatively slow rate of acquisition of new infections by young animals in this population. In the second case, the negative part of the curve, exhibiting a weaker slope, might reflect an improved adaptive immune response following repeated exposures to parasites (Hudson and Dobson 1997) and/or better survivorship of those individuals possessing stronger immune defenses against multiple infections. This hypothesis is supported by a recent study in this same population, where MHC heterozygotes (class II Mhc-DRB region) appeared to show higher survivorship (Huchard et al. 2010). Heterozygosity at MHC class II loci has already been found to mediate individual parasite richness in natural populations (Goüy de Bellocq et al. 2008; Oliver et al. 2009). Multiple infections might thus constitute the selective pressure increasing the mortality rate of individuals with low MHC diversity, if they display limited ability to fight multiple 

parasites, as previously found in fish hosts (Simkova et al. 2006). Notably, a recent comparative primate study found that parasitic nematode richness associated positively with the nonsynonymous nucleotide substitution rate at the functional part of the MHC molecule, but not with MHC allelic diversity (Garamszegi and Nunn 2011). It is also possible that the weaker relationship linking age to parasite richness after sexual maturity might at least partially reflect the stabilization of individual parasite communities when they have reached a given threshold, mediated through competitive interactions among multiple co-infecting parasites (decreasing the probability of subsequent infection by additional parasite species) (Graham 2008).

We also found that females harbour more parasite species than males (H6). Although males are generally found to be more susceptible to parasitism than females (e.g. Klein 2004), results from primate field studies have been less consistent, with several reported cases of female-biased parasitism (e.g. Clough et al. 2010; reviewed in Nunn and Altizer 2006). In this case, there is no reason to expect female baboons to have a higher probability of encounter with parasites than males, so the most likely explanation for this difference is that females have a higher susceptibility to infection. One possibility is a social effect, given that all adult males outrank all adult females, but the lack of a sex\*rank interaction does not support this. Alternative explanations may relate to the costs of reproduction in females, including the production of exaggerated sexual swellings when cycling and the nutritional stress associated with pregnancy and lactation, or to complex interactions between sex hormones and immune status. A recent field study in lemurs reported immune-enhancing effects of testosterone on parasite species richness, suggesting that differences in immune responses due to sex steroids might potentially lead to female-biased parasitism, at least in the case of host parasite richness (Clough et al. 2010).

Parasite richness was higher in poor-condition animals (H7.b) but there was no evidence that dominant animals carried more or fewer parasite taxa (H8). Our findings for the effects of physical condition corroborate the results of the one previous study to date that has also explored this relationship (Lello et al. 2005). The negative association between body condition and parasite richness suggests a role of infection rather than encounter probability, but the direction of the causal arrow remains uncertain: while poor condition might reflect a host's weak capacity to fight parasites on the one hand, it's also possible that the deleterious effects of multiple infections could lead to poor condition on the other. In the latter case, although most of the parasites reported here are not thought to be highly pathogenic, some might still impact baboon health (Ruch 1959). The amoeba E. histolytica can cause severe diarrheal and dysenteric diseases, and affect the liver, lungs, brain, and other areas; while others like *B. coli* can become pathogenic if the host's natural resistance is depleted by a poor diet (Ruch 1959). Whatever the causal direction, the observed association may help to explain why females in better condition in this population display a higher reproductive success (Huchard et al. 2009b). Our lack of rank effect was in contrast to theoretical expectations but consistent with most previous empirical studies in primates (Table 5), and may reflect confounding co-variation between rank and condition.

When focusing solely on adults, body condition remained the only individual trait influencing parasite richness. In comparison with the full model including juveniles, the disappearance of both age and sex effects reflects either decreased statistical power arising from a smaller sample, or a weaker influence of such traits after sexual maturity. The latter hypothesis is plausible in the case of age, since the slope of the relationship linking age to parasite richness weakens in adulthood (Fig. 3), but seems counter-intuitive in the effect of sex, which is usually reinforced among sexually mature individuals. Given that sex ratios are relatively balanced in both our full and restricted sample, the disappearance of this effect 

Page 21 of 40

among adults might reflect a genuine pattern. Post-hoc interpretation is necessarily
speculative, but could involve parental investment or maternal effects preferentially biased
towards male offspring, which might translate into improved parasite resistance in early life
(Hayward et al. 2010) - although the hypothesis of sex-biased maternal investment has not
been strongly supported by empirical studies of non-human primates so far (Bercovitch 2002;
Brown 2001).

The final global model integrating variables across scales largely confirmed the results obtained within scales (all variables previously found to be significant in their respective single-scale models remained significant in the multi-scale model), but also emphasized the importance of working at multiple ecological scales. Comparing the effect sizes of each variable in the global model suggests that the individual-level factors have a higher influence on patterns of variation in parasite richness than population- or group-level factors. In fact, age had by far the biggest effect on parasite richness, followed by sex and body condition, and finally by maximal daily temperature and daily travel distance. As such, the global model suggests that, while variation in encounter probability at both the population and group level do influence host parasite richness, the strongest effects are related to both encounter and infection probabilities at the individual level. Two areas of uncertainty in this interpretation should be highlighted. First, due to the difficulties involved in working at wider spatial scales with large social vertebrates, our sample of groups and populations is necessarily small. Similarly, we only sample one season (the dry winter season) over two years, and it is possible that in other seasons and/or years different patterns would be obtained. Extrapolation of our conclusion (that individual-level processes play the predominant role) beyond the sample and conditions investigated here should therefore be made with caution. Second, estimation of the relative importance of encounter and infection probabilities at the individual level is challenging. On the one hand, the effects of body condition (and probably sex) 

505 emphasize the importance of susceptibility to infection at the individual level. On the other, 506 the age effect includes both encounter and susceptibility to infection, with the former having 507 the strongest effect (since the negative relationship between age and parasite richness after 508 maturity is relatively weak). While neither of these uncertainties can be fully resolved here, 509 they do help to highlight those areas that might be prioritized for further research.

In conclusion, these findings demonstrate that host parasite richness in animal populations may be associated with a range of factors operating on multiple scales. In this case, parasite richness is highest in poor-condition females at the time of sexual maturity, when their social group is travelling longer daily distances, and when environmental conditions are characterized by high rainfall and temperature. This study also suggests that individual traits, acting through both encounter and infection rates, can have a higher impact on parasite richness than group- or population-level factors acting through encounter rates alone. Our results emphasise the value of integrative approaches based on the longitudinal sampling of known animals in well-documented ecological contexts, and suggests that such a design can provide unique insights into the relative importance of different factors shaping host parasite richness and its impact in wild populations.

## 522 Acknowledgements

We would like to thank N. Camara, H. Kelstrup, L. De Raad, R. Fleming, J. Kamps, H. Marshall and H. Peck for their assistance in the field, and Celia Anderson for her help with the parasite sample analysis. We are also grateful to the anonymous reviewers for their thoughtful comments. We thank the Swart family (2000-2006) and the Ministry of Lands and Resettlement (2006-07) for permission to work at Tsaobis Leopard Park, the Gobabeb Training and Research Centre for affiliation, and the Ministry of Environment and Tourism for research permission in Namibia. Our capture and processing protocols were assessed and

approved by the Ethics Committee of the Zoological Society of London. We also confirm that we adhered to the Guidelines for the Use of Animals in Behavioral Research and Teaching (Animal Behaviour 2003, 65:249-255) and the legal requirements of the country (Namibia) in which the work was carried out. This paper is a publication of the ZSL Institute of Zoology's Tsaobis Baboon Project. Contribution ISEM 2011-088.

## 535 LITERATURE CITED

- Allen AVH, and Ridley DS. 1970. Further observations on formol-ether concentration
   technique for faecal parasites. Journal of Clinical Pathology 23(6):545-546.
- Altizer S, Nunn CL, Thrall PH, Gittleman JL, Antonovics J, Cunningham AA, Dobson AP,
  Ezenwa V, Jones KE, Pedersen AB et al. 2003. Social organization and parasite risk
  in mammals: Integrating theory and empirical studies. Annual Review of Ecology
  Evolution and Systematics 34:517-547.
  - Altmann J, and Alberts SC. 2003. Intraspecific variability in fertility and offpsring survival in
    a non-human primate: behavioral control of ecological and social sources. In: W WK,
    and A BR, editors. Offspring: human fertility behavior in a biodemographic
    perspective Washington, D.C: National Academy Press. p 140-169.
  - Anderson RM, and May RM. 1978. Regulation and stability of host-parasite population
     interactions . Regulatory processes. Journal of Animal Ecology 47(1):219-247.
  - Appleton CC, Henzi SP, and Whitehead SI. 1991. Gastrointestinal helminth-parasites of the
     chacma baboon, *Papio cynocephalus ursinus*, from the coastal lowlands of Zululand,
     South-Africa. African Journal of Ecology 29(2):149-156.
- Appleton CC, Henzi SP, Whiten A, and Byrne R. 1986. The gastrointestinal parasites of
   *Papio ursinus* from the Drakensberg mountains, Republic of South-Africa.
   International Journal of Primatology 7(5):449-456.
  - Barbosa AM, Segovia JM, Vargas JM, Torres J, Real R, and Miquel J. 2005. Predictors of red
     Fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) helminth parasite diversity in the provinces of Spain.
- Bavia ME, Malone JB, Hale L, Dantas A, Marroni L, and Reis R. 2001. Use of thermal and
  vegetation index data from earth observing satellites to evaluate the risk of
  schistosomiasis in Bahia, Brazil. Acta Tropica 79(1):79-85.
- Bell G, and Burt A. 1991. The comparative biology of parasite species-diversity internal
  helminths of fresh-water fish. Journal of Animal Ecology 60(3):1047-1063.
- 561 Bercovitch FB. 2002. Sex-biased parental investment in primates. International Journal of 562 Primatology 23(4):905-921.
  - Boag B. 1985. Effect of temperature on the times to hatching of eggs of the plant-parasitic
     nematode *Longidorus elongatus*. Nematologia Mediterranea 13:61-66.
- 40 565 Bordes F, and Morand S. 2009. Parasite diversity: an overlooked metric of parasite pressures?
   41 566 Oikos 118(6):801-806.
   42 567 Bordes F. Morand S. Kelt D. and Van Vuren DH. 2009. Home range and parasite diversity in
  - Bordes F, Morand S, Kelt D, and Van Vuren DH. 2009. Home range and parasite diversity in
     mammals. The American Naturalist 173(4):467-474.
- 44 508 manimals. The American Naturalist 175(4):407-474.
  45 569 Brown GR. 2001. Sex-biased investment in nonhuman primates: can Trivers & Willard's theory be tested. Animal Behaviour 61:683-694.
  47 571 Calvete C. 2003. Correlates of helminth community in the red-legged partridge (*Alectoris rufa*)
  - 571 Calvete C. 2003. Correlates of helminth community in the red-legged partridge (*Alectoris rufa*572 L.) in Spain. Journal of Parasitology 89(3):445-451.
  - 573 Ceccato P, Connor SJ, Jeanne I, and Thomson MC. 2005. Application of geographical
     574 information systems and remote sensing technologies for assessing and monitoring
     575 malaria risk. Parassitologia 47(1):81-96.
- 53 576
   54 577
   55 578
   Chapman CA, Gillespie TR, and Goldberg TL. 2005a. Primates and the ecology of their infectious diseases: How will anthropogenic change affect host-parasite interactions? Evolutionary Anthropology 14(4):134-144.
- 56 579
   580
   580
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581
   581</li
- 582 Clayton DH, Gregory RD, and Price RD. 1992. Comparative ecology of neotropical bird lice 583 (Insecta, Phthiraptera). Journal of Animal Ecology 61(3):781-795.

1 2		
3	584	Clough D. Heistermann M. and Kanneler PM. 2010. Host intrinsic determinants and potential
4	585	consequences of parasite infection in free-ranging red-fronted lemurs ( <i>Fulamur fulyus</i>
5	586	rufus) American Journal of Physical Anthropology
6	587	Figures PN 1072 Dethology of similar primetes Basel New York: karger
/ 8	500	Freeland W 1076 Dethogons and the evolution of primete sociality. Distropice 8:12:24
9	500	Geremezzeri LZ and Nunn CL 2011 Deregite mediated evolution of the functional part of the
10	500	MHC in primeter, Journal of Evolutionary Piology 24(1):184–105
11	590	Gibson PS 2005 Principles of nutritional assessment. Oxford Oxford University Press
12	502	Gillegnia TP. Chanman CA and Grainer EC 2005. Effects of logging on gestrointestinal
13	592 503	onespie TK, Chapinan CA, and Orenier EC. 2003. Effects of logging on gastronnestman
14	595 504	parasite infections and infection fisk in African primates. Journal of Applied Ecology $42(4)(600, 707)$
16	505	42(4):099-707.
17	393 506	Gouy de Bellocq J, Charbonnel N, and Morand S. 2008. Coevolutionary relationship between
18	590 507	Evolutionomy Dieles v 21(4):1144-1150
19	597	Evolutionary Biology $21(4)$ :1144-1150.
20	598	Granam AL. 2008. Ecological rules governing neiminth-microparasite conflection.
21	599	Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America
22	600	105(2):566-570.
24	601	Green AJ. 2001. Mass/length residuals: Measures of body condition or generators of spurious
25	602	results? Ecology 82(5):1473-1483.
26	603	Guernier V, Hochberg ME, and Guegan JFO. 2004. Ecology drives the worldwide
27	604	distribution of human diseases. Plos Biology 2(6):740-746.
28	605	Hayward AD, Pilkington JG, Pemberton JM, and Kruuk LEB. 2010. Maternal effects and
29 30	606	early-life performance are associated with parasite resistance across life in free-living
31	607	Soay sheep. Parasitology 137(8):1261-1273.
32	608	Heupel MR, Simpfendorfer CA, and Hueter RE. 2004. Estimation of shark home ranges using
33	609	passive monitoring techniques. Environmental Biology of Fishes 71(2):135-142.
34	610	Huchard E, Benavides JA, Setchell JM, Charpentier MJE, Alvergne A, King AJ, Knapp LA,
35	611	Cowlishaw G, and Raymond M. 2009a. Studying shape in sexual signals: the case of
36	612	primate sexual swellings. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 63(8):1231-1242.
১/ ২৪	613	Huchard E, Courtiol A, Benavides JA, Knapp LA, Raymond M, and Cowlishaw G. 2009b.
39	614	Can fertility signals lead to quality signals? Insights from the evolution of primate
40	615	sexual swellings. Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences
41	616	276(1663):1889-1897.
42	617	Huchard E, Knapp LA, Wang J, Raymond M, and Cowlishaw G. 2010. MHC, mate choice
43	618	and heterozygote advantage in a wild social primate. Molecular Ecology in press.
44 15	619	Hudson PJ, and Dobson AP. 1997. Host-parasite processes and demographic consequences.
46	620	In: Clayton DH, and Moore J, editors. Host-parasite evolution: general principles and
47	621	avian models. Oxford: Oxford University Press. p 128-154.
48	622	Irvine RJ, Corbishley H, Pilkington JG, and Albon SD, 2006. Low-level parasitic worm
49	623	burdens may reduce body condition in free-ranging red deer (Cervus elaphus)
50	624	Parasitology 133.465-475
51 52	625	Iakob FM Marshall SD and Lletz GW 1996 Estimating fitness: A comparison of body
52 53	626	condition indices Oikos 77(1):61-67
54	627	Iensen IR 2006 Remote sensing of the environment An earth resource perspective. Prentice
55	628	Hall
56	620	Iones KE Patel NG Levy MA Storeygard A Ralk D Gittleman II and Daszak D 2008
57	630	Global trends in emerging infectious diseases Nature 151(7181).000 004
58 50	631	Klein SI 2004 Hormonal and immunological mechanisms mediating say differences in
60	632	narasite infection Parasite Immunology 26(6_7).247 264
00	032	parasite infection. I arasite infinitutiology $20(0-7).247-204$ .

633
633
634
634
635
635
636
7
636
Krasnov BR, Korallo-Vinarskaya NP, Vinarski MV, Shenbrot GI, Mouillot D, and Poulin R.
2008. Searching for general patterns in parasite ecology: host identity versus environmental influence on gamasid mite assemblages in small mammals.
Parasitology 135(2):229-242.

1 2

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

- Krasnov BR, Morand S, Hawlena H, Khokhlova IS, and Shenbrot GI. 2005. Sex-biased parasitism, seasonality and sexual size dimorphism in desert rodents. Oecologia 146(2):209-217.
- 640 Lello J, Boag B, and Hudson PJ. 2005. The effect of single and concomitant pathogen infections on condition and fecundity of the wild rabbit (Oryctolagus cuniculus).
  14 642 International Journal for Parasitology 35(14):1509-1515.
- 15 643
   16 644
   17 645
   18 645
   Leroy EM, Rouquet P, Formenty P, Souquiere S, Kilbourne A, Froment JM, Bermejo M, Smit S, Karesh W, Swanepoel R et al. 2004. Multiple Ebola virus transmission events and rapid decline of central African wildlife. Science 303(5656):387-390.
- Lindenfors P, Nunn CL, Jones KE, Cunningham AA, Sechrest W, and Gittleman JL. 2007.
   Lindenfors P, Nunn CL, Jones KE, Cunningham AA, Sechrest W, and Gittleman JL. 2007.
   Parasite species richness in carnivores: effects of host body mass, latitude, geographical range and population density. Global Ecology and Biogeography 16(4):496-509.
- Lindsay SW, Wilkins HA, Zieler HA, Daly RJ, Petrarca V, and Byass P. 1991. Ability of
   *Anopheles gambiae* mosquitos to transmit malaria during the dry and wet seasons in
   an area of irrigated rice cultivation in the gambia. Journal of tropical medicine and
   hygiene 94(5):313-324.
   Lo CM, Morand S, and Galzin R. 1998. Parasite diversity host age and size relationship in
  - Lo CM, Morand S, and Galzin R. 1998. Parasite diversity host age and size relationship in
     three coral-reef fishes from French Polynesia. International Journal for Parasitology
     28(11):1695-1708.
  - Lukaski HC. 1987. Methods for the assessment of human-body composition Traditional and
     new. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 46(4):537-556.
  - McCallum H. 1994. Quantifying the effect of disease on threatened species. Pacific
     Conservation 1:107-117.
  - McCallum H, and Dobson A. 1995. Detecting disease and parasite threats to endangered
     species and ecosystems. Trends Ecol Evol 10(5):190-194.
  - Mohr CO, and Stumpf WA. 1964. Relation of tick and chigger infestations to home areas ofcalifornia meadow mice. Journal of Medical Entomology 1:73-77.
  - Morand S, De Bellocq JG, Stanko M, and Miklisova D. 2004. Is sex-biased ectoparasitism
     related to sexual size dimorphism in small mammals of Central Europe? Parasitology
     129:505-510.
- 44 007
   45 668
   46 669
   47 670
   48 671
   48 671
   49 129.305-310.
   49 PH. 2000. Mammalian metabolism, longevity and parasite species richness. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences 267(1456):1999-2003.
  - Morand S, and Poulin R. 1998. Density, body mass and parasite species richness of terrestrial
     mammals. Evolutionary Ecology 12(6):717-727.
- Muehlenbein MP. 2005. Parasitological analyses of the male chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes schweinfurthii*) at Ngogo, Kibale National Park, Uganda. American Journal of Primatology 65(2):167-179.
- Mundry R, and Nunn CL. 2009. Stepwise Model Fitting and Statistical Inference: Turning Noise into Signal Pollution. American Naturalist 173(1):119-123.
- <sup>56</sup>
   <sup>57</sup>
   <sup>678</sup>
   <sup>57</sup>
   <sup>679</sup>
   <sup>679</sup>
   <sup>679</sup>
   <sup>670</sup>
   <sup>670</sup>
   <sup>671</sup>
   <sup>671</sup>
   <sup>671</sup>
   <sup>672</sup>
   <sup>673</sup>
   <sup>674</sup>
   <sup>674</sup>
   <sup>675</sup>
   <sup>675</sup>
   <sup>676</sup>
   <sup>676</sup>
   <sup>677</sup>
   <sup>677</sup>
   <sup>678</sup>
   <sup>678</sup>
   <sup>679</sup>
   <sup>679</sup>
   <sup>679</sup>
   <sup>679</sup>
   <sup>679</sup>
   <sup>670</sup>
   <sup>670</sup>
   <sup>671</sup>
   <sup>671</sup>
   <sup>672</sup>
   <sup>673</sup>
   <sup>674</sup>
   <sup>674</sup>
   <sup>675</sup>
   <sup>675</sup>
   <sup>675</sup>
   <sup>676</sup>
   <sup>676</sup>
   <sup>677</sup>
   <sup>677</sup>
   <sup>678</sup>
   <sup>679</sup>
   <sup>679</sup>
   <sup>679</sup>
   <sup>679</sup>
   <sup>679</sup>
   <sup>679</sup>
   <sup>670</sup>
   <sup>670</sup>
   <sup>671</sup>
   <sup>671</sup>
   <sup>672</sup>
   <sup>672</sup>
   <sup>673</sup>
   <sup>673</sup>
   <sup>674</sup>
   <sup>674</sup>
   <sup>675</sup>
   <sup>675</sup>
   <sup>675</sup>
   <sup>675</sup>
   <sup>676</sup>
   <sup>676</sup>
   <sup>677</sup>
   <sup>676</sup>
   <sup>676</sup>
   <sup>677</sup>
   <sup>676</sup>
   <sup>677</sup>
   <sup>676</sup>
   <sup>678</sup>
   <sup>679</sup>
   <sup>679</sup>
   <sup>679</sup>
   <sup>679</sup>
   <sup>679</sup>
   <sup>679</sup>
   <sup>670</sup>
   <sup>670</sup>
   <sup>670</sup>
   <sup>670</sup>
   <sup>671</sup>
   <sup>671</sup>
   <sup>672</sup>
   <sup>672</sup>
   <sup>673</sup>
   <sup>673</sup>
   <sup>674</sup>
   <sup>674</sup>
   <sup>675</sup>
   <sup>675</sup>
   <sup>675</sup>
   <sup>675</sup>
   <sup>675</sup>
   <sup>675</sup>
   <sup>675</sup>
   <sup>676</sup>
   <sup>676</sup>
   <sup>676</sup>
   <sup>677</sup>
   <sup>676</sup>
   <sup>677</sup>
   <sup>677</sup>
   <sup>6</sup>
- Kunn CL, Altizer S, Jones K, and Sechrest W. 2003. Comparative tests of parasite species
   richness in primates. The American Naturalist 162(5):597-614.

30

31

32

33

38

39

- 682 Nunn CL, Altizer S, Sechrest W, Jones KE, Barton RA, and Gittleman JL. 2004. Parasites and
   683 the evolutionary diversification of primate clades. American Naturalist 164(5):S90 684 S103.
- 7 685 Nunn CL, and Dokey ATW. 2006. Ranging patterns and parasitism in primates. Biology
   8 686 Letters 2(3):351-354.
- 9 687 10 688 11 689 13 690
   Oliver MK, Telfer S, and Piertney SB. 2009. Major histocompatibility complex (MHC) heterozygote superiority to natural multi-parasite infections in the water vole (Arvicola terrestris). Proceedings of the Royal Society Biological Sciences Series B 276(1659):1119-1128.
- Pettorelli N, Ryan S, Mueller T, Bunnefeld N, Jedrzejewska B, Lima M, and Kausrud K.
  692 2011. The Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI): unforeseen successes in animal ecology. Climate Research Accepted.
  644 Distance LMC and March Mar
- Pietrock M, and Marcogliese DJ. 2003. Free-living endohelminth stages: at the mercy of environmental conditions. Trends in Parasitology 19(7):293-299.
- 20 696 Pinheiro JC, and Bates DM. 2000. Mixed-effects Models in S and S-plus. New York:
   21 697 Springer.
- Rich SM, Leendertz FH, Xu G, LeBreton M, Djoko CF, Aminake MN, Takang EE, Diffo
   JLD, Pike BL, Rosenthal BM et al. 2009. The origin of malignant malaria.
   Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America
   106(35):14902-14907.
   Roberts ML Buchanan KL and Evans MR 2004. Testing the immunocompetence handican
  - Roberts ML, Buchanan KL, and Evans MR. 2004. Testing the immunocompetence handicap
     hypothesis: a review of the evidence. Animal Behaviour 68:227-239.
  - Rodriguez-Zaragoza S. 1994. Ecology of free-living amebas. Critical Reviews in
     Microbiology 20(3):225-241.
- Rohde K, and Heap M. 1998. Latitudinal differences in species and community richness and in community structure of metazoan endo- and ectoparasites of marine teleost fish. International Journal for Parasitology 28(3):461-474.
  - Ruch TC. 1959. Diseases of laboratory primates. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders Company.
    121-145 p.
- 40 713
   41 714
   42 715
   43 716
   516
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   517
   518
   518
   518
   518
   518
   518
   518
   518
   518
   518
   518
   518
   518
   518
   518
   518
   518
   518
   518
   518
   518
   518
   518
   518
   518
   518
   518
   518
   <l
- Simkova A, Ottova E, and Morand S. 2006. MHC variability, life-traits and parasite diversity of European cyprinid fish. Evolutionary Ecology 20(5):465-477.
- 46 718 Smith KF, Acevedo-Whitehouse K, and Pedersen AB. 2009. The role of infectious diseases in
   47 719 biological conservation. Animal Conservation 12(1):1-12.
- <sup>48</sup> 720 Smuts BB. 1985. Sex and friendship in baboons. Hawthorne, NY: Aldine Publishing Co.
- 49
   721
   50
   51
   52
   723
   51
   52
   52
   53
   54
   55
   55
   56
   57
   57
   58
   59
   59
   50
   50
   50
   51
   52
   52
   52
   52
   53
   54
   55
   55
   56
   57
   57
   58
   59
   50
   50
   50
   51
   52
   52
   52
   52
   52
   53
   54
   55
   55
   56
   57
   57
   58
   59
   50
   50
   51
   52
   52
   52
   52
   53
   54
   55
   55
   56
   57
   57
   58
   59
   59
   50
   51
   52
   52
   52
   53
   54
   55
   54
   55
   55
   56
   57
   57
   58
   59
   59
   50
   50
   51
   51
   51
   51
   51
   52
   54
   55
   55
   56
   56
   56
   57
   57
   58
   59
   59
   50
   51
   51
   51
   51
   51
   52
   52
   54
   55
   55
   56
   56
   56
   56
   57
   56
   56
   56
   56
   <li
- 724 Teichroeb JA, Kutz SJ, Parkar U, Thompson RCA, and Sicotte P. 2009. Ecology of the gastrointestinal parasites of *Colobus vellerosus* at Boabeng-Fiema, Ghana: possible anthropozoonotic transmission. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 140(3):498-507.
- Tompkins DM. 2001. Parasites and host population dynamics. In: Hudson PJ, and Dobson
   AP, editors. Ecology of wildlife diseases Oxford: Oxford UniversityPress. p 45–62.
- 60730TompkinsDM,DunnAM,SmithMJ,andTelferS.2010.Wildlife diseases: from731individuals to ecosystems. Journal of Animal Ecology in press.

John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Vacher C, Vile D, Helion E, Piou D, and Desprez-Loustau ML. 2008. Distribution of parasitic fungal species richness: influence of climate versus host species diversity. Diversity and Distributions 14(5):786-798. 

- Valdespino C, Rico-Hernandez G, and Mandujano S. 2010. Gastrointestinal Parasites of Howler Monkeys (Alouatta palliata) Inhabiting the Fragmented Landscape of the Santa Marta Mountain Range, Veracruz, Mexico. American Journal of Primatology 72(6):539-548.
- Vitone ND, Altizer S, and Nunn CL. 2004. Body size, diet and sociality influence the species richness of parasitic worms in anthropoid primates. Evolutionary Ecology Research 6(2):183-199.
  - Walther BA, Cotgreave P, Price RD, Gregory RD, and Clayton DH. 1995. Sampling effort and parasite species richness. Parasitology Today 11(8):306-310.
- Watve MG, and Sukumar R. 1995. Parasite abundance and diversity in Mammals - Correlates with host ecology. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 92(19):8945-8949.
- Whittingham MJ, Stephens PA, Bradbury RB, and Freckleton RP. 2006. Why do we still use stepwise modelling in ecology and behaviour? Journal of Animal Ecology 75(5):1182-1189.
  - Zuk M, and McKean KA. 1996. Sex differences in parasite infections: Patterns and processes. International Journal for Parasitology 26(10):1009-1023.

Table 1. Potential factors influencing host parasite richness explored in this study. Factors are grouped by the scale at which they operate (population, group, and individual). Further information is also provided on the proposed mechanism (whether each factor is more likely to influence parasite richness through the probability of parasite encounter or susceptibility to infection following encounter). The positive effect of a considered factor is noted (+), and a negative effect is noted (-).

Scale	Factor	Hypotheses under test							
Population	Rainfall	H1	(+) encounter probability ~ due to the accelerated development, replication or survival of parasites in wetter conditions (Nunn and Altizer, 2006).						
	Temperature	H2	<ul> <li>(+) encounter probability ~ due to the accelerated development, replication or survival of parasites in hotter conditions (Nunn and Altizer, 2006).</li> </ul>						
Group	Home range productivity	Н3	(+) encounter probability ~ because vegetation can be a surrogate measure of environmental moisture and thermal conditions for parasites (Bavia et al., 2001) or can represent a breeding or sheltering site for parasites (Ceccato et al., 2005; Lindsay et al., 1991)						
	Ranging	H4.a	<ul> <li>Home range size:</li> <li>(+) encounter probability ~ due to an increased probability of encounters with parasites in a larger home range (Nunn and Altizer, 2006).</li> </ul>						
behavior H4.b		H4.b	<ul> <li>Daily travel distance:</li> <li>(+) encounter probability ~ due to an increased probability of encounters with parasites in a more intensively used home range (Nunn and Altizer, 2006).</li> </ul>						
Individual	Age H5.a H5.b		(+) encounter probability ~ due to an accumulation of parasites in older individuals resulting from stable probability of encounters with new parasites over time (Nunn and Altizer, 2006)						
			<ul> <li>(-) susceptibility to infection ~ due to a reinforced immunity in older individuals following repeated contacts with multiple parasites (Hudson and Dobson, 1997)</li> </ul>						

Sex	H6	(+ males) encounter probability ~ higher parasite richness in males due to higher consumption of food and thus more opportunity to eat contaminated items (Nunn and Altizer, 2006)				
		(+ males) susceptibility to infection ~ higher parasite richness in males (Zuk and McKean, 1996), due to immunosuppression typically resulting from elevated testosterone levels (Roberts et al., 2004)				
Physical	H7.a	<ul> <li>(+) exposure probability ~ animals that eat more are in better physical condition but also have more opportunity to eat contaminated items (Nunn and Altizer, 2006)</li> </ul>				
condition	H7.b	(-) susceptibility to infection ~ due to a better ability to resist infections for animals in good physical condition (Irvine et al., 2006)				
Social rank <sup>1</sup>	Н8.а	<ul> <li>(+) encounter probability ~ higher parasite richness in dominant individuals due to higher consumption of food and thus more opportunity to eat contaminated items (Nunn and Altizer, 2006)</li> </ul>				
	H8.b	(-) susceptibility to infection ~ higher parasite richness in subordinate individuals due to stress compromising immunocompetence (Nunn and Altizer, 2006)				

<sup>1</sup>Here assuming high social rank for dominant individuals, low social rank for subordinates

**Table 2.** Individual patterns of parasite infection (662 samples, 86 individuals), with species/morphotypes ordered by prevalence. The "Medium Amoebae" category includes *E. histolytica, E. dispar* and *I. buetchlii*. The "Small Amoebae" category includes *E. hartmanni, E. nana*, and *D. fragilis*. "Egg 1" corresponds to an unidentified nematode species. For nematodes, the median and range of intensity of infection is expressed in egg per gram. For protozoans, the intensity of infection is expressed as a score on a 5-point semi-quantitative scale (0-4). Parasite prevalence is expressed as the number of individuals infected by a given parasite species (or category in the case of medium and small amoebae) divided by the total number of individuals, and is given in percentage.

Species	Median	Range	Prevalence (%)	Parasite phylum
Streptopharagus pigmentatus	153.4	0.0-4431.5	77.5	Nematode
Entamoeba coli	1.6	0.0-3.0	77.1	Amoeboid
Balantidium coli	1.3	0.0-4.0	66.6	Ciliate
Small-sized amoebae	0.4	0.0-3.0	30.3	Amoeboid
Chilomastix mesnili	0.3	0.0-1.7	23.1	Flagellate
Medium-sized amoebae	0.5	0.0-2.0	21.9	Amoeboid
Physaloptera caucasia	0.0	0.0-464.5	14.6	Nematode
Unidentified species (Egg1)	0.0	0.0-30.7	5.8	Nematode
Ascaris sp.	0.0	0.0-81	0.02	Nematode
Subulura sp.	0.0	0.0-98	0.01	Nematode
Macracanthorhynchus hirudinaceus	0.0	0.0-1	0.01	Acanthocephalan

**Table 3.** Influence of environmental factors, ranging behavior, and individual traits on individual baboon parasite richness. Each model represents a different scale: population-level factors (524 samples, 82 individuals, AIC=1685.28), group-level factors (599 samples, 86 individuals, AIC=1955.47) and individual-level factors (456 samples, 73 individuals, AIC=1437.07).

Model	Variables	Estimate	SE	F-value	df	P-value
Population level	Sample weight^3	2.06	1.17	3.16	3	0.03
	Tmax <sup>1</sup>	4.83	1.73	7.75	1	<0.01
Group level	Sample weight <sup>3</sup>	2.20	1.19	3.02	3	0.03
	Home range NDVI	3.31	4.28	0.6	1	0.44
	Home range area	-0.01	0.01	1.44	1	0.23
	Travel distance	0.28	0.07	15.95	1	<0.001
Individual level	Sample weight <sup>3</sup>	3.30	1.06	4.32	3	<0.01
	Age^3	3.45	1.58	2.85	3	0.03
	Sex <sup>2</sup>	-0.53	0.19	7.5	1	<0.01
	Body condition	-0.18	-0.08	5.08	1	0.02
	Body size	0.01	0.01	4.45	1	0.04

<sup>1</sup> Daily maximum temperature is averaged over the seven-days occurring four weeks before sample collection

(see results)

<sup>2</sup> The reference category for sex is female.

**Table 4.** Multiple-scale influences on baboon parasite richness (386 samples, 72 individuals, AIC= 1170.02). All variables were standardised (mean of zero, standard deviation of one) and are ordered by their effect size.

Estimate	SE	F-value	df	<i>P</i> -value
3.92	1.46	4.41	3	<0.01
2.75	1.03	5.79	3	< 0.001
0.48	0.19	6.24	1	0.01
-0.47	0.19	5.97	1	0.02
-0.43	0.13	11.22	1	<0.001
0.22	1.07	5.79	1	<0.01
0.21	0.06	10.56	1	0.001
	Estimate 3.92 2.75 0.48 -0.47 -0.43 0.22 0.21	Estimate         SE           3.92         1.46           2.75         1.03           0.48         0.19           -0.47         0.19           -0.43         0.13           0.22         1.07           0.21         0.06	EstimateSEF-value3.921.464.412.751.035.790.480.196.24-0.470.195.97-0.430.1311.220.221.075.790.210.0610.56	Estimate         SE         F-value         df           3.92         1.46         4.41         3           2.75         1.03         5.79         3           0.48         0.19         6.24         1           -0.47         0.19         5.97         1           -0.43         0.13         11.22         1           0.22         1.07         5.79         1           0.21         0.06         10.56         1

<sup>1</sup> The reference category for sex is female.

<sup>2</sup> Daily maximum temperature is averaged over the seven-days occurring four weeks before sample collection

(see results)

**Table 5**. Evidence from previous empirical studies. Previous studies in captivity, or studies examining other parasitic measures such as prevalence or load, are not reported here. The positive effect of a considered factor is noted (+), a negative effect is noted (-), and no effect is noted by (0).

Scale	Factor	Evidence from previous empirical studies	This study
Population	Rainfall	<ul> <li>(+) comparative studies: bacteria across human populations (Guernier et al., 2004); gamasid mites across small mammals (Krasnov et al., 2008)</li> <li>(0) field study of helminths in red foxes (Barbosa et al., 2005)</li> </ul>	(+)
	Temperature	<ul> <li>(+) comparative study of fungi in French forest (Vacher et al., 2008); field study of helminthes in red-legged partridge (Calvete, 2003)</li> <li>(0) comparative studies: all parasite types in humans at large geographical scale (Guernier et al., 2004); endo- and ectoparasites in fish (Rohde and Heap, 1998); field study of helminthes in red foxes (Barbosa et al., 2005)</li> </ul>	(+)
Group	Home range productivity	No previous studies	0
	Home range size	<ul> <li>(0) comparative study of gut parasites in mammals (Watve and Sukumar, 1995)</li> <li>(-) comparative study of helminths in mammals (Bordes et al., 2009)</li> </ul>	0
	Daily travel distance	<ul> <li>(+) comparative study of helminths in primates (Nunn and Dokey, 2006); field study of chigger infections in California meadow mice (Mohr and Stumpf, 1964)</li> </ul>	(+)
Individual	Age	<ul> <li>(+) Longevity: comparative studies of Protozoans across primates (Nunn et al., 2003); ectoparasites across Pericidae fish (Ranta, 1992); helminths across freshwater fish (Bell and Burt, 1991); field study of endo- and ectoparasites in coral-reef fish (Lo et al., 1998)</li> <li>(0) Longevity: comparative study of ectoparasites across cyprinid fish (Simkova et al., 2006); field studies of gut parasites: red-fronted lemurs (Clough et al., 2010); mandrills (Setchell et al., 2007); chimpanzees (Muehlenbein, 2005)</li> <li>(-) Longevity: comparative study of helminths across mammals (Morand and Harvey, 2000)</li> </ul>	Polynomial relationship with (+) effect before sexual maturity and a (-) effect for adults
	Sex	<ul> <li>(+ males) comparative study: ectoparasites in small mammals (Morand et al., 2004); field study of fleas in desert rodents (Krasnov et al., 2005)</li> <li>(+ females) field studies: fleas in rodent <i>Acromys russatus</i> (Krasnov et al., 2005); lice in neotropical birds (Clayton et al., 1992)</li> <li>(0) No bias: field study of gut parasites in red-fronted lemurs (Clough et al., 2010)</li> </ul>	(+ females)
	Physical condition	(-) field study of helminths of the wild rabbit (Lello et al., 2005)	(-)
	Social rank <sup>1</sup>	<ul> <li>(+) field study of gut parasites in chimpanzees (Muehlenbein, 2005) but analyses not shown.</li> <li>(0) field studies: gut parasites in ursine colobus (Teichroeb et al., 2009); red-fronted lemurs (Clough et al., 2010); mandrills (Setchell et al., 2007)</li> </ul>	0

1	
2	
3	<sup>1</sup> Here assuming high social rank for dominant individuals low social rank for subordinates
4	There assuming high social rank for dominant marviadais, for social rank for suboralitates
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
1/	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
4Z 12	
43	
44 45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
00	

Fig. 1. Temporal variation of parasite richness during the study period. Monthly variation in parasite richness for the 2005 and 2006 study periods (means and standard errors) are displayed in the top panel. Monthly parasite prevalence (expressed as a fraction of total individuals) for each parasite species or morphotype for the 2005 study period are displayed on the bottom panel.

Fig. 2. Relationship between host parasite richness and daily maximum temperature (Tmax), averaged over the seven-days occurring four weeks before sample collection. The means and standard errors of Tmax for each parasite richness score are shown.

Fig. 3. Relationship between host parasite richness and age. Circles represent the mean parasite richness for an individual. The fitted line was drawn using a locally weighted polynomial regression (Cleveland, 1979) with the lowess command in R 2.8.0 (R Development Core Team, 2003).

Fig. 4. Relationship between host parasite richness and physical condition. The means and standard errors of physical condition for each parasite richness score are shown.



Fig1 153x112mm (300 x 300 DPI)





Individual parasite richness

Fig2 134x118mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Age

Fig3 134x118mm (300 x 300 DPI)





Individual parasite richness

Fig4 134x118mm (300 x 300 DPI)