ATMOSPHERIC MODELS FOR MARS AEROCAPTURE

C. G. Justus[1], Aleta Duvall[1], Vernon W. Keller[2]

[1] *Morgan Research Corporation, 4811-A Bradford Drive, Huntsville, AL 35805-1948 (USA), Jere.Justus@msfc.nasa.gov, Aleta.Duvall@msfc.nasa.gov*
[2]*NASA Marshall Space Flight Center, EV13, Marshall Space Flight Center, AL 35812 (USA), Vernon.Keller@nasa.gov*

ABSTRACT

Mars Global Reference Atmospheric Model (Mars-GRAM) is a widely-used engineering-level Mars atmospheric model. Applications include systems design, performance analysis, and operations planning for aerobraking, entry descent and landing, and aerocapture. Typical Mars aerocapture periapsis altitudes (for systems with rigid-aeroshell heat shields) are about 50 km. This altitude is above the 0-40 km height range covered by Mars Global Surveyor Thermal Emission Spectrometer (TES) nadir observations. Recently, TES limb sounding data have been made available, spanning more than two Mars years (more than 200,000 data profiles) with altitude coverage up to about 60 km, well within the height range of interest for aerocapture. Results are presented comparing Mars-GRAM atmospheric density with densities from TES nadir and limb sounding observations. A new Mars-GRAM feature is described which allows individual TES nadir or limb profiles to be extracted from the large TES databases, and to be used as an optional replacement for standard Mars-GRAM background (climatology) conditions. For Monte-Carlo applications such as aerocapture guidance and control studies, Mars-GRAM perturbations are available using these TES profile background conditions.

INTRODUCTION

Mars Global Reference Atmospheric Model (Mars-GRAM) is an engineering-level atmospheric model widely used for diverse mission applications (Justus et al., 2003, 2004a-e; Duvall et al., 2005). From 0 km to 80 km altitude, Mars-GRAM is based on NASA Ames Mars General Circulation Model (MGCM) (Haberle et al., 1993), while above 80 km it is based on Mars Thermospheric General Circulation Model (MTGCM) (Bougher et al., 1990). Mars-GRAM and MGCM use surface topography from Mars Global Surveyor Mars Orbiter Laser Altimeter (MOLA) (Smith and Zuber, 1998), with altitudes referenced to the MOLA constant potential surface (areoid).

Mars-GRAM 2001, the previous version of Mars-GRAM, has been validated (Justus et al., 2004a,d) against data from Radio Science (RS) observations (Hinson, et al., 1999), and from Thermal Emission Spectrometer (TES) nadir profiles (Smith et al., 2001). A just-released version of Mars-GRAM, Mars-GRAM 2005, is described here and is validated against RS data, nadir TES data, and TES data from Mars limb soundings (Conrath et al., 1999). While both the RS and TES nadir data extend up to an altitude of about 40 km, TES limb data reach altitudes of about 60 km or slightly higher. Results from comparison of Mars-GRAM with TES limb data are particularly relevant for

aerocapture at Mars, since aerocapture periapsis altitude would typically be in the range of about 40-60 km, i.e. above the height range covered by RS or TES nadir data.

NEW MARS-GRAM FEATURES

Two new features of Mars-GRAM 2005 that are of importance for aerocapture are:

(1) Option to use input data sets from MGCM and MTGCM model runs that were designed to closely simulate the conditions observed during the first two years of TES observations at Mars (TES Year 1 = April 1999 through January 2001; TES Year 2 = February 2001 through December 2002).

(2) Option to read and use any "auxiliary profile" of temperature and density versus altitude.

In exercising the auxiliary profile Mars-GRAM option, the alternate data (i.e. values from the auxiliary profile) totally replace data from the original MGCM/MTGCM databases. One example of an auxiliary profile would be data from RS or TES (nadir or limb) observations at a particular location and time.

VALIDATION OF MARS-GRAM 2005

For Mars-GRAM 2001 validation studies (Justus et al., 2004 a,d), 2,480 RS profiles were compared with Mars-GRAM results for the same location and time as each RS profile. Mars-GRAM 2005 climatology has been compared with over 12,000 RS profiles, approximately 5 times as many as for the 2001 comparison. The new, larger RS database provides more complete seasonal (Ls) coverage, over a wide range of latitudes, than represented in the earlier validation comparisons. Figure 1 presents results of average Mars-GRAM minus RS atmospheric density as a function of height and latitude, with all seasons (Ls values) combined. At almost all heights and latitudes, mean Mars-GRAM density differs by less than 10% from mean RS density. These results are slightly better than for the comparison of RS data with Mars-GRAM 2001, where a zone of slightly greater than 10% differences was seen at heights above about 32 km and latitudes poleward of about 50N.

Comparisons of Mars-GRAM 2005 climatology with TES limb data (Conrath et al., 1999) have also been conducted. Figure 2 compares atmospheric density profiles from Mars-GRAM 2005 and TES limb data at representative Phoenix landing conditions (Ls = 78 degrees, latitude = 67.5N, longitude = 223.1E, for limb data from TES Year 1). The TES limb data profile in Figure 2 is an average of 17 individual limb profiles that are near this location and time. The average TES limb profile, formatted for use as an "auxiliary profile" in Mars-GRAM 2005, is also given in Table 1. Zero wind values in Table 1 indicate that no wind observations are available, so original MGCM wind values are assumed. Figure 2 shows good agreement between Mars-GRAM 2005 and TES limb

Mars-GRAM minus Radio Science Density, percent

Figure 1 - Average Mars-GRAM minus RS atmospheric
density as a function of height and latitude

Mars-GRAM 2005 Versus TES Limb Data
TES Map Year 1   Ls=78   Lat=67.5N   Lon=223.1E

Figure 2 – Density profiles from Mars-GRAM 2005 and
TES limb data at representative Phoenix landing conditions

Table 1 - Sample Mars-GRAM 2005 Auxiliary Profile (Average
of 17 TES Limb Profiles at Phoenix Landing Conditions)

Height	Lat	LonE	TempK	PresNm2	Denskgm3	Uwind	Vwind
2.172	67.50	223.10	209.39	4.751E+02	1.187E-02	.00	.00
4.825	67.50	223.10	203.59	3.700E+02	9.509E-03	.00	.00
7.408	67.50	223.10	197.79	2.881E+02	7.622E-03	.00	.00
9.921	67.50	223.10	192.08	2.244E+02	6.113E-03	.00	.00
12.365	67.50	223.10	186.63	1.748E+02	4.900E-03	.00	.00
14.744	67.50	223.10	181.46	1.361E+02	3.925E-03	.00	.00
17.062	67.50	223.10	176.77	1.060E+02	3.138E-03	.00	.00
19.326	67.50	223.10	172.53	8.255E+01	2.504E-03	.00	.00
21.540	67.50	223.10	168.64	6.429E+01	1.995E-03	.00	.00
23.709	67.50	223.10	165.22	5.007E+01	1.586E-03	.00	.00
25.838	67.50	223.10	162.06	3.900E+01	1.259E-03	.00	.00
27.931	67.50	223.10	159.20	3.037E+01	9.982E-04	.00	.00
29.992	67.50	223.10	156.83	2.365E+01	7.891E-04	.00	.00
32.029	67.50	223.10	155.03	1.842E+01	6.218E-04	.00	.00
34.048	67.50	223.10	153.86	1.435E+01	4.881E-04	.00	.00
36.057	67.50	223.10	153.15	1.117E+01	3.822E-04	.00	.00
38.061	67.50	223.10	152.50	8.700E+00	2.991E-04	.00	.00
40.051	67.50	223.10	151.68	6.780E+00	2.344E-04	.00	.00
42.039	67.50	223.10	150.77	5.280E+00	1.837E-04	.00	.00
44.019	67.50	223.10	149.83	4.110E+00	1.439E-04	.00	.00
45.987	67.50	223.10	148.78	3.200E+00	1.129E-04	.00	.00
47.948	67.50	223.10	147.71	2.490E+00	8.851E-05	.00	.00
49.888	67.50	223.10	146.72	1.940E+00	6.946E-05	.00	.00
51.825	67.50	223.10	145.76	1.510E+00	5.446E-05	.00	.00
53.720	67.50	223.10	144.75	1.180E+00	4.289E-05	.00	.00
55.646	67.50	223.10	143.68	9.170E-01	3.360E-05	.00	.00
57.546	67.50	223.10	142.68	7.140E-01	2.636E-05	.00	.00

densities for heights up to about 30 km, and above about 50 km. Mars-GRAM 2005 density becomes about 15% higher than TES limb density near 40 km altitude.

In contrast to the high latitude case shown in Figure 2, Figure 3 compares Mars-GRAM 2005 density at equatorial conditions (for Ls=120) with both TES limb data and TES nadir data. TES limb and nadir data agree quite well with each other, over the full height range for which nadir data are available. Figure 3 shows that Mars-GRAM 2005 density agrees well with both types of TES data, up to a height of about 30 km. However, Mars-GRAM 2005 densities begin to deviate (on the low side) from TES limb data above about 30 km, with Mars-GRAM densities being about 40% lower than TES limb data between about 45 km and 55 km.

Figure 4 shows results from Mars-GRAM versus TES limb data density comparisons, averaged over all seasons (all Ls values), all latitudes, and both TES years 1 and 2. This figure shows average Mars-GRAM minus TES density (as percent of TES density) versus height and latitude. Figure 4 shows that results from Figures 2 and 3 are not atypical. At high northern latitudes, Figure 4 indicates that Mars-GRAM agrees closely with TES limb data, with Mars-GRAM being about 10% to 15% larger than TES near 40 km altitude. This behavior is similar to that shown in Figure 2. In contrast, Figure 4 shows

TES and Mars-GRAM Density
Ls=120 Lat=0 Lon=0 Hr=14 MapYear=1

Figure 3 – Density profiles from Mars-GRAM 2005, TES
nadir data, and TES limb data, at equator, for Ls = 120.

Mean Density Difference, (MG -TES)/TES, %
TES Years 1&2   Ls=All   LST=All

Figure 4 – Mean density difference, Mars-GRAM 2005 minus
TES limb data, versus height and latitude

TES Limb Data vs Mars-GRAM 2005
All Latitudes, All Seasons

Figure 5 – Comparison of Mars-GRAM 2005 density and
temperature with TES limb data, for all seasons and latitudes

that, over a broad range of low and middle latitudes, Mars-GRAM densities are
significantly lower than TES limb densities for heights of about 40 km and higher,
analogous to Figure 3.

Figure 5 shows mean altitude profiles of Mars-GRAM 2005 versus TES limb density and
temperature, averaged over all latitudes and seasons (all Ls values), separately for TES
Years 1 and 2. In this figure, differences in both mean density and temperature are given
in percent. A large, global-scale dust storm occurred during TES Year 2 (Smith, 2004).
Note, however, that average Mars-GRAM versus TES comparisons for density and
temperature are actually slightly better for TES Year 2 than for TES Year 1. This result
would seem to indicate that Mars-GRAM (and MGCM on which it is based) represents
dust effects at least as well during dust storm conditions as during non-storm conditions.
Figure 5 confirms that the tendency of Mars-GRAM to underestimate density at high
altitudes (especially at low-to-middle latitudes) is a feature of both TES Years 1 and 2.

Figure 5 indicates that the tendency of Mars-GRAM to underestimate density at high
altitudes (40 to 60 km) results from a "cold bias" in Mars-GRAM temperatures over this
upper altitude region. If Mars-GRAM temperatures are colder than observed, over an
extended altitude region, then hydrostatics forces Mars-GRAM density to become lower
than observed, by a exponentially-increasing amount, as the depth of the cold bias layer
increases. A "rule of thumb" approximation to estimate effects of such bias is that if a
temperature bias = ± x (in percent) persists over a layer of depth = n scale heights, then
the density deviation at the top of the layer will be ± x × n (in percent), assuming density
deviation starts at zero at the bottom of the layer. For TES Year-1 data in Figure 5, we
have x averaging about -5% over the layer from about 15 km to about 55 km altitude
(roughly 5 scale heights). The rule of thumb thus gives a density deviation = -5% × 5

scale heights = -25% at the top of this layer, is good agreement with observed density deviations at the 55-km layer top.

Preliminary studies by Murphy (2005) using various vertical dust profiles suggest that MGCM's tendency to yield a cold bias results from too little dust at upper altitudes in the normal MGCM vertical dust distribution. Mars-GRAM 2005, which is based upon MGCM output, reflects this cold bias. For a given total dust loading (dust optical depth), increasing the relative amount of dust at higher altitudes in MGCM would produce additional heating of the upper altitude layers, thereby reducing or removing the cold bias and the resulting Mars-GRAM tendency toward low densities compared to observations. Until updated MGCM data are available for incorporation in Mars-GRAM, it may be advisable for aerocapture studies to use auxiliary profiles from TES limb data as Mars-GRAM input conditions.

CONCLUSIONS

The new Mars-GRAM option to use "auxiliary profiles" derived from TES limb data will be especially useful for aerocapture analyses. For aerocapture maneuvers with periapsis at low-to-middle latitudes, TES limb density data are typically larger than Mars-GRAM density by as much as 40% at periapsis altitudes (40 to 60 km). At high northern and southern latitudes, agreement is better between Mars-GRAM and TES limb densities. However, at high northern latitudes, such as planned for Phoenix entry and landing, Mars-GRAM overestimates density compared to TES limb data by about 10-15% in the 30 to 45-km height range.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

REFERENCES

Bougher, S. W., et al., "The Mars Thermosphere: 2. General Circulation with Coupled Dynamics and Composition", *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **95**(B9), 14,811-14,827, 1990.

Conrath, B. J., Pearl, J. C., Smith, M. D., and Christensen, P. R., "Mars Global Surveyor TES Results: Atmospheric Thermal Structure Retrieved from Limb Measurements", American Astronomical Society, Division of Planetary Sciences Meeting #31, #49.08, September, 1999.

Duvall, A. L., Justus, C. G., and Keller, V. W., "Global Reference Atmospheric Model (GRAM) Series for Aeroassist Applications", AIAA-2005-1239, 43rd AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit, Reno, Nevada, January 10-13, 2005.

Haberle, R. M., et al., "Mars Atmospheric Dynamics as Simulated by the NASA Ames General Circulation Model 1. The Zonal-Mean Circulation", *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **98**(E2), 3093-3123, 1993.

Hinson, D. P., Simpson, R. A., Twicken, J. D., Tyler, G. L., and Flasar, F. H., "Initial Results from Radio Occultation Measurements with Mars Global Surveyor", *Journal of Geophysical Research (Planets)*, **104**(E11), 26,297-27,012, 1999.

Justus, C. G., Duvall, A. L. and Johnson, D. L., "Mars Global Reference Atmospheric Model (Mars-GRAM) and Database for Mission Design", in "Mars Atmosphere Modelling and Observations", CNES/ESA 11-5, 2003.

Justus, C. G., Duvall, A. L., and Johnson, D. L., "Mars-GRAM Validation with Mars Global Surveyor Data", *Advances in Space Research*, **34**, 1673-1676, 2004.

Justus, C. G., Duvall, A. L., and Keller, V. W., "Engineering-level Model Atmospheres for Titan and Mars", International Workshop on Planetary Probe Atmospheric Entry and Descent Trajectory Analysis and Science, Lisbon, Portugal, October 6-9, 2003, Proceedings: ESA SP-544, February, 2004.

Justus, C. G., Duvall, A. L., and Keller, V. W., "Atmospheric Models for Aerocapture", AIAA-2004-3844, 40th AIAA/ASME/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference, Fort Lauderdale, Florida, July 11-14, 2004.

Justus, C. G., Duvall, A. L., and Keller, V. W., "Validation of Mars-GRAM and Planned New Features", 35th COSPAR Scientific Assembly, Paris, France, July 18-25, 2004.

Justus, C. G., Duvall, A. L., and Keller, V. W., "Atmospheric Models for Aeroentry and Aeroassist", 2nd International Planetary Probe Workshop, NASA Ames Research Center, August 23-26, 2004.

Murphy, J., private communication, 1/13/2005.

Smith, D. E., and Zuber, M. T., "The Relationship between MOLA Northern Hemisphere Topography and the 6.1-mbar Atmospheric Pressure Surface of Mars", *Geophysical Research Letters*, **25**, 4397-4400, 1998.

Smith, M. D., Pearl, J. C., Conrath, B. J., and Christensen, P. R., "One Martian Year of Atmospheric Observations by the Thermal Emission Spectrometer", *Geophysical Research Letters*, **28**(22), 4263-4266, 2001.

Smith, M. D., "Interannual Variability in TES Atmospheric Observations of Mars during 1999-2003", *Icarus*, **167**, 148-165, 2004.