MESSENGER Observations of Extreme Loading and Unloading of Mercury’s Magnetic Tail
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During MESSENGER’s third flyby of Mercury, the magnetic field in the planet’s magnetotail increased by factors of 2 to 3.5 over intervals of 2 to 3 min. Magnetospheric substorms at Earth are powered by similar tail loading, but the amplitude is ~10 times less and typical durations are ~1 hour. The extreme tail loading observed at Mercury implies that the relative intensity of substorms must be much larger than at Earth. The correspondence between the duration of tail field enhancements and the characteristic time for the Dungey cycle, which describes plasma circulation through Mercury’s magnetosphere, suggests that such circulation determines substorm timescale. A key aspect of tail unloading during terrestrial substorms is the acceleration of energetic charged particles, but no acceleration signatures were seen during the MESSENGER flyby.

Magnetospheric substorms are space-weather disturbances powered by the rapid release of magnetic energy stored in the lobes of planetary magnetic fields (1). This loading and unloading of Earth’s tail occurs on timescales of ~1 hour and is closely correlated with southward interplanetary magnetic field (IMF) (i.e., opposite to the planetary magnetic field at the nose of the magnetosphere), a geometry that transports magnetic flux into the tail via magnetic reconnection between the IMF and the dayside geomagnetic field (2). During a substorm, the accumulated magnetic energy is unloaded through reconnection of the oppositely directed magnetic fields in the tail lobes, resulting in the ejection of plasmoids, high-speed sunward and anti-sunward jetting of hot plasma, acceleration and injection of charged particles into the inner magnetosphere, and field-aligned currents flowing between the tail and the high-latitude atmosphere where aurorae are produced (3). Here we report observations by the MESSENGER Surface, Space Environment, Geochemistry, and Ranging (MESSENGER) spacecraft of substorm-like magnetic tail loading events at Mercury.

This circulation of plasma, magnetic flux, and energy from the dayside X-line at the terrestrial magnetopause to the nightside X-line in the cross-tail current layer and, later, back to the dayside magnetosphere constitutes the “Dungey cycle” (4), whose energy is drawn from the solar wind. The large magnetic field component normal to the magnetopause measured during the second MESSENGER flyby of Mercury when the IMF was southward implied a cross-magnetosphere electric potential of ~30 kV or a mean dawn-to-dusk electric field of ~2 mV/m (5). This electric field implies a Dungey cycle time (i.e., time to $E \times B$ drift from local noon to midnight in the polar cap or from the northern boundary of the tail down to the cross-tail current sheet) at Mercury of ~2 min. The ~1-hour Dungey cycle time at Earth is believed to be the underlying reason for the ~1- to 3-hour duration of terrestrial substorms (1, 4).

MESSENGER’s third flyby of Mercury occurred on 29 September 2009. The IMF immediately preceding the flyby of Mercury had a variable north-south orientation and a magnitude of ~28 nT, ~50% stronger than for the previous
encounters. Like the other MESSENGER flybys, the M3 trajectory was near equatorial and the spacecraft entered the magnetosphere through the downstream dusk magnetosheath and exited just forward of the dawn terminator (Fig. 1). The inbound bow shock (BS) and (average) magnetopause (MP) crossing times were 20:56:06 and 21:27:45 UTC, respectively. The MESSENGER spacecraft autonomously terminated science observations and entered a "safe hold" at 21:48:37 UTC, so no outbound boundary crossings were measured. A fit to the MESSENGER and Mariner 10 averaged boundary crossings using methods and functional forms recently applied to Mercury (6-9) yield mean subsolar bow shock and magnetopause planetocentric distances of 1.7 and 1.3 $R_M$, respectively, where $R_M$ is Mercury's radius (Fig. 1).

Following the magnetopause crossing, Magnetometer data (10) spanning the dusk-side tail were acquired as the spacecraft moved from $X_{MEO} = -1.85$ to $-1.29$ $R_M$ and $Y_{MEO} = 2.40$ to 0.16 $R_M$. Within the magnetosphere, the magnetic field data (Fig. 2) show a strong negative $B_y$ component, indicating that the spacecraft entered Mercury's magnetic tail through the southern lobe and remained there for about 20 min. There were several brief encounters with the plasma sheet, during which the field strength was temporarily depressed.

During the four intervals labeled events 1, 2, 3, and 4 in Fig. 2, each lasting 2 to 3 minutes, the magnitude of the magnetic field in Mercury's tail increased and then decreased by factors of $\sim 2$ to 3.5. Events 2 to 4 corresponded to higher $|B_y/B_x|$ than the intervening periods, indicating increased magnetotail flaring. The magnetic field in the tail is in pressure equilibrium with the external solar wind. The tail magnetic field increases because of either enhanced external solar wind pressure or loading of the tail with additional magnetic flux. The latter process forces the magnetopause to flare outward and increase the angle of incidence of the solar wind on the tail magnetopause. The $|B_y/B_x|$ signatures of greater tail flaring imply that the field increases were due to flux loading of the tail (2).

Event 1, observed at the outer edge of the tail, was marked by an overall increase in the tail magnetic field strength to 56 nT followed by a decrease to $\sim 20$ nT (Fig. 2). Coinciding with event 1 were more than a dozen transitions between the magnetosheath and magnetosphere, most likely the signature of large-amplitude Kelvin-Helmholtz boundary waves (11). These boundary waves are observed in similar regions at Earth (12) and, while not previously seen at Mercury, they have been predicted by simulations (13). Event 2 was similar in duration ($\sim 2$ min) to event 1 but larger in amplitude, with the magnetic field increasing from $\sim 20$ to 70 nT before decreasing (Fig. 3). Events 3 and 4 (Fig. 2) were also similar in duration and had peak magnetic field intensities of 83 and 70 nT, respectively.

Intense substorms in the terrestrial magnetosphere are associated with increases in tail magnetic field of $\sim 25\%$ (14, 15). Given that magnetic energy density is proportional to the square of the field magnitude, and neglecting changes in tail diameter, the increase in Earth's tail magnetic energy content during a loading event is less than a factor of $\sim 1.6$, whereas the present observations imply that Mercury's tail magnetic energy content increased by factors as great as $\sim 10$.

The amount of magnetic flux threading each tail loading event, neglecting the small contribution from the plasma sheet, may be estimated from

$$\Phi_{TAIL} = 0.5 \pi B_{TAIL} R_{TAIL}^2$$

where $B_{TAIL}$ is the field strength in the lobe region and $R_{TAIL}$ is the cross-sectional radius of the tail. Event 1 occurred during multiple magnetopause crossings, indicating that $R_{TAIL} \sim 2.4 R_M$ at $X_{MEO} = -1.8 R_M$. From the peak magnetic field, 56 nT, we computed a tail flux content of 3.0 MWb. For the other three events, the peak tail field intensities were 70, 83, and 70 nT, respectively. The increased radius of the tail accompanying these loading events was not measured by MESSENGER because the spacecraft was too deep in the tail to encounter the magnetopause. The pressure balances along the magnetopause (2), the peak loading field intensities, and the solar wind conditions predicted from a magnetohydrodynamic model of the inner heliosphere driven by solar magnetic field observations (16) imply a tail flaring angle relative to the sunward direction of $\sim 30^\circ$ for the strongest loading episode, event 3, in contrast to $\sim 10^\circ$ for the much weaker event 1. Such strong flaring implies a substantial enhancement of tail radius relative to the first loading event. Guided by these simulations and given the magnetospheric dimensions and the intensity of the inferred flaring, the radius of the tail for event 3 may have reached 3.5 $R_M$, corresponding to a peak tail flux content of 9.5 MWb. This value is $\sim 50\%$ more than predicted by a recently developed magnetospheric model of Mercury's magnetosphere (17) at the time of the second flyby, during which no tail loading events were observed.

Closer inspection of the magnetic field record for event 2 (Fig. 3) reveals six intervals of several seconds each when the total magnetic field weakened, indicating entry into a region with high plasma thermal pressure and low magnetic field pressure. These minima in field magnitude coincide with either rapid northward-then-southward or just southward variations in $B_z$, followed by a slower recovery back to $B_z \sim 0$, as can be seen in the latitude angle of the field (Fig. 3). These characteristics are signatures of plasmoids moving anti-sunward over the spacecraft (18-20). The field near the peak of event 3 does not show marked intensity decreases,
but a series of compressions is observed coincident with southward-then-northward tilting of the lobe magnetic field. These are signatures of traveling compression regions (TCRs) produced by the lobe magnetic field draping about sunward-moving flux ropes (21, 22). A transition from plasmoids being ejected tailward to sunward-moving TCRs closer to Mercury indicates the location of the region of most intense tail reconnection (1, 2), the near-Mercury neutral line (NMNL). The NMNL was observed near $X_{SMO} = -2.6 R_M$ during MESSENGER’s second flyby (5), but it was closer to the planet, near $X_{SMO} = -1.6 R_M$, for this flyby. The third flyby results, therefore, suggest that the NMNL develops much closer to the planet when the magnetosphere is heavily loaded with magnetic flux, such as during events 2 and 3. The total magnetic flux emanating from Mercury’s surface can be calculated for a simple centered dipole:

$$\Phi_M = 2\pi B_{eq} R_M^2$$ (2)

where $B_{eq}$ is the strength of the magnetic field at Mercury’s equator. Given $B_{eq} = 250 \text{ nT}$ (23, 24), the corresponding value of $\Phi_M$ is $9.5 \text{ MWb}$. As closed magnetic flux in the dayside magnetosphere is opened by reconnection at the magnetopause, it is pulled back into the tail lobes by the solar wind. For moderate loading of the tail, the dayside magnetopause contracts to lower altitudes and the north and south magnetic cusps are displaced equatorward (Fig. 4B). In the asymptotic limit that 100% of the planet’s magnetic flux is transferred to the tail, the closed dayside magnetosphere disappears, the magnetopause flares strongly, and the north and south cusps merge into a single broader cusp at the equator (Fig. 4C). This extreme configuration is expected to be highly unstable and quickly lead to substorm-associated reconnection in the tail to rapidly transfer magnetic flux back to the dayside magnetosphere on the observed ~2 min Dungey cycle timescale. The tail flux contents at the time of the peak loading events measured by MESSENGER correspond to at least ~30%, and for the most intense event possibly 100%, of the available magnetic flux from Mercury. Such an extreme magnetospheric configuration has never been observed or inferred to be present on the basis of space measurements at Earth or at other planets. The typical fraction of Earth’s total magnetic flux that is contained in the tail during loading events that produce intense substorms is only ~10 to 12% (14). If Mercury’s dayside magnetosphere is fully depleted by reconnection, which may have occurred during event 3, the entire dayside surface would map to open magnetic field lines and be exposed to the shocked solar wind of the magnetosheath.

The close correspondence between the 2- to 3-min duration of the tail loading and unloading events observed during the third flyby and the ~2-min Dungey cycle time at Mercury suggests not only that Earth-like substorms occur at Mercury but also that plasma circulation times determine the temporal scale for substorms at both planets. Further, the relative variation in tail energy content observed during loading and unloading at Mercury was an order of magnitude larger than at Earth, implying that the relative energy release in substorms at Mercury must be large compared to terrestrial substorms. The high rate of reconnection inferred from the large magnetopause-normal magnetic fields seen during MESSENGER’s second flyby (5), the large the flux transfer events (FTEs) observed just outside Mercury’s magnetopause (25) by MESSENGER during its earlier flybys (5), and the expected low electrical conductivity of Mercury’s crust which should greatly limit line-tying effects (26) and allow rapid magnetic flux transfer between the dayside magnetosphere and the tail are the most likely cause of this intense tail loading. For example, 10 FTEs comparable to the largest flux transfer events measured during the second flyby concentrated over a period of ~1 to 2 min, or 1 FTE every 6 to 12 s, would contribute ~2 MWb to the tail loading, a substantial fraction of the flux addition marking the events during MESSENGER’s third flyby. The intense fluxes of higher-energy electrons reported by Mariner 10 (27, 28) and the observations of strong tail loading and unloading and plasmoid ejection reported here which we attribute to substorm behavior make the lack of energetic charged particles with energies above 36 keV in the MESSENGER observations for this and earlier flybys (29) very surprising. The production of energetic particle acceleration events at Mercury, such as that observed by Mariner 10, evidently requires conditions not yet encountered by MESSENGER.
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7. Magnetopause crossings were fit to the model of Shue et al. (6), with $\alpha = 0.5$ and $R_p = 1.3 R_M$, where $\alpha$ is the surface flaring parameter and $R_p$ is the distance to the subsolar magnetopause.
9. Bow-shock crossings were fit to the model of Slavin et al. (8), with $X_0 = 0.475$, $\epsilon = 1.04$, and $L = 2.59 R_M$, where $X_0$ is the location of the hyperbola’s focus along the aberrated $X_{SMO}$ axis, $\epsilon$ is the surface’s eccentricity, and $L$ is the semilatus rectum.
The crossing from the magnetosheath into the magnetic tail at Mercury's magnetopause (MP) is marked with a vertical dashed line. Closest approach was at an altitude of 228 km at 21:54:58 UTC. The MAG observations of magnetic field in MSO coordinates, the field magnitude, the latitude and longitude direction angles, and the root-mean-squared (RMS) variance calculated over 3-s intervals are displayed top to bottom in the seven panels. The longitude angle of the magnetic field is defined to be 0° toward the Sun and increases counterclockwise looking down from the north celestial pole. The magnetic field latitude is +90° when directed northward and 0° when it is in the XMSO-YMSO plane. The four tail loading events discussed here are labeled.

Fig. 3. Magnetometer observations of tail loading event 2 during MESSENGER's third flyby. Vertical dashed lines mark the occurrence of tailward-moving plasmoïds.

Fig. 4. Schematic view of Mercury's magnetosphere in its ground state and during moderate and extreme tail loading observed by MESSENGER on 29 September 2009.