https://ntrs.nasa.gov/search.jsp?R=20060028488 2019-08-29T22:13:52+00:00Z

Source of Acquisition NASA Johnson Space Center

# Aerodynamics at NASA JSC





Darby J. Vicker EG – Aeroscience and Flight Mechanics Division EG3 – Applied Aeroscience & CFD Branch NASA Johnson Space Center Houston, Texas



## **Presentation Outline**

- Personal Background
- Aerodynamic Tools
- The Overset Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) Process
- Recent applications
  - X-38
    - V-131r Vehicle Scan
    - AEDC Wind Tunnel Test

- Shuttle

- STS-107 Investigation
- Return to Flight

### Personal Background

- Born and raised in Des Moines, IA
- <u>Aug 1997 Aug 1999</u>, 4 co-op tours
  - EP4, Propulsion and Fluid Systems
  - EG3, Applied Aeroscience and CFD
  - EM, Manufacturing "The Shops"
  - EG5, Advanced Mission Design
- <u>May 2000</u>, graduated from Iowa State University with a Bachelors degree, Aerospace Engineering



3

- <u>August 2000</u>, hired by NASA/EG3
- January 2001, started Masters degree at Rice University

### Aerodynamic Tools

X-38 Crew Return Vehicle



**Flight Test** 

Wind Tunnel Test

CFD

### The Overset CFD Process What is CFD? – A "numerical wind tunnel"

- Geometry Database (CAD)
  - Mathematical Surface (Continuous)
- Surface Grids
  - Computational surface (discrete)
  - May arbitrarily overlap
- Volume Grids
  - Computational domain
- Flow Solution
  - Define flight conditions
  - Apply boundary conditions
  - Solve Navier-Stokes eq'ns
- Data Extraction
  - Calculate and validate the desired results



## V-131R Analysis

- Background
  - "Unplanned maneuver" occurred during the first drop test of V131R
  - Post-flight analysis revealed an unmodeled aerodynamic force as the primary cause
  - A bent airframe was the prime suspected
- CFD used to characterize the bent airframe aero
- Photogrammetric scan of the vehicle was performed to obtain surface geometry
  - 1.6 million points total in scan average  $\Delta s = 0.4$ " (lower in high curvature areas)
  - IGES surfaces created from point cloud
- CFD grids were created on the "as-built" IGES surfaces



2" above

### V-131R Analysis

- Solutions obtained using OVERFLOW with the "as-built" grids
- Surface C<sub>p</sub> delta between CAD and "as-built"



## X-38 Model G Wind Tunnel Test

- Arnold Engineering and Development Center 16' transonic tunnel (AEDC 16T) in Tullahoma, TN
  - Pressure sensitive paint (PSP) data collection system



### Wind Tunnel Grids





Tunnel/Model G Grid System 76 zones, 8.5 million points

### Pressure Sensitive Paint

- Intensity based PSP system
  - Paint is excited by xenon lights
  - Light intensity emitted is dependant on the pressure
- Allows collection of high-resolution pressure distributions in WT





10

### PSP vs. CFD

Mach 0.95, Alpha 16°, Beta 0°, Flap 20°, Rudder 0°



CFD Cp

PSP Cp

==

11

### STS-107 Investigation

- Known:
  - Flight conditions at debris shedding
  - Debris came from left bipod ramp
  - Foam density approx 2.4 lbs/cu ft
- Unknown:
  - Debris shape, size, mass
  - Initial conditions
- Desire:
  - Possible impact locations
  - Impact velocity
  - Impact angle



#### Left bi-pod ramp

Note: video evidence suggests impact velocities from 669 – 853 ft/sec: ambiguity due to distortions, lack of high-resolution / high-speed cameras .



## Return-to-Flight

- Bipod ramps have been removed
- Shape change  $\rightarrow$  Change in aerodynamics



Old Configuration: Bipod Ramps New Configuration: Bare Spindle

## Improvement of ET CFD Grid



# Grid Comparison Detail



New CFD grids

Old CFD Grids

### Flow Visualization – Mach 1.55



Mach contours in Z = 564 inch cutting plane



## Orbiter $\Delta Cp - Mach 1.55$



19

# Inboard LO<sub>2</sub> Line $\Delta$ Cp – Mach 1. 55





Wind Tunnel Test (IA-613) Comparisons - External Tank - Phi =  $180^{\circ}$  CFD conditions:  $M_{\infty} = 2.50$ ,  $\alpha = 2.03^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 0.00^{\circ}$ , Reynolds  $\# = 2.50 \times 10^{6}$ /ft, IB elevon =  $4.07^{\circ}$ , OB elevon =  $-4.39^{\circ}$  WTT conditions:  $M_{\infty} = 2.50$ ,  $\alpha = 2.03^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 0.00^{\circ}$ , Reynolds  $\# = 2.50 \times 10^{6}$ /ft, IB elevon =  $4.07^{\circ}$ , OB elevon =  $-4.39^{\circ}$ 



### CFD vs. IA-613B Wing Pressures Mach 2.50, Y = -250"

From WTT report: as measured elevons are: Left IB =  $4.07^{\circ}\pm 0.09$ , Left OB =  $-4.39^{\circ}\pm 0.11$ 

CFD conditions:  $M_{\infty} = 2.50$ ,  $\alpha = 2.03^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 0.00^{\circ}$ , Reynolds  $\# = 2.50 \times 10^{6}$ /ft, B elevon = 4.00°, OB elevon = -5.00° WTT conditions:  $M_{\infty} = 2.50$ ,  $\alpha = 2.03^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 0.00^{\circ}$ , Reynolds  $\# = 2.50 \times 10^{6}$ /ft, B elevon = 4.00°, OB elevon = -5.00°



# CFD vs. IA-613B Wing Pressures Mach 2.50, Y = -250"

#### CFD run with as measured elevons

CFD conditions:  $M_{\infty} = 2.50$ ,  $\alpha = 2.03^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 0.00^{\circ}$ , Reynolds  $\# = 2.50 \times 10^{6}$ /ft, IB elevon = 4.07°, OB elevon = -4.39° WTT conditions:  $M_{\infty} = 2.50$ ,  $\alpha = 2.03^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = 0.00^{\circ}$ , Reynolds  $\# = 2.50 \times 10^{6}$ /ft, IB elevon = 4.07°, OB elevon = -4.39°



# CFD vs. IA-613B Left SRB Pressures $Mach \ 1.25, \ \Phi = 180^{\circ}$ CFD conditions: M<sub>w</sub> = 1.25, $\alpha$ = -3.95°, $\beta$ = 0.00°, Reynolds # = 2.50 x10<sup>6</sup>/ft, IB elevon = 10.00°, OB elevon = 5.00°

WTT conditions:  $M_{\infty} = 1.25$ ,  $\alpha = -3.95^{\circ}$ ,  $\beta = -0.00^{\circ}$ , Reynolds  $\# = 2.50 \times 10^6$ /ft, IB elevon = 10.00°, OB elevon = 5.00°

