

## **Towards a model climatology of relative humidity in the upper troposphere for estimation of contrail and contrail-induced cirrus**

Henry B. Selkirk<sup>1</sup>, M. Manyin<sup>2</sup>, L. Ott<sup>1,3</sup>, L. Oman<sup>3</sup>, C. Benson<sup>1</sup>, S. Pawson<sup>3</sup>, A.R. Douglass<sup>3</sup> and R. S. Stolarski<sup>4</sup>

The formation of contrails and contrail cirrus is very sensitive to the relative humidity of the upper troposphere. To reduce uncertainty in an estimate of the radiative impact of aviation-induced cirrus, a model must therefore be able to reproduce the observed background moisture fields with reasonable and quantifiable fidelity. Here we present an upper tropospheric moisture climatology from a 26-year ensemble of simulations using the GEOS CCM. We compare this free-running model's moisture fields to those obtained from the MLS and AIRS satellite instruments, our most comprehensive observational databases for upper tropospheric water vapor. Published comparisons have shown a substantial wet bias in GEOS-5 assimilated fields with respect to MLS water vapor and ice water content. This tendency is clear as well in the GEOS CCM simulations. The GEOS-5 moist physics in the GEOS CCM uses a saturation adjustment that prevents supersaturation, which is unrealistic when compared to *in situ* moisture observations from MOZAIC aircraft and balloon sondes as we will show. Further, the large-scale satellite datasets also consistently underestimate super-saturation when compared to the in-situ observations. We place these results in the context of estimates of contrail and contrail cirrus frequency.

<sup>1</sup>Goddard Earth Sciences and Technology Center, University of Maryland, Baltimore County, Baltimore, MD

<sup>2</sup>System Sciences and Applications, Inc., Columbia, MD

<sup>3</sup>NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD

<sup>4</sup>Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD