

COSMOGENIC SAMARIUM-150 AND CALCIUM-41 IN NORTON COUNTY: D. Fink¹, J. Klein², R. Middleton², A. Albrecht³, P. Ma³, G. F. Herzog³, D. D. Bogard⁴, L. E. Nyquist⁴, C.-Y. Shih⁵, Y. Reese⁶, and D. H. Garrison⁵, J. Masarik⁷, R. C. Reedy⁸, G. Rugel⁹, T. Faestermann⁹, and G. Korschinek⁹, ¹Institute for Environmental Research, ANSTO, PMB 1, Menai NSW 2234, Australia, ²Dept. of Physics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104, ³Dept. Chemistry & Chem. Biology, Rutgers University, 610 Taylor Road, Piscataway, NJ 08854-8087, ⁴Johnson Space Center, Houston, TX 77058, ⁵ESCG, Jacobs-Sverdrup, Houston, TX 77058, ⁶Mail Code JE-23, ESCG/Muniz Engineering, Houston, TX, 77058, ⁷Nuclear Physics Dept., Comenius University, SK-842 15 Bratislava, Slovakia, ⁸Planetary Science Institute, Los Alamos, NM 87544 USA, ⁹Fakultät für Physik, TU-München, D-85748, Garching, Germany.

Introduction: Though brecciated [1], the Norton County (NC) aubrite contains little or no trapped noble gas and has been widely assumed to have a simple if unusually long cosmic ray exposure (CRE), 115 Ma [2]. One goal of this ongoing study of NC [3,4] has been to search for signs of pre-irradiation as proposed by [5] and [6]. One may test for multiple stages of CRE by comparing thermal neutron fluences inferred from ⁴¹Ca ($t_{1/2}=0.1$ Ma) activities, which reflect irradiation conditions over the last ~0.3 Ma, with those inferred from (stable) Sm isotope abundances, which integrate over the entire CRE history. In the case of a one-stage exposure the fluences should agree. We focus on these particular comparisons because the properties of NC - its long CRE exposure, relatively large size, and low iron concentration - all promised high production rates and ease of measurement. Previously, we reported on several cosmogenic nuclides in NC [3,4]. Here we present new ⁴¹Ca data, Sm isotope measurements, and comparisons with model calculations of cosmic-ray production.

Experimental methods: Dr. E. Scott provided samples of Norton County from locations close to material analyzed for tracks [7] and ⁵³Mn [8]. After addition of Ca carrier, dissolution in HF/HClO₄, and fuming with HClO₄, we separated Ca by cation exchange (Dowex, 50WX8), precipitating the oxalate, and converting it to CaF₂. Accelerator mass spectrometry of ⁴¹Ca was performed at the tandem accelerator of the Technische Universität and Ludwig-Maximilians Universität, Munich [9]. All concentrations were corrected for background and normalized to a ⁴¹Ca standard prepared from the primary standards of [10]. Two procedural blanks gave ⁴¹Ca/⁴⁰Ca ratios of $(3-8\pm 4)\times 10^{-14}$. Ca concentrations in the samples were measured in three different ways (see Table 1, note c).

Our methods for Sm analysis followed [11]. After dissolution, rare earth elements (REE) were eluted as a group in 6N HCl from a cation exchange column. Sm was separated from the other REE on a second cation column by elution with α -hydroxyisobutyric

acid. Sample masses of 392, 299, and 255 mg yielded respectively 98, 75, and 64 ng of Sm for NC3C, NC102, and NC5. Sm isotopes were analyzed on a Finnigan-MAT 262 multicollector mass spectrometer in static multicollector mode. Sm blanks, ~5-10 pg, were negligible.

Results: Our average measured Ca concentrations excluding NC102 range from 1.1 to 3.6 wt% (Table 1) and are systematically higher than literature values (0.5-1.2 wt%) for other samples. Norton County is known to be coarse-grained (e.g., [1]) and to contain some Ca-rich diopside [12], so sample heterogeneity can explain the differences.

The Sm isotope ratios (Table 2) were measured in two ways, as Sm⁺ for all three samples and as SmO⁺ for NC102 and NC3C. The results for NC102 agree within the calculated uncertainties; those for NC3C agree within twice the calculated uncertainties.

Discussion: We modeled production rates in aubritic meteoroids with pre-atmospheric radii greater than 40 cm by using the recently compiled cross sections of [13] and the Los Alamos High Energy Transport (LAHET) Code System (LCS) [14]. The LCS model combines the LAHET code for interactions of nucleons above 20 MeV with the Monte Carlo N-Particle (MCNP) code for interactions of low-energy neutrons. For meteoroid orbits, the LCS model uses an effective flux of primary GCR particles of 4.8 nucleons cm⁻² s⁻¹ for energies great than 10 MeV. This model is known to overestimate the lunar capture rate of thermal neutrons by ¹⁴⁹Sm.

The depth profiles of ¹⁰Be, ²⁶Al [4], and ⁴¹Ca follow most closely the model predictions for a meteoroid radius of about 50 cm [4]. Differences between modeled and measured profiles at smaller depths may indicate the effects of space erosion. Bhandari et al. [7] estimated the preatmospheric mass as 3600 kg, which corresponds to a radius of 65 cm, somewhat larger than the one estimated from the depth profiles.

Thermal neutron fluxes, ϕ (n cm⁻² s⁻¹) were calculated by substituting 1) the ⁴¹Ca activities, which equal production rates, $P(^{41}\text{Ca})_n$, after small corrections for spallation of iron; 2) the Ca concentrations,

Table 1. Neutron-produced ^{41}Ca activities and production rates, Ca concentrations, and thermal neutron fluences.

Sample	Depth	^{41}Ca	Ca	$\text{P}(^{41}\text{Ca})_n$	Φ	
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
NC102	10	26.2 ^[3]	12.3 ^g	0.21±0.3	0.50	0.22
		21±12	12.3	0.17±0.10		0.17
NC7	11	6.7 ^[3]	1.7 ^g	0.36±0.04	0.55	0.37
		9±2	1.7	0.49±0.16		0.49
NC6	12	9.0 ^[3]	1.5 ^g	0.58±0.06	0.59	0.59
NC6B7	12	4.3±1.4	1.1	0.36±0.14		0.37
NC1L	17	11±4	1.9	0.57±0.20	0.86	0.58
NC1U	17	10.5±2	1.6	0.64±0.15		0.65
NC5	17	9.5 ^[3]	1.7 ^g	0.55±0.06		0.55
NC3E	25	16.0 ^[3]	1.4 ^g	1.15±0.12	1.25	1.17
		16±5	1.4	1.18±0.41		1.20
NC3C	32	24.6 ^[3]	1.9 ^g	1.25±0.13	1.44	1.27
		23±6	1.9	1.13±0.33		1.14
NC3A	33	46±10	3.6	1.26±0.32	1.59	1.27
		49±10	3.6	1.35±0.32		1.37
NC23-5	35	7±4	1.1	0.58±0.36	1.71	0.59

a) cm. b) Activities in dpm/kg corrected for spallogenic contributions from Fe. c) Averages (wt%) of 6 analyses by AA, powder XRF, and ICP-MS. d) Production rate of ^{41}Ca (dpm/[g Ca]) calculated from activities and Ca concentrations. Uncertainties of $\text{P}(^{41}\text{Ca})_n$ from [3] do not include an unknown contribution from the uncertainty in the Ca concentration. e) Thermal neutron fluence ($10^{16} \text{ n cm}^{-2}$) from modeling calculations for a radius of 50 cm, a density of 3.2 g/cm^3 and a CRE age of 115 Ma. f) $\Phi (\text{n cm}^{-2}) = \text{P}(^{41}\text{Ca})_n \times t_{\text{exp}} / (\sigma \times ^{40}\text{Ca abundance})$; see text. g) Ca not analyzed and taken as result for another portion of the same specimen.

and 3) a thermal neutron cross section, $\sigma=410 \text{ mb}$, into the relation $\text{P}(^{41}\text{Ca})_n = [^{40}\text{Ca}] \phi \sigma$. To convert fluxes, ϕ , to fluences, Φ , we multiplied by the CRE age of 115 Ma. Fluences based on the modeling calculations for ^{41}Ca generally agree with these results (Table 1). Thermal neutron fluences were also calculated from the Sm isotopic abundances using the relation

$$\sigma_{\text{eff}} \Phi = \left[\frac{^{150}\text{Sm}}{^{149}\text{Sm}} - \left(\frac{^{150}\text{Sm}}{^{149}\text{Sm}} \right)_{\text{terr}} \right] / \left[1 + \frac{^{150}\text{Sm}}{^{149}\text{Sm}} \right]$$

where $\sigma_{\text{eff}} = 6.1 \times 10^{-20} \text{ cm}^2$ was obtained following [15]. The fluences inferred from Sm are about $3 \times$ higher than those inferred from ^{41}Ca (Figure 1). The difference may indicate that up to half the ^{149}Sm neutron captures occurred at depths between 38 and 138 cm during earlier cosmic ray irradiation of Norton County in the parent body or a precursor meteoroid.

Table 2. Sm isotopic abundances.

	$\epsilon^{149}\text{Sm}$	$\epsilon^{150}\text{Sm}$	$\Phi^{149}\text{Sm}^a$
SmO⁺ measurements^b			
NC102	-11.05±0.97	17.88±1.36	1.88±0.13
NC5	-8.42±0.19	11.94±0.39	1.34±0.08
NC3C	-18.78±0.28	34.27±0.39	3.49±0.08
Hidaka06	-6.59	14.22	1.64
Sm⁺ measurements			
NC102	-10.26±0.87	17.39±1.84	1.80±0.13
NC3C	-17.59±0.11	32.80±0.48	3.32±0.04
Average			
NC102	-10.66±0.97	17.63±1.84	1.84±0.09
NC5	-8.42±0.19	11.94±0.39	1.34±0.08
NC3C	-18.18±0.84	33.53±1.04	3.41±0.04

a) $\Phi^{149}\text{Sm} (10^{16}/\text{cm}^2) = \epsilon^{149}\text{Sm}/10,000 \times \sigma_{\text{eff}}(^{149}\text{Sm})$; $\sigma_{\text{eff}}(^{149}\text{Sm}) = 6.1 \times 10^{-20} \text{ cm}^2$ for $\Sigma_{\text{eff}}=0.0018 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$. b) $^{149}\text{Sm}/^{152}\text{Sm} = 0.516852 \pm 0.000017$; $^{150}\text{Sm}/^{152}\text{Sm} = 0.275983 \pm 0.000028$. c) $^{149}\text{Sm}/^{152}\text{Sm} = 0.516837 \pm 0.000008$; $^{150}\text{Sm}/^{152}\text{Sm} = 0.276065 \pm 0.000007$.

References: [1] Okada et al. (1988) *Meteoritics*, 23, 59-74. [2] Lorenzetti S. et al. (2003) *GCA*, 67, 557-571. [3] Fink D. et al. (1992) *LPS, XXIII*, 355-356. [4] Fink D. et al. (2002) *M&PS*, 37, A46. [5] Kondo T. et al. (2008) *M&PS* 43, A80. [6] Welten K. et al. (2004) *M&PS*, 39, A113. [7] Bhandari N. et al. (1980) *Nucl. Tracks*, 4, 213-262. [8] Englert et al. (1995) *GCA*, 59, 825-830. [9] Knie K. et al. (1997) *NIMB*, 123, 128-131. [10] Nishiizumi K. et al. (2000) *NIMB*, 399-403. [11] Nyquist L. E. et al. (1990) *GCA*, 54, 2195-2206. [12] Watters T.R. and Prinz M. (1979) *PLSC 10th*, 1073-1093. [13] Leya I. and Masarik J. (2009) *M&PS*, 44, 1061-1086. [14] Masarik J. and Reedy R. C. (1994) *GCA*, 58, 5307-5317. [15] Lingenfelter R. E. et al. (1972) *EPSL*, 16, 355-369. [16] Hidaka H. et al. (2006) *GCA*, 70, 3449-3456. [17] Eugster O. et al. (1970) *JGR*, 75, 2753-2768.

