# The Use of Radar to Improve Rainfall Estimation over the Tennessee and San Joaquin River Valleys

Walter A. Petersen
*NASA – Marshall Space Flight Center (MSFC), Huntsville, AL*

Patrick. N. Gatlin, Mariana Felix, and Lawrence D. Carey
*University of Alabama in Huntsville (UAHuntsville)–
Earth System Science Center, Huntsville, AL*

Remote Sensing of the Water Budget
**Where is the water and how much is there?**

Observing "system"

Evapotranspiration

Precipitation

Interception

Depression Storage

Surface Runoff

MAPR

VLR2

Unsaturated Zone

Wetting Front

Interflow

Saturated Zone

Infiltration

ARMOR
WSR-88D

Base Flow

Channel Flow

Limited Investment

Coupled Modeling: Diagnostic and Prognostic
Where is it going today, where will it go tomorrow?

NWP/Data Assimilation

Distributed Hydrologic and Land Surface

Elevation

Landuse

Soil Properties

Mathematical Model

Inputs

Outputs

Optimize Storage, Routing, Planning
Now, what do we do with it?

Nashville

Knoxville

Asheville

Charlotte

Memphis

Tupelo

Lexington

Macon

Jackson

Montgomery

Riverware 2.0.2 - TVA6hrSchedulingModel

Model Control Workspace Policy Utilities Help

Center Hill 15 hrs

Center Hill

Great Falls

Dale Hollow

Dale Hol 12 hrs

Cordell Hull 6 hrs

Cordell Hull

Old Hickory

Wolf Cr 30 hrs

Palette

File

Type
Description

Riverware

Overarching Motivation and The Result
✓ **Improved Water and Energy Management**

Hydroelectric Dam

Manage

Outline

- ➢ Overview collaborative radar rainfall project between Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA), VCSI (The Von Braun Center for Science & Innovation), NASA MSFC and UAHuntsville
  - **AREPS: ARMOR Rainfall Estimation Processing System**
    - Demonstration project of real-time radar rainfall using a research radar
  - **NREPS: NEXRAD Rainfall Estimation Processing System**
    - Expansion to Tennessee River Valley using operational WSR-88D
  - Objectives, methodology, some results and validation, operational experience and lessons learned.
- ➢ NASA Earth Science – Applied Science Program's ARRA Project: Water Supply and Management in California
  - NASA MSFC and UAHuntsville to provide NREPS rainfall input to NASA MSFC/USRA distributed hydrological model for soil moisture and evapo-transpiration estimation.
  - NREPS challenges, ongoing/future work and opportunities over Central CA and beyond.

Objective and Motivation

➢ TVA River management and distributed rainfall measurements- reducing dependence on rain gauges.

- Provide custom-tailored, radar-based rainfall products specific to TVA's operational river management needs (e.g., 6-hr sub-basin mean rainfall)

- Potential reduction of costs associated with maintenance of large TVA rain gauge network

➢ *Research & Development → Operations*

TVA River Forecast Center
http://www.tva.com/river/flood/center.htm

- Knoxville, TN: staffed around the clock and 365 days a year
- River management duties include
  - Issuing forecasts of reservoir levels and water releases at TVA dams
  - Providing hourly generation schedules for TVA hydroelectric projects
  - Providing special notifications to the public during flood events
  - Evaluating cooling water needs for TVA coal-fired and nuclear plants
  - Monitoring water quality conditions below TVA dams (oxygen levels)
  - POC in event of a river system emergency
- Complex, interdependent and sometimes conflicting set of river management requirements and responsibilities.
  - Forecasters are busy! **They need reliable data for decision making.**
- Forecasters use lumped hydrologic runoff model tuned to parameters and input data performance, including gauge rainfall mapped to sub-basins using Theissen polygons.

Tennessee River Watershed

Tennessee River Basins

(Unregulated area between Kentucky dam and mouth of Tennessee river, 710 Square Miles.)

KY

TN

NC

MS

SC

AL

GA

- TVA River Scheduling Division

- 112 sub-basins
- 1840 km^2
- 189 rain gauges maintained by TVA
  - Annual costs: $6K / gauge

Demo project using ARMOR

Objective:

Transition from rain gauge (point) estimation paradigm to radar (distributed) measurement

CURRENT River Operations Gauge Rainfall Inputs

Advanced Radar, QPE Applications

FUTURE TVA

River Ops Rainfall Inputs

Rain Gauge-Dominated Rain Estimation

Radar-Dominated (*reduced gauge*) Rain Estimation

Point (gauge) vs. areal (radar) rainfall estimates

20070706: 24hr Rainfall Accumulation

E.g., Warm season precipitation event

Favorable comparison to gauges- (points)

24 Hour Rain Totals July 6, 2007

BUT much of the heaviest precipitation missed at individual gauges (this is fairly typical)!

Heterogeneity of rain field presents problems for point measurements, even for gauge-adjusted radar maps

Conventional Radar *Estimates* of Precipitation

TRANSMIT Horizontal Pulse

- **Raindrops (often the target) are deformed by drag as they fall.**

- **Become more oblate with size (diameter)**

- **Radar scans rain/precipitation by sending out a series of microwave pulses along a 1° beam while sweeping that beam through a 360° circle.**

- **Executed for several elevation angles and repeated every 5 – 6 minutes.**

- **Returned power from rain (called reflectivity- "Z") is typically measured at only one polarization (e.g., horizontal) and related to rainfall via "Z-R" power laws: $Z = aR^b$**

QPE: Problem with conventional radar-rainfall approaches: Reflectivity Factor (Z) - Rainfall Rate (R) Relations

- **Problem: Numerous rainfall-reflectivity relationships, which one is correct?**

- **Random error up to 100% (instantaneous) can occur. Typical space-time smoothing reduces to say 20%-40%.**

- **Measurement sensitive to *rain drop size distribution*, *presence of hail/ice/snow*, *and radar calibration*.**

- ***Without care*, unacceptable errors/bias can be incurred for high resolution hydrological applications.**

- **Even gauge corrections are still beholden to gauge calibration/error/sample mismatch- a problem at times (more often then we would like to admit).**

Sample of current operational relationships:

$Z = 300 R^{1.4}$ - convective rain
$Z = 250 R^{1.2}$ - tropical rain
$Z = 200 R^{1.6}$ - summer stratiform rain
$Z = 130 R^{2.0}$ - winter stratiform (eastern US)
$Z = 75 R^{2.0}$ - winter stratiform (western US)

How do we correct these issues?

$Z = 200 R^{1.6}$

REFLECTIVITY FACTOR Z (dBZ) vs RAIN RATE (mm h^{-1})

Z (dBZ) vs R(mm/hr)

50 vs 100 mm/hr at 50 dBZ over a valid range of observed DSDs!!

R (mm/hr)

Z (dBZ)

Enter Dual-Polarimetric Radar

- **Approach:** Provide measurements in both horizontal and vertical polarizations (dual-polarimetric).

- **Advantages:** Better description of various particle types/shapes in a given volume

- Determine size distribution- more accurate rain rates (**improved QPE**)

  - Rain drop shape related to size

- **Hydrometeor ID** (hail vs. rain) and non-meteorological scatterers (clutter!)

- Consistent **calibration**

TRANSMIT
Horizontal Pulse

We need the measurement in H and V directions!

Z_v, k_v !

Z_h, k_h

Enter Polarimetric Radar

And more variables……..

1. **Reflectivity factor Z at horizontal (Z_h) or vertical (Z_v) polarization [Conventional radar measure]**

   - Measure of **drop size and concentration;**

     - Most sensitive to drop **SIZE** (D^6)

2. **Differential reflectivity Z_{DR} (Z_h/Z_v)**

   - Measure of median drop diameter→ **SIZE/SHAPE**

   - Useful for rain / hail / snow discrimination→ **SIZE/SHAPE**

3. Specific propagation **differential phase K_{DP}** $\Sigma(k_h - k_v)$

   - Measure of water content and drop size→ **NUMBER/SHAPE**

   - Immune to radar calibration, attenuation, partial beam blockage

4. **Correlation coefficient ρ_{hv}**

   - Indicator of mixed precipitation → **SHAPE/PHASE/CANTING (Depolarization)**

   - Useful for identifying non-meteorological scatterers too!

Z_h, k_h

Advantages: Better description of various particle types/shapes in a given volume

- Determine size distribution- more accurate rain rates (**improved QPE**)

- **Hydrometeor ID** and non-meteorological scatterers (clutter!)

- Consistent **calibration**

Dual-Polarimetric Radar: Improved QPE through improved description of particles

Assessing size and Shape with Dual-Pol

Particle Size-Controlled

Smaller ZDR → Larger ZDR

Small Drops	Large Drops
Rain	Insects
Hail/Graupel	Rain

Smaller Z → Larger Z

Smaller KDP → Larger KDP

Number Concentration Controlled

Smaller # → Larger #

Larger ZDR → Smaller ZDR

Large Drops VS Small Drops

Smaller KDP → Larger KDP

FIG. 7. Computed shapes for *d* = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 mm with origin at center of mass. Shown for comparison are dashed circles of diameter *d* divided into 45 degree sectors.

6 mm

1 mm

Rain is Oblate

Small Drops (1 mm)

Large Drops (> 4 mm)

Axis ratio decreases with increasing size-more oblate

Hail/Graupel

Radar "sees" an effective sphere

Axis ratio ~ 1

Tumbling and lower dielectric strength makes hail look like spheres

Unless they start to melt…

Melting Hail/Graupel (Toroid or ice core; looks like a huge drop)

Axis ratio < 1

<u>A</u>dvanced <u>R</u>adar for <u>M</u>eteorological & <u>O</u>perational <u>R</u>esearch

- Location:
  - Huntsville International Airport, Huntsville, AL (Altitude 206m)
- C-band *dual-polarimetric* Doppler radar
- Simultaneous transmit and receive of H, V
- Variables: Z, V, W, ZDR, Φ_{DP}, ρ_{hv}
- Operations:
  - 24-hrs a day / 7 days
  - Rain volumetric scans at least every 5-min (tilts: 0.7°, 1.5°, 2.0°)
  - Also used in research mode (e.g., RHIs, full volumes, vertically pointing scans)
- Routine calibration:
  - Receiver calibrations
  - Solar scans
  - Self-consistency amongst variables
  - Comparisons with TRMM and rain gauges

ARMOR Rainfall Estimation Processing System (AREPS)

ARMOR
HYBRID
RAIN RATE
ALGORITHM

AREPS Coverage

- 100 km from ARMOR (HSV)
- 11 sub-basins
- 42 rain gauges

AREPS Distributed Rainfall Products

➤ Rainfall products created every 5-min:
- 1-hr and 6-hr basin/sub-basin rainfall statistics (mean, max, min, etc)
- Rainfall at critical locations (e.g., dams)
- rainfall accumulation images (1-hr, 6-hr)

➤ Text files transmitted every hour to TVA
- Contain previous hour's rainfall
- used as input by inflow model input

1-hr rainfall (also create 6-hr rainfall)

20081210 030018Z ARMOR 1–HR RAINFALL ACCUMULATION

20081210 065957Z ARMOR 6_HR MEAN BASIN PRECIP

6-hr Basin Mosaic

6-hour accumulation statistics

Bsn#	Mean	Max	Min	S-Dev	% RPx1
3603	0.434	0.875	0.139	0.529	100.000
3602	0.789	1.523	0.299	2.050	100.000
3701	0.351	0.616	0.004	0.850	99.112
3605	0.778	1.969	0.189	1.046	100.000
3702	0.472	0.904	0.009	1.167	100.000
3601	1.245	2.004	0.468	3.096	100.000
3604	1.246	2.488	0.196	0.522	100.000
3703	0.957	1.727	0.382	1.842	100.000
3504	0.239	1.138	0.057	0.320	100.000
3501	0.120	0.349	0.000	0.434	87.500
2501	1.040	1.466	0.609	4.445	100.000

TVA Basin: 6-HR Rain stats (inches): 100 km Radius from ARMOR
Date/Time (UTC): 20081210_065957

Verification: Point Comparisons
AREPS vs. TVA rain gauges
(October 2007 – June 2008)

Before Calibration Correction

After Correction

Bias = -17% (-1.80 mm)

Error = 18%

Bias = *-10% (-0.99 mm)*

Error = *12%*

Radar Rainfall Estimate Improved

➢ **Original bias and error targets achieved (+/-20%, +/-25% respectively)**
➢ **Constant monitoring of calibration maintains precision and accuracy of product**

Verification: Sub-basins
AREPS vs. rain gauge-derived areal mean
(January 2008 – July 2008)

- Largely attributed to Theissen polygons (i.e, density of rain gauge network with respect to sub-basin boundaries)

→ *Demonstration successful….but what about the rest of the watershed?*

Expansion of radar rainfall maps across entire TN River watershed

NEXRAD coverage across TVA watershed grid
(Number of radars within 200 km of a gridpoint)
(Yellow and red gauges are owned by TVA; red=critical)

With River Basins and Rain Gauges

→ Sufficient radar coverage

NEXRAD Rainfall Estimation Processing System (NREPS)

WSR-88D Level II

Unidata LDM (IDD)

NREPS

1) Time matching

2) QC/rain rate algorithm
- AP, Sun strobe removal
- C-S partitioning (37 dBZ)
- $Z - R$ relation
- Melting level correction

3) Gridding 2 km^2

4) Merge radars

5) Hourly accumulation

HRAP 2 NREPS

FTP

LDM outage

NCEP Stage II Precipitation Analysis

NREPS Processing

- VCP-based sweep selection (e.g., 1st SUR and Doppler scans of each elevation)
- Beam blockage correction for occultation
- Clutter mitigation (NEXRAD ORPG 3)
  - Notch width filter
  - Sun strobe removal
- Non-precipitation mitigation (Steiner and Smith 2002)
  - Vertical extent and structure
  - Spatial variability
- Additional checks using VCP mode and RUC analysis melting level
  - Clear-air VCP + 0°C height > 100 m → no precipitation
  - Clear-air VCP + 0°C height ≤ 100 m → snow
- Rainfall from individual radar interpolated to 2 km x 2 km Cartesian grids using NCAR reorder at 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 km ARL for TVA (more vertical levels every 0.5 km for CA domain).
  - Use lowest available "good" rainfall rate for each radar grid point
  - Individual radar grids are composited to a single mosaic using an inverse exponential weighting

Beam Blockage Correction

- DEM vs ray path model → regions of occultation

- Visibility correction factor (Germann et al. 2006)

  $f = (1-blockage)^{-1}$

- Adjust reflectivity field for blockage of 5% to 90% (Lang et al. 2009)

Terrain within 200 km of Radar (m)

Beam-blockage fraction

Solid line is radial with worst b

KDAX 0.5 elevation

Worst case scenario: Azimuth: 83°
BBF at 200 km: 0.81853535

Non-precipitation mitigation

➢ Steiner and Smith (2002)
➢ Reduce AP
➢ Decision tree methodology performed in polar coordinates
  1. ECHOtop → echoes separated from precip
  2. SPINchange → echoes embedded in precip
  3. vertGRAD → limits echo removal around edges of storm cells
➢ Computationally fast and efficient
➢ Difficulties with widespread clear-air echoes

BEFORE

AFTER

NREPS Rain Rate Algorithm

NREPS Performance

NREPS vs Rain Gauges
1-HR Rainfall
March – May 2009

➢ Error = 20%

➢ Bias = -7%

➢ *$R^2 = 0.93$*

➢ NREPS has been implemented by TVA

- used routinely in river scheduling operations

➢ TVA rain gauge removal

- Gauges selected after extended NREPS validation
- 18 gauges removed thus far
- Additional gauges (TBD) next year

Coverage: Radar vs Rain gauge

- Intense isolated rainfall in west-central TN (sub-basin 3911)

- Amounts: (6pm-midnight)
  - Gauge = 38.1 mm (1.5 in)
  - Radar = 125 mm (4.9 in)

- Which was right ?
  - radar beam < melting level
  - max reflectivity < 50 dBZ
  - stationary thunderstorm
  - no rain gauges in 3911

→ *Advantage: Radar*

May 2010 "1000-year flood" in Middle and West Tennessee

20100503 0000 UTC NEXRAD 24-HR RAINFALL ACCUMULATION

With Sub-basins

2 May 2010: NREPS 24-hr Rainfall

Downtown Nashville TN flooding, especially near Cumberland River, which crested at 52 ft there, and tributaries.

Source: Mr. Adam Cissna, TVA

6 Hour Valley Totals

Tennessee River Basin: April 30 – 3 May 2010

6-HR Basin Mean

TVA

Radar

4/30   5/1   5/2   5/3

6-hour periods (Daily quarters)

TVA: Gauges with Theissen polygons to-sub-basin 6-hr mean to basin mean
Radar: NREPS grids to sub-basin 6-hr means to basin mean.

In many sub-basins, there is good agreement between TVA-gauge and NREPS 6-HR cumulative rainfall.

Sub-basin 6-HR Rainfall

Sub-basin Cumulative Event Rainfall

More good agreement…

Sub-basin 6-HR Rainfall

Savannah Perryville

Tenn Johnsonville Kentucky

## Sub-basin Cumulative Event Rainfall

Savannah Perryville Cumulative

Johnsonville Cumulative

Figures are courtesy of Mr. Adam Cissna, TVA

And some more (including one with less rain)…

Sub-basin 6-HR Rainfall

Cheatham to Clarksville

Scottsboro Guntersville

Sub-basin Cumulative Event Rainfall


Cheatham Cumulative

Scottsboro Guntersville Cumulative

Figures are courtesy of Mr. Adam Cissna, TVA

But, as seen in the Basin mean, there was a tendency for the radar < gauge rainfall in some sub-basins, especially in "2nd wave" of heavy rain on May 2nd.

Sub-basin 6-HR Rainfall

Sub-basin Cumulative Event Rainfall

# Some more sub-basins with radar < gauge in 2nd peak...

Sub-basin 6-HR Rainfall

Sub-basin Cumulative Event Rainfall

And there are almost always a couple of exceptions to the rule!
Radar > gauge, especially in the 1st wave of heavy rain on May 1st.

Sub-basin 6-HR Rainfall

Little River Cadiz

## Sub-basin Cumulative Event Rainfall

Cadiz Cumulative

Dover to Barkley

Dover Cumulative

TVA-VCSI Project Practical Experience

- Detailed developer (user) knowledge of user requirements, priorities and computing environment (tool capabilities and limitations) is key.
  - With diverse backgrounds, takes time and committed project team to develop productive communication and working relationship to solve complex issues.
  - Active user participation in system testing and improvement is very helpful.
- In an operational hydrological setting like the TVA River Forecast Center, rainfall **data availability** is the primary priority.
- Implications for robustness of
  - WSR-88D data pathways (Internet and LDM stability, back-up),
  - Software design (exception handling, stability, quality assurance)
  - Hardware design (memory, CPU and storage specifications to worst case scenarios; redundant systems)
- Data accuracy is important but secondary.
  - Because of river management complexity and model uncertainty, it is difficult for TVA to provide 6-hr sub-basin rainfall accuracy guidelines.
  - Forecasters manually adjust model hydrographs to stream flow gauge data in real time. Model output is tuned to measured river response.

California Water Resources Project

- ➢ Funded by NASA through American Reinvestment & Recovery Act
  - Through June 2011
- ➢ Develop integrated tool to assist water management within the San Joaquin River Valley
  - Radar precip estimates
  - Distributed hydro model
  - Snowfall measurements
  - Surface temp / moisture
- ➢ NREPS was selected to provide precip component

Challenges

➤ Residual non-precip contamination

➤ Nature of precipitation
- Shallow, non-bright brand
- Snow vs rain

➤ Terrain and remaining occultation
- Non-standard refraction

➤ Beam height vs melting level

Map of lowest usable beam height in Central CA

Radar vs rain gauge comparison during mixed-precip events

Jan 29-30 2010
Radar vs Gauge (GID: 383 Petersburg, TN)

Snow melt

Gauge ?

Radar = 0.78

0.65

- What can this lag cause?
  → Invalid performance conclusions
  → Inflow model initialization errors

Future NREPS plans

- Vertical profile of reflectivity (bright band mitigation, ice vs. rain, rain rate vs. beam height)

- Explore more sophisticated precipitation type (C/S) identification methodology
    - Other categories for Coastal California – shallow, non-convective, non-bright band ?
    - z-R's for the appropriate regime and precipitation type

- For CA ARRA NREPS: Integration with NASA distributed hydrologic model for soil moisture and evapo-transpiration estimates

- Back to the future – As WSR-88D network is polarized, transition z-R algorithm to dual-polarization hybrid R[Z,Zdr,Kdp] methodology at S-band?