

#### High Spatial Resolution Commercial Satellite Imaging Product Characterization

Science Systems and Applications, Inc. John C. Stennis Space Center, MS

Robert E. Ryan, Mary Pagnutti, Kara Holekamp, Slawomir Blonski, Kenton W. Ross

> NASA Applied Sciences Directorate John C. Stennis Space Center, MS

> > **Thomas Stanley**

2005 CALCON Technical Conference Utah State University Logan, UT, USA



### Contributors

- Computer Sciences Corporation (CSC) / Science Systems and Applications, Inc. (SSAI)
  - Kelly Knowlton, SSAI
  - Jeff Russell, CSC
  - Ronnie Vaughan, CSC

Participation in this work by Computer Sciences Corporation and by Science Systems and Applications, Inc., was supported under NASA Task Order NNS04AB54T.



#### High Spatial Resolution Product Characterization

- Very important when using commercially obtained imagery for scientific investigations
  - Systems designed and operated outside the scientific community
- High spatial resolution (<4 m GSD) and limited swath (<17 km) allow different approaches than with coarser resolution wider swath systems
  - Designed targets are commonly used
  - Single site can be used to measure most geopositional, spatial and radiometric characteristics



#### **Relevant High Spatial Resolution Sensors**

Asset	Revisit Time	Spectral Bands/Spatial Resolution (GSD)	Swath (Standard Product)
IKONOS	1-2 days	B, G, R, NIR, Pan Nadir 3.84 m (multi) 0.86 m (pan)	11 km
QuickBird 2	1- 5 days	B, G, R, NIR, Pan Nadir 2.44 (multi) 0.61 m (pan)	16.5 km
OrbView-3	< 3 days	B, G, R, NIR, Pan Nadir 4 m (multi) 1 m (pan)	8 km



## **Product Characterizations & Uses**

- Spatial Resolution (MTF, PSF, Edge Response)
  - Smallest object detection & identification
  - Pixel mixing
  - Image restoration
- Radiometry (Linearity, Relative, Absolute)
  - Atmospheric correction
  - Change detection
  - Sensor intercomparison
- Geopositional Accuracy (Absolute & Relative)
  - Map creation
  - Measurements
  - Repeatability for accurate change detection



## **Spatial Product Parameters & Targets**

- Parameters of interest
  - Ground Sample Distance
  - Optical Transfer Function
    - Modulation Transfer Function (MTF)
    - MTF @ Nyquist
  - Point Source Function (PSF)
  - Edge Response
    - Slope and ringing
  - Line Spread Function
  - Point Source Transmittance (PST) / Stray light
- Target types
  - Edge, pulse, line and point targets

High spatial resolution allows the use of designed and man made targets



## **Spatial Characterization**



**Method:** Utilize edge targets (tarps, SSC concrete edge target or other man-made features such as painted runways or buildings) and ground reflectance measurements (spectroradiometer) to determine the edge response of remote sensing systems.



#### **SSC Concrete Edge Targets**



#### Tilted edge allows for proper sampling of the edge response

Includes material © DigitalGlobe™. All rights reserved.



#### **IKONOS MTF Measurements**







## **Radiometric Product Parameters & Targets**

- Parameters of Interest
  - Relative radiometry
  - Absolute radiometry
  - Signal-to-noise
- Target types (Reflective Based Calibration)
  - Large bright uniform areas such as playas
  - Natural features and manmade targets
    - Grass fields, concrete parking lots, etc.
    - Engineered uniform targets

# High spatial resolution allows the use of designed and man made targets.



#### **Relative Radiometry**

# Large uniform scenes support the determination of pixel-to-pixel uniformity and SNR estimation.



IKONOS Image of Antarctica – RGB, POID 52847

Includes material © Space Imaging LLC



**Radiometric Calibration** 

## Radiometric calibration is the process of converting digital imagery values to radiance

 $L_{TOA} = K DN + C$ 

Where:

$L_{TOA}$	Top-of-the-Atmosphere radiance		
K	Calibration coefficient		
DN	Pixel digital number (Value)		
C	Offset (Many times near zero)		

Commercial vendors often provide calibration coefficients with C equal to zero.



#### **Radiometric Calibration Uncertainty**

 $\frac{\sigma_{K}}{K} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\sigma_{L}}{L}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\sigma_{DN}}{DN}\right)^{2}}$ 

 Bright calibration targets typically provide best accuracy —Minimizes radiative transfer errors —Maximizes SNR (Important for small targets)
Uniform targets minimize DN variations



#### Absolute Radiometric Characterization Reflective Region

- Site Requirements
  - Uniform targets large enough to minimize finite PSF errors (5 pixels or greater)
  - Reflectance measurements
  - Atmospheric measurements
    - Aerosols
    - Water vapor
    - Ozone
- Characterization Technique
  - At time of acquisition measure:
    - Target reflectance
    - Atmospheric parameters
  - Predict at-sensor radiance using:
    - Target reflectance
    - Modeled atmosphere
    - Sensor spectral response
    - Sensor-target-solar geometry



- Playas and other "bright" naturally occurring land features (Adjacency is not an issue)
  - White Sands Missile Range
  - Lunar Lake Playa
  - Railroad Valley Playa
  - Ivanpah Playa
- Complex sites with targets (Adjacency is important)
  - Brookings/South Dakota State University
  - Stennis Space Center and surrounding area



#### **Reflective Radiometric Characterization**



**Method:** Utilize ground reflectance measurements (Spectroradiometer) and atmospheric measurements (Sun Photometer and Radiosonde) to determine radiometric accuracy of remote sensing systems.



#### **IKONOS Image of NASA SSC**

**Pan Sharpened** SSC Tarps 14 295

January 15, 2002



## **20-Meter Reflectance Tarps**

- Four 20 m x 20 m tarps; reflectance values:
  - less than 5%
  - between 20% and 25%
  - between 30% and 40%
  - between 50% and 55%
- Spectral measurement range of 400 to 1050 nm
- Standard deviation about average reflectance, less than 1% spatially
- Peak to peak variation in reflectance less than 10% (within any 100 nm spectral band)
- When measuring tarps from 10 to 60 degrees off axis, less than 10% variation in reflectance values
- Each side is straight and within ±6.0 cm over the 20 m length
- Each tarp panel has 60 square witness samples measuring 30.5 cm x 30.5 cm





#### **Target Reflectance Data**





#### Spherical Albedo Approximation for Radiometric Calibration Analysis

The spherical albedo approach approximates the signal observed by the satellite as the summation of successive scattering terms. Useful for estimating uncertainty.



 $L_{TOA} = L_o + A\rho_{tgt} + A\rho_{tgt} s\rho_{bg} + A\rho_{tgt} s^2 \rho_{bg}^2 + \dots + B\rho_{bg} + B\rho_{bg} s\rho_{bg} + B\rho_{bg} s^2 \rho_{bg}^2 + \dots$ 



Case I Target and background are the "same"

$$L_{TOA} = L_o + \frac{(A+B)\rho_{tgt}}{1 - s\rho_{tgt}}$$

Where:

 $\rho_{tgt}
 = Target reflectance
 <math>
 L_{TOA}
 = Target radiance signal observed by the satellite
 A, B, s, and L_o
 = Constants that depend on atmospheric properties and
 geometry$ 

Adjacency (background reflectance) is not significant
High reflectance targets provide the highest accuracy results



#### Case II Target and background have different reflectances

$$L_{TOA} = L_o + \frac{A\rho_{tgt}}{1 - s\rho_{bg}} + \frac{B\rho_{bg}}{1 - s\rho_{bg}}$$

Where:

 $\rho_{tgt}$  = Target reflectance

 $\rho_{bg}$  = Effective reflectance of the area surrounding the target (background) that contributes to the observation of the target via an atmospheric scattering

 $L_{TOA}$  = Target radiance signal observed by the satellite

A, B, s, and  $L_o$  = Constants that depend on atmospheric properties and geometry

 Adjacency (background reflectance) needs to be accounted for.

•High reflectance targets provide the highest accuracy results.



#### Radiometric Error Associated With Adjacency

- Spherical Albedo approximation of TOA radiance estimates for geometries of interest agreed with MODTRAN estimates to better than 0.6%.
- For low visibility days (<25 km), B coefficient can be almost 50% the value of the A coefficient
  - Most measurements made with > 100 km visibility
- Ignoring adjacency effects (by modeling an infinite sized target) can lead to TOA radiance errors greater than 20% when large differences exist between target and background reflectance.
  - e.g., 52% tarp against largely vegetative background in the blue band
  - Reasonable knowledge of background reflectance required



#### Empirical Line Method for Estimating Background Reflectance

$$\rho = \left(\frac{\rho_{Bright} - \rho_{Dark}}{L_{Bright} - L_{Dark}}\right) DN + Offset$$



(DNs)

- DNs and target reflectance are "linearly" related.
- Effective background reflectance is estimated through empirical line method and weighting pixels using the atmospheric Point Spread Function
  - Reduces adjacency uncertainty to < 1%</li>

#### Radiometric Error Associated with Finite Size Tarp

Simulated 20 m Tarp Image with 4 m

GSD with MTF @ Nyquist of 0.1

#### **Cross Sectional Plot of Response**

0.9

0.8

0.7

0.6

0.5

0.4

0.3

0.2

0.1

0

-6

Tarp Response

Tarp/GSD=5 MTF@Nyquist 0.1

25

5

10

15

• Finite size of tarp limits radiometric accuracy

2

Δ

0

GSD

-2

-4

- Error at the center pixels will be less than a percent, for typical background/tarp reflectances
- MTF @ Nyquist is specified to be greater than 0.2 for most commercial multispectral bands

25

20





## **Absolute Radiometry Example**





## Geopositional Product Parameters & Targets

- Parameters of Interest
  - CE90
  - RMS
  - LE90
- Target types

#### - Array of positionally known and identifiable points

- Evenly positioned throughout the image acquisition area
- Location known to be an order of magnitude better than the spatial resolution of the system being characterized

# High spatial resolution allows the use of designed and man made targets.



**Method:** Utilize geodetic targets and GPS instrumentation to determine the geopositional accuracy of remote sensing systems.



#### **SSC Geolocation Targets**



#### **Painted Manhole Covers:**

- Approximately 136 painted manhole covers
  - ~ 0.65 paint reflectivity
  - 0.6- to 2.9-m diameter
- Target centers geolocated by GPS to within 6 cm horizontal accuracy and 9 cm vertical accuracy



#### **SSC Geodetic Targets:**

- 44 targets currently deployed
- 2.44-m diameter painted white
- 0.6-m diameter center painted red
- Additional targets being procured (minimum of 45)
- Target centers geolocated by GPS to within 6 cm horizontal and 9 cm vertical accuracy



#### **CE90 Geolocational Accuracy**

A standard metric often used for horizontal accuracy in map or image products is circular error at the 90% confidence level (CE90). The **National Map Accuracy Standard (NMAS)** established this measure in the U.S. geospatial community. NMAS (U.S. **Bureau of the Budget, 1947)** set the criterion for mapping products that 90% of welldefined points tested must fall within a certain radial distance.

#### **SSC Geopositional Targets**



Includes material © Space Imaging LLC



#### **Geolocational Accuracy Example**



#### **Geolocational Accuracy Example**



Data scatter plot showing the geolocational errors present in this imagery. Additionally, the  $CE_{90}$  (calculated by the FGDC standard method and by a percentile method) and the typical pixel size are shown on this plot.



#### Summary

- Single sites are capable of performing a wide range of spatial, radiometric and geolocation characterizations
- Spatial Resolution
  - Variety of parameters can be determined with a variety of targets
- Radiometry
  - Single acquisitions can be used to characterize the linearity and dynamic range of a system
  - Radiometric targets need to be at least 5 pixels to find pure pixels (for typical MTF imaging performance)
  - Complex sites require adjacency correction
  - Relative radiometry and SNR estimates benefit from very large uniform scenes
- Geopositional
  - Small swath enables a relatively small site with easily identifiable targets to characterize products

This work was directed by the NASA Applied Sciences Directorate at the John C. Stennis Space Center, Mississippi. Participation in this work by Science Systems and Applications, Inc., was supported under NASA Task Order NNS04AB54T.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE						Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188		
The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information gany other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. <b>PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.</b>								
1. REPORT DA	TE (DD-MM-YY)	(Y) 2. REPO	RT TYPE			3. DATES COVERED (From - To)		
25-	-08-2005	Conferen	nce Presentation		5- CON	Jan 2005 - May 2005		
4. IIILE AND SUBIIILE			Ja. CON		Sa. CON			
ingn spatial i	control com				NASA Task Order NNS04AB541			
					S. SIAHI HUMBER			
					5c. PRO	GRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER				
Ryan, Robert I	E. (1)				SWR C	10C-KB05-04		
Pagnutti, Mary (1) Blonski, Slawomir (1)					5e. TASK NUMBER			
Ross, Kenton	W. (1)							
Stanley, Thom	as (2)				5f. WOR	K UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMI	NG ORGANIZAT	ION NAME(S) AI	ND ADDRESS(ES)			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION		
(1) Applied Sc	(1) Applied Sciences Directorate, Science Systems and Applications, Inc., Bldg. 1105,				1105,	REPORT NUMBER		
John C. Stenni	s Space Center	, MS 39529			<b>G</b> 1			
(2) Applied Sc MA00 Bldg	liences Director	rate, National A	Aeronautics and Space	Administratio	on, Code			
MAOO, Didg.	1100, John C. C	stenins space c	enter, Wi5 57527					
9. SPONSORII	NG/MONITORIN	G AGENCY NAM	E(S) AND ADDRESS(ES	5)		10. SPONSORING/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
Applied Scient MA00 Bldg	ces Directorate	, National Aero Stennis Space (	onautics and Space Ad Center MS 39529	ministration, (	Code	NASA ASD		
(in roo, Blag. 1100, voim C. Stemms Space Conter, ind 5752)					11. SPONSORING/MONITORING			
						REPORT NUMBER		
						SST1-2220-0047 (Modified)		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT     Publicly available STI per NASA Form 1676								
<b>13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</b> Presentation for 2005 CALCON Technical Conference on Characterization and Radiometric Calibration for Remote Sensing, August 22-25, 2005, Logan, Utah, USA								
14. ABSTRACT								
NASA Stennis Space Center's Remote Sensing group has been characterizing privately owned high spatial resolution multispectral imaging systems, such as IKONOS, QuickBird, and OrbView-3. Natural and man made targets were used for spatial resolution, radiometric, and geopositional characterizations. Higher spatial resolution also presents significant adjacency effects for accurate reliable radiometry.								
15. SUBJECT TERMS								
Commercial Imaging Characterization								
					196 NA			
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			ABSTRACT	OF	Thomas	Stanley		
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE		PAGES	19b. TEL	EPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)		
U	U	U	UU	35		(228) 688-7779		