Fault Management on Manned Spacecraft From Design to Operations

Carlos Garcia-Galan 5/5/09







- Fault Management dimensions
- Fault Management analysis
- Real-time Fault Management
- Learning from Real Failures
- Evolution of on-board Fault Management Approach





- Fault Management is accomplished in several dimensions:
 - Spacecraft Fault Tolerance, redundancy and margins
 - Subsystem Hardware, Firmware and Software capabilities for Failure Detection Isolation and Recovery (FDIR)
 - System-Level FDIR
 - Role of the Spacecraft Crew and Mission Control Center (MCC) in Fault Management



Spacecraft Fault Tolerance



- How much system degradation can you take, and still accomplish your mission or bring the crew safely home?
 - Independent Strings of HW/FSW for critical functions
 - Power Generation, storage and distribution.
 - Avionics Command & Control Computers, On-board Data Network
 - Environmental Control Cabin Air Revitalization, Pressure Control
 - Guidance, Navigation & Control Attitude Control, State Determination
 - Thermal Control Cooling Loops, and Heaters.
 - Communications Telemetry/Commands & Voice.
 - Mechanisms Mechanisms for Critical Equipment/Functions
 - Deployment of Solar Arrays, Radiator, Antennas, parachutes, etc
 - Propulsion Propellant Management, Engines





- How much system degradation can you take, and still accomplish your mission or bring the crew safely home?
 - Margins of Critical Consumables
 - Power Ability to accomplish the mission or preserve crew safety with half of power available
 - Thermal
 - Ability to accomplish the mission or preserve crew safety with half of cooling loops + maximize thermal clocks upon the loss of heating/cooling
 - Ability to survive at different attitudes for some period of time
 - Air
 - CO2 removal capability
 - O2 generation, humidity removal, etc
 - Propellant Maximizing the options to get to and return from destination (burns)





Expectations for Each Subsystem

- Provide the necessary level of Subsystem FDIR over all components within Subsystem boundary
- Report all faults and health status
- Evaluate sensor inputs to determine their validity and infer sensor health
- Evaluate data inputs from subsystem components to determine validity and respond accordingly

Key Objectives of Subsystem FDIR

- To ensure safe operation of the Subsystem
- To maintain functionality through available local redundancy
- To prevent fault propagation beyond the subsystem boundary
- Provide the necessary monitoring and functional tests as determined by safety analysis to identify and report latent faults or hazardous conditions and support:
 - Situational awareness for crew and ground
 - Initiation of system-level and/or higher level recovery actions



System-Level FDIR scenario





DC-to-DC Converter Unit

Converts Power from Primary Voltage ~150-160 Vdc to 123Vdc DDCU has several FDIR capabilities due to it's function, and the lack of such up-stream and down-stream



Subsystem FDIR Example- HW





DDCU HW FDIR

•Current Limit = The DDCU will limit the amount of current available to the load (lout = 78-82 A) rather than regulate the secondary bus voltage.

•Backup Current Trip = lout > 65A for 95-105 ms or current limit > 50-55ms

• DCE Overvoltage = 153 ± 2 Vdc for 10 μ s

•HW FDIR has no functional inhibits













DDCU FSW FDIR

• Secondary (output) Overvoltage trip: 129 Vdc for 6 sec = Converter Off

> This FDIR action is designed to protect downstream loads sensitive to higher voltage, i.e. computers, electronics

• Overtemperature trip:

- Conv Temp >190 deg F = Converter Off
- PS Temp >175 deg F = Converter Off
- Baseplate Temp >185 deg F = Converter Off
- FSW Overtemp trip values are changeable

• Both FDIR actions (Voltage and Temperature protection) can be inhibited - see display.





- Correlate subsystem-level information to detect faults that propagate across several subsystems (FDIR)
- Isolate to source subsystem, LRU or LRU component (lowest possible), from multiple subsystem fault indications (FDIR)
- Perform multi-system recovery actions required to mitigate the effects of a fault that affects multiple subsystems (FDIR)



System-Level FDIR scenario





Scenario 1

EPS failure – Primary Power switch 6- causes the loss of power to half of the critical US LAB systems. The nature and location of the failure allows system reconfiguration to recover the lost functionality.



Resulting C&W



100			Caution & Warning Summary		*
ST	AT CL	ACK SYS	Message Text	Time of Event	C&W Toolboy
*H1	րի ե	LDH	Primary Price ribri Detected Local bus EPS Node 2 23 Fail-LHB	22Junu0/09:59:48	
*A1	em C	TCS	Lab MTL PPA Pump Failure-LAB	22Jun00/09:58:06	Sort On
*81	rm C	TCS	Lab MTL Pump Efficiency Degradation-LAB	22Jun00/09:58:02	Time.bloupet
*A1	em C	TUS	Lab Rack LAB1P6 Uvertemp-LAB	22Jun00/09:57:38	Time.ivewest
*A1	rm C	CDH	Backup INI MUM Fail-LAB	22Jun00/09:57:09	F
*H1	em Ç	CDH	Primary Int MUM Detected Static Frame Count for Lab 3 MDM-LHB	22Jun00/09:57:05	Filter On
*H1	nm C	CDH	Primary int MUM Detected Static Frame Count for Node 1-2 MDM-LHB	22Jun00/09:57:05	ALL EWC
THI	em C	CDH	Primary Int MUM Detected Static Frame Count for Lab 2 MDM-LHB	22Jun00/09:57:05	
*H1	CM C	EPS	RPUM LHZB_E LOSS OF COMM-LHB	22Jun00/09:57:05	Congregos and a second
*H1	CM L	EPS	RPUM LADZE_H LOSS OF LOMM-LHE	22Jun00/09:57:05	Advisories
*H1	CM C	EPS	RPUM LARZELU LOSS OF COMM-LAB	22Jun00/09:51:05	Off
*H1	CM L	EPS	RPUM LHU628_H LOSS OF LOMM-LHB	22Jun00/09:57:05	
*01	-	TUS EDC	Lap HIL PPH Pump in Press Sensor Failure and NiH Innibited-LHD	22JUN00/09:51:03	Pohotice
*01		EPS	RECHILHZEF LOSS OF COMMELHE	22Jun00/09:51:05	nobotics
*01		EPS EDC	RECH LHZD_G LUSS OF COMMELAD	2230000/09:31:03	Off
*01		EPO	REGH LEBEZE E Loop of Comm Mode 1	22Jun00/09:31:03	
*01		EPO	RECH NIGB_5 LOSS OF COMMENDER I	223000709:31:03	Alarm Trace
*01		FDO	PDPM N13B_B Loss of Comm-Node 1	22Jun00/09+57+05	Master On
*01		FDO	PPCM LARR C Loss of Commuter	2230000709+31+03	
*01		FDQ	DDCM LA2B_B Loop of Comm_LAB	22Jun00/09+57+05	Master Off
*01	om C	TOS	Lab MTL PPA Pump To Poss Low-LAB	2230000/09+57+05	
*01		CDH	Node 1-1 MDM Detected Usen Bus Oph N1-1 Fail-PM01	22 Jun00/09+57+03	
*01	om C	CDH	Primary Node 1 MDM Detected BT Fail of OTU-PMA1	2230100/09+57+03	Event Code
*A1		FPS	PCI 71/B Failure-71	22 Jun00/09+54+54	Tools
*01		FPS	PCU 713B Failure-71	22.Jun00/09:54:54	Enable
*No	om C	CDH	Node 1-1 MDM Detected RT Fail of Node 1-2 MDM-PMA1	22.Jun00/09:49:54	-
*No	em C	MCS	RS Auto Recovery Initiated	22.Jun00/09:49:01	Suppress
*No	om W	CDH	Primary CC Detected Primary Node 1 MDM Failure - PMA1	22.Jun00/09:48:57	-
*A1	em W	CDH	Backup CC MDM Retry Fail-LAB	22.Jun00/09:48:57	Inhibit
*No	om W	CDH	Primary PMCU MDM Fail-LAB	22Jun00/09:48:56	Cat Status
*No	m W	CDH	Primary GNC MDM Fail-LAB	22Jun00/09:48:56	Ger Status
*A1	om W	CDH	Backup CC MDM Fail-LAB	22Jun00/09:48:56	
*A1	em W	CDH	CC MDM Recovery Fail-LAB	22Jun00/09:48:56	Log Tools
*No	n W	CDH	Primary INT MDM Fail-LAB	22Jun00/09:48:55	Log Menu
*A1	om C	EPS	DDCLLLA2B Loss of Comm-LAB	22.Jun00/09:48:54	Log Menu



Subsystem vs. System-level Response 💀





DDCU Powers the Loop Pump, but also half of the valves required for subsystem FDIR to perform a proper reconfiguration
Subsystem FDIR does not understand the nature of the fault (Pump failure) and tries to reconfigure = reconfiguration fails



System-Level FDIR scenario 2



Scenario 2

EPS failure –Primary Power switch 1- causes the loss of power to half of the critical US LAB systems. This failure prevents full system reconfiguration to regain lost functionality. Root cause, affected components and operator actions identified.





Fault Management Design





- Integrated FDIR analysis includes three main activities:
 - Bottoms up analysis: Identify all failure modes at subsystem level
 - Functional Fault Analysis
 - Top-down analysis: Identify critical functions and impact of their loss
 - Loss of Crew/Loss of Mission (LOC/LOM) analysis
 - Go/No-Go Tables
 - Operational Functionality Assessment
 - Requirement Allocation: Decomposition of FDIR requirements to:
 - Subsystem-level (HW/FSW/FW)
 - System-Level
 - Crew
 - MCC
- FFA is "Functional Fault Analysis" captures fault detection and response analysis from the subsystem level to system level FDIR
- Instrumentation Assessment ensures proper fault coverage in design





- Diagnostic/Testability Analysis tools (just to name two...)
 - QSI TEAMS
 - DSI eXpress
- Description/Benefits:
 - Cause and Effect, Multi-Functional Model of the Failure Behavior of the System
 - Graphical, Understandable way of representing the RM&T aspects of the design for the Life Cycle
 - Testability features enable fault detection, isolation, and diagnosis capabilities
 - Provide metrics of fault detection and fault isolation capabilities, various cases
 - Models can be "recycled" for use in real-time diagnostic systems



TEAMS Modeling Approach



Sample TEAMS Model for Propulsion Subsystem



- Each module within a subsystem model is designated its own unique color
- Each test point is designated a color based on the source of document used to verify its existence
- Each link is designated its own unique color to differentiate between fluids, power, and data paths
- Each failure mode is designated a "hatched" color pattern







Developing FDIR Modules - Fault Detection and Fault Isolation with TEAMS Fault Isolation Example

D-matrix





1 = test can detect failure mode

Dependency matrix (D-matrix) is generated from the TEAMS Designer subsystem model



Compute GOOD failure modes: Every failure mode connected to a PASS test is GOOD.

Compute *BAD* failure modes: Every test that is *FAIL* has **at least one** failure mode that is *BAD*. If there is more than one failure mode that leads to a *FAIL* test, then all failure modes not labeled as *GOOD* are labeled as *SUSPECT*.

All remaining failure modes are labeled *UNKNOWN*: they are connected to tests for which we have no test information.



TEAMS Modeling







Testability Analysis



TESTABILITY REPORT FOR Vehicle_Model_05



- Determine % Fault Detection & Isolation if low, can redesign to add more sensors or others detection or inference means
- Identify General System's metrics Failure modes, Test points, etc





Real-Time Fault Management



Evolution of Systems/Fault Mgmt on-board









- **Mission Control Center (MCC)** Level of dependency of the spacecraft and crew on tactical/real-time MCC support during nominal and off-nominal operations.
 - This includes the size of the team required for real-time operations, as well as mission preparation and planning.
- **Crew Training -** Training requirements associated with necessary crew involvement for nominal/routine system management, and response to off-nominal conditions.
 - If the crew is required to actively perform health monitoring, FDIR, and nominal routine system control = significant task and skill training is required.
- Flight Product development Development of flight procedures and other products required by the crew and Flight Control Team (FCT) to manage the system and operate the spacecraft during nominal and off-nominal operations.





- **Engineering support** Dependency on engineering teams, outside of the FCT, to provide system expertise during nominal operations and support anomaly troubleshooting.
- **Mission Planning -** Detail required in pre-mission planning to support the execution of a nominal mission and provide sufficient margins for contingency operations.
 - This includes resource analysis, and timeline development, thus on-board capabilities for resource management, or greater availability of resources, reduces granularity required in pre-mission planning.





- Vehicle Instrumentation & Displays
 - Provide Crew and MCC insight into system performance, anomalies and current system status
 - Enables identification and response to failures
 - Provides sufficient insight to perform the mission specified for the spacecraft
- Flight Data File
 - Contains nominal, malfunction and reference procedures for the Crew to conduct their mission.
 - Malfunction procedures support Fault detection, Isolation and Recovery when this actions are not performed by on-board systems

Caution & Warning

- Alerts the crew to system failures that require their attention
- Information provided by aural tones, lights, and displayed information
- Level of information provided by the C&W system determines the crew response to the information.



C&W Message Classification



Caution and Warning	Alert notification system for flight crew and ground that includes Emergencies, Cautions, Warnings, and Advisories.
Emergency (Class 1 event)	Any condition that threatens the life of the crew or vehicle and requires immediate action. Three specific conditions (event types) define the emergency class; fire/smoke, rapid change in cabin pressure and toxic atmosphere.
Warning (Class 2 event)	Any event that requires immediate correction to avoid loss of or major impact to the vehicle or potential loss of crew.
Caution (Class 3 event)	Any event that is not time critical in nature but further degradation has the potential to threaten the loss of crew, or the loss of redundant equipment such that subsequent failure could result in a Warning condition.
Advisory (Class 4 event)	A non Caution and Warning message which provides information about systems status and processes.

Fault Management on-board Orbiter

The second second		
		The start of the start
	0001/ /099 FAULT 5 000/00:11:50 CRT FAULT C/W CPC TIME	
	ID SMO THRM FRN 5 000/00:11:05 SMI FC STACK T 2 5 000/00:10:16	ΦΦ
123456788012345678901234567890123456789012345678901	SM2 FREON FLOW 1 # 5 000/00:09:10 SM1 FC PUMP 2 # 5 000/00:09:08	02 PRESS H2 PRESS FUEL CELL FUEL FUEL CELL FUEL FUEL CELL FUEL FUEL FUEL CELL FUEL FUEL FUEL FUEL FUEL FUEL FUEL F
. XXXX/XXX/079 SH SYS SUNH Z XX X000/HHIMHISS 000/HHIMHISS	SM2 AV BAY FAN 5 003/00:09:06 TARCET ERR RTLS 5 000/00:07:05	CABIN ATM 02 HEATER MAIN BUS AC AC AC (C) CABIN ATM (R) TEMP UNDERVOLT VOLTAGE OVERLOAD
	SHE FAIL C * 5 000/08:05:28 SSHE FAIL L * 5 000/08:05:28	FREON AV BAY/ LOOP CABIN AIR MU FWD RCS RCS JET
TZ DH2 CH2 CF3 DF3 DF3	MPS HE P L 5 000/00:03:30 MPS HE P L 5 000/00:03:30	H ₂ O LOOP RGAJACCEL AIR DATA LEFT RCS RIGHT RCS
4 AFU 1 2 3 4 TERPEST DA1 2 3 4 TERPEST DA1 0A2 0A3 4 TERPEST DA1 0A3 4 TERPEST	SHI CASIN FAN * 5 000/00:02:11 I/0 ERROR PCH 5 000/00:02:10	LEFT RHC RHC RHC LEFT OMS RIGHT OMS
SPEED N 0A1 0A2 0A3 0A1 0TY 0A1 0A2 0A3 S	1/0 ERROR PCM 5 000/00:00 00 00	PAYLOAD GPC FCS (R) OMS KIT OMS TVC (R)
PHP LK P 043		PAYLOAD PRIMARY C/W FCS MPS (70)
a Ay BAY 1 2 3 120 PUM P 612 673 T TEMP 072 673 071 FREOK FLOW 072 673 2 FAM 5P X.XX5 X.XX5 X.XX5 VAP 601 1 6A2 6A3	SH2 AV BAY FAN 5 00:09:06(04) FAULT SUMM	BACKUP C/W APU APU APU APU APU HYD PRESS
(11)		

• Annunciator Matrix and On-board Fault Summary data based on individual conditions or pre-defined "hard-coded" rules = no dynamic correlation

- Failures that impact multiple components result in the generation of many seemingly unrelated messages that the crew needs to isolate = cryptic C&W
- Generated alerts are often not indicative of the real failure. E.g. 'EPS bus 'undervolt' failure generated 'Fuel cell Ph low' = crew diagnosis required

Fault Management on-board ISS

•H&S driven from individual subsystem-level health mgmt data, not vehicle-level health state
•C&W data only one "piece of the puzzle" to determine the nature of the failure, and system propagation
•H&S data does not directly provide failure response information, or system impact severity
•Each C&W message has associated procedures for crew or ground execution. Diagnosis within procedures









- Decision Support Information
 - Generation of actionable information for the Crew or Flight Controllers
 - Required information to make a failure response decision
 - Typical information required:
 - Affected Components System components that have lost partial or all functionality as a consequence of the root cause failure.
 - Power failure that also affects thermal control: all components that have lost power + all components that start getting hot.
 - **System-level impact** Components or functionality that performs critical functions and has been affected by, or is the root-cause failure.
 - A power failure cuts power to 4 loads: light 1, light 2, light 3, and main air conditioning unit. Affected components are all four and system-level impact is the loss of air conditioning.
 - Redundancy of Critical Components Level of redundancy degradation of critical components
 - In the Internal Measurement Unit (IMU) in the Shuttle, for example, the system is 2-fault tolerant, since there are 3 IMUs, and only one is necessary to perform the IMU system functions. Upon the loss of one IMU, the system would be 1-fault tolerant.
 - **Critical-to Information** A system is "Critical to" any component that if failed, will prevent the system from performing its functions.
 - The IMU system is two-fault tolerant for individual IMU failures. If two IMUs have failed, then the IMU system is critical to the non-redundant components that keep the last IMU functioning.





- STS 93 Electrical Short During ascent
 - Seven seconds after lift-off, the Orbiter suffered a transient AC electrical short circuit
 - Failure Indications Onboard: 'Fuel Cell pH' message generated by the computer. This message occasionaly occurs during ascent as a transient condition.
 - Root-cause: electrical short had momentarily dropped the AC bus voltage and a built-in self-check of the pH sensor had caused the message when the power was restored.
 - The crew was unaware of the real issue and the impact to the the health of critical systems for ascent.
 - Affected Components equipment powered by shorted AC bus
 - System impact none
 - Redundancy of critical components 2 main engine controllers 0 Fault Tolerant to MEC, power and data
 - **Critical to**: MEC, Power and data components for affect MECs
 - Crew Situational awareness based on sysem indications none





- ISS US C&C Failure
 - STS-100/ISS 6A assembly mission in April 2001, the ISS suffered failures within the hard drive mass storage system of each of the 3 Command and Control (C&C) flight computers over several days.
 - Result: no command & control capability, no insight in system telemetry
 - Factors that contributed to recovery:
 - The ISS architecture comprised of US and RS segments RS maintained critical capabilities
 - The Space Shuttle was docked to ISS providing additional comm capabilities and ATT control
 - Systems Management functions in the ISS architecture are distributed
 - power generation, atmosphere control, attitude control, thermal control) are allocated within the subsystem control, between HW, firmware, tier 2 and local tier 3 computers.



Learning from System Anomalies - ISS



• ISS RS C&C Failure

- At GMT 164:14:57, during ISS Assembly flight 13A, all six Russian computers (TsVMs & TVMs) became unavailable.
- Both sets of RS computers TsVM & TVM, are triplex systems, but a single design feature caused all six computers to fail
- The following functions provided by RS segment became un-available:
 - Oxygen generation (Elektron),
 - CO2 removal (Vozdukh)
 - Propulsive attitude control, necessary in the event US MM is unavailable or unable to maintain control.
 - Power to SOYUZ severely limited, since US to RS power converters were off at the time of failure
- Factors that contributed to recovery:
 - The ISS architecture comprised of US and RS segments RS maintained critical capabilities
 - The Space Shuttle was docked to ISS providing additional communications capabilities and ATT control
 - Systems Management functions in the ISS architecture are distributed



Questions/comments?



carlos.garcia-galan-1@nasa.gov

NASA-Johnson Space Center