1 2	Biomass Burning Aerosol Absorption Measurements with MODIS Using the Critical Reflectance Method			
3 4	Zhu, L., J. V. Martins, L. A. Remer			
5 6 7	Li Zhu			
8	University of Maryland, Baltimore County, Department of Physics and Joint Center for Earth			
9	Systems Technology, 1000 Hilltop Circle, Baltimore, MD, 21250			
10	410-455-1986			
11	zhuli1@umbc.edu			
12				
13				
14	Vanderlei J. Martins			
15	University of Maryland, Baltimore County, Department of Physics and Joint Center for Earth			
16	Systems Technology, 1000 Hilltop Circle, Baltimore, MD, 21250			
17	410-455-2764			
18	martins@umbc.edu			
19				
20				
21	Lorraine A. Remer			
22	code 613.2, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt MD 20771 USA			
23	301-614-6194			
24	Lorraine.A.Remer@nasa.gov			
25 26				

Abstract

This research uses the critical reflectance technique, a space-based remote sensing method, to 28 measure the spatial distribution of aerosol absorption properties over land. Choosing two regions 29 30 dominated by biomass burning aerosols, a series of sensitivity studies were undertaken to analyze the potential limitations of this method for the type of aerosol to be encounterd in the 31 selected study areas, and to show that the retrieved results are relatively insensitive to 32 uncertainties in the assumptions used in the retrieval of smoke aerosol. The critical reflectance 33 technique is then applied to Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (MODIS) data to 34 35 retrieve the spectral aerosol single scattering albedo (SSA) in South African and South American biomass burning events. The retrieved results were validated with collocated Aerosol Robotic 36 Network (AERONET) retrievals. One standard deviation of mean MODIS retrievals match 37 AERONET products to within ±0.03, the magnitude of the AERONET uncertainty. The overlap 38 of the two retrievals increases to 88%, allowing for measurement variance in the MODIS 39 retrievals as well. The ensemble average of MODIS-derived SSA for the Amazon forest station 40 is 0.92 at 670 nm, and 0.84-0.89 for the southern African savanna stations. The critical 41 reflectance technique allows evaluation of the spatial variability of SSA, and shows that SSA in 42 South America exhibits higher spatial variation than in South Africa. The accuracy of the 43 retrieved aerosol SSA from MODIS data indicates that this product can help to better understand 44 how aerosols affect the regional and global climate. 45

I. Introduction

Atmospheric aerosols absorb solar radiation, warm the atmosphere (Penner et al., 1992), and 49 cool the Earth surface (Ramanathan et al., 2001). Therefore, they disturb the atmospheric 50 temperature profile (Ackerman et al., 2000; Ramanathan et al., 2007; Davidi et al., 2009), and 51 affect cloud properties (Koren et al., 2004; Koren et al., 2008; Feingold et al., 2005) and 52 precipitation (Ramanathan et al., 2001; Ramanathan et al., 2005; Menon et al., 2002). These 53 effects are particularly important over areas with high aerosol concentration (Sato et al, 2003; 54 Wang et al., 2004), such as the southern Africa region (Ichoku et al., 2003), the Amazon region 55 56 (Procopio et al., 2004), the Asian region (Ramana & Ramanathan, 2006), and Yellow Sea, Arabian Sea, and Saharan Coast region (Zhu et al., 2007). A quantitative understanding of the 57 role of absorbing aerosols in climate change is also required to formulate reliable policy 58 recommendations (Hansen et al., 2000). 59

60 Aerosol absorption is typically expressed in terms of aerosol single scattering albedo 61 $(SSA = \frac{aerosol \ scattering \ coefficient}{aerosol \ scattering \ coefficient + aerosol \ absorption \ coefficient})$. The importance of

aerosol SSA to climate modification was first studied back in the 1970s (Atwater, 1970; Mitchell 62 JR, 1971). However, even though SSA is the biggest contributor to the total uncertainty in 63 aerosol direct radiative forcing (McComiskey et al., 2008), accurate aerosol SSA retrieval 64 remains challenging still today (Heintzenberg et al., 1997; Moosmuller et al., 2009). Many 65 approaches have been developed to study aerosol absorption properties, including microphysical 66 simulations (Ackerman & Toon, 1981; Martins, et al., 1998), data analysis from AERONET 67 (Dubovik et al., 2002), and in situ measurements (Clarke, et al., 1987; Reid, et al., 1998; Bond et al., 68 69 1999; Martins, et al., 2009), and ground based remote sensing techniques (Dubovik et al., 1998).

70 Space based remote sensing techniques to measure aerosol absorption have also been increasingly developed. More specifically, TOMS and combination of multi sensors on different 71 satellites have been used to study aerosol absorption. However, the retrieval of SSA from TOMS 72 is limited in the UV range (320-440nm), and the result is sensitive to the assumed aerosol layer 73 height (Herman, et al., 1997; Torres, et al., 1998). Moreover, the combination of satellite sensors, 74 such as TOMS and ERBE (Hus, et al., 2000), TOMS and MODIS (Hu, et al., 2007), OMI and 75 MODIS (Satheesh, et al., 2009), TOMS, MODIS and MISR (Hu, et al., 2009), and A-train 76 satellite sensors (MODIS, OMI, and CALIPSO) (Jeong & Hsu, 2008), have been used to retrieve 77 78 SSA. Nevertheless, these retrievals are still limited to the UV range, and the error sources introduced by using multi platforms with different resolutions, slightly different observation 79 times, and different calibrations have not yet been well studied. 80

Recently, some other space based techniques have been proposed to study aerosol absorption as 81 82 well. Measuring aerosol SSA over ocean from space, by using ocean sun glint as a bright background against aerosol absorption, was proposed by Kaufman, et al., in 2002. MISR also 83 has the ability to distinguish weakly absorbing (having 1%-4% of hematite, SSA in the range of 84 0.98-0.99) and strongly absorbing (having 10% hematite, red channel SSA of about 0.94) dust 85 components (Kalashnikova, et al., 2005; Kalashnikova, et al., 2006). Moreover, based on the 86 fact of differing sensitivities of polarized and unpolarized reflectance to aerosol absorption, 87 Glory will be used to retrieve SSA from polarimetric measurements in a single pixel 88 (Mishchenko, et al., 2007, Table 2). Even with these efforts, however, aerosol absorption 89 90 measurement with satellite remote sensing still remains challenging and more studies are needed.

91 Compared with the techniques discussed above, the "critical reflectance" method is also a 92 promising space based remote sensing technique to retrieve SSA (Fraser & Kaufman, 1985).

According to the Fraser and Kaufman (1985) radiative transfer simulations, there exists a 93 specific surface reflectance for which increased aerosol loading (being represented here by 94 aerosol optical depth or AOD) does not change the reflectance at the TOA (top of the 95 atmosphere). This unchanging reflectance at the TOA is defined as the critical reflectance, which 96 has a one-to-one relationship to aerosol SSA (Fraser & Kaufman, 1985). This technique has been 97 utilized with several space based remote sensing instruments to retrieve aerosol SSA: (1) using 98 Landsat Multi-Spectral Sensor Imagery as well as aircraft radiance data to retrieve aerosol SSA 99 over the DC area (Kaufman, 1987); (2) using AVHRR images in visible and near-IR bands to 100 101 retrieve the SSA of forest smoke (Kaufman, et al., 1990); (3) using Landsat TM images to 102 retrieve dust SSA, where the result agreed well with AERONET SSA (Kaufman, et al., 2001).

This research applies the critical reflectance technique to MODIS data to retrieve aerosol SSA. 103 The first MODIS sensor aboard NASA's Terra satellite was launched in 1999 and the second one 104 105 on the Aqua satellite launched in 2002. Each MODIS sensor provides a global data set every 1-2 days with a 16-day repeat cycle. The sensors collect the Earth images at 36 spectral bands in the 106 wavelength range from 0.4 µm to 14.4 µm with a swath width of 2330 km (cross track) and 107 108 continuous along-track coverage. Specifically, the seven aerosol bands are: 0.62-0.67, 0.84-0.87, 0.46-0.48, 0.55-0.57, 1.2-1.3, 1.63-1.65, and 2.11-2.16 µm, nominally 0.67, 0.86, 0.47, 0.55, 109 1.24, 1.64, and 2.12 µm respectively. This research discusses how to calculate the critical 110 reflectance from MODIS data and the sensitivity of potential factors affecting the retrieval, such 111 as detector zenith angle (DZA) and AOD difference between the polluted day and the clean days. 112 113 We also present validation results with collocated AERONET measurements as well as regional SSA maps. 114

II. Calculating Critical Reflectance from MODIS Data 116 In this section, we use two days of MODIS images 16 days apart at the AERONET Mongu site 117 (latitude= -15.25° , longitude= 23.15°) with low AOD (0.36 at 0.67 µm) day 266 and high AOD 118 (0.7 at 0.67 µm) day 250 in year 2000 to describe how to calculate the critical reflectance 119 120 parameter. The 16 days apart are used to assume the same angular geometry. In the rest of this study, we will refer to the low AOD day as the "clean day" and the high AOD day as the 121 "polluted day". Figure 1 shows the RGB images of the southern African region on the clean day 122 123 (the image on the left) and the polluted day (the image on the right). Next, a 60×60 km area 124 (latitude = [-15.545 to -14.95]; longitude = [22.85 to 23.45]; blue color box in the image on theleft and the purple color box in the image on the right) centered at Mongu is selected for detailed 125 126 illustration.

127

Prior to the calculation of the critical reflectance, the cloud mask algorithm by Martins, et al.
(2002) is applied to MODIS level 1B calibrated reflectance data (0.5 km resolution). After being
projected on the grid of 100 pixels per degree (approximately 1km resolution), the results on
both days in the 60 × 60 km range are mapped in Fig. 2.

Next, the 3600 (60 \times 60) pixels from both images in Fig. 2 are evenly divided into a 3 \times 3 matrix to produce nine cells with equal numbers of 400 (20 \times 20) pixels each. Then, using a scatter plot such as shown in Fig. 3 (showing the data from the first cell), we compare the reflectance from pixels in every cell on day 266 to each corresponding pixel on day 250. These data points are then fitted with a robust fit technique (the red color line in Fig. 3; DuMouchel & O'Brien, 1989), which minimizes the effect of potential outlier points. The corresponding reflectance at the TOA that represents the crossing point between the fitted red line and the y=x black line is by definition the critical reflectance. The intercept of the fitted red color line on the y-axis is defined as the effective path radiance. Our radiative transfer simulations showed that the effective path radiance is approximately 10 times the AOD difference between the clean day and the polluted day. This result will be used later in the section describing the aerosol SSA retrieval.

- 144
- 145 146

III. Sensitivity Studies

Aerosol SSA can be retrieved from the measured critical reflectance by inputting aerosol models 147 148 (aerosol size distribution and the real part of aerosol refractive index) on a Mie code (Wiscombe, 1980) and on a radiative transfer model (Santa Barbara DISORT Atmospheric Radiative Transfer 149 - SBDART by Ricchiazzi, et al., 1998). To better understand this application and assess the 150 151 quality of the aerosol SSA retrieval results, we developed a series of sensitivity tests and validated the results with collocated AERONET measurements. In the following sections, we 152 show these tests and validation outcomes, discuss factors affecting the retrieval results, and 153 display SSA maps. 154

155

Aerosol SSA can be retrieved through its unique correlation with the measured critical reflectance. This technique has the distinct advantages of possible global coverage over land, daily measurements, and the no need to know the Earth surface reflectance. It also carries the following potential limitations: (a) it requires reasonable knowledge of the involved aerosol models, (b) the critical reflectance should be AOD independent, (c) background aerosols on the clean day and aerosols on the polluted day should have the similar SSA, (d) the Earth surface must exhibit Lambertian reflectance, and (e) there needs to be a large enough AOD difference between these two days. There are other limitations as well, such as the studied area should have enough surface reflectance variability, aerosols should be homogeneously distributed within the studied cell range, and the surface reflectance should be the same on the clean day and the polluted day. In order to understand the importance of these limitations, a series of sensitivity studies have been completed as shown in the following sub sections.

In addition, to study these sensitivities and validate aerosol SSA retrieved from MODIS, we have 168 to use other available column aerosol SSA measurements. Furthermore, high accuracy of aerosol 169 SSA - e.g. SSA uncertainty of 0.01 - is required to make accurate climatic predications. 170 However, the availability of aerosol SSA measurements qualifying these two conditions is 171 172 significantly limited. The Glory mission (to be launched in November 2010) by NASA might 173 improve this situation in the future. At present, we use AERONET measurements, in which aerosol SSA uncertainty is 0.03 when AOD (at 440 nm) is greater than 0.4 (Dubovik et al., 174 175 2004), for our validation. To be consistent, we compare the uncertainty caused by each corresponding limitation also with 0.03, even though our goal is to measure aerosol SSA with 176 much smaller uncertainty. If the difference is significantly smaller than 0.03, we regard that the 177 limitation has little effect on the retrieved aerosol SSA and the uncertainty is acceptable; in 178 179 contrast, if the difference is greater than 0.03, it indicates that the limitation significantly affects the aerosol SSA retrieval and needs to be considered in the retrieval algorithm. 180

- 181
- 182 (a) Aerosol Models

183

Aerosol models including aerosol size distribution and the real part of aerosol refractive index from the research by Dubovik et al (2002) are used here as a first guess in our SSA retrieval. These aerosol models are generated from AERONET data before 2000; however, MODIS provides data after 2000. To see whether the models can represent the data from the years after 2000, the real part of aerosol refractive index is studied, and the result shows that the mean real part of the refractive index for biomass burning aerosols over Mongu is 1.51, which agrees well with the value of 1.51 from Dubovik et al's model (Dubovik, et al., 2002, table 1). Similar comparisons over other AERONET sites also show good agreements between the data before and after 2000. Therefore, Dubovik et al's aerosol models are used in our research to represent the aerosol properties.

In addition, the data analysis shows that the ratio of its standard deviation of the real refractive 194 195 index over its mean value is approximately 2.3% over Mongu. The sensitivity study in Fig. 4 indicates that the 2.3% variation of the mean for a mean value of 1.495 (the real refractive index 196 from AERONET retrieval over Mongu on day 250 in year 2000) leads to an aerosol SSA 197 uncertainty of 0.01, 0.017, and 0.021 (with the imaginary refractive index as 0.012, 0.024, and 198 0.036 respectively, where 0.024 is the AERONET retrieved imaginary refractive index). This is 199 an acceptable uncertainty compared to the AERONET 0.03 error. This analysis indicates that the 200 201 sensitivity to real refractive index is relatively small and a relatively coarse first guess is acceptable. 202

203

204 205

(b) Dependence of Critical Reflectance on AOD

The definition of critical reflectance assumes it to be AOD independent (Fraser & Kaufman, 1985, Figure 2). Nevertheless, a closer look at the crossing point shows that the simulated lines cross each other in the neighborhood of one point, instead of exactly at that point (Kaufman, 1987), which implies that critical reflectance is weakly dependent on AOD. A sensitivity study to determine the importance of this effect was performed. According to Fig. 5, when AOD on the polluted day increases from 0.5 to 1.0 and AOD on the clean day remains as 0.1, the variation of the critical reflectance is 0.01. This extreme case with the uncertainty of AOD between 0.5 to 1.0 leads to an SSA uncertainty of ± 0.0125 (at SSA=0.8) and ± 0.005 (at SSA=0.95), as in Fig.6., which are both significantly smaller than the 0.03 uncertainty from AERONET. In reality, we will have a much better handle on the AOD uncertainties and will significantly reduce these error bars.

- 217
- 218 219

(c) Varying Aerosol SSA on the Clean Day and on the Polluted Day

220 The basic critical reflectance technique also assumes that the background aerosols in both clean days and polluted days have the same SSA. However, this condition might not be satisfied. To 221 study how aerosol SSA variation affects the retrieval results, we assume that on the polluted day 222 aerosol SSA (at 0.67 µm) is 0.898 and AOD is 0.7, on the clean day AOD is 0.2 and SSA (on 223 clean day) varies from 0.986 to 0.824 as in column 2 of Table. 1. The results of a similar analysis 224 of SSA are also shown in Table 1: on the polluted day SSA is 0.972 and varies from 0.910 to 225 226 0.993 on the clean day. The real part refractive index is kept at 1.51 for both days. The results in column 5 of Table 1 show that the difference between the retrieved SSA and the SSA on the 227 228 polluted day varies between -0.026 to 0.019 (when SSA on the polluted day is 0.898) and -0.006 to 0.018 (when SSA on the polluted day is 0.972). Considering these differences are still smaller 229 than the AERONET aerosol SSA uncertainty 0.03 even under extreme cases, we regard that 230 231 varying the aerosol SSA between the clean day and the polluted day affects retrieved aerosol SSA with acceptable uncertainty. 232

- 235 (d) DZA
- 236

237 The data analysis shows that detector zenith angle (DZA) affects retrieval results when it is bigger than 40 degrees. This issue can be demonstrated through the results of a group of cases 238 over Senanga in 2000. According to Fig. 7, when DZA is greater than 40 degrees, the deviation 239 240 of MODIS SSA from AERONET SSA increases as DZA increases. In addition, in order to keep the deviation below 0.03, DZA needs to be smaller than 40 degrees. This result is likely due to 241 the fact that our retrieval applies the simplified assumption of Lambertian Earth surface 242 reflectance, instead of the reflectance with angular distribution usually modeled by the Bi-243 directional Reflectance Distribution Function (BRDF) (Maignan, et al., 2004). This issue will be 244 245 addressed in more detail in our future research with the incorporation of the Earth surface BRDF in radiative transfer simulations. At this point, we will only select cases with DZA< 40 degrees 246 as a quality assurance procedure. The similar analysis of SSA with scattering angle and SSA 247 with solar zenith angle does not show SSA dependence on these two geometries as its 248 dependence on DZA. 249

- 250
- 251 252

(e) AOD Difference between the Clean day and the Polluted Day

Besides DZA, AOD difference (between the clean day and the polluted day) affects the signal to
noise ratio and hence the accuracy of the SSA retrieval. A group of cases over Mongu in 2000 is
used to study this issue. As shown in Fig.8, in order to keep the deviation of MODIS SSA from
AERONET SSA below 0.03, the AOD difference needs to be greater than 0.2 to have a high
enough signal to noise ratio.

258 259

In summary, these sensitivity studies concerning the aerosol model about the real part of aerosol refractive index, AOD dependence of the critical reflectance, and variations of the aerosol SSA between on the clean day and the polluted day show that the uncertainties of retrieved aerosol SSA caused by these factors are acceptable for a satellite retrieval of aerosol SSA. In addition, to produce retrieval results in good agreement with AERONET measurements, a case need to satisfy two conditions: DZA is smaller than 40 degrees, and the AOD difference between clean day and polluted day is bigger than 0.2.

267

268

IV. Algorithm and Validation Strategy

After doing sensitivity studies, we apply the critical reflectance technique on some cases of 269 270 MODIS data to retrieve aerosol SSA. Our studied regions are dominated by biomass burning aerosols and are collocated with the AERONET sites as follows: Senanga (African savanna), 271 Mongu (African savanna), Mwinilunga (African savanna), and Alta Floresta (Amazon forest). 272 The studied cases are selected based on evaluating MODIS RGB images, MODIS data, and 273 AERONET data to determine that: (1) cloud cover is minimal over the study areas on both the 274 clean day and polluted day, 16 days apart; (2) MODIS DZA is less than 40 degrees; (3) these two 275 276 days have AOD difference (at 670 nm) greater than or equal to 0.2; and (4) AERONET has level 2 aerosol SSA retrievals available for the polluted day. All retrieval results are validated with 277 278 collocated AERONET retrieval products, and regional SSA maps are produced.

As discussed in section I, aerosol SSA can be retrieved from the critical reflectance measurements performed with MODIS data as shown in Fig. 1, 2, and 3. Next, as quality control, we have removed cells bearing any of the following properties: (1) having negative critical reflectance; (2) SSA greater than 1 or smaller than 0; (3) an SSA uncertainty derived from robust fitting (DuMouchel & O'Brien, 1989) greater than 0.03; (4) root mean square error (RMSE) greater than 0.006 between the data points and the fitting results; and (5) the effective path
radiance smaller than 0.02 (corresponding to an AOD difference greater than 0.2.

In addition, recent studies have shown that aerosol SSA varies with biomass burning stages and 286 the aerosol aging process (Abel et al., 2003; Zaveri et al., 2010). Therefore, AERONET level 2 287 aerosol SSA measured at the closest time to the MODIS overpass time is used for the validation, 288 instead of the daily average. In these AERONET level 2 closest time SSA retrievals, the SZA is 289 in the range of 53 degrees to 76 degrees. We compare the AERONET SSA with the mean and 290 the standard deviation of our retrieved aerosol SSA. In order to calculate aerosol SSA variance 291 with less than nine samples, we apply a chi square distribution correction and set a confidence 292 interval of 50% (Bevington, 1969). 293

294

According to our quality assurance analysis, accurate biomass burning aerosol SSA can be retrieved with the critical reflectance technique at 0.47, 0.55, and 0.67 μ m channels; however, there is not enough signal to noise ratio at the other four aerosol channels (0.86, 1.24, 1.64, and 2.12 μ m) to retrieve biomass burning aerosol SSA, i.e., there are no cells left out of nine total after applying our data quality criteria. Next, we validate aerosol SSA retrieved from MODIS data with collocated AERONET measurements (AERONET SSAs at 0.47 and 0.55 μ m used here is the 1st order interpolation AERONET SSAs at 0.440 and 0.676 μ m).

- 302
- 303

V. Results

304 (a) Aerosol SSA Measurements over 60×60 km Area

Aerosol SSA was retrieved by applying the algorithm described above, and the result was compared with collocated AERONET measurements in South Africa and South America. According to Fig. 9, approximately one standard deviation (68% by only considering the mean; 88% by considering the mean and the variance) of all the studied cases satisfies the requirement
that the absolute difference between MODIS SSA and AERONET SSA is smaller than 0.03.
Figure 9 also indicates that aerosol SSA has a larger spatial variation (represented by larger error
bars) in South America than in South Africa. Specifically, the mean value of the sample
variance is 0.04 for the cases in South America and 0.02 in South Africa.

313

In addition, the comparison of the mean and sample variance of aerosol SSA over time for each 314 studied locations between MODIS retrievals and AERONET measurements is displayed in 315 Table. 2. According to Table. 2, aerosol SSA retrievals from MODIS are biased lower than 316 AERONET measurements over Mwinilunga, which might be caused by problems in either 317 318 MODIS or AERONET retrievals. Table 2 also shows that climatologically for the other sites, MODIS retrieval results agree well with AERONET measurements. The biggest difference 319 between MODIS mean and AERONET mean is 0.02. This indicates that aerosol SSA retrieved 320 321 from MODIS is accurate enough to be used in climatologic studies.

322 323

(b) Regional Aerosol SSA Maps

The above validation results show that applying the critical reflectance technique with MODIS 324 325 data can retrieve aerosol SSA in reasonable agreement with AERONET results within AERONET uncertainty levels. Next, by expanding the studied areas, we produce regional 326 aerosol SSA maps, which have wide applications in climate modeling and radiative forcing 327 328 calculations. For example, Figure 10 and Figure 11 show aerosol SSA (at 470 nm) maps over South Africa and South America. In addition, the means and the standard deviations 329 (representing the spatial variation) of aerosol SSA at 470, 550, and 670 nm over both regions are 330 331 listed in Table. 3. Again, these results also indicate that aerosol SSA has a larger spatial

variation in South America than in South Africa, which is in consistent with the results from Fig.
9. The SSA map over the Amazon shows significant connection between the distribution of SSA
and AOD. Both results (AOD and SSA) show greater values in the northern part of the Amazon,
which is compatible with the particle properties and aerosol loading of forest smoke versus and
Cerrado smoke (Dubovik et al., 2002).

VI. Conclusions

- 337
- 338

339 340 In this research, the critical reflectance technique is applied on MODIS data from biomass 341 342 burning regions by comparing reflectance at TOA in two days (a clean day and a polluted day 16 days apart) to retrieve the aerosol SSA on the polluted day. First, this study describes a method 343 to determine critical reflectance from MODIS data. Second, sensitivity studies that examine a 344 range of aerosol conditions expected for our study areas - about aerosol models, the variation of 345 the real part of aerosol refractive index, AOD dependence of the critical reflectance, and the 346 variation of background aerosol SSA between a clean day and a polluted day – indicate that these 347 factors have manageable effect on the retrieval results. In the mean time, a DZA smaller than 40 348 degrees and an AOD difference greater than 0.2 are required to provide accurate retrieved 349 350 aerosol SSA values. We did not test the effect of our assumptions of particle size and shape on retrievals of SSA because the all smoke aerosol is expected to be spherical and with minimal 351 variation in size distribution. Critical reflectance retrievals and subsequent mapping to SSA may 352 353 show greater sensitivities and uncertainties for other aerosol types and different surfaces. Aerosol mixtures of smoke and dust may be especially difficult. 354

Validation results show that the retrieved aerosol SSA from MODIS agrees well with collocated
 AERONET measurements. The ensemble average SSA results from the critical reflectance

techniques are in good agreement with collocated AERONET ensemble averages, within 0.02 in all cases, except for the Mwinilunga station that seems to present some artificial bias. Moreover, the analysis of aerosol SSA from MODIS retrievals concludes that aerosol SSA has a larger spatial variation in South America than in South Africa, and that we can see the South American north-south gradient in SSA and AOD reported by previous studies. Likewise the critical reflectance method also reproduces findings that show African savanna SSA about 0.08 to 0.09 lower than what is measured in the Amazon forest.

However, the power of the critical reflectance method is not in its ability to reproduce previous point measurements or retrievals, either spatially (AERONET) or temporally (field experiments). The true contribution of the critical reflectance method, demonstrated here, is to offer frequent quantitative measures of spectral aerosol SSA over broad regions, and to capture the spatial and temporal variability of this essential particle property.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank NASA for funding this project with under the grant
NNX08AJ78G. We also would like to thank the MODIS team and AERONET team for their excellent
work on the instrument development, maintenance, and calibration. We thank their effort on data quality
control and providing data to the public as well. Thanks also go to Kelley Wells, Tom Eck, TianLe Yuan,
and Ralph Kahn for many helpful comments.

376 **References:**

- Ackerman, A.S., Toon, O.B., Stevens, D.E., Heymsfield, A.J., Ramanathan, V., & Welton, E.J.
- (2000). Reduction of Tropical Cloudiness by soot. *Science*, Vol. 288, No. 5468, pp. 1042-1047.
- Ackerman, T.P., & Toon, O.B. (1981). Absorption of visible radiation in atmosphere containing
- mixtures of absorbing and nonabsorbing particles. *Applied Optics*, Vol. 20, No. 20, pp. 3661-
- 381 3668.
- Atwater, M.A. (1970). Planetary Albedo Changes Due to Aerosols, *Science*, Vol. 170, No 3953,
 pp. 64-66.
- Bevington, P.R. (1969). Data reduction and error analysis for the physical sciences. New York: McGrawHill.
- Bond, T. C., Anderson, T. L., & Campbell, D. (1999) Calibration and inter comparison of filter-based
 measurements of visible light absorption by aerosols, *Aerosol Sci Tech*, Vol. 30, pp. 582-600.
- Clarke, A.D., Noone, K.J., Heintzenberg, J., Warren, S.G., & Covert.D.S. (1987). Aerosol light absorption
 measurement techniques: analysis and intercomparisons. *Atmospheric Environment*, Vol. 21, No. 6, pp.
 1455-1465.
- 391 Davidi, A., Koren, I., & Remer, L. (2009). Direct measurements of the effect of biomass burning
- over the Amazon on the atmospheric temperature profile. *ACPD*, Vol. 9, pp. 12007-12025.
- Dubovik O, Holben, B.O., Lapyonok, T., Sinyuk, A, Mishchenko, I, Yang, P., et al (2002). Non
- 394 spherical aerosol retrieval method employing light scattering by spheroids. *Geophys Res Lett*,
- 395 Vol. 29, NO. 10, 10.1029/2001GL014506
- 396 Dubovik, O., Holben, B.N., Kaufman, Y.J., Yamasoe, M., Smirnov, A., & Tanre, D., ey al. (1998).
- 397 Single-scattering albedo of smoke retrieved from the sky radiance and solar transmittance
- 398 measured from ground. J. Geophys. Res., Vol. 103, No. D24, pp. 31,903-31,923.

- 399 DuMouchel, W. H., & F. L. O'Brien (1989). Integrating a robust option into a multiple
- 400 regression computing environment. Computer Science and Statistics: Proceedings of the 21st
- 401 *Symposium on the Interface*. Alexandria, VA: American Statistical Association.
- 402 Feingold, G., Jiang, H., & Harrington, J.Y. (2005). On smoke suppression of clouds in Amazonia,
- 403 *Geophys Res Lett*, Vol.32, L02804, doi: 10.1029/2004GL021369.
- 404 Fraser, R.S. & Kaufman, Y. J. (1985). The relative importance of Aerosol Scattering and
- 405 Aabsorption in Remote Sensing. *IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON GEOSCIENCE AND REMOTE*
- 406 *SENSING*, Vol. GE-23, No.5, pp. 625-633.
- 407 Hansen, A.D.A., Rosen, H., & Novakov, T. (1984). The aethalometer-an instrument for the real -
- time measurement of optical absorption by aerosol particles. *Sci Total Environ*, Vol.36, pp. 191196.
- Hansen, J., Sato, M., Ruedy, R., Lacis, A., & Oinas, V. (2000). Global warming in the twenty-first
 century: an alternative scenario. *PNAS*, Vol. 97, Part. 18, pp 9875-9880.
- 412 Herman, R.J., Bhartia, K.P., Torres, O., Hsu, C., Seftor, C., & Celarier, E. (1997). Global distribution
- of UV-absorbing aerosols from Nimbus 7/TOMS data. J. Geophys. Res., Vol. 102, No. D14, pp.
 16911-16922.
- 415 Hsu, N. C., J. R. Herman, and C. Weaver (2000), Determination of radiative forcing of Saharan
- 416 dust using combined TOMS and ERBE data, J. Geophys. Res., 105(D16), 20,649-20,661.
- 417 Hu, R.M., Martins, R.V., & Fairlie, T.D. (2007). Global retrieval of columnar aerosol single
- 418 scattering albedo from space-based observations. J. Geophys. Res., Vol. 112, D02204, doi:
- 419 10.1029/2005JD006832.

- 420 Hu, R.M., Sokhi, R.S., & Fisher, B.E.A. (2009). New algorithms and their application for
- satellite remote sensing of surface PM2.5 and aerosol absorption. *Aerosol Science*, Vol. 40, pp.
 394-402.
- 423 Ichoku, C., Remer, L.A., Kaufman, Y.J., Levy, R., Chu, D.A., & Tanre, D., et al. (2003). MODIS
- 424 observation of aerosols and estimation of aerosol radiative forcing over southern Africa during
- 425 SAFARI 2000. J. Geophys. Res., Vol. 108, No. D13, pp. 8499-8511.
- 426 Jeong, M-J., & Hsu, N. C. (2008). Retrievals of aerosol single-scattering albedo and effective
- 427 aerosol layer height for biomass-burning smoke: Synergy derived from ""A-Train"" sensors.
- 428 *Geophys Res Lett*, Vol. 35, L24801, doi: 10.1029/2008GL036279.
- 429 Kalashnikova, O.V., Kahn, R., Sokolik, I.N., & Li, W-H. (2005). Ability of multiangle remote
- 430 sensing observations to identify and distinguish mineral dust types: Optical models and retrievals
- 431 of optically thick plumes. J. Geophys. Res., Vol. 110, D18S14, doi:10.1029/2004JD004550.
- 432 Kalashnikova, O.V., & Kahn, R., (2006). Ability of multiangle remote sensing observations to
- 433 identify and distinguish mineral dust types: 2. Sensitivity over dark water. J. Geophys. Res., Vol.
- 434 111, D11207, doi:10.1029/2005JD006756.
- 435 Mishchenko, M.I., Cairns, B., Kopp, G., Schueler, C.F., Fafaul, B.A., & Hansen, J.E., et al.
- 436 (2007). Accurate Monitoring of Terrestrial Aerosols and Total Solar Irradiance- Introducing the
- 437 Glory Mission. *American Meteorological Society*, pp. 677-691.
- 438 Kaufman, Y. J. (1987). Satellite Sensing of Aerosol Absorption. *Journal of Geophysical*
- 439 *Research*, Vol. 92, No.D4, pp. 4307-4317.
- 440 Kaufman, Y.J., Tucker, C.J., & Fung, I. (1990). Satellite Measurements of Large-Scale Air
- 441 Pollution: Methods. J. Geophys. Res., Vol. 95, No.D7, pp. 9927-9939.

- 442 Kaufman, Y.J., Tanre, D., Dubovik, O., Karnieli, & Remer, L.A. (2001). Absorption of sunlight by
- dust as inferred from satellite and ground-based remote sensing. *Geophys Res Lett*, Vol. 28, No.
 8, pp. 1479-1482.
- 445 Kaufman, Y.J., Tanre, D., & Boucher, O. (2002). A satellite view of aerosols in the climate
- 446 system. *Nature*, Vol 419, pp. 215-223.
- 447 Koren, I., Kaufman, Y.J., Remer, L.A., & Martins, J.V. (2004). Measurements of the effect of
- 448 Amazon Smoke on inhibition of Cloud Formation. *Science*, Vol. 303, No. 5662, pp. 1342-1345.
- 449 Koren, I., Martins, J.V., Remer, L.A., & Afargan, H. (2008). Smoke invigoration versus inhibition
- 450 of clouds over the Amazon. *Science*, Vol. 321, pp. 946-949.
- 451 Martins, J. V., Artaxo, P., Liousse, C., Reid, J. S., Hobbs, P. V., & Kaufman Y. J. (1998), Effects of
- black carbon content, particle size, and mixing on light absorption by aerosols from biomass burning in
- 453 Brazil, J. Geophys. Res., 103(D24), 32,041–32,050, doi:10.1029/98JD02593.
- 454 Martins, J. V., Didier, T., Remer, L., Kaufman, Y, Mattoo, S., & Levy, R., (2002). MODIS cloud
- 455 screening for remote sensing of aerosols over oceans using spatial variability : First result and evaluation
- 456 of aerosol from the Terra Spacecraft (MODIS). *Geophys Res Lett*, Vol. 29, No. 12,
- 457 10.1029/2001GL013252.
- 458 Martins, J. V., Artaxo, P., Kaufman, Y.J., Castanho, A.D., & Remer, L.A. (2009). Spectral
- absorption properties of aerosol particles from 350-2500nm. *Geophys Res Lett*, Vol. 36, L13810.
- 460 McComiskey, S. E. Schwartz, B. Schmid, H. Guan, E. R. Lewis, P. Ricchiazzi, and J. A. Ogren
- 461 (2008), Direct aerosol forcing: Calculation from observables and sensitivities to inputs, J.
- 462 Geophys. Res., 113, D09202, doi:10.1029/2007JD009170.
- 463 Mitchell, JM.JR. (1971). The Effect of Atmospheric Aerosols on Climate with Special Reference to
- 464 Temperature near Earth's surface. *Journal of Applied Meteorology*, Vol. 10, Issue. 4, pp. 703-714.

- 465 Moosmuller, H., Chakrabarty, P.K., & Arnott, W.P. (2009). Aerosol Absorption and its
- 466 measurement: A review. *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer*, Vol.110,
- 467 issue. 11, pp. 844-878.
- 468 Penner, J.E., Dickinson, E.R., & O'Neill, A.C. (1992). Effects of aerosol from Biomass burning
- on the global radiation budget. *Science*, Vol.256, No.5062, pp. 1432-1434.
- 470 Ramana, M.V. & Ramanathan, V. (2006). Abrupt transition from natural to anthropogenic aerosol
- 471 radiative forcing: observations at the ABC-Maldives Climate Observatory. J. Geophys. Res.,
- 472 Vol. 111, D20207, doi:10.1029/2006JD007063
- 473 Ramanathan, V., Ramana, M.V., Roberts, G., Kim, D., Corrigan, C., & Chung, C., et al. (2007).
- 474 *Nature*, Vol. 448, pp. 575-579.
- 475 Ramanthan, V., Chung, C., Kim, D., Bettge, T., Buja, L., & Kiehl, J.T., et al. (2005). Atmospheric
- brown clouds: impacts on South Asian climate and hydrological cycle. *PNAS*, Vol. 102, No. 15,
 pp. 5326- 5333.
- 478 Ramanthan, V., Crutzen, P.J., Kiehl, J.T., & Rosenfeld, D. (2001). Aerosols, climate, and the
- 479 hyfrological cycle. *Science*, Vol. 294, pp. 2119-2124.
- 480 Reid, J. S., Hobbs, P. V., Liousse, C., Martins, J. V., Weiss, R. E., & Eck, T. F.(1998).
- 481 Comparisons of techniques for measuring shortwave absorption and black carbon content of
- aerosols from biomass burning in Brazil, J. Geophys. Res., 103(D24), pp. 32,031-32,040.
- 483 Satheesh, S. K., Torres, O., Remer, L.A., Babu, S.S., Vinoj, V. & Eck, T.F., et al. (2009).
- 484 Improved assessment of aerosol absorption using OMI-MODIS joint retrieval, J. Geophys. Res.,
- 485 Vol. 114, D05209, doi:10.1029/2008JD011024.
- 486 Sato, M., Hansen, J., Koch, D., Lacis, A., Ruedy, R., & Dubovik, O, et al. (2003). Global
- 487 atmospheric black carbon inferred from AERONET. *PNAS*, Vol. 100, No. 11, pp. 6319-6324.

- 488 Torres, O., P. K. Bhartia, J. R. Herman, Z. Ahmad, and J. Gleason (1998), Derivation of aerosol
- 489 properties from satellite measurements of backscattered ultraviolet radiation: Theoretical basis, *J*.
- 490 *Geophys. Res.*, 103(D14), 17,099-17,110.
- 491 Wang, C. (2004). A modeling study on the climate impacts of black carbon aerosols. J. Geophys.
- 492 *Res.*, Vol. 109, D03106, doi: 10.1029/2003JD004084.
- 493 Zhu, A, V.Ramanathan, F.Li & D.Kim (2007), Dust plumes over the Pacific, Indian, and
- 494 Atlantic oceans: Climatology and radiative impact, J.Geophys.Res., 112, D16208,
- doi:10.1029/2007JD008427.

496 **Figure captions:**

FIG.1. MODIS RGB images over Africa. The image on the left is for Julian day 266 of year 2000 (clean day), and the blue color box in it represents the 60×60 km region around the AERONET site Mongu. Likewise, the image on the right is for day 250 of year 2000 (polluted day), and the purple color box represents the same 60×60 km region as the blue color box on the left image. The blue color box and purple color box are used respectively to contrast the clean day and the polluted day.

FIG.2. Projected apparent reflectance for the polluted and clean day at 670 nm after applying a common cloud mask to both days. The image on the left shows the reflectance in the blue color box in Fig.1 with an average AOD (at 670 nm) =0.36. The image on the right shows the reflectance in the purple color box in Fig.1 with an averaged AOD (at 670 nm) =0.7. The color bar represents the apparent reflectance.

508 FIG.3. This figure demonstrates how to calculate critical reflectance using MODIS data from two days. The blue dots are the scatter plot of the reflectance from the first cell (20×20 km 509 510 range) on the polluted day (the y-axis) versus that on the clean day (the x-axis). The red color line represents the robust fit of the data. The black color line represents y=x, when reflectance 511 (at the TOA) on the clean day equals reflectance (at the TOA) on the polluted day. The 512 513 reflectance value at the crossing point (as shown by the arrows in the figure) between the black color line and the red color line is defined as "critical reflectance". The intercept of the fitted red 514 color line on the y- axis is defined as "effective path radiance". 515

FIG.4. Sensitivity study for the variation of the real part of aerosol refractive index. The x- axis represents the real part of the refractive index, and the y-axis represents aerosol SSA calculated from Mie theory. The real refractive index as 1.49, the imaginary refractive index as 0.024, and the input size distribution are from AERONET retrieval results over Mongu on day 250 in year 2000. This result shows that an uncertainty of real part of aerosol refractive index of 2.3% leads to an SSA uncertainty of 0.01, 0.017, and 0.021 when the imaginary refractive index is 0.012, 0.024, and 0.036 respectively.

FIG.5. This figure shows the AOD dependence of the critical reflectance. The x-axis represents 523 AOD (at 670 nm) on a polluted day, and the y- axis represents the calculated critical reflectance 524 for AOD values (at 670 nm; on a clean day) of 0.1 (red solid line), 0.2 (green solid line), and 0.3 525 (continued light blue line). The simulations use the following conditions: solar zenith angle 526 (SZA)=26.8 degrees, solar azimuth angle (SAZA)=37.77 degrees, detector zenith angle 527 (DZA)=38.65 degrees, user azimuth angle (phi)=277.38 degrees, wavelength=0.67 µm, and 528 SSA=0.8. When AOD on the clean day varies from 0.1 to 0.3, the variation of critical reflectance 529 530 is 0.005 (AOD of 0.5 on polluted day) and 0.003 (AOD of 1 on polluted day). In addition, when AOD on the polluted day varies from 0.5 to 1, the variation of critical reflectance is 0.01(AOD of 531 0.1 on the clean day). 532

FIG.6. The sensitivity study of aerosol SSA (at 670 nm) to varying critical reflectance. The xaxis represents SSA, and the y-axis represents critical reflectance. The green curve shows the unique correlation between critical reflectance and SSA. AOD on the clean (polluted day) day is 0.2 (0.7). It shows that the critical reflectance uncertainty of 0.01 leads to an SSA uncertainty of 0.025 (when SSA=0.8). Similarly, a critical reflectance uncertainty of 0.028 causes an SSA total uncertainty of 0.01 (when SSA=0.95).

FIG.7. This figure demonstrates that DZA affects aerosol SSA retrievals. The x-axis represents DZA for each case, and the y-axis represents the absolute difference between the retrieved aerosol SSA (over Senanga in 2000) from MODIS on *TERRA* and AERONET level 2 dailyaveraged aerosol SSA. The red color bar over each data point represents the AERONET SSA uncertainty of 0.03. The result indicates that the difference between aerosol SSA retrieved from MODIS and from AERONET increases as DZA increases. This effect is likely caused by the simplified assumption of a Lambertian surface reflectance in the radiative transfer simulations.

FIG.8. This figure demonstrates that AOD differences affect aerosol SSA retrievals. The x-axis represents the AOD difference at 670 nm between the clean day and the polluted day, and the yaxis represents the absolute difference between aerosol SSA (over Mongu in 2000) retrieved from MODIS and AERONET level 2 daily-averaged aerosol SSA. The result shows that AOD difference needs to be greater than 0.2 in order to produce a high enough signal to noise ratio and to keep the deviation of MOIDS SSA from AERONET SSA below 0.03.

552 FIG.9. Comparison of aerosol SSA retrieved from MODIS with collocated AERONET measurement in South Africa (plots on the left) and South America (plots on the right). The x-553 axis represents the case numbers, and the y-axis represents the difference between aerosol SSA 554 from AERONET and from MODIS. In addition, bars over each data point represent SSA 555 variance (among the remaining cells in 60×60 km range) at a 50% of confidence interval and 556 with a chi square distribution correction. Since AERONET SSA has an uncertainty of 0.03, the 557 aerosol SSA retrieved from MODIS agrees well with AERONET measurements (68% by only 558 considering the mean; 88% by considering the mean and the variance), i.e., the absolute 559 560 difference between aerosol SSA from AERONET and MODIS is smaller than 0.03. The result 561 also shows that aerosol SSA has a larger spatial variation in South America than in South Africa.

FIG. 10. Regional AOD and aerosol SSA (at 470 nm) maps. The figures on the left represent the region over South Africa with latitude = [-15 to -11] and longitude = [21 to 25] on day 254 in 2000 (day 238 as the clean day). The figures on the right represent the region over South America with latitude = [-12 to -8] and longitude = [-60 to -56] on day 241 in 2006 (with 225 as the clean day). Both images have a resolution of 20×20 km.

- 567 **FIG. 11**. Regional AOD and aerosol SSA (at 470 nm) maps. The figures on the left represent the
- region over South Africa with latitude = [-18 to -14] and longitude = [22 to 26] on day 250 in
- 569 2000 (day 266 as the clean day). The figures on the right represent the region over South
- 570 America with latitude = [-16 to -12] and longitude = [-60 to -56] on day 252 in 2004 (with 268 as
- the clean day). Both images have a resolution of 20×20 km.

Table. 1. Sensitivity study for varying aerosol SSA between the clean day and the polluted day. 574 Wavelength = 0.67 μ m; AOD (on clean day) = 0.2; AOD (on polluted day) = 0.7; SZA = 26.8°; 575 $SAZA = 37.77^{\circ}$; $DZA = 38.65^{\circ}$; $DAZA = 277.38^{\circ}$; Real part of the refractive index = 1.51. The 576 first (last) four rows in the first column represents aerosol SSA on polluted day as a constant 577 0.898 (0.972); the second column represents varying aerosol SSA on clean day; the third column 578 represents the simulated critical reflectance; the fourth column represents the retrieved aerosol 579 580 SSA; and the last column is the difference between the retrieved aerosol SSA and the real aerosol SSA on the polluted day. The results show that the uncertainty caused by varying aerosol SSA 581 between the clean day and the polluted day is acceptable. 582

SSA (on	SSA (on	Critical	SSA (Retrieved) SSA (retrieved) – S	
polluted day)	clean day)	reflectance		(polluted day)
	0.986	0.205	0.872	-0.026
0.898	0.934	0.226	0.887	-0.011
	0.855	0.263	0.909	0.011
	0.824	0.281	0.917	0.019
	0.993	0.461	0.966	-0.006
	0.986	0.473	0.968	-0.004
0.972	0.934	0.601	0.983	0.011
	0.910	0.691	0.990	0.018

587	Table 2. The mean and sample variance of aerosol SSA from MODIS retrievals and AERONET
588	measurements over different locations. The table shows that MODIS retrievals are biased smaller
589	than AERONET measurements over Mwinilunga, which might be caused by either problems in
590	MODIS or in the AERONET retrievals. Over other sites, the maximum difference between
591	MODIS SSA and AERONET SSA is 0.02. This high accuracy aerosol SSA retrievals from
592	MODIS indicates its promising application in climatological studies over a given region.

AERONET	SSA (at 470 nm)		SSA (at 550 nm)		SSA (at 670 nm)	
sites	AERONET	MODIS	AERONET	MODIS	AERONET	MODIS
Alta Floresta	0.92 ± 0.02	0.92 ± 0.03	0.91 ± 0.03	0.92 ± 0.03	0.92 ± 0.03	0.90 ± 0.03
	(22 cases)		(22 cases)		(18 cases)	
Senanga	0.86 ± 0.01	0.87 ± 0.01	0.85 ± 0.01	0.87 ± 0.01	0.84 ± 0.01	0.86 ± 0.01
	(7 cases)		(7 cases)		(7 cases)	
Mongu	0.88 ± 0.02	0.86 ± 0.02	0.87 ± 0.03	0.86 ± 0.02	0.86 ± 0.03	0.84 ± 0.02
	(14 cases)		(14 cases)		(14 cases)	
Mwinilunga	0.90 ± 0.02	0.86 ± 0.01	0.90 ± 0.02	0.85 ± 0.01	0.89 ± 0.03	0.84 ± 0.01
	(3 cases)		(3 cases)		(3 cases)	

Table 3. This table shows the mean and the standard deviation of aerosol SSA at 470, 550, and 670 nm for the same cases as shown in SSA maps in Fig. 10 and Fig. 11. The data in the table shows aerosol SSA has a larger spatial variation in South America than in South Africa, which is consistent with the result from Fig.9.

600

Case Information	Aerosol SSA: mean \pm standard deviation		
	470 nm	550 nm	670 nm
latitude = [-15 to -11]; longitude = [21 to 25]	0.86 ± 0.02	0.84 ± 0.02	0.82 ± 0.02
over South Africa; on day 254 in 2000			
latitude=[-18 to -14]; longitude=[22 to 26]	0.86 ± 0.02	0.84 ± 0.02	0.81 ± 0.02
over South Africa; on day 250 in 2000			
latitude =[-12 to -8]; longitude = [-60 t0 -56]	0.90 ± 0.03	0.90 ± 0.03	0.87 ± 0.04
over South America; on day 241 in 2006			
latitude=[-16 to -12]; longitude=[-60 to -56]	0.91 ± 0.04	0.92 ± 0.03	0.91 ± 0.03
over South America; on day 252 in 2004			

601

FIG.1. MODIS RGB images over Africa. The image on the left is for Julian day 266 of year 604 2000 (clean day), and the blue color box in it represents the 60 \times 60 km region around the 605 AERONET site Mongu. Likewise, the image on the right is for day 250 of year 2000 (polluted 606 day), and the purple color box represents the same 60×60 km region as the blue color box on 607 the left image. The blue color box and purple color box are used respectively to contrast the 608 clean day and the polluted day. 609

610



612

FIG.2. Projected apparent reflectance for the polluted and clean day at 670 nm after applying a common cloud mask to both days. The image on the left shows the reflectance in the blue color box in Fig.1 with an average AOD (at 670 nm) =0.36. The image on the right shows the reflectance in the purple color box in Fig.1 with an averaged AOD (at 670 nm) =0.7. The color bar represents the apparent reflectance.

618



619

621 FIG.3. This figure demonstrates how to calculate critical reflectance using MODIS data from two days. The blue dots are the scatter plot of the reflectance from the first cell (20×20 km 622 range) on the polluted day (the y-axis) versus that on the clean day (the x-axis). The red color 623 624 line represents the robust fit of the data. The black color line represents y=x, when reflectance (at the TOA) on the clean day equals reflectance (at the TOA) on the polluted day. The 625 reflectance value at the crossing point (as shown by the arrows in the figure) between the black 626 color line and the red color line is defined as "critical reflectance". The intercept of the fitted red 627 color line on the y- axis is defined as "effective path radiance". 628



FIG.4. Sensitivity study for the variation of the real part of aerosol refractive index. The x- axis represents the real part of the refractive index, and the y-axis represents aerosol SSA calculated from Mie theory. The real refractive index as 1.49, the imaginary refractive index as 0.024, and the input size distribution are from AERONET retrieval results over Mongu on day 250 in year 2000. This result shows that an uncertainty of real part of aerosol refractive index of 2.3% leads to an SSA uncertainty of 0.01, 0.017, and 0.021 when the imaginary refractive index is 0.012, 0.024, and 0.036 respectively.







FIG.6. The sensitivity study of aerosol SSA (at 670 nm) to varying critical reflectance. The xaxis represents SSA, and the y-axis represents critical reflectance. The green curve shows the unique correlation between critical reflectance and SSA. AOD on the clean (polluted day) day is 0.2 (0.7). It shows that the critical reflectance uncertainty of 0.01 leads to an SSA uncertainty of 0.025 (when SSA=0.8). Similarly, a critical reflectance uncertainty of 0.028 causes an SSA total uncertainty of 0.01 (when SSA=0.95).





FIG.7. This figure demonstrates that DZA affects aerosol SSA retrievals. The x-axis represents DZA for each case, and the y-axis represents the absolute difference between the retrieved aerosol SSA (over Senanga in 2000) from MODIS on *TERRA* and AERONET level 2 dailyaveraged aerosol SSA. The red color bar over each data point represents the AERONET SSA uncertainty of 0.03. The result indicates that the difference between aerosol SSA retrieved from MODIS and from AERONET increases as DZA increases. This effect is likely caused by the simplified assumption of a Lambertian surface reflectance in the radiative transfer simulations.



667

FIG.8. This figure demonstrates that AOD differences affect aerosol SSA retrievals. The x-axis represents the AOD difference at 670 nm between the clean day and the polluted day, and the yaxis represents the absolute difference between aerosol SSA (over Mongu in 2000) retrieved from MODIS and AERONET level 2 daily-averaged aerosol SSA. The result shows that AOD difference needs to be greater than 0.2 in order to produce a high enough signal to noise ratio and to keep the deviation of MOIDS SSA from AERONET SSA below 0.03.



FIG.9. Comparison of aerosol SSA retrieved from MODIS with collocated AERONET 677 measurement in South Africa (plots on the left) and South America (plots on the right). The x-678 axis represents the case numbers, and the y-axis represents the difference between aerosol SSA 679 680 from AERONET and from MODIS. In addition, bars over each data point represent SSA variance (among the remaining cells in 60×60 km range) at a 50% of confidence interval and 681 with a chi square distribution correction. Since AERONET SSA has an uncertainty of 0.03, the 682 aerosol SSA retrieved from MODIS agrees well with AERONET measurements (68% by only 683 considering the mean; 88% by considering the mean and the variance), i.e., the absolute 684 difference between aerosol SSA from AERONET and MODIS is smaller than 0.03. The result 685 also shows that aerosol SSA has a larger spatial variation in South America than in South Africa. 686







FIG. 10. Regional AOD and aerosol SSA (at 470 nm) maps. The figures on the left represent the region over South Africa with latitude = [-15 to -11] and longitude = [21 to 25] on day 254 in 2000 (day 238 as the clean day). The figures on the right represent the region over South America with latitude = [-12 to -8] and longitude = [-60 to -56] on day 241 in 2006 (with 225 as the clean day). Both images have a resolution of 20×20 km.







-14

-15

-15.5

-16

26

-59.5

-59

-58 Longitude

-57.5

-57

-58.5

0.8

0.75

0.7

-56

-56.5

703 704 -16.5

-17

-17.5

-18 22

24 Longitude

24.5

25

25.5

23.5

23

22.5