Revised April 23, 1997

Mary Ann, very nice talk. I enjoyed it. myong.

ELECTRON PARAMAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING OF THE SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF FREE RADICALS IN PMR-15 POLYIMIDE RESINS

Myong K. Ahn
Department of Chemistry
Indiana State University
Terre Haute, Indiana 47809

Sandra S. Eaton and Gareth R. Eaton
Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry
University of Denver
Denver, Colorado 80208

and

Mary Ann B. Meador
NASA Lewis Research Center
Cleveland, Ohio 44135

ABSTRACT

Prior studies have shown that free radicals generated by heating polyimides above 300°C are stable at room temperature and are involved in thermo-oxidative degradation in the presence of oxygen gas. Electron Paramagnetic Resonance Imaging (EPRI) is a technique to determine the spatial distribution of free radicals. X-band (9.5 GHz) EPR images of PMR-15 polyimide were obtained with a spatial resolution of about 0.18 mm along a 2 mm dimension of the sample. In a polyimide sample that was not thermocycled, the radical distribution was uniform along the 2 mm dimension of the sample. For a polyimide sample that was exposed to thermocycling in air for 300 one-hour cycles at 335°C, one-dimensional EPRI showed a higher concentration of free radicals in the surface layers than in the bulk sample. A spectral-spatial two-dimensional image showed that the EPR lineshape of the surface layer remained the same as that of the bulk. These EPRI results suggest that the thermo-oxidative degradation of PMR-15 resin involves free radicals present in the oxygen-rich surface layer.

INTRODUCTION

Polyimides are high-performance polymers that are used as matrix materials in fiber-reinforced composites for high temperature applications. Such composites, because of their light weight, relatively high strength, and high use temperatures (above 300°C), are finding increasing use in the electronics and aerospace industries.[1,2]

Thermally-generated free radicals are found in polyimides that have been exposed to temperatures above 300°C.[3] These free radicals are stable at room temperature and are involved in oxidative degradation of polyimides in the presence of oxygen gas.[4] Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR) spectroscopy is a technique for detecting unpaired electron spins in free radicals.[5,6] Electron Paramagnetic Resonance Imaging (EPRI) provides the spatial distribution of these free radicals.[7] In this paper we report the room temperature spatial distributions of free radicals in PMR-15 polyimide resins as detected by EPRI using a magnetic field gradient to encode the spatial information.[8]

EXPERIMENTAL

Two PMR-15 samples, *a* and *b*, were prepared at the NASA Lewis Research Center following a standard two-stage synthetic method.[9] Details of the procedures for sample preparation and postcuring (PC) were published previously.[10-11] Neat resin plates were compression-molded from an imidized powder at 315°C and pressures of 240 - 500 psi. After molding sample *a*, PC was carried out at 315°C for 16 hours in a nitrogen gas atmosphere. The sample was then subjected to an automated thermocycling process[11]. The

sample was suspended from a pneumatically actuated disc above an alumina tube furnace, held at 335°C with flowing air. The sample was lowered into the furnace for an hour. Then, the sample was pneumatically removed from the furnace, and held until it reached room temperature (approximately 10 minutes). This process of heating and cooling was repeated for 300 cycles. Physical changes associated with the thermocycling of sample a were examined with optical microscopy as reported previously for similar samples.[10,11]

Sample b was cut from a larger square piece prepared earlier for X-band EPR signal intensity studies.[5] This sample was pressure molded, postcured at 316°C in air for 16 hours, and then at 371°C in nitrogen gas atmosphere for 30 hours. These curing sequences are known[3] to produce large concentrations of free radicals in PMR-15.

EPR spectra were obtained using 0.08 mW microwave power, 1 gauss modulation amplitude, and 50 gauss scans on a Varian E9 EPR spectrometer. Figure 1 shows the first-derivative EPR spectra of the two PMR-15 samples, a and b. Figure 2 shows photographs of these samples. The sample dimensions and EPR characteristics are listed in Table 1. The signal intensity listed in Table 1 is the double-integral in arbitrary units divided by the mass of the sample. No attempt was made to correct for the nonuniformity of microwave magnetic field strength in the EPR cavity. This should introduce a relatively small correction in relative values for such similar samples. The data show that the unpaired spin concentration in sample b was about 3.4 times that in a. Since sample b was treated at higher temperature (371°C) than sample a (335°C), this difference is consistent with increasing average radical production at higher PC temperature.

Comparison of the EPR spectra of the two samples shows, within experimental error, the same g-value of 2.003^{12} and similar lineshapes with a peak-to-peak linewidth (Δw_{pp}) of 5.5 G. These spectral similarities suggest that the free radicals in a and b have similar molecular structure and that the nature of the room-temperature stable free radicals in PMR-15 is not strongly dependent on the presence or absence of oxygen during heating or on the temperature at which the sample was heated. The symmetric absorption lineshape and the Δw_{pp} value of 5.5 G indicate that hyperfine coupling constants, anisotropic dipolar interactions, and the orientation dependence of resonance due to g anisotropy are less than a few gauss ($\Delta w_{pp}/2$).

The EPR signal intensity (Table 1) reflects the average radical concentrations in the samples. To examine the spatial distribution of radicals, EPRI was performed. The EPRI experiments were carried out as described previously.[8] A set of Helmholtz coils provided a maximum magnetic-field gradient of 300 Gauss/cm along the direction of the main applied magnetic field. For each of the samples we obtained one-dimensional (1D) spatial images with the gradient along the ca. 2 mm dimension of the sample as shown in Figure 2. For this field gradient and Δw_{pp} of 5.5 G the resolution of the 1D images is about 0.18 mm (i.e. 5.5 G/(300 G/cm)) unless the EPR lineshape is deconvoluted as discussed in the following section.

The alignment of the sample relative to the gradient is another factor that impacts resolution of the 1D images. Samples were supported on a quartz rod with a vertical flat region. Rotation around the long axis of the rod was adjusted to make the edge of the spatial profile as sharp as possible. Based on changes that we could detect in the image as a

function of rotation, the error in alignment is less than 2° and probably closer to 1°. For an object with a horizontal dimension perpendicular to the applied field gradient of about 7 mm, this alignment error causes a broadening of the spatial profile of 0.24 mm if the error is 2° or 0.12 mm for 1°, which is of the same order as the resolution estimated from the signal linewidth and the magnitude of the gradient. The 1D images that are shown in Figure 3 were of samples that were considered to be well oriented. The apparent thickness of each plate obtained from the images was in good agreement with values obtained using calipers to measure the sample, which confirms that the samples were reasonably well aligned.

In the presence of the magnetic field gradient, the EPR signal spreads out along the applied magnetic field direction. If the signal is weak, the maximum gradient may be limited by the need for acceptable signal-to-noise, which would limit the resolution of the image. However, for samples *a* and *b*, the EPR signal was strong enough that resolution was not limited by signal-to-noise.

RESULTS

As discussed in the preceding section, the spatial resolution of the 1D images is estimated to be about 0.18 mm along the 2 mm dimension of the sample. The symmetric EPR lineshape indicates no significant complications due to anisotropic magnetic interactions of the unpaired electrons. The resolution of the 1D images was enhanced by deconvoluting the EPR lineshape. This was done by dividing the Fourier transform of the data in the presence of the gradient by the Fourier transform of data in the absence of gradient. Deconvoluted 1D images of *a* and *b* along the 2 mm sample dimension are shown in Figure 3. The minor oscillations were introduced by the deconvolution process. For sample *a*, the 1D image clearly shows that there was a higher radical concentration on the surface than in the bulk sample. This difference is more evident after deconvolution with a nongradient spectrum. By contrast we found no evidence of significant spatial variation in radical concentration in *b*. The slight variations for *b* probably are the result of the irregular edges of this sample.

Figure 4 shows a two dimensional (2D) spectral-spatial image of *a* using a maximum gradient of 300 G/cm, 60 experimental and four missing projections,[8] and reconstruction on a 128x128 grid. The resolution along the spatial dimension of the 2D image is similar to that of the 1D image before deconvolution. This 2D image shows that the EPR lineshape remains approximately the same along the spatial dimension. Thus, the significant variation in the peak intensity along the spatial direction of the 1D image is due to variations in the unpaired electron spin densities (i.e. the free radical concentration). The 2D image also shows that the

EPR signal height has maxima at the edges of the sample, and is approximately constant in the middle of the sample, in agreement with the 1D image. Thus the EPRI experiments show that the oxygen caused a higher radical concentration on the surface of the sample.

DISCUSSION

PMR-15 is the most widely studied polyimide resin material. Its long-term thermo-oxidative stability has been studied for the past 20 years. Our earlier studies showed that free radicals are present at room temperature in polyimides.[3,4] These free radicals are thermally generated during curing or aging processes at temperatures above 300°C. They remain stable at room temperature and can be detected by EPR. Comparisons of weight loss data with the free radical concentrations established that these free radicals are involved in the long-term thermo-oxidative degradation of the material in the presence of oxygen gas. In the absence of oxygen gas exposure, the PMR-15 resin samples aged at temperatures up to 370°C retain mass within 5% of the initial value over 2000 hours tested, although room-temperature stable free radicals are generated and their concentration reaches a steady state value after about 400 hours.[5]

For an amorphous solid sample containing low concentrations of free radicals with nearly isotropic magnetic parameters, Δw_{pp} is determined by unresolved hyperfine interactions of the electron spin with nuclear spins in the free radical moiety. The hyperfine coupling constant, A_n is directly proportional to the probability of finding the unpaired electron at nucleus n. For aromatic organic radicals, hyperfine interactions are predominantly with protons which have nuclear spin $I = 1/2$ and ^{14}N which has $I = 1$. When the unpaired electron is in a conjugated π molecular orbital consisting of aromatic carbons or hetero

atoms, the McConnell equation[13,14] (Eq. 1) predicts the ^1H hyperfine coupling constant, A_H.

$$A_H = Q\rho \qquad\qquad (1)$$

where Q is 25 ± 5 G for carbon, and ρ is the unpaired-π-electron density at the aromatic carbon nucleus to which the H atom is bonded. The observed 5.5 G linewidth for the radicals in samples a and b is considerably smaller than the value of 25 G predicted by equation (1) for $\rho = 1$, which means that the spin density is not localized on a single carbon. Instead, the unpaired electron is delocalized over a large number of aromatic atoms. This conclusion is consistent with ENDOR[15] and high-field EPR studies of polyimides.[12] The room temperature stability of the free radicals detected by EPR results from the extensive delocalization of the unpaired electrons.

The EPR images (Figures 3a and 4) of sample a, which had been treated by thermocycling at 335°C in the presence of oxygen gas, show that the concentration of free radicals is higher in the 0.1 to 0.2 mm thick surface layers than in the bulk material. The EPR image (Figure 3b) of sample b, which has not been subject to thermocycling shows that the spatial distribution of free radicals is relatively uniform across the sample.

Bowles, Jayne and Leonhardt[10] reported, based on microscopic examinations, that oxidative degradation of polyimide proceeds with development of cracks on the surface layer of the cured resin. Meador, Lowell, Cavano, and Herrera-Fierro[11], subsequently studied the surface reaction layer with FT-IR and SEM/EDS techniques and found the layer to be rich in oxygen. They also reported that crack formation in neat resins is highly dependent on aging time and temperature but not on thermocycling frequency. We also note that there are at least two different types of cracking modes for composites: surface cracking caused by

oxidation is not related to thermocycling; interface or interlaminar cracking is related to thermocycling.[10] During the aging process in oxygen atmosphere at elevated temperatures, the sample mass and size decreased as a result of oxidative degradation, but the thickness of the surface reaction layer remained about 0.1 - 0.2 mm. A microscopic photograph of sample *a* (Figure 5) shows the 0.1 mm thick oxygen-rich surface layer. The thickness of this layer compares well with the thickness of the free-radical-rich layer found by EPRI in this work.

The possible involvement of free radicals in the cross linking process or during curing of PMR-15 has been suggested previously.[16] A recent ^{13}C NMR study[17] of isotopically enriched PMR-15 strongly suggests that the reactive norbornene endcap undergoes crosslinking through a biradical intermediate.[17] Additional NMR evidence[18] indicated that the methylene group in the methylene dianiline (MDA) fragment of PMR-15 was converted to a carbonyl group through free radical intermediates in the elevated temperature oxidative degradation environment. The alkyl linkages of the endcaps are expected to be among the weakest chemical bonds so these may cleave homolytically to produce diradicals. However, the EPR lineshapes and g-values of the free radicals in polyimides such as AVIMID-N[5,19] that contain no norbornene endcaps or differently bonded linkages are indistinguishable from the EPR spectra of the radicals in PMR-15. This may mean that the free radicals that are detected at room temperature in these polyimides are predominantly the more stable ones with similar molecular structures involving the imide moiety. Jelinsky and Dunkle[20] also pointed out that oxidative degradation during aging at elevated temperatures likely involves production of radicals by rupture of the carbon-nitrogen bond in the imide linkage, since this

is the lowest energy bond in the polyimide. When the sample temperature is raised above 300°C in an oxidative atmosphere, these free radicals undergo degradation, losing fragments with low molar mass. The nearly constant depth of less than 200 μm for the damaged layer during most of the weight loss indicates that the oxidative degradation process quickly reaches a steady state rate determined by the diffusion rate of the oxygen molecules and the rate of loss of the volatile degradation products from the oxygen-rich surface layer.[11]

Many important solid polymeric materials[6] contain free radicals that can be detected at ambient conditions. Some have free radicals introduced during polymerization and others have free radicals generated when the material is exposed to an elevated temperature during postcuring or aging processes. Since most organic free radicals are reactive species, they are suspected to be responsible for degradation of the polymeric material. When the free radical concentration is high enough, EPRI of such material can provide valuable information concerning the spatial distribution of the free radicals. The EPRI of PMR-15 reported here demonstrates this possibility.

REFERENCES

1. Meador, M. A., Cavano, P. J., Malarik, D. C., "High Temperature Polymer Matrix Composites for Extreme Environments", in Proceedings of the Sixth Annual ASM/ESD Advanced Composites Conference, Detroit, Michigan, October 1990, 529.

2. J.F. Waters, C.N. Sukenik, V.O. Kennedy, M. Livneh, W.J. Youngs, J.K. Sutter, M.A.B. Meador, L. A. Burke, and M.K. Ahn, Macromolecules, 1992, 25, 3868.

3. M. K. Ahn, A.I. Smirnov, T.I. Smirnova, and R. L. Belford, Macromolecules, 1995, 28, 7026.

4. M. K. Ahn, T. Stringfellow, M. Fasano, K. Bowles, and M.A. Meador, J. Polymer Sci. Part B, 1993, 31, 831.

5. M. K. Ahn, T. Stringfellow, J. Lei, K.J. Bowles, and M. A. Meadow, MRS Proceedings - High-Performance Polymers, 1993, 305, 217.

6. Ranby, B.; Rabek, J.F., "ESR Spectroscopy in Polymer Research", Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.

7. G. R. Eaton, S. S. Eaton, and K. Ohno, Eds., "EPR Imaging and Vivo EPR", CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 1991.

8. M. Sueki, W. R. Austin, L. Shang, D. B. Kerwin, R. G. Leisure, G. R. Eaton, and S. S. Eaton, J. Appl. Phys., 1995, 77, 790.

9. a) Vannucci, R.D.; Alston, W.B., "PMR Polyimides with Improved High Temperature Performance", in Proceedings of the 31st Annual Conference, Reinforced Plastics/Composite Institute, 1976, Section 20A, pp. 1-8. b) Johnston, J.C.; Meador, M.A.B.; Alston, W.B., J. Polymer Sci.: Part A, 1987, 25, 2175.

10. Bowles, K. J., Jayne D., and Leonhardt, T. A., SAMPE Quarterly, **1993**, *24*, 2.

11. a) M. A. B. Meador, C. E. Lowell, P. J. Cavano, and P. Herrara-Fierro, High Performance Polymers, **1996**, *8*, 363.

12. Ahn, M. K., Meador, M. A. B., Budil, D. E., Earle, K. A., Moscicki, J., and Freed, J. H., Abstract 99 of a paper presented at the 17th International EPR Symposium, 36th Rocky Mountain Conference, Denver, August **1994**.

13. a) H. M. McConnell, J. Chem. Phys., **1956**, *24*, 764, b) H. M. McConnell, and D. B. Chestnut, J. Chem. Phys., **1958**, *28*, 107.

14. J. W. Wertz, and J. R. Bolton, "Electron Spin Resonance Elementary Theory and Practical Applications", McGraw-Hill, **1972**.

15. M. K. Ahn, R. T. Weber, and M. A. B. Meador, in "Proceedings, 6th Annual HITEMP Review: NASA Conference Publication 19117, edited by H. R. Gray and C. A. Gintry, October **1993**, 20-1.

16. Wilson, D., British Polymer J., **1988**, *20*, 405.

17. Meador, M. A. B.; Johnston, J. C.; Cavano, P. J.; Macromolecules, **1997**, *30*, 515.

18. Meador, M. A. B.; Johnston J. C.; Cavano, P. J.; Frimer, A. A.; Macromolecules, *in press*.

19. Hergenrother, P. M.; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., **1990**, *29*, 1262.

20. Jellinek, H.H.G.; Dunkle, S.R., in "Degradation and Stabilization of Polymers", Jellinek, ed., Elsevier, Amsterdam **1983**. p.77.

TABLE I EPR Imaging Samples

Sample	Mass	Relative Intensity	Imaging Dimension	Approximate Other Dimensions and Shapes	Thermo-cycling
a	0.1848 g	1.0	2.2 mm	10 x 7 mm Rectangular	300 cycles at 330°C in air
b	0.1426 g	3.4	2.2 mm	10 x 9 mm Irregular Diamond Diagonals	None

Figure 4. A two dimensional spectral-spatial EPR image of sample *a* shows a uniform lineshape along the 2 mm dimension.

Figure 5. A 200X microscopic image of sample *a*. The bulk of the PMR-15 sample is shown on the left side adjacent to the 0.2 mm smooth surface layer in the mid-vertical section. The remainder of the smooth region to the right of the surface layer is the epoxy resin used to mount the sample. The surface layer is found to be richer in oxygen than the sample bulk.

a

5.5 Gauss

b

Ahn, Eaton, Eaton, Meador; EPR Imaging of PMR-15. Fig 1

a
b

1 cm
1 cm

Ahn, Eaton, Eaton, Meador; EPR Imaging of PMR-15. Fig. 2

a

0.21 cm

b

0.21 cm

Ahn, Eaton, Eaton, Meador: EPR Imaging of PMR-15.   Fig. 3

0.57 cm

21 Gauss

Ahn, Eaton, Eaton, Meador: EPR Imaging of PMR-15. Fig 4

Ahn, Eaton, Eaton, Meador: EPR Imaging of PMR-15, Fig. 5 Copy 2