



GMI Status

Sergey Krimchansky (GSF David Newell (BATC)

August 4, 2008 Ft. Collins, CO brought to you by

netadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk



GMI Overview







GMI Major Chronological Events



•	Contract Award	3/2005
•	PDR	11/2006
•	Technical Status Review	5/2008
•	CDR	6/2009
•	TRR	11/2010
•	PSR	4/2001



GMI Improvements



- High Frequency Channels
 - Improve reliability from 18 mo to 38 mo to match the rest of instrument reliability
- Resolved 4-point calibration requirements
 - The excess noise temperature of the noise diodes, measured at the waveguide output flange, shall be a nominal temperature of 220K +/-20K, at beginning of life at a noise diode physical temperature of +16C.
 - Noise diodes can be used for monitoring non-linearity changes over life
 - Noise diodes can also be used to monitor stability of warm load and cold sky
 - Noise diodes can be used as a temporary substitute for warm load if there are any transients on-orbit
- Add 4 wire PRT to hot load of the GMI
- GMI is design to operate at different orbits
- The sunshade design maintains a relatively constant environment to the receiver shelves giving good thermal stability better linearity
- GSFC is designing noise diodes utilizing knowledge from Aquarius
- Design allows for the isolation of shorts in the individual receivers



Design TPM Status



Status as of: June 2008

				Bottoms-up estimate (no margin or contingency)												
Specification	Description	Units	Requirement	ATP	PSR 4/06	PSR 5/06	PSR 6/06	PSR 7/06	PSR 9/06	PDR	PSR 3/07	PSR 9/07	PSR 3/08	PSR 4/08	PSR 5/08	PSR 6/08
TR4.1.3.4	Data Rate	kbps	34	21.3	27.9	27.9	27.9	27.9	27.9	27.9	27.9	27.9	27.9	27.9	27.9	27.9
TR3.1.1.3	Probability of Success 10-89	%	87	89.5	89.5	89.5	89.5	89.5	89.5	90.0	90.0	90.0	89.5	89.5	89.5	89.5
	Probability of Success HF	%	86						92.2	92.2	92.2	92.2	90.1	90.1	90.1	90.1
	Stowed Natural		1 mode													
TR4.7.4.1	Freq	Hz	<50							50.6	50.6	50.6	48.3	57.0	57.0	48.0
	Stowed Natural															
TR4.7.4.1	Freq	Hz	>50	52.0	<50	50.0	58.0	63.0	61.1	61.1	58.0	58.0	56.4	56.0	55.0	60.0
Derived	Processor Throughput	MIPS	6.7	2.90	2.90	2.90	2.90	2.90	2.90	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56
Derived	Memory Usage RAM	МВ	4	1.95	1.95	1.95	1.95	1.95	1.95	1.61	1.61	1.61	1.61	1.61	1.61	1.61
Derived	Memory Usage PROM	%					94%	94%	94%	94%	47%	47%	47%	47%	47%	47%
Derived	Memory Usage EEPROM	МВ	1	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36

Does not meet
requirements –
significant technical issue

Does not meet requirements – minor technical issue Compliant with requirement

Compliant with the requirement based on measured EMU of flight data



Performance TPM Status



Status as of: June 2008

Spec.	Description	Units	Rea.	PDR	PSR 12/06	PSR 2/07	PSR 3/07	PSR 4/07	PSR 6/07	PSR 7/07	PSR 9/07	PSR 1/08	PSR 2/08	PSR 4/08	PSR 6/08
TR3.1.4	10.65 NEDT	K	0.96	0.948	0.948	0.948	0.939	0.939	0.939	0.939	0.939	0.939	0.939	0.939	0.939
TR3.1.4	18.7 NEDT	K	0.84	0.831	0.831	0.831	0.824	0.824	0.824	0.824	0.824	0.824	0.824	0.824	0.824
TR3.1.4	23.8 NEDT	K	1.05	0.704	0.704	0.704	0.707	0.707	0.707	0.707	0.707	0.707	0.707	0.707	0.707
TR3.1.4	36.5 NEDT	K	0.65	0.606	0.606	0.606	0.605	0.605	0.605	0.605	0.605	0.544	0.544	0.544	0.544
TR3.1.4	89 NEDT	K	0.57	0.409	0.409	0.409	0.406	0.406	0.406	0.406	0.406	0.406	0.406	0.406	0.406
B.3.1.2	166 GHz NEDT	K	1.5	1.368	1.368	1.368	1.339	1.339	1.339	1.339	1.339	1.339	1.339	1.339	1.339
B.3.1.2	183 GHz NEDT	K	1.5	1.032	1.032	1.032	0.990	0.990	0.990	0.990	0.990	0.990	0.990	0.990	0.990
B.3.1.2	183 GHz NEDT	K	1.5	1.041	1.041	1.041	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
TR3.1.5.4	10.65 beam effic.	%	90	91.40	91.40	91.40	91.40	91.40	91.40	91.40	91.40	91.40	91.40	91.40	91.40
TR3.1.5.4/Derived	18.7 beam effic.	%	91	92.00	92.00	92.00	92.00	92.00	92.00	92.00	92.00	92.00	92.00	92.00	92.00
TR3.1.5.4/Derived	23.8 beam effic.	%	92	92.50	92.50	92.50	92.50	92.50	92.50	92.50	92.50	92.50	92.50	92.50	92.50
TR3.1.5.4	36.5 beam effic.	%	95	96.60	96.60	96.60	96.60	96.60	96.60	96.60	96.60	96.60	96.60	96.60	96.60
TR3.1.5.4/Derived	89 beam effic.	%	95	95.60	95.60	95.60	95.60	95.60	95.60	95.60	95.60	95.60	95.60	95.60	95.60
TR3.1.5.4	166 beam effic.	%	90	91.9	91.9	91.9	91.9	91.9	91.9	91.9	91.9	91.9	91.9	91.9	91.9
TR3.1.5.4	183+/-3 beam effic.	%	90	91.7	91.7	91.7	91.7	91.7	91.7	91.7	91.7	91.7	91.7	91.7	91.7
TR3.1.5.4	183+/-8 beam effic.	%	90	91.7	91.7	91.7	91.7	91.7	91.7	91.7	91.7	91.7	91.7	91.7	91.7
TR3.2.1.3	10.65 Calibration Unc	K	1.35	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.77	0.77	0.77	0.77	0.68	0.68
TR3.2.1.3	18.7 Calibration Unc	K	1.35	0.68	0.68	0.68	0.68	0.68	0.68	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.76	0.76
TR3.2.1.3	23.8 Calibration Unc	K	1.35	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.69	0.69	0.69	0.69	0.64	0.64
TR3.2.1.3	36.5 Calibration Unc	K	1.35	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.49	0.49
TR3.2.1.3	89.0 Calibration Unc	K	1.35	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.62	0.51	0.51
TR3.2.1.3	166 Calibration Unc	K	1.5	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.79	0.79	0.79	0.79	0.78	0.78
TR3.2.1.3	183+/-3 Calibration Unc	K	1.5	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.90	0.90
TR3.2.1.3	183+/-8 Calibration Unc	K	1.5	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.90	0.90
TR3.2.1.3	10.65 Cal Unc day1	K	1.35											1.16	1.16
TR3.2.1.3	18.7 Cal Unc day1	K	1.35											1.17	1.17
TR3.2.1.3	23.8 Cal Unc day1	K	1.35											1.16	1.16
TR3.2.1.3	36.5 Cal Unc day1	K	1.35											1.14	1.14
TR3.2.1.3	89.0 Cal Unc day1	K	1.35]										1.14	1.14
TR3.2.1.3	166 Cal Unc day1	K	1.5]										1.31	1.31
TR3.2.1.3	183+/-3 Cal Unc day1	K	1.5]										1.34	1.34
TR3.2.1.3	183+/-8 Cal Unc day1	K	1.5	1										1.34	1.34

- Calibration uncertainties shown in the last set of rows are without on-orbit tuning day one performance
- Calibration uncertainties shown in the first set of rows give the performance with the predicted on-orbit tuning of APC using Frank Wentz's proven process that GMI will develop as part of the on-orbit performance evaluation



Major Accomplishments



36 GHz EM receiver is complete







EM Deployment Mechanism



EM Slip Ring Assembly





EM Local Oscillator





GMI Incorporates a Hybrid Calibration Approach for Mitigation



- Problem
 - External hot loads have had problems in the past due to solar impingement on the load
 - Noise diodes have had stability issues as well
 - Either type of reference can have problems
- Solution
 - Hybrid calibration approach that combines both external load and noise diodes
 - For GMI, the external hot load is the hot calibration reference as long as there is no significant difference between the brightness temperature and the physical temperature
 - If there is a significant difference, the noise diode and cold sky view combine to provide the hot reference at about 220K
 - Noise diode stability, and cost, is relaxed by referencing the noise diodes to the absolute calibration references of the external hot load and cold sky reflector every scan period when they are functioning correctly
 - Noise diodes are not required to be absolute calibration references over mission life of years, but only over periods less than one orbit
 - Noise diode calibration maintained on-orbit by hybrid calibration approach
 - Noise diodes can track non-linearity over the life of the instrument



GMI Calibration Includes Hot Load, Cold Sky and Noise Diodes



- GMI calibration design incorporates a "Four-point Calibration Technique" for characterizing the receiver nonlinearity.
- Technique provides 'insurance' against unforseen calibration anomalies.





GMI Design Takes Into Account Lessons Learned



Calibration Load Thermal Isolation

• A metallic annular ring on top deck thermally isolates hot load from the instrument and from solar impingement. This design reduces thermal gradients in the hot load.

