ABSTRACT SUBMITTAL FORM	
The submission of an abstract is an agreement to complete a final paper for publication and attend the meeting to present this information. In order to ensure receipt of paper selection notification and the meeting invitation, please be sure to complete all information requested in the author and co-author information sections. Abstracts must be <u>submitted electronically</u> ; please see submittal instructions located in the call for papers. The deadline date for the receipt of abstracts is October 11, 2010. <u>ABSTRACT INFORMATION</u>	
Title: CFD MODELING OF LAUNCH VEHICLE AERODYNAMIC HEATING	
Submitted for consideration to: Image: I	
AUTHOR INFORMATION	
Author/Presenter Name: Scott B. Tashakkor Affiliation Jacobs/ESTS Address Marshall Space Flight Center, Mail Code: EV33 City MSFC State AL Zip 35812 Telephone 256-961-1173 Telefax 256-544-3023 e-mail: Scott.B.Tashakkor@nasa.gov	2 nd Author: Dr. Francisco Canabal Affiliation NASA Address Marshall Space Flight Center, Mail Code: EV33 City MSFC State AL Zip 35812 Telephone 256-544-3053 Telefax 256-544-3023 e-mail: Francisco.Canabal@nasa.gov
3 rd Author: Jason E. Mishtawy Affiliation NASA Address Marshall Space Flight Center, Mail Code: EV33 City MSFC State AL Zip 35812 Telephone 256-544-4077 Telefax 256-544-3023 e-mail: Jason.E.Mishtawy@nasa.gov	Additional Author(s): Affiliation Address City State Zip Telephone Telefax e-mail:
MANAGEMENT APPROVAL	
The individual below certifies that the required resources are available to present this paper at the above subject JANNAF meeting. Responsible Manager authorizing presentation: Bill Crosby	
Title/Agency: Supervisor/Jacobs Telephone Number: 256-544-1622 e-mail:	Date:
	sby@nasa.gov 5-October-2010

April 2011 JPM / CS / APS / EPSS / PSHS Joint Subcommittee Meeting ABSTRACT SUBMITTAL FORM

Unclassified Abstract

(250-300 words; do not include figures or tables)

The Loci-CHEM 3.2 Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) code is being used to predict Ares-I launch vehicle aerodynamic heating. CFD has been used to predict both ascent and stage reentry environments and has been validated against wind tunnel tests and the Ares I-X developmental flight test. Most of the CFD predictions agreed with measurements. On regions where mismatches occurred, the CFD predictions tended to be higher than measured data. These higher predictions usually occurred in complex regions, where the CFD models (mainly turbulence) contain less accurate approximations. In some instances, the errors causing the over-predictions would cause locations downstream to be affected even though the physics were still being modeled properly by CHEM. This is easily seen when comparing to the 103-AH data. In the areas where predictions were low, higher grid resolution often brought the results closer to the data. Other disagreements are attributed to Ares I-X hardware not being present in the grid, as a result of computational resources limitations. The satisfactory predictions from CHEM provide confidence that future designs and predictions from the CFD code will provide an accurate approximation of the correct values for use in design and other applications.