brought to you by TCORE

RM SL50H18 N - 4 % I Source of Acquisition CASI Acquired NACA CLASSIFICATION CHAR MORANDUM lina for the Air Materiel Command, U. S. Air Force LARGE-SCALE FLIGHT MEASUREMENTS OF ZERO-LIFT DRAG AT MACH NUMBERS FROM 0.90 TO 1.95 OF A $\frac{1}{11}$ - SCALE MODEL OF THE NORTHROP MX-775B PILOTLESS AIRCRAFT WITH SMALL BODY. By Warren Gillespie, Jr. and Richard G. Arbic Langley Aeronautical Laboratory ender 50280 Langley Air Force Base, Va. **CLASSIF**Restriction/ Classification Cancelled 50:31 and 32. Its lation of its contents authorized person is prohibited by law so classified may be im sons in the military and of the United State ernment who have a legitimate interest ein, and to United States citizens of known and discretion who of necessity NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS WASHINGTON the mathermal AUG 17 /950 in the of the Nation Advisery Contracting lar Aaramayaa 57 RDZ - 25846



NATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR AERONAUTICS

RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

for the

Air Materiel Command, U. S. Air Force

LARGE-SCALE FLIGHT MEASUREMENTS OF ZERO-LIFT DRAG AT MACH NUMBERS FROM 0.90 TO 1.95 OF A $\frac{1}{14}$ - SCALE MODEL OF THE NORTHROP MX-775B PILOTLESS AIRCRAFT WITH SMALL BODY

By Warren Gillespie, Jr. and Richard G. Arbic

SUMMARY

A flight test was made at high subsonic, transonic, and supersonic speeds and at high Reynolds numbers to determine the zero-lift drag of a $\frac{1}{14}$ - scale model of the Northrop MX-775B pilotless aircraft with small body. The triangular wing of the model had $67\frac{10}{2}$ leading-edge sweep and 15° trailing-edge sweep. The wing airfoil sections were modified NACA 0004 sections.

The drag coefficient based on total wing area was 0.0107 at Mach number 1.60. At transonic speeds the maximum drag coefficient was 0.0125. The force-break Mach number was 0.98.

INTRODUCTION

At the request of the Air Materiel Command, U. S. Air Force, the Langley Pilotless Aircraft Research Division is investigating the aerodynamic characteristics of the Northrop MX-775B pilotless aircraft through the use of rocket-propelled scale models. Continuous data are obtained from high subsonic to supersonic speeds at high Reynolds numbers.

This paper presents zero-lift drag data for a $\frac{1}{14}$ - scale model of the Northrop MX-775B pilotless aircraft with small body. The Mach number range was 0.90 to 1.95. Reynolds number, based on the wing mean aerodynamic chord of 2.31 feet, varied from 8.5×10^6 to 29.0 $\times 10^6$.



SYMBOLS

\mathtt{C}_{D}	drag coefficient (Drag/qS)
C _N	normal-force coefficient (Normal force/qS)
q	dynamic pressure, pounds per square foot ($\rho V^2/2$)
ρ	air density, slugs per cubic foot
V	velocity, feet per second
M	Mach number (V/c)
с	speed of sound, feet per second
R	Reynolds number $(\rho V \overline{c} / \mu)$
μ	coefficient of viscosity, slugs per foot-second
S	wing plan-form area (including area within the body), 5.61 square feet
ē	wing mean aerodynamic chord, 2,31 feet

MODEL AND TESTS

The model of the present test was a $\frac{1}{14}$ - scale model of the Northrop MX-775B pilotless aircraft with small body. The model was designed to obtain zero-lift drag information during the coasting flight of the model.

The body and wing profile coordinates and the general arrangement of the test configuration are presented in table I and figure 1, respectively. Photographs of the model and model-booster combination are shown in figures 2 and 3. The body of the model had a fineness ratio of 15.6 with body frontal area 1.27 percent of the wing area. The triangular wing had $67\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$ leading-edge sweep, 15° trailing-edge sweep and modified NACA 0004 airfoil sections in the streamwise direction. The wing chord plane was 1 inch below the body center line and parallel to it. The vertical tail had 0° sweep at the 50-percent-chord line, a taper ratio of 0.23, and 3-percent-thick symmetrical diamond airfoil sections which were modified by the addition of a constant thickness

CONFIDENTIAL

of 0.03 inch along the chord on each side from the chord plane. The resulting thickness ratios of the root and tip sections of the vertical tail were 0.037 and 0.060, respectively.

The model was of composite wood-metal construction and was instrumented with a two-channel telemeter and two accelerometers. The accelerometers were mounted to measure normal and longitudinal forces. There was no sustainer rocket in the model.

Figure 3 shows the model and external booster in the launching position of 76°. An ABL Deacon rocket motor was used which delivers approximately 6200 pounds thrust for 3.2 seconds.

Velocity was obtained from the Doppler radar and by integration of the data from the longitudinal accelerometer. Drag was obtained directly from the longitudinal accelerometer data and by differentiation of the Doppler determined velocity-time curve. Normal force was obtained from the normal accelerometer. Trajectory and atmospheric data were obtained from the radar tracking unit and by radiosonde observations.

The accuracy of the results is estimated to be:

Mach	r	ıun	nbe	\mathbf{r}	•	٠	•	•	•	٠			a	٠		•					•		•	•			•	•	•		±0.010
$C_{\rm D}$	•	٠	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	۰	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•		•	•		٠	•	±0.0005
CN	•	•	•		•	9	•	•	٠	•	•	•	٠	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•			•	٠	•	•	•	٠		•	±0. 020

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Curves of drag coefficient, Reynolds number, and normal-force coefficient against Mach number are presented in figure 4 for the $\frac{1}{14}$ - scale Northrop MX-775B pilotless aircraft model with small body. It is seen from the curve of normal-force coefficient against Mach number that the drag data were obtained very near to the desired zero-lift trim condition. The curve of drag coefficient against Mach number of figure 4 shows that the Northrop MX-775B small-body configuration has a remarkably low supersonic drag coefficient. The drag coefficient for the model was 0.0107 at Mach number 1.60. At transonic speeds the maximum drag coefficient was 0.0125. The drag coefficient had a gradual rise with Mach number, starting at M = 0.93 and broke sharply at M = 0.98.

The drag of the model tested is compared in figure 5 with the minimum drag of two similar wing-body combinations at high values of Reynolds number. The model of reference 1, which had a body of fineness ratio 10 and a 60° delta wing with NACA 65A003 airfoil sections, has a lower subsonic drag coefficient than the model of the present test. At

CONFIDENTIAL

3

supersonic Mach numbers the model of reference 1 and the MX-775B model have very nearly equal values of minimum drag coefficient. The model of reference 2, which had a body of fineness ratio 11.3 and a 63° delta wing with 5-percent-thick double-wedge airfoil sections, has a relatively high subsonic drag coefficient.

In order that a comparison could be made at the value of body-wing size of the Northrop MX-775B test model, the drag data of figure 6 were plotted against relative body-wing size. On this basis the Northrop MX-775B model has a higher minimum drag coefficient at both subsonic and supersonic Mach numbers than the model of reference 1. The test points of figure 6 at body-wing area ratio of 0.0612 are from unpublished data for a model identical to the model of reference 1, but having onehalf the wing area.

CONCLUSIONS

At a Mach number of 1.60 the minimum drag coefficient was 0.0107. At transonic speeds the maximum drag coefficient was 0.0125. The forcebreak Mach number was 0.98.

The drag coefficient was found to be very low throughout the Mach number range of the test.

Langley Aeronautical Laboratory National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics Langley Air Force Base, Va.

> Warren Gillespie, Jr. Aeronautical Research Scientist

Richard J. artic

Richard G. Arbic Aeronautical Engineer

Robert R. Gilruth

Chief of Pilotless Aircraft Research Division

GMF

Approved:

REFERENCES

- Nelson, Robert L.: Large-Scale Flight Measurements of Zero-Lift Drag at Mach Numbers from 0.86 to 1.5 of a Wing-Body Combination Having a 60° Triangular Wing with NACA 65A003 Sections. NACA RM L50D26, 1950.
- 2. Edwards, George G., and Stephenson, Jack D.: Tests of a Triangular Wing of Aspect Ratio 2 in the Ames 12-Foot Pressure Wind Tunnel. I - The Effect of Reynolds Number and Mach Number on the Aerodynamic Characteristics of the Wing with Flap Undeflected. NACA RM A7K05, 1947.

TABLE I

Airfoil section									
Station (percent chord)	Upper and lower ordinates (percent chord)								
0 1.25 2.50 5.00 7.50 10.00 15.00 20.00 25.00 30.00 40.00 Straight line 75.00 Straight line 100.00	0 .6325 .8660 1.1900 1.4000 1.5550 1.7780 1.9100 1.9780 2.0000 1.9310 Straight line 1.0420 Straight line 0								
L.E.radius: 0.178									

BODY AND WING AIRFOIL-SECTION ORDINATES

Body ord:	Body ordinates								
Station (in. from nose)	Radius (in.)								
$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 1.000\\ 2.000\\ 3.000\\ 4.000\\ 7.375\\ 10.375\\ 13.375\\ 13.375\\ 15.375\\ 18.375\\ 20.000\\ 23.000\\ 23.000\\ 26.000\\ 29.000\\ 32.000\\ 35.000\\ 38.500\\ 42.500\\ 46.500\\ 49.078\\ 50.078\\ 51.078\\ 52.078\\ 53.078\\ 53.078\\ 55.078\\ 55.078\\ 56.078\\ 5$	0 .259 .491 .703 .893 1.386 1.654 1.785 1.808 1.808 1.806 1.787 1.748 1.690 1.615 1.526 1.406 1.251 1.082 .909 .837 .742 .618 .457 .253 0								
NACA									

6





Figure 2.- Photographs of $\frac{1}{14}$ - scale model of Northrop MX-775B pilotless aircraft with small body.

CONFIDENTIAL

(b) Bottom view.

Figure 2.- Concluded.

88

CONFIDENTIAL

Figure 3.- Model and booster combination in launching attitude.

Figure 4.- Variation of drag coefficient, Reynolds number, and normalforce coefficient with Mach number for $\frac{1}{14}$ - scale Northrop MX-775B small-body model.

Figure 5.- Variation of minimum drag coefficients of triangular wingbody combinations at high Reynolds number with Mach number.

ERRATUM

~ ja 15 1

NACA RM SL50H18 for Air Materiel Command, U. S. Air Force entitled "Large-Scale Flight Measurements of Zero-Lift Drag at Mach Numbers from 0.90 to 1.95 of

a $\frac{1}{14}$ -Scale Model of the Northrop MX-775B Pilotless Aircraft with Small Body," By Warren Gillespie, Jr. and Richard G. Arbic.

Figure 6 of this paper should be replaced by the corrected figure below.

(a) Mach number 0.90.

(b) Mach number 1.53.

Figure 6.- Variation of minimum drag coefficient with body-wing area ratio.

Restriction/Classification Cancelled

NACA RM SL50H18

CONF TREINTTHE

(b) Mach number 1.53.

