



US005478029A

United States Patent [19][11] **Patent Number:** **5,478,029****Schmitz**[45] **Date of Patent:** **Dec. 26, 1995**[54] **ROTORCRAFT BLADE-VORTEX INTERACTION CONTROLLER**[75] Inventor: **Fredric H. Schmitz**, Palo Alto, Calif.[73] Assignee: **The United States of America as represented by the Administrator of National Aeronautics and Space Administration**, Washington, D.C.[21] Appl. No.: **439,838**[22] Filed: **May 12, 1995****Related U.S. Application Data**

[62] Division of Ser. No. 972,790, Nov. 6, 1992, Pat. No. 5,437,419.

[51] **Int. Cl.⁶** **B64C 27/00**[52] **U.S. Cl.** **244/17.11; 244/1 N; 244/199**[58] **Field of Search** **244/1 N, 198, 244/199, 17.11, 87, 90 B, 213, 110 D, 113, 110 R**[56] **References Cited****U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

1,789,254	1/1931	Perrin	244/6
1,801,184	4/1931	Yost	244/17.11
2,189,553	2/1940	Schut	244/113
2,461,967	2/1949	Devlin et al.	244/113
2,698,149	12/1954	Greenwood et al.	244/113
2,959,373	11/1960	Zuck	244/7 R
2,993,413	7/1961	McCormack	244/113
3,081,052	3/1963	Michel	244/17.19
3,432,119	3/1969	Miller	244/17.11

3,464,651	9/1969	Lightfoot	244/17.13
3,483,696	12/1969	Gilbert et al.	244/17.11
3,532,301	10/1970	Swatton	244/12.4
3,554,467	1/1971	Yowell	244/17.19
3,776,363	12/1973	Kueth	244/1 N
3,779,338	12/1973	Hayden et al.	244/1 N
3,848,831	11/1974	Geary	244/110 D
4,036,452	7/1977	Schairer	244/1 N
4,245,802	1/1981	Jong, Jr.	244/17.19
4,447,022	5/1984	Lion	244/13
4,462,559	7/1984	Garza	244/87
4,730,795	3/1988	David	244/7 R
4,739,957	4/1988	Vess et al.	244/119
4,795,308	1/1989	Fischer et al.	244/1 N
5,050,822	9/1991	Whitehouse et al.	244/215
5,209,430	5/1993	Wilson et al.	244/17.11


FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

2133378	1/1973	Germany .
2236415	3/1973	Germany .
2117823	10/1975	Germany .
9103623	3/1991	WIPO .

Primary Examiner—Margaret A. Focarino
Assistant Examiner—Christopher Ellis
Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Kenneth L. Warsh

[57] **ABSTRACT**

Blade-vortex interaction noises, sometimes referred to as "blade slap", are avoided by increasing the absolute value of inflow to the rotor system of a rotorcraft. This is accomplished by creating a drag force which causes the angle of the tip-path plane of the rotor system to become more negative or more positive.

6 Claims, 16 Drawing Sheets




FIG. 2(a)




FIG. 2(b)




FIG. 3(a)




FIG. 3(b)




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6(a)




FIG. 6(b)




FIG. 7




FIG. 8




FIG. 9




FIG. 10




FIG. II




FIG. 12




FIG. 13




FIG. 14




FIG. 15




FIG. 16




FIG. 17




FIG. 18





FIG. 19








FIG. 23




FIG. 24





FIG. 25








FIG. 29




FIG. 30





FIG. 31



ROTORCRAFT BLADE-VORTEX INTERACTION CONTROLLER

This is a division of application Ser. No. 07/972,790,
filed Nov. 6, 1992, now U.S. Pat. No. 5,437,419.

ORIGIN OF THE INVENTION

The invention described herein was made by an employee
of the United States Government and may be manufactured
and used by or for the Government for governmental pur-
poses without the payment of any royalties thereon or
therefor.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates generally to the field of
rotorcraft aerodynamics and, more specifically, to devices
for and methods of reducing noise through control of
rotorcraft blade-vortex interaction.

TECHNICAL FIELD OF THE INVENTION

Rotor noise associated with rotorcraft, such as helicopters,
poses an obstacle to both commercial and military use of the
aircraft. While there are many types of noises associated
with rotorcraft, blade vortex interaction (BVI) noise is one
of the most severe. Blade vortex interaction (BVI) is defined
in the general sense to be the interaction of the rotor blades
with any part of the wake shed from the rotor blades. This
general definition includes all portions of each rotor blade
that interact with trailing vorticity due to strong changes in
circulation (vorticity) shed near each blade tip, shed vorticity
along each blade span due to radial circulation distribution,
and vorticity shed into the wake by changing azimuthal
circulation. The most intense interaction occurs when the
rotor blades interact with the rotor blade tip vortices—hence
the name blade vortex interaction (BVI). BVI noise is due to
impulsive aerodynamic events that occur at deterministic
locations around the rotor azimuth. These impulsive events
are most likely to occur when the rotor is in non-axial
translation and the tip vortices from preceding blades inter-
act with the following blades. Generally, a sudden impulse
is produced near the leading edge of the rotor and generates
an impulsive noise that radiates away from the rotor. This
impulsive event contains many harmonics of radiated noise
and is widely considered to be a major source of acoustic
annoyance associated with rotorcraft.

It is known that most of the radiated noise is generated
near the rotor tip. Moreover, it is expected that BVI noise
will occur when the rotor blade passes close to the trailing-
tip vortices. As shown in FIG. 1, where "V" is the forward
velocity of the helicopter, for each of the seven possible
BVI's, the strength of each interaction is determined by the
local strength of the tip vortex, the core size of the tip vortex,
the local interaction angle of the blade and the vortex line,
and the vertical separation between the vortex and the blade.
Generally, the induced velocity of the rotor disk tends to
make the tip vortices pass under the rotor disk in level,
steady-state flight conditions with an advance ratio of 0.145
as shown in FIG. 2(a). However, if the rotor operates in
steady descending flight, then the positive inflow (upflow)
tends to force the epicycloid-type pattern into the rotor disk
plane and causes strong blade-vortex interactions, as shown
in FIG. 2(b).

As the rotor blade passes in close proximity to and/or
directly intersects the shed tip vortices, high amplitude, short
duration local aerodynamic forces are created which radiate
large amounts of impulsive noise. This noise, sometimes
referred to as "blade slap" predominates in landing/approach
where rotorcraft often fly in such a way as to cause the rotor
blades to interact with vortices shed from previous blades.

Efforts have been made in the past to reduce or eliminate
all forms of rotorcraft impulsive noise. In high speed for-
ward flight, another type of impulsive noise is often gener-
ated by helicopters often labeled "high speed impulsive"
(HSI) noise. It occurs on the advancing blade tip at high
advancing tip Mach numbers and is caused by local aero-
dynamic perturbations that are amplified to radiate impul-
sive acoustic waves. In the extreme cases, shock waves that
occur near the tip of the advancing rotor blades "delocalize"
and radiate large amounts of high speed impulsive (HSI)
noise. Blade thickness near the rotor blade tip and the
helicopters advancing tip Mach number are important
parameters of HSI noise. To control noise associated with
this phenomenon, researchers have reduced the operational
tip speeds of the helicopter (to reduce the resultant advanc-
ing tip Mach numbers), selected suitable blade airfoil shapes
(to achieve a low thickness ratio, favorable pressure distri-
bution, etc.), and swept the tip of the rotor blades.

In general, blade sweeping and thinning help reduce the
local compressibility field of the rotor thus avoids the high
speed impulsive noise phenomenon. Rotor tip speeds of the
more modern helicopters have also been reduced, thus
reducing the blade's advancing tip Mach number. However,
this gain is often balanced by higher forward flight speeds.
The net result is a helicopter that cruises at higher speeds but
with lessened compressibility effects such that shock waves
on the rotor do not radiate to the acoustic far field. These
changes have been instituted by most major helicopter
manufacturers to minimize the high speed impulsive (HSI)
noise problem.

Efforts to reduce blade vortex interaction (BVI) impulsive
noise have not been as successful. The blades must create lift
and therefore will shed tip vortices in all regimes of flight.
For the low and moderate speed regimes of flight, blade
vortex interaction (BVI) has been addressed by (1) modifi-
ing the structure of the vortex itself, and (2) enlarging the
dimensional separation between the vortices and the blade.
Vortex modification can be achieved by special blade tip
designs, such as the tapered tip, the raked tip, or the ogee tip,
or by adding spoilers to the end of the blade. However, there
is usually a power penalty associated with these tip modi-
fications, and their effectiveness at eradicating blade slap has
not been confirmed.

Increasing the number of blades may lead to a reduction
in blade-vortex interaction (BVI) noise by reducing the
spanwise blade loading and thus reducing the strength of the
tip vortices. However, the increased blade number often
causes smaller distances between vortices and blades caus-
ing more frequent interactions. Other ways of achieving
dimensional separation between the tip vortices and the
oncoming blades include the use of different blade diameters
within the same rotor, uneven angles between the blades,
and unequal elevations of the tip path planes of two sets of
blades within the same rotor.

To date, all of these methods of reducing BVI noise have
met with mixed success.

Because BVI impulsive noise is likely to occur in descent
and/or deceleration during landing/approach, it has a strong
influence on whether or not the rotorcraft will be allowed to
operate near urban population centers. Adding blades at a
constant gross weight to reduce the strength of each tip
vortex has lowered the intensity of BVI noise of the modern

3

helicopter. Unfortunately, the frequency of the noise has increased, thus making the emitted higher frequency sound more annoying than the same pulse level at lower frequency. The net result is a modest reduction in BVI noise, but not enough to reduce the problem to acceptable levels.

In addition to structural modifications to the blades, it was discovered that rotorcraft could be flown so as to minimize BVI noise that is radiated in certain directions under specified flight conditions. By flying chosen rates of descents and airspeeds much of the BVI noise heard in the cockpit and subsequently radiated to the surrounding community could be controlled. See "In-Flight Far-Field Measurement of Helicopter Impulsive Noise" by F. H. Schmitz and D. A. Boxwell, *J. American Helicopter Society*, Vol. 21, no. 4, October 1976, pp. 2-16 and Cox, C. R., "How to Operate the Medium Helicopter More Quietly", by Cox, C. R., *U.S. Army Aviation Digest*, Vol. 19, 1973, pp. 9, 25, 33-38.

Those conditions where BVI noise radiation was most intense were avoided. This usually meant flying at higher descent rates and/or at higher forward velocities. Under ideal conditions, substantial reductions in BVI noise were possible. However, the flying techniques which resulted in noise abatement were not as conservative as normal approaches to landing, and thus they were not generally adopted by the United States Army.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

An object of the present invention is to eliminate or reduce rotorcraft BVI noise.

Another object of the present invention is to provide a device for altering the inflow of air into the rotor of a rotorcraft, such as a helicopter, in order to avoid interference between the tip vortices and following blades.

Another object of the present invention is to provide a method of reducing BVI noise which does not require rapid descent rates or fast forward speeds on approach to landing.

These and other objects of the invention are met by providing an apparatus for reducing BVI noise of a rotorcraft which includes means for altering the inflow to the rotor system such that the separation between the rotor's shed wake and the following rotor blades is made as large as possible. This separation reduces the disturbances to following rotor blades causing each following blade to radiate less external noise.

Other objects, advantages and salient features of the invention will become apparent from the following detailed description, which, taken in conjunction with the annexed drawings, discloses preferred embodiments of the invention.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a schematic view showing blade-vortex interactions during partial-power descent;

FIG. 2(a) is a schematic view showing relative position of the rotor disk and tip vortices in level flight;

FIG. 2(b) is a schematic view showing relative position of the rotor disk and tip vortices in descending flight;

FIG. 3(a) is a schematic, perspective view of a rotor disk showing the rotor tip path plane;

FIG. 3(b) is a schematic, side elevational view of the rotor disk of FIG. 3(a);

FIG. 4 is a schematic side elevational view showing helicopter balance of forces in steady level flight;

4

FIG. 5 is a graph showing tip-path plane angle versus advance ratio;

FIGS. 6(a) and 6(b) are schematic side elevational views of a helicopter tip-path plane for steady climbing and descending flight, respectively;

FIG. 7 is a graph showing the effect of climb angle on the tip-path plane angle;

FIG. 8 is a graph showing

$$\frac{Kv}{\Omega R}$$

verses advance ratio;

FIG. 9 is a graph showing inflow versus advance ratio for several values of γ ;

FIG. 10 is a graph showing inflow versus advance ratio for several values of rate of sink;

FIG. 11 is a schematic side elevational view showing tip-path plane for descending flight with a blade vortex interaction control force;

FIG. 12 is a graph showing change in inflow versus advance ratio for two types of force controllers;

FIG. 13 is a graph showing inflow versus advance ratio for $F_e/W=0.1, -0.1$ at several values of γ ;

FIG. 14 is a graph showing inflow versus advance ratio for $F_e/W=0.1, -0.1$ at several values of R/S;

FIG. 15 is a graph showing inflow versus advance ratio for $F_e/W=0.1, -0.1$ at several values of γ ;

FIG. 16 is a graph showing inflow versus advance ratio for $F_e/W (F_{ed}=40 \text{ ft}^2)$ at several values of γ ;

FIG. 17, 18, and 19 are top, front and side elevational views, respectively, of a first preferred embodiment of a rotorcraft blade-vortex interaction controller according to the present invention;

FIGS. 20, 21, and 22 are top, front and side elevational views, respectively, of a second preferred embodiment of a rotorcraft blade-vortex interaction controller according to the present invention;

FIGS. 23, 24, and 25 are top, front and side elevational views, respectively, of a third preferred embodiment of a rotorcraft blade-vortex interaction controller according to the present invention;

FIGS. 26, 27, and 28 are top, front and side elevational views of a fourth, preferred embodiment of a rotorcraft blade-vortex interaction controller according to the present invention; and

FIG. 29 is a sectional view taken along line C—C of FIG. 27; and

FIG. 30 and 31 are views similar to FIG. 29 showing variations of drag panels.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Referring to FIGS. 2(a), the rotor's disk 10 is tilted slightly forward from the horizontal to allow a component of the thrust vector to balance the drag of the vehicle. The wake of the rotor disk 10 passes beneath the rotor disk as illustrated and does not strongly interact with the rotor blades. The component of forward velocity normal to the rotor disk now adds to the induced velocity of the rotor causing the shed wake to move away from the rotor plane. This illustration shows normal level steady-state flight where external noise due to wake interaction is not normally a severe problem.

In descending steady-state flight the situation changes to what is illustrated in FIG. 2(b). The velocity vector now has a component normal to the plane of the rotor tips that opposes the induced velocity of the rotor. The net result is that the rotor's shed wake is held near to the plane of the rotor tips. Each rotor blade then aerodynamically interacts with the vortex structure of the wake causing unsteady blade loads which radiate large amounts of external noise.

FIG. 3(a) is a perspective view of the rotor disk 10 of FIGS. 2(a) and 2(b), with blade coning and lateral flapping omitted for simplicity. A side elevation of the rotor tip-path plane 12 is illustrated in FIG. 3(b). The rotor disk's tip-path plane 12 is tilted forward as in the forward flight case. The inflow to the rotor disk 10 is positive and is given by the following equation:

$$\text{rotor inflow} = \gamma_{TTP} = V \sin \alpha_{TTP} - kv \quad (1-a)$$

{average flow passing normal to the rotor's tip-path plane} where

V=velocity of the rotorcraft

α_{TTP} =tip-path plane angle of the rotor

v=average induced velocity of the rotor

Equation (1-a) is often written in nondimensional form by dividing the rotor's tip speed, ΩR . It thus becomes: Nondimensional rotor inflow

$$\text{Nondimensional rotor inflow} = \bar{\lambda}_{TTP} = \frac{\lambda_{TTP}}{\Omega R} = \frac{V \sin \alpha_{TTP} - kv}{\Omega R} \quad (1-b)$$

For a given velocity (V), tip speed (ΩR) and induced velocity (v), the tip-path plane angle (α_{TTP}) governs the inflow through the rotor disk. In level non-accelerating flight at cruise speeds or above, the inflow (flow normal to the rotor's tip-path plane) passes through from above the rotor. Employing conventional helicopter notation, the angle between the velocity vector and the rotor's tip path plane (α_{TTP}), and the rotor inflow normal tip-path plane (λ_{TTP}) are both defined to be negative under these level non-accelerating flight conditions.

To minimize radiated noise, the inflow (λ_{TTP}) can be positive or negative. It is only necessary that the inflow be large enough to cause the wake shed from the rotor blades to be swept away from the following rotor blades. Large negative inflow causes the vortex system to be pushed under the rotor's tip-path plane. This normally occurs in high speed level flight and during climb. Large positive inflow causes the vortex system to pass above the rotor's tip-path plane. This latter situation is often encountered in autogyro flight or during autorotation on conventional helicopters. Near zero inflow implies that the vortex system shed from the rotor lies directly in the rotor's tip-path plane.

Some of the parameters that control the rotor's inflow are set by the rotorcraft's design. The average induced velocity (v) is a function of the vehicle's disk loading (T/A =thrust/rotor disk area), forward speed V, operating density (ρ) and the rotor's tip-path plane angle (α_{TTP}). Changing the rotorcraft's disk loading can alter the average induced velocity substantially making the "kv" term in the equation large negatively. In this simple model of average inflow through the rotor disk, the constant "k" is introduced to represent the effect of the local induced velocity field of the rotor. The wake vortex system does not convect in a uniform manner across the rotor disk. In those azimuthal regions where BVI occurs, k is normally chosen to be $\frac{1}{2}$. In the following discussion, k is assumed to be $\frac{1}{2}$, although this assumption is not critical to the present invention.

Controlling α_{TTP} is an effective way of maximizing the absolute value of the inflow. Inflow is determined by simply summing the existing forces on the helicopter.

In a wind axis system for steady level flight, $T \sin \alpha_{TTP} = -D_{eff}$, $TCOS \alpha_{TTP} = W$; then assuming α_{TTP} is small, $T=W$. Hence,

$$\alpha_{TTP} \approx \frac{-D_{eff}}{W} \quad (2)$$

As shown in FIG. 4, the tip-path plane angle is negative and is governed by the effective drag, D_{eff} , of the helicopter. ($D_{eff} = \frac{1}{2} \rho V_e^2 f_e$, where f_e is the effective wetted drag coefficient of the helicopter.)

According to equation (1-a), the inflow is also negative, i.e., the flow is passing through the rotor from above. A plot of α_{TTP} for a typical helicopter (in this case a AH-1G Huey Cobra) is shown in FIG. 5. It should be noted that only small values of the tip-path plane angle are required to balance the forces in steady flight.

Climbing or descending flight also controls the rotorcraft's tip-path plane angle. The following equation is shown in FIGS. 6(a) and 6(b), showing tip-path plane angle for steady climbing flight and descending flight, respectively:

$$\alpha_{TTP} \approx \frac{-D_{eff}}{W} - \gamma \quad (3)$$

Climbing flight makes the tip-path plane angle more negative and thus increases the inflow from above the rotor. Descending flight does the opposite, tending to decrease or even reverse the inflow from above the rotor. These trends of tip-path plane angle for various climb angles are shown in FIG. 7 for the example helicopter.

The second term that directly influences the inflow passing through the rotor disc in equation (1) is "kv". The "v" represents the average induced velocity of the rotor (which has been assumed to be uniform in this example) and is generally governed by the momentum theory expression:

$$V^4 - 2Vv^3 \sin \alpha_{TTP} + V^2 v^2 + \left(\frac{T}{2\rho A} \right)^2 = 0 \quad (4)$$

As previously noted, the constant "k" is included to account for the local induced velocity of the rotor. It is chosen to be $\frac{1}{2}$ for the advancing side BVI arguments presented here. A plot of the solution of equation (4) for $\alpha_{TTP}=0$ degrees for the present example is shown in FIG. 8 by the dotted lines for $k=1.0$ and 0.5 , respectively. Because the landing/approach profiles normally occur at advance ratios greater than 0.1, equation (4) can be simplified to the following:

$$\frac{kv}{\Omega R} \approx k \frac{C_t}{2} \frac{1}{\mu} \quad (5)$$

This equation is also shown in FIG. 8 by the solid lines for $k=1.0$ and 0.5 . It is evident that the induced velocity drops off steeply with forward velocity, and becomes very small at high forward velocities.

Using equations (3) and (5), the net non-dimensional inflow equation (1-b) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\bar{\lambda}_{TTP} = \frac{\lambda_{TTP}}{\Omega R} = \frac{V \sin \alpha_{TTP} - kv}{\Omega R} \quad (1-b)$$

The effects of the tip-path-plane tilt (α_{TPP}) and induced velocity changes are implicitly accounted for. The new variables are climb angle (γ) and inflow (λ). The gross weight, density, tip-speed, and effective drag of the helicopter are normally known. The total nondimensionalized inflow for the chosen helicopter (equation 6) is given in FIG. 9 for $k=0.5$ and for several values of climb/descent angle. (This figure was obtained by combining FIGS. 7 and 8). The resulting characteristic plot shows that the inflow reaches a maximum value at some advance ratio for every value of climb or descent angle. Notice that the inflow is nearly zero at advance ratios between 0.15 and 0.25 when the helicopter is descending at an angle of about -4° . These are the conditions when BVI noise is the most intense for this helicopter. At these advance ratios, changing the descent angle from $\gamma=-4^\circ$ either increases or decreases the inflow from its null value. Either change helps reduce the intensity of the BVI noise radiation. Notice too that at larger or smaller values of advance ratio, steeper descent angles are required, if zero inflow to the rotor is to be maintained.

The term $\mu\gamma$ can be rewritten as

$$\mu\gamma = \frac{v\gamma}{\Omega R} = \frac{\text{Rate of climb (+) or descent (-)}}{\Omega R}$$

If rate of climb or descent is chosen as the independent parameter, equation (6) can be replotted as shown in FIG. 10. This plot is more useful to pilots who normally fly indicated airspeed in nautical miles per hour (knots) and rate of climb and/or sink in feet per minute (ft/min). Similar to FIG. 9, it shows that for zero rate of climb/descent, the inflow through the rotor system is normally negative. However, for the AH-1 helicopter at a rate of descent of about 500 ft/min at airspeeds of between 40 ($\mu=0.1$) and 80 ($\mu=0.2$) knots, near zero inflow through the rotor occurs. This steady-state performance condition is known to generate large amounts of impulsive noise radiation for the AH-1 helicopter.

The present invention provides means for producing a force nearly parallel to the velocity vector to alter the inflow through the rotor disc in a manner calculated to prevent or diminish interaction between the blade vortices and following blade tips. The force "F", illustrated in FIG. 11 for a typical helicopter in descending flight, is a negative blade vortex interaction control force which can be generated by any means capable of producing an effective drag force. This drag force causes the helicopter tip-path plane to become more negative to balance forces along an axis aligned with the vehicle's freestream velocity vector. With the force controller, of whatever form, deployed, equation (3) becomes:

$$\alpha_{TPP} = \frac{-D_{eff}}{W} - \gamma - \frac{F}{W} \quad (7)$$

Because the force controller only influences the vehicle's tip-path plane angle, the inflow equation (6) becomes:

$$\bar{\lambda} = -\mu \frac{D}{W} - K \frac{C_t}{2} \frac{1}{\mu} - \mu\gamma - \mu \frac{F}{W} \quad (8)$$

In effect, another term,

$$\mu \frac{F}{W}$$

has been to the governing inflow equation (6).

The present invention contemplates a variety of devices for generating the required drag or thrust force F. Several preferred embodiments will be described in detail below. The first type is a simple thrusting device, such as a side-mounted rotor, propeller, ducted fan, or Jet with mechanisms to generate defined levels of aerodynamic force. Because this force is assumed to be constant with forward airspeed, the change in the tip-path plane angle with airspeed is also constant. The subscript "c" has been added to "F" to represent this force that is constant, or independent of airspeed. This constant force controller generates a constant level of force at any airspeed. The change in inflow to the main rotor is directly proportional to the product of forward velocity and F_c . This relationship is illustrated in FIG. 12.

A second type of device for generating a control force, F, to alter the inflow uses pure aerodynamics. Simple drag devices are deployed in the freestream velocity field. The drag from these flow deceleration devices can be represented by the following equation:

$$F_{ed} = \frac{1}{2} \rho V^2 f_{ed} \quad (9)$$

where F_{ed} = equivalent flat plate drag area of the deceleration devices.

These pure aerodynamic force controllers also change the effective tip-path plane angle but can only create a drag force, thus forcing the rotor into more negative tip-path plane angles which tend to further decrease, or make more negative, the inflow through the rotor disc. The change in inflow from this type of device is also illustrated in FIG. 12.

The effectiveness of the force controller that is independent of airspeed, F_c , in controlling the inflow to the rotor system is clearly shown in FIG. 13. Negative values of force along the flight path cause the rotor inflow to become more positive. For level steady state flight above $\mu=0.12$, the inflow through the rotor is in the same direction as the thrust vector. In effect, the rotorcraft is flying like an autogyro, with the rotor tip path plane angle tilted positively. At normal approach conditions, where γ becomes more negative, the inflow becomes even more positive, thus lessening the likelihood of encountering BVI conditions.

FIG. 13 also shows how the force controller that is independent of airspeed, F_c , can be used as a drag device and again increase the absolute value of the rotor's inflow. In level steady state flight with

$$F_c/W = +0.1$$

the extra drag decreases, or makes more negative, the inflow. In this case, the inflow is in the direction opposite to the thrust vector. Descending flight tends to reduce the magnitude of the negative inflow and eventually cause near zero inflow at steep enough descent angles. In both of these cases, the constant force controller, F_c , is effective at avoiding near zero inflow conditions.

Pilots normally fly approach to a landing monitoring two key flight instruments: indicated airspeed and rate of sink (R/S). The effectiveness of the constant force controller is shown in FIG. 14 in this terminology. As illustrated, large changes in inflow are possible. F_c can be used to alter the inflow through the rotor and thus minimize noise due to blade-wake interaction.

The pure aerodynamic version of the present invention is also effective at controlling the inflow through the rotor disc, as illustrated in FIGS. 15 and 16. Large changes in inflow are possible at advance ratios above 0.15; for example, at a $\mu=0.16$ and a R/S of 500 ft/min, near zero inflow conditions exist without the F_{ed} generating device being deployed. However, with the device deployed, a dramatic increase in negative inflow occurs, thus lessening the conditions where blade wake interaction is known to occur. As a result of using the device of the present invention, dramatic reductions in BVI noise are achieved.

Referring to FIGS. 17–19, a helicopter 20 having a rotor system 22 is provided with a deployable control device 24 which, in the illustrated embodiment, includes two drag panels 26 and 28. Each panel is pivotally connected to the fuselage 30 and deployed by actuating extendable arms 32 and 34, respectively. The arms 32 and 34 may be fluid driven, such as pneumatic or hydraulic, or may be electrically driven. Each arm has a first end connected to the fuselage 30 and a second end connected to the corresponding drag panel 26 or 28, and can be actuated manually by the pilot to move from an inoperative position (such that the panels conform to the shape of the fuselage to maintain good in-flight aerodynamics, when not acting in the noise abatement mode) to an operative position in which the panels extend radially outwardly, with drag surfaces oriented vertically and perpendicular to the plane of symmetry A—A. The drag panels 26 and 28 are deployed when flight conditions are favorable for producing BVI noise to create a drag force which alters the average inflow to the rotor system 22. The effect is to create an increased negative inflow through the rotor disc under conditions when the inflow is normally small or near zero. The increased negative inflow causes a large separation between the rotor shed vortex structure and the following blades, thus reducing the blade-vortex and blade wake interaction noise. The blade vortex interaction control force F_{ed} is parallel to the freestream velocity and decreases (makes more negative) the tip-path plane of the rotor system 22 in order to decrease BVI noise.

The collective area of the drag surfaces of the drag panels 26 and 28 is preferably sufficient to create a drag force equal to the fuselage drag. The panels 26 and 28 are also positioned so that the drag force vector passes through the center of gravity of the rotorcraft 20. The panels may have dual function so as to provide doors, for example, into the fuselage 30. In any embodiment, the panels 26 and 28 are normally in the inoperative position and are only deployed to effect noise abatement.

Referring to FIGS. 20–22, a helicopter 40 having a rotor system 42 is provided with a deployable control device 44 which includes two deployable airfoils 46 and 48. The airfoils 46 and 48 are pivotally mounted on opposite sides of the fuselage to pivot about axis B—B, and extend radially outwardly perpendicular to the plane of symmetry A'—A'. Any suitable controls and linkages can be provided to permit the pilot to actuate the airfoils 46 and 48 to move between inoperative (horizontal) and operative (vertical) positions.

In normal flight, the airfoils are pivoted to a horizontal orientation, so as to function not as a noise abatement device, but as a lift-generating device. When it becomes necessary to generate a drag force, the airfoils 46 and 48 are pivoted to a vertical orientation as seen in FIGS. 20–22. The effect is the same as in the previous embodiment in that a drag force is generated to make more negative or more positive the rotor inflow to avoid blade-vortex interaction.

As in the previous embodiment, the airfoils 46 and 48 are positioned to create the drag force through the center of gravity of the helicopter 40, and parallel to the freestream velocity.

Referring to FIGS. 23–25, a helicopter 60 having a rotor system 62 includes a control device 64 which creates a force, F_c , that can be positive (drag force) or negative (thrust force). The positive drag force changes the inflow in such a way as to achieve the same result as in the previous embodiments. The negative force (thrust) increases (makes more positive) the tip-path plane of the rotor and under selected conditions also maximizes the separation between the rotor shed vortex structure and the following blades, thus reducing the blade-vortex interaction and blade wake interaction noise. The control device 64 includes two ducted fans 66 and 68 which can be run off of the main gear box as is the tail rotor. The fans 66 and 68 produce a drag or a thrust force via the thrust created by the exhaust of the fans. Other types of thrust-producing devices can be used, such as propellers or jets operated to produce a desired level of aerodynamic force.

For rotorcraft having either tilt wings or tilt rotors, the requisite drag force can be generated by using modified flaps, as shown in the embodiment of FIGS. 26–28. The aircraft 80 has two tilt rotors 82 and 84 mounted on opposite ends of the wing 86. The wing 86 includes at the trailing edge a pair of deployable drag panels 88 and 90, each having an upper half pivotally connected to a lower half. In the inoperative position, as shown in FIG. 26, the panels aerodynamically conform to the shape of the wing 86. However, as shown in FIGS. 27 and 28, when it becomes necessary to create a drag force, the two halves pivot relative to each other by means of a suitable hinge and actuator structure (not shown) to create a vertically oriented drag panel. With the tilt rotors 82 and 84 positioned vertically, the drag panels 88 and 90 can be deployed to provide a drag force, F_{ed} , of sufficient magnitude to increase the absolute value of the rotor inflow.

For the embodiment illustrated in FIGS. 26–28, the drag panels can be incorporated into the flap system of the aircraft. As shown in FIG. 30, the panel 88 includes an upper half 88a and a lower half 88b, each being pivotal about a pivot hinge 92 to become substantially aligned with each other in the operative position. Appropriate actuators can be provided for imparting pivotal movement in the halves 88a and 88b. Also shown in FIG. 30 also illustrates in broken lines a variation in which the halves pivot about a hinge having a pivot axis spaced inwardly of the trailing edge of the wing 86. Either variation can be referred to as an "umbrella flap", and both have the advantages of simplicity, relatively large effective drag surface, good download reduction potential, and minimum pitching moment change.

FIG. 31 illustrates an alternative embodiment in which the drag panel 88' is the trailing edge portion of the wing 86'. The drag panel 88' is pivotally mounted on a hinge 92' spaced inwardly of the trailing edge of the wing 86'. When a drag force is required, the panel 88' is pivoted to be in the operative position (vertically oriented), whereby portions of the panel extend above and below the wing. This embodiment has many of the same advantages of the umbrella type panel described above, except that the drag surface area is not as great.

FIG. 32 illustrates still another embodiment for a drag panel 88" also pivotally mounted on the trailing edge of the wing 86". In this embodiment, the panel 88" includes two halves 88"a and 88"b pivot in opposite directions about a hinge 92". The hinge 92" is spaced inwardly of the trailing edge of the wing 86". This embodiment has the advantages of relative simplicity of construction, relatively large effective drag, minimum pitching moment, good download reduction, and smooth transition from lifting to non-lifting

configurations. With respect to the latter, when the two halves 88"*a* and 88"*b* are not in the drag-producing mode, they form a single trailing edge flap structure and can be controlled and operated as such. In the flap mode, the panel pivots about a pivot axis of the hinge 92".

When the drag force changes the rotor inflow to provide noise abatement, the rotorcraft tends to adopt a more pronounced pitch which can be compensated for by tilting the rotor shaft so that the entire rotor system tilts relative to the fuselage. When the rotor is tilted, more power is needed. The tilt of the rotor can be accomplished by providing any suitable structuring which facilitates tilting movement, such as a universal joint in the rotor shaft. Generally, more power will be required when the rotor system is tilted. Various means may be employed to effect shaft tilting. No more than about 4 degrees of tilt would be required.

While advantageous embodiments have been chosen to illustrate the invention, it will be understood by those skilled in the art that various changes and modifications can be made therein without departing from the scope of the invention as defined in the appended claims.

I claim:

1. An apparatus for decreasing blade-vortex interaction noise of a rotorcraft having a fuselage and a rotor system, comprising:

control means for increasing the absolute value inflow to the rotor system; and

means for actuating the control means under flight conditions when inflow is near zero,

wherein the control means comprises a wing rotably mounted on the rotorcraft and being movable by the actuator means between a substantially horizontal lift-generating position and a substantially vertical drag-

generating position.

2. The apparatus according to claim 1, wherein the wing has a drag surface area, when in the vertical position, sufficient to produce a drag force at least equal to a drag force of the fuselage.

3. An apparatus for decreasing blade-vortex interaction noise of a rotorcraft having a fuselage and a rotor system, comprising:

control means for increasing the absolute value of inflow to the rotor system; and

means for actuating the control means under flight conditions when inflow is near zero

wherein the rotorcraft has a wing having a leading edge and a trailing edge, and the control means comprises first and second drag panels mounted on the trailing edge of the wing on opposite sides of the fuselage and being movable between substantially horizontal and substantially vertical positions.

4. The apparatus according to claim 3, wherein each of the first and second drag panels comprises two elements pivotal about an axis located substantially at the trailing edge of the wing.

5. The apparatus according to claim 3, wherein each of the first and second drag panels comprises two elements pivotal about an axis spaced inwardly of the trailing edge of the wing.

6. The apparatus according to claim 3, wherein each of the first and second drag panels comprises a flap having a leading edge and a trailing edge, each flap having a pivot axis disposed between the leading and trailing edges of each corresponding flap.

* * * * *