

Use of Modal Acoustic Emission to Monitor Damage Progression in Carbon Fiber/Epoxy Tows and Implications for Composite Structures

Jess M. Waller, Regor L. Saulsberry NASA Johnson Space Center (JSC) White Sands Test Facility (WSTF) Charles T. Nichols Intern, New Mexico State Univ., Dept. of Mech. and Aerospace Engineering Daniel J. Wentzel Intern, Miami University, Department of Physics

QNDE Conference

San Diego, CA Session 27, Composites and Composite Structures Thursday, 22 July 2010

Background



Problems:

- Composite overwrapped pressure vessels (COPVs) at risk for catastrophic failure
 - Risk of insidious burst-before-leak (BBL) stress rupture¹ (SR) failure of carbon-epoxy (C/Ep) COPVs during mid to late life
 - Risk of lower burst strength of C/Ep COPVs subjected to impact damage
- Issues with manufacturing defects and inspectability of COPVs on NASA spacecraft (International Space Station (ISS), deep space)
- Lack of quantitative nondestructive evaluation (NDE) is causing problems in current and future spacecraft applications
 - Must increase safety factor or accept more risk
 - Thinner liners are driving need for better flaw detection in liner and overwrap
- ¹ SR defined by AIAA Aerospace Pressure Vessel Standards Working Group as "the minimum time during which the composite maintains structural integrity considering the combined effects of stress level(s), time at stress level(s), and associated environment"

Background



Actions Needed

- Develop and demonstrate critical NDE that can be implemented during:
 - a) process design & optimization
 - b) online process control
 - c) after-manufacture inspection
 - d) in-service inspection
 - e) health monitoring

COPV Manufacturers

Aerospace Primes

NASA (on ground and in-flight)

 Need expressed by Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL), White Sands Test Facility (WSTF), Orion, NASA Engineering and Safety Center (NESC) Composite Pressure Vessel Working Group (CPVWG), and others

COPVs on ISS



- Presently have 17 high pressure COPVs on ISS (most are C/Ep)
 - Up to seven additional COPVs are planned and under development
- Long term reliability risk levels are 10⁻⁶ or lower, except for nitrogen tank assembly (NTA) and SpaceDRUMS COPVs, which have risk levels of 10⁻⁴ to 10⁻⁵ §
 - Reliability <u>much</u> lower if C/Ep overwrap sustains impact damage

Calendar	N	Chang	Cian in	Commeditor	Materials		Constitue	TOS	MEORAL
Subsystem	140.	эпаре	Size, III.	Commonly	Liner	Wrap	Supplier	105	MEOF psi
ECLSS/ACS HPGT	4	Sphere	37.89	Oxygen, Nitrogen	301 SS	IM-7W	GD	2.0	5000
ECLSS/MCA	1	Cylinder	7.22 L x 3.55 D	Calibrated air	Al	S-Glass	SCI	3.4	3000
TCS/NTA	2	Cylinder	45 L x 19.7 D	Nitrogen	Al	T-1000	GD	2.52	3000
EVA/SAFER	3	Cylinder	9 L x 6 D	Nitrogen	SS	T-1000	ARDÉ	3.0	10,000
Environments/P CU	2	Sphere	15.37	Xenon	301 SS	T-1000	ARDÉ	4.17	3000
Payloads/ SpaceDRUMS	5	Cylinder	17.1 L x 8.5 D	Argon	Al	T-1000	GD	2.28 -	2350
Payloads/ VCAM*	1	Cylinder	8.1 L x 3.68 D	Helium	Al	Gr/ep-2150	Carleton	3.4	1985
AMS-02*	2	Sphere	12.4; 15.8	Carbon dioxide, Xenon	301 SS	T-1000	ARDÉ	3.05-4.4	1440-2900
ECLSS&TCS/N ORS**	0	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
CIPAA***	4	Cylinder	4.04 D x 9.6 L	Carbon dioxide	Al	Gr/E-Glass	Carleton	4.67	4500

*The VCAM and AMS systems have not been manifested.

**The NORS system is still under development.

***The CIPAA system is transported to and from the ISS with each Shuttle mission. The very high FOS indicates a very low risk of rupture.

[§] E. Y. Robinson, R. Kohli, "Preliminary Stress Rupture Risk Assessment for Graphite/Epoxy Composite Overwrapped Pressure Vessels on the International Space Station," Aerospace Report No. ATR-2009(5298)-6, Sept. 30, 2009.



Classical Case



The problem with advanced fibers such as Kevlar[®] and carbon is that no ductility is observed before rupture during tertiary creep, so the stress rupture occurs with little or no advance warning.



C/Ep COPVs are susceptible to stress rupture, although to a lesser extent than glass or Kevlar[®] fiber composites



Characteristic lifetimes of graphite, Kevlar[®] and glass-reinforced composites at different percentages of the ultimate strength. Each symbol represents the median life (50%) under sustained loads as percentage of the ultimate strength of the material.[§]



- Develop quantitative acoustic emission (AE) procedures specific to C/Ep overwraps, but which also have utility for monitoring damage accumulation in composite structures in general
- Lay groundwork for establishing critical thresholds for accumulated damage in composite structures, such as COPVs, so that precautionary or preemptive engineering steps can be implemented to minimize or obviate the risk of catastrophic failure
 - Felicity ratio (FR), coupled with fast Fourier transform (FFT) frequency analysis shows promise as an analytical pass/fail criterion
 - Would fail COPVs at a critical FR below 1.0, indicative of severe accumulated damage and a known level of fiber breakage





Load control and AE data acquisition system consisting of:

- Instron[®] 5569 Series Electromechanical Test Instrument (left)
- DigitalWave Corp. FM-1 8-channel DACS (lower right)
- AE and tensile test CPU controllers (upper right)



AE Sensors: Each channel (4 used) was connected to a DWC PA-0, 0 dB Gain preamplifier, and then to a broadband high fidelity B1080 piezoelectric sensor with a frequency range 1 kHz to 1.5 MHz. Sensors were mounted on cardboard-tabbed C/Ep tow specimens (8-in. gage length) using Lord Corp. AE-10 acrylic adhesive.





Tabbing: shear strength of epoxy and bonded grip length important variables[§]





where:

- = minimum required bonded tab length, mm [in.]; Lmin
- Fu = ultimate tensile strength of coupon material, MPa psi];
- = coupon thickness, mm [in.]; and h
- Fsu = ultimate shear strength of adhesive, coupon material, or tab material (whichever is lowest), MPa [psi].

§ ASTM D 2343, Test Method for Tensile Properties of Glass Fiber Strands, Yarns, and Rovings Used in Reinforced Plastics, American Society for Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA (2008)

ASTM D 3039, Test Method for Determining Tensile Properties of Polymer Matrix Composite Materials, American Society for Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA (2007)

AE Data Filtering



- Significant AE determined using source location and energy
 - Source Location
 - Source location based on arrival time (picked up by at least 3 sensors)
 - Events originating outside the gage region were eliminated
 - however, events located within 0.3 mm of grip were retained
 - Default wave velocity for graphite used in all tests (4600 m/s)
 - verified using PLBs: 4356 m/s value obtained
 - Non-locatable events (picked up by 1-2 sensors) included only if they exceeded the minimum energy threshold below
 - Energy
 - Energy levels across all 4 channels were averaged for each event
 - The average energy of background events (usually < 0.22 V²-µs) was recorded for 30 min for C/Ep specimens held under a small preload (≤ 5 lb_f)
 - Events with an average energy above this value were considered 11 significant



Felicity Ratio Analysis



 For purposes of quick turnaround time, an intermittent load hold (ILH) stress schedule was used (red data)



 ILH profile is based on the pressure tank examination procedure described in ASTM E 1067 §

S ASTM, Practice for Acoustic Emission Examination of Fiberglass Reinforced Plastic Resin (FRP) Tanks/Vessels, E 1067, American Society for Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA, 19428-2959, 2001.



 Linear decrease in FR with load noted for T1000 and IM-7 C/Ep, similar to the behavior noted for Kevlar 49-epoxy K/Ep



- For a given material and averaging method, the slope of least squares fit is indicative of damage tolerance
 - Flatter slopes correspond to good damage tolerance (in-character behavior)
 - Steep slopes correspond to low damage tolerance (out-of-character behavior)
- Kaiser effect violated at FR<1 ⇒ onset of severe accumulated damage
- C/Ep produced more AE than K/Ep



 Formation of characteristic damage state very evident at Load Ratios (LR) < 0.6 for IM-7



- In quasi-isotropic composite lay-ups, for example, characteristic damage state formation thought to involve predominant matrix cracking
- For uniaxial tow, FFTs revealed the characteristic damage state formation involves mixed mode failure mechanisms (cooperative matrix cracking, fiber/matrix debonding, 15 fiber pull-out, fiber breakage)



- Characteristics of significant AE
 - For Kevlar-epoxy, and T1000 and IM-7 carbon-epoxy, nonlinear increases in AE event rate were observed immediately before rupture, indicative of '*critically intense*' AE activity per ASTM E 1067 and E 1118:



Areas of critically intense AE activity also showed greatest violation of Kaiser effect, hence, the lowest FR values

Summary of FR Results for Carbon/Epoxy



Date Test 83109 5 90109 6 90809 8 111009 10 32610 D1	# # IM7 #95 IM7 #95 IM7 #95 IM7 #117 IM7 #61 T1000 #74	Filter1 F 32% 27% 58% 9% 19%	F @ FR=1 (II 135 151 171 193	b _f) F _{max} (lb _f) 210 234 210 252	(ksi) 342 383 433	σ _{max} (ksi) 532 591 530	FR* 0.95 0.945 0.971	Failure ² XGB XGM XGM
83109 5 90109 6 90809 8 111009 10 32610 D1	IM7 #95 IM7 #95 IM7 #95 IM7 #117 IM7 #61 T1000 #74	32% 27% 58% 9% 19%	135 151 171 193	210 234 210 252	342 383 433	532 591 530	0.95 0.945 0.971	XGB XGM XGM
90109 6 90809 8 111009 10	IM7 #95 IM7 #95 IM7 #117 IM7 #61	27% 58% 9% 19%	151 171 193	234 210 252	383 433 488	591 530	0.945 0.971	XGM XGM
90809 8 111009 10	IM7 #95 IM7 #117 IM7 #61	58% 9% 19%	171 193	210 252	433	530	0.971	XGM
111009 10	IM7 #117 IM7 #61	9% 19%	193	252	100			
22610 DI	IM7 #61	19%	102		488	637	0.961	XGM
52010 DI	T1000 #74		183	228	464	578	0.97	XGM
82509 1	11000 #74	32%	240	355	658	972	0.972	XGT
82609 2	T1000 #74	46%	231	369	633	1010	0.953	XGT
82809 4	T1000 #74	37%	226	362	618	992	0.977	XGT
90909 9	T1000 #74	41%	194	301	532	823 ³	0.949	Pull Out
111809 11	T1000 #74	6%	152	181		497 ³	0.961	Pull Out
112309 12	T1000 #74	5%	212	238		6514	0.969	XGB
112409 13	T1000 #155	4%	181	379	5.3-7.9%	1037	0.945	SGM
112509 14	T1000 #74	6%	206	325	scatter	890	0.966	LGM
40910 D3	T1000 #155	6%	181	374	493	1024	0.95	XGM
Mean	IM7	29%	167	227	422	575	/ 0.959	F
	Std. Dev.	18%	24	18	60	45	0.012	1.2
Mean	T1000	22%	211	361	577	988	0.961	SC
	Std. Dev.	18%	26	19	71	53 /	0.013 /	

• Let FR* = extrapolated FR at rupture predicted by the least squares fit

• FR* behaves like a universal parameter that varies less than the UTS

¹ Data filter reflects percentage of events removed from the raw AE data

² Failure abbreviations per ASTM D 3039, *Test Method for Determining Tensile Properties of Polymer Matrix Composite Materials*, American Society for Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA (2007)



- Consistent FR* values noted for T1000 and IM-7
- Suggests that the FR can be used as an analytical PASS/FAIL criterion for C/Ep composite materials
- Precedent: ASTM suggests using FR < 0.95 as failure criteria in • fiberglass reinforced pressure vessels §
 - Experimental C/Ep failure criteria from strand tests

»	IM7:	FR < 0.959
»	T1000:	FR < 0.961

- Also can use counts and number of hits above high energy threshold
- Opens up possibility that C/Ep composite materials can be subjected to a few ILH profiles to assess in-family or out-of-family response
 - Need to verify that specimens or test articles with low initial FR or steep FR vs. load slopes in fact fail prematurely, or in the case of COPVs, fail at lower burst pressure

ASTM. Practice for Acoustic Emission Examination of Fiberglass Reinforced Plastic Resin (FRP) Tanks/Vessels, E 1067, American Society for Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA, 19428-2959, 2001



Waveform and FFT Analysis



 AE frequency ranges have been correlated with micromechanical damage mechanisms in C/Ep§



Peak Frequency

§

De Groot, P., P. Wijnen, and R. Janssen, "Real-time Frequency Determination of Acoustic Emission for Different Fracture Mechanisms in Carbon/Epoxy Composites," *Composites Sci. Technol.*, **55**, pp. 405-421 (1995).
Dzenzis, Y. A., and J. Qian, "Analysis of Microdamage Evolution Histories in Composites," *Int. J. Solids and Structures*, **38**, pp.1831-1854 (2001).



• In general, three different waveforms were observed for C/Ep

1. Matrix Cracking

2. Fiber Breakage





• Three different waveforms were observed for C/Ep (cont.)



3. Concerted, mixed mode failure

waveform

FFT



FFT showing concerted failure using De Groot's frequency ranges

FFT FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION

T1000 Spool 74 tested 9/9/09, Y=14.8 cm (2/5 from S3 to S4) N=2597, E=3.39 V²-µs, FAC-4





- High frequency peaks shifted downward with increasing load ratio: 731 kHz \Rightarrow 728 kHz \Rightarrow 685 kHz \Rightarrow 640 kHz
- Attributed to increasing accumulated damage, hence lower modulus, causing slower stress wave propagation





• IM-7 early vs. late life events



Notice the change from ordered to unordered peaks



• IM-7 low vs. high energy events



 Low energy events behave in an ordered fashion, while high energy events appear more random



 IM-7 (2 specimens) and T1000 (1 specimen) Felicity ratio events (first ten events, FR₁₀ method) were then compared to see if they had a characteristic frequency distribution or energy



- Fiber breakage dominates the FR, otherwise FR events reflect a concerted failure mode for both types of C/Ep
- Other minor differences between IM-7 and T1000 noted, but same overall trend:

300-1000 kHz > 90-190 kHz > 190-300 kHz (fiber breakage > matrix cracking > debonding/pull-out)



Application to Composite Overwrapped Pressure Vessels (COPVs)



A 6.3-in. diameter IM-7 COPV was subjected to an ILH pressure schedule at LR ≈ 0.3 to 0.9



Pressure & Events vs. Time









IM-7 tow data (solid blue line) consistent with IM-7 COPV data (blue symbols)





•Follow-on application of ILH methodology to a 20-bottle COPV set (IM-7 overwraps) revealed variable response within same batch and lot



•Efforts underway to determine if Are active COPVs have lower FR, steeper FR vs. pressure curve, or are more prone to burst at lower pressures

•Only 1-3 sensors used, so source location is not possible/problematic. As well as detection of lower energy, high frequency events now contribute to a linear FR response.

Conclusions



- FR* behaves like a universal parameter that varies less than the UTS
 - Offers the possibility of using the FR as a robust pass/fail acceptance criterion for C/Ep composite materials
 - By analogy, FR* would be expected to vary less than the burst pressure for a family of COPVs of equivalent design, for example
- ASTM-based ILH methods were found to give a reproducible, quantitative estimate of the stress threshold at which significant accumulated damage occurs
 - FR events are low energy (<2 $V^2\mu s$)
 - FR events occur close to failure locus
 - FR events composed of >50% fiber breakage (>300 kHz)
 - true for multiple IM-7 and T1000 uniaxial tow specimens
 - different trend may exist in quasi-isotropic lay-ups
 - FR events showed a consistent hierarchy of concerted, mixed mode damage mechanisms regardless of applied ILH load ratio
- Initial application of FR and FFT analysis to COPVs shows great 32 promise

Acknowledgments



Shawn Arnette (TRI, Austin, TX) K/Ep test specimens & tabbing suggestions

S. Leigh Phoenix (Cornell University, Ithaca, NY) C/Ep test specimens & tabbing suggestions

Paul Spencer, Brooks Wolle, and Ben Gonzalez (NASA JSC WSTF) Universal tensile tester set-up & tabbing

Office of Safety and Mission Assurance (NASA, Washington, DC) Support to develop AE methods specific to K/Ep and C/Ep (NDE of composite micromechanics)



Back-up Slides

Felicity ratio (FR)



 $FR = rac{stress \ at \ onset \ of \ significant \ acoustic \ emission \ during \ loading}{maximum \ previous \ stress \ plateau}$



Acoustic Emission Testing



Acoustic Emission refers to the generation of transient elastic waves produced by a sudden redistribution of stress in a material. When a structure is subjected to an external stimulus (change in pressure, load, or temperature), localized sources trigger the release of energy, in the form of stress waves, which propagate to the surface and are recorded by sensors.

(http://www.ndt-ed.org/)





For Kevlar-epoxy 4650 denier tow, correlation coefficients for ILH 1 & 2 methods indicated good (R² = 0.866) to excellent (R² = 0.985) agreement:





AE source location method improved

•



- For 2D or 3D specimens, arrival times from at least 3 sensors are needed for accurate source location
 - for a 1D tow specimen, by splitting 4-channel*.wave files into 2-channel*.wave files, it was possible to reduce this number to 2 sensors
 - Arrival times not always accurate
 Manual correction was done
- Erroneous events were eliminated or located more accurately
- > 300% more events were located



Source location of FR events showed that they occur at or near the locus of failure



- IM7_032610 specimen had intact tow between 0.17 and 0.20 m (upper tab) and 0 (lower tab) and 0.115 m
- Tow region between 0.001 and 0.17 m obliterated (explosive failure)
- Most FR events were source located in the missing region that failed explosively