Title:
Pulsed airborne lidar measurements of CO_2 column absorption

Authors:
James B. Abshire[1], Haris Riris[1], Graham R. Allan[2], Clark J. Weaver[3], Jianping Mao[3], Xiaoli Sun[1], William E. Hasselbrack[2], Michael Rodriguez[2], Edward V. Browell[5]

Affiliations:
[1]*NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA*
[2]*Sigma Space Inc., Lanham, MD 20706 USA*
[3]*Goddard Earth Sciences and Technology Center, UMBC, Baltimore, MD 21228, USA*
[4]*NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton VA 23681, USA*

Abstract:
We report on airborne lidar measurements of atmospheric CO_2 column density for an approach being developed as a candidate for NASA's ASCENDS mission. It uses a pulsed dual-wavelength lidar measurement based on the integrated path differential absorption (IPDA) technique. We demonstrated the approach using the CO_2 measurement from aircraft in July and August 2009 over four locations. The results show clear CO_2 line shape and absorption signals, which follow the expected changes with aircraft altitude from 3 to 13 km. The 2009 measurements have been analyzed in detail and the results show ~1 ppm random errors for 8-10 km altitudes and ~30 sec averaging times. Airborne measurements were also made in 2010 with stronger signals and initial analysis shows ~ 0.3 ppm random errors for 80 sec averaging times for measurements at altitudes > 6 km.

Copyright Declaration:

Point of Contact (Name, Telephone and Email Address):
James B. Abshire
301-614-6081
James.B.Abshire@nasa.gov

Scheduling constraint:
I have a conflict with the NASA ESTO ESTF conference on Thursday.
I can present this paper on any day but Thursday.
☐

Pulsed airborne lidar measurements of CO₂ column absorption

James B. Abshire[1], Haris Riris[1], Graham R. Allan[2], Clark J. Weaver[3], Jianping Mao[3], Xiaoli Sun[1], William E. Hasselbrack[2], Michael Rodriguez[2], Edward V. Browell[4]

[1]NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA
[2]Sigma Space Inc., Lanham, MD 20706 USA
[3]Goddard Earth Sciences and Technology Center, UMBC, Baltimore, MD 21228, USA
[4]NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton VA 23681, USA

ABSTRACT

We report on airborne lidar measurements of atmospheric CO₂ column density for an approach being developed as a candidate for NASA's ASCENDS mission. It uses a pulsed dual-wavelength lidar measurement based on the integrated path differential absorption (IPDA) technique. We demonstrated the approach using the CO₂ measurement from aircraft in July and August 2009 over four locations. The results show clear CO₂ line shape and absorption signals, which follow the expected changes with aircraft altitude from 3 to 13 km. The 2009 measurements have been analyzed in detail and the results show ~1 ppm random errors for 8-10 km altitudes and ~30 sec averaging times. Airborne measurements were also made in 2010 with stronger signals and initial analysis shows ~ 0.3 ppm random errors for 80 sec averaging times for measurements at altitudes >6 km.

1. INTRODUCTION

The US National Research Council's 2007 Decadal Survey for Earth Science recommended a space-based CO₂ measuring mission called ASCENDS [1]. Its goals are to produce global atmospheric CO₂ measurements using the laser absorption spectroscopy approach. ASCENDS will allow continuous measurements over the cloud-free oceans, at low sun angles and in darkness, which are major advantages over passive sensors [2].

2. LIDAR APPROACH

Our group has developed a pulsed lidar approach, shown in Figure 1, as a candidate for the ASCENDS mission [3-5]. It uses a dual band pulsed laser absorption spectrometer and the integrated path differential absorption (IPDA) lidar technique. The approach uses two tunable pulsed laser transmitters allowing simultaneous measurement of the absorption from a CO₂ absorption line in the 1570 nm band, O₂ extinction in the oxygen A-band, and surface height and atmospheric backscatter in the same path. A tunable laser is stepped in wavelength across a single CO₂ line for the CO₂ column measurement, while simultaneously a laser is stepped across a line doublet near 765 nm in the Oxygen A-band for an atmospheric pressure measurement [6]. Both lasers are pulsed at a ~8kHz rate, and the two absorption line regions are sampled in wavelength

steps at typically ~1 KHz. The direct detection receiver measures the time resolved laser backscatter from the atmosphere along with the energies of the laser echoes from the surface. After suitable averaging the gas extinction and column densities for the CO₂ and O₂ gases are estimated from the sampled wavelengths of the surface reflected line shapes via the Integrated Path Differential Absorption (IPDA) Lidar technique [7].

Our approach measures a single CO₂ line in the 1570 nm band [8]. The centermost line of R-branch at 1572.335 nm, shown in Figure 1, has been analyzed and is an attractive line for CO₂ measurements [23]. Our concept for space measures the CO₂ lineshape at 8 wavelengths. This allows for solving for wavelength offsets via a line fitting process. The distributed wavelength sampling across the line region also allows the instrument's response to be characterized as a function of wavelength, which allows modeling to reduce the impact of wavelength dependent responses. Using pulsed lasers and receiver processing to range resolve the laser backscatter profiles also allows post detection signal processing to isolate the laser echo signals from the surface, and to reject laser photons scattered from the atmosphere. This also substantially improves the receiver's SNR by reducing the amount of noise admitted from the detector and the solar background.

3. 2009 AIRBORNE LIDAR

In 2008 we first demonstrated airborne lidar measurements on the NASA Glenn Lear-25 aircraft [3] shown in Figure 2. A laser signal drawing and lidar block diagram are shown in Figure 3. The lidar signal source is a DFB laser diode, which is operated near 1572.33 nm by controlling its temperature and current. A ramp from a signal generator was used to sweep the current to the diode laser, and hence its output wavelength. The diode's CW output is then gated into pulses using an acousto-optic modulator (AOM) to an Erbium Doped Fiber Amplifier

(EDFA). A small percentage of the CW seed laser output is split off and directed through a fiber-coupled CO_2 absorption cell and to a PIN detector. The CO_2 cell serves as a monitor for center wavelength of the sweep. The nominal static transmitted laser pulse wavelengths are measured in a calibration procedure using a commercial wavemeter. Subsequent testing showed some curvature in the scanning dynamic ramp signal, so we used a more accurate quadratic functional model for the laser wavelength vs pulse position in the data analysis.

Figure 1- *Left* - NASA Glenn Lear-25 aircraft. The nadir window assembly is just below the NASA logo. Photographs of the lidar installed on the aircraft showing the sensor head assembly *(Middle)* and the dual aircraft racks *(Right)*.

Table 1 – 2009 Pulsed Airborne CO_2 Lidar Parameters

CO2 line center wavelength	1572.33 nm (typically)	Telescope diameter	20 cm
Laser min & max wavelengths	1572.29 nm, 1572.39 nm	Receiver FOV diameter	200 µrad
Laser wavelength steps across line	20 (these flights)	Receiver optical bandwidth	800 pm FWHM
Laser wavelength change/step	~ 5 pm	Receiver Optics Transmission	64%
Laser peak power, pulse width, energy	25 watts, 1 µsec, 25 µJ	Detector PMT type	Hamamatsu H10330A-75
Laser divergence angle	100 µrad (these flights)	Detector quantum efficiency	2% (this device)
Seed laser diode type	DFB: Fitel FOL15DCWD	Detector dark count rate	~ 500 kHz
Laser Pulse Modulator (AOM)	NEOS Model: 26035-2-155	Receiver signal processing	Photon counting/histogramming
Fiber coupled CO2 cell	80 cm path, ~200 Torr pressure	Histogram time bin width	8 nsec
Fiber Laser Amplifier (EDFA)	IPG EAR-10K-1571-LP-SF	Receiver integration time	1 second per readout
Laser line scan rate	450 Hz	Recording duty cycle	50% (1 sec. every 2 sec.)
Laser linewidth per step	~15 MHz	Instrument rack size & mass:	~ 90 cm tall, total: 147 kg
Receiver Telescope type	Cassegrain, f/10 (Vixen)	Sensor head size and mass	~ 25 x 60 x 60 cm, 41 kg

The laser output is a sequence of 1 usec-wide pulses which occur at a 10 KHz rate. The collimated transmitted laser signal exits through nadir aircraft window. The laser backscatter is collected by the receiver's 20 cm diameter Cassegrain telescope, which views nadir through the same window in a bistatic configuration. A multimode fiber is used to couple the optical signal from the telescope focal plane to the receiver optics. After passing through an optical bandpass filter, the signal is focused onto a PMT detector. The PMT used in the 2009 flights had a single photon counting efficiency of ~2%. The electrical pulse output from the PMT was amplified and passed through a threshold detector.

The pulses from the receiver's PMT detector and discriminator are binned and accumulated by the MCS. The start time of the MCS sweep is synchronized with the first laser pulse trigger and hence start of the pulsed wavelength sweep. Each MCS sweep contains a histogram of PMT pulse counts vs time for the wavelength sweeps (i.e. the laser backscatter profiles for all 20 pulses). At the end of 1 second, each bin contains the total receiver counts for the 450 laser sweeps. The receiver histogram record is then read and stored. The laser trigger and data acquisition is synchronized to timing markers from the GPS receiver and data is stored every other second. The computer also digitizes other signals, including those from, the inertial

guidance system output from the aircraft and GPS position and time. A nadir viewing video camera

4. 2009 AIRBORNE CAMPAIGNS

We used the NASA Glenn Lear-25 aircraft for the 2009 flights. The airborne lidar is configured into two half-racks and a "sensor head", which contained the receiver telescope and the transmitter optics. A photograph of the sensor when integrated on the aircraft is shown in Figure 2. The sensor head was mounted above the lidar's wedged and AR coated nadir viewing window assembly. During August 2009 we flew an airborne campaign with a series of 2.5 hour-long flights. We measured the shapes of the atmospheric CO_2 absorption line at stepped altitudes from 3-13 km over a variety of surfaces in the SGP ARM site, Illinois and near and over the Chesapeake Bay in North Carolina and eastern Virginia. Strong laser signals and clear CO_2 line shapes were observed at all altitudes on most flights, and some measurements were made through thin and broken clouds. These flights allowed testing and recording performance under different measurement conditions.

5. AIRBORNE CO2 LIDAR MEASUREMENT PROCESSING

For the flights the lidar recorded the time- and wavelength-resolved laser backscatter with 1-second integration time. In subsequent analysis, the line shape measurements at each flight altitude step were averaged in sets up to 10 sec. We used a CO_2 line retrieval approach based on the Gauss-Newton method to analyze each altitude averaged line shape. This approach has sufficient free parameters to model and correct for instrument effects, to fit the resulting CO_2 line shapes, and to estimate the corresponding CO_2 line optical depth at each altitude. The algorithm attempts to fit the sampled CO_2 line shape using a model with several sets of variables. The first is the reduction of the photon count ratio near the 1572.335 nm line due to CO_2 absorption. For these experiments the lidar's wavelength (ie wavelength per laser pulse number) was modeled as a quadratic function, and the three wavelength coefficients were solved for, using the ground calibration as a prior constraint. The final set of variables modeled the changes in the lidar's baseline response with wavelength. The CO_2 retrieval algorithm produces a set of parameters for each fit, including ones for signal strength, range to the surface and for differential optical depth (DOD) of the line peak.

also records the visible image though the window.

6. RESULTS

The CO_2 column absorption and range estimates [10] are analyzed for altitude step for each flight. We have conducted detailed analysis on the measurements of four flights in 2009, and the last flight in 2010. Some examples of the results are shown in Figures 3-7. More details and results from other flights, in 2009 and 2010, will be shown in the presentation.

7. SUMMARY

We have analyzed pulsed airborne lidar measurements of CO2 column density for four flights made in August 2009. Three of the four flights were made in coordination with an ITT/LaRC lidar and a LaRC in-situ sensor [9], and were coordinated by Ed Browell of NASA LaRC. Some segments of these flights also demonstrated CO2 line shapes measured through thin clouds. The lidar measurements were similar on all four flights. They showed the nearly the linear DOD vs altitude as calculated from atmospheric measurements and spectroscopy from HITRAN 2008. The scatter in the DOD was minimum in the 8-10 km altitude region, and had ~1 ppm random error there for 30 second averages.

The lidar's configuration and settings were improved for the flights made in July 2010 on the NASA DC-8. For those flights the average recorded lidar signals were almost 9 times stronger, and the CO2 line sampling density was improved. The analysis for the last three 2010 flights to date shows improved measurement performance. The 2010 flight over the DOE ARM site showed 0.3 ppm random error over the 6-12 km altitude range using ~80 second averaging time. This corresponds to about 1.0 ppm errors for the 10 second averaging times targeted for a space mission. The analysis of these measurements will be completed in the summer 2011. In July 2010 we also demonstrated airborne O2 column absorption measurements. Those measurements are being analyzed and will be reported in the future.

Figure 2- (left) The CO2 line sampling approach used in the 2009 flights. (Right top & middle) examples of fitted CO2 line shapes vs altitude. (Right bottom) Approach used to calculate the differential optical depth (DOD) of the fitted line.


Figure 3 - Plots of the 1 second lidar measurements made above the Eastern Shore of VA the afternoon of August 17, 2009. *(Left)* plots of the measured range to the surface and DOD vs elapsed time. *(Right)* Scatter plot of the DOD vs range to the surface, and the best-fit line to the data. The distribution is quite linear, with more scatter above 10 km.

Figure 4- Summaries of scatter, or random error, in the groups of DOD values at constant ranges (those with rms altitude variability < 100 m) for the VA flight on August 17, 2009. The calculation approach is the same as the previous figure. *(Left)* Plots of the rms scatter in DOD values per group vs range to the surface. This curve is also U-shaped with minimum errors in the 8-9 km range. At smaller ranges, the relative error increases as the DOD decreases. At larger ranges, the relative error increases due to the smaller measured signals at the lidar due to $1/R^2$ losses and the increasing attenuation at line peak. *(Right)* Histogram of random errors at all altitudes. The median error for this flight was 1.0 ppm. The median error for this flight at all altitudes was 1.0 ppm. The minimum errors at the optimum 8-10 km range were ~0.5 ppm..


Figure 5 – *(Left)* A sample of a 1 second lidar backscatter record and some of the recorded pulse shapes from 12.5 km altitude from the flight over the DOE ARM site on July 18, 2010. *(Right)* Example fits of the computed CO2 line to the measurements in both transmission and optical depth units, and the errors in the fit vs wavelength.

Median = 0.32 ppm
Mean = 0.39 ppm
Std dev = 0.23 ppm
Number = 54

Ave range to surface (m)

390 ppm * Rel error (Mean DOD)

- Median error: 0.32 ppm
  (80 sec averages)
  => 10 sec ave error: 1 ppm
- Similar performance: 8-12 km

Figure 6 – Summary of measurements from the 2010 ARM site flight for 100 second segments where the range standard deviation was < 100m. *(Left)* Plots of DOD (green) and scatter (rms error) in the relative DOD, scaled to 390 ppm in red .vs range to the surface. The largest errors are at the lowest altitudes and most errors were < 0.5 ppm. *(Right)* Histogram of scatter in the relative error. The ave # of measurements was ~80 sec and median error was 0.32 ppm. For a shorter averaging time of 10 second the average error will scale to 1 ppm.

REFERENCES

1. United States National Research Council, "Earth Science and Applications from Space: National Imperatives for the Next Decade and Beyond," Available from http://www.nap.edu/. (2007).

2. NASA ASCENDS Mission Science Definition and Planning Workshop Report, Available from: http://cce.nasa.gov/ascends/12-30-08%20ASCENDS_Workshop_Report%20clean.pdf (2008).

3. Abshire, J. B., Riris, H., Allan, G. R., Weaver, C. J., Mao, J., Sun, X., Hasselbrack, W. E., Kawa, S. R. and Biraud, S., "Pulsed airborne lidar measurements of atmospheric CO_2 column absorption." *Tellus B*, no. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0889.2010.00502.x (2010).

4. Krainak, M.A, et al., "Measurements of atmospheric CO_2 over a horizontal path using a tunable-diode-laser and erbium-fiber-amplifier at 1572 nm," in *Conference on Lasers and Electro-Optics, Technical Digest*, OSA, paper CTuX4, pages 878-881. ISBN: 1-55752-748-2 (2003).

5. J. B. Abshire, H. Riris, G. R. Allan, C. J. Weaver, J. Mao, X. Sun, W. E. Hasselbrack, A. Yu, A. Amediek, Y. Choi, and E. V. Browell, "A lidar approach to measure CO2 concentrations from spacefor the ASCENDS Mission," Proc. SPIE 7832, 78320D (2010), DOI:10.1117/12.868567.

6. Stephen, M., Krainak, M., Riris H., and Allan, G. R., "Narrowband, tunable, frequency-doubled, erbium-doped fiber-amplified transmitter," *Optics Letters*, Vol. 32, No. 15 pages 2073-6 (2007).

7. Measures, R., *Laser Remote Sensing: Fundamentals and Applications*, Krieger Publishing, New York (1992).

8. Mao, J., Kawa, S. R., Abshire, J. B. and Riris, H., "Sensitivity Studies for a Space-based CO_2 Laser Sounder," *Eos Trans. AGU*, 88(52), Fall Meet. Suppl. Abstract A13D-1500 (2007).

9. Y. Choi, S.Vay, K. Vadevu, A. Soja, J. Woo, S. Nolf, G. Sachse, et. al., "Characteristics of the atmospheric CO_2 signal as observed over the conterminous United States during INTEX-NA", Journal of Geophysical Research, 113, D07301, (2008).

10. A. Amediek et al., "Backscatter and Column Height Estimates from a pulsed airborne CO_2 Lidar, "ILRC-25 Conference Proceedings*, St. Petersburg Russia (2010).